3.3 Type Inference

In the first section of this chapter we defined a type system, in the second section we introduced a syntax for our language. Now we want to define rules how to obtain the type of a given program written in our language.

3.3.1 Typing Judgments

A type system is a set of inference rules to derive various kinds of typing judgments. These *inference rules* have the following form

$$\frac{P_1 \dots P_n}{C}$$

where the judgments P_1 up to P_n are the premises of the rule and the judgment C is its conclusion.

We can read it in two ways:

- "If all premises hold then the conclusion holds as well" or
- "To prove the conclusion we need to prove all premises".

We will now provide a judgment for every production rule defined in the last section. Ultimately, we will have a judgment p:T which indicates that a program p is of a type T and therefore well-formed.

If the type T is known then we talk about *type checking* else we call the process of finding the judgment *type inference*.

TYPE SIGNATURE JUDGMENTS

For type signature judgments, let Γ be a type context, $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $a_i \in \mathcal{V}, T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $ltf \in \text{<list-type-fields>}$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma \vdash ltf : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

which can be read as "given Γ , ltf has the type $\{a_1: T_1, \ldots, a_n: T_n\}$ ".

For $lt \in \text{<list-type>}$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma \vdash lt : (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$$

which can be read as "given Γ , lt defines the list (T_1, \ldots, T_n) ".

For $t \in \mathsf{<type>}$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma \vdash t : T$$

which can be read as "given Γ , t has the type T".

PATTERN JUDGMENTS

For pattern judgments, let Γ, Δ and Θ be type contexts. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $T_i \in \mathcal{T}, a_i \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $lpl \in \text{<list-pattern-list>}$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash : \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(List\ T, lpl)$$

which can be read as "given Γ, Δ , we can match $List\ T$ with the pattern lpl by using the context Θ ".

For $lps \in$ tern-sort> the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}((T_1, \ldots, T_n), lps)$$

which can be read as "given Γ and Δ , we can match (T_1, \ldots, T_n) with the pattern lps by using the context Θ ".

For $lpv \in \text{<list-pattern-vars>}$ the judgment has the form

$$lpv:(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$$

which can be read as "lpv defines the list (a_1, \ldots, a_n) ".

For $p \in \text{<pathern>}$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(T, p)$$

which can be read as "given Γ and Δ , we can match T with the pattern p by using the context Θ ".

EXPRESSION JUDGMENTS

For expression judgments, let Γ , Δ be type contexts, $T \in \mathcal{T}$, $a \in \mathcal{V}$ and $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$, $a_i \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $lef \in \langle list-exp-field \rangle$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash lef : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

which can be read as "given Γ and Δ , *lef* has the type $\{a_1: T_1, \ldots, a_n: T_n\}$ ".

For $mes \in \mbox{\tt maybe-exp-sign}\mbox{\tt the judgment}$ has the form

$$\Gamma$$
, $mes \vdash a : T$

which can be read as "given Γ , a has the type T under the assumption mes".

For $lc \in \langle list-case \rangle$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma, \Delta, T_1 \vdash lc : T_2$$

which can be read as "given Γ and Δ and a type T_1 , lc has the type T_2 ".

For $b \in \text{`bool'}$ the judgment has the form

b:T

which can be read as "b has the type T".

For $i \in \langle int \rangle$ the judgment has the form

which can be read as "i has the type T".

For $le \in <$ list-exp> the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash le : List T$$

which can be read as "given Γ and Δ , le has the type List T".

For $e \in \langle \exp \rangle$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T$$

which can be read as "given Γ and Δ , e is of type T".

STATEMENT JUDGMENTS

For statement judgments, let $\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ be a type contexts, $T, T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$, $a \in \mathcal{V}$ and $T_i, A_i \in \mathcal{T}, a_i \in \mathcal{V}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $T_{i,j} \in \mathcal{T}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k_i}$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $lss \in \langle list-statement-sort \rangle$ the judgment has the form

$$lss: (c_1: (T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{1,k_1}), \ldots, c_n: (T_{n,1}, \ldots, T_{n,k_n}))$$

which can be read as "lss is a tuple of sorts c_i for $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ such that that each define a list $(T_{i,1}, \ldots, T_{i,k_i})$.

For $lsv \in \texttt{<list-statement-var}$ the judgment has the form

$$lsv:(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$$

which can be read as "lsv describes the list (a_1, \ldots, a_n) ".

For $ls \in \text{<list-statement>}$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma_1, \Delta_2, ls \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2$$

which can be read as "the list of statements ls maps Γ_1 to Γ_2 and Δ_1 to Δ_2 ".

For $mss \in \text{<maybe-statement-sign>}$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma, \mathit{mss} \vdash a : T$$

which can be read as "given Γ , a has the type T_2 under the assumption mss".

For $s \in$ statement> the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma_1, \Delta_1, s \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2$$

which can be read as "the statement s maps Γ_1 to Γ_2 and Δ_1 to Δ_2 ".

For $mms \in \text{-maybe-main-sign}$ the judgment has the form

$$\Gamma$$
, $mms \vdash main : T$

which can be read as "the main function has type T under the assumtion mms".

For *prog* ∈

which can be read as "the program prog is wellformed and has the type T".

3.3.2 Auxiliary Definitions

We will assume that T is a mono type, T is a type variable and $T_1 = T_2$ denotes the equiality of two given types T_1 and T_2 .

We will write $a_1, \ldots, a_n = \text{free}(T)$ to denote all free variables a_1, \ldots, a_n of T.

INSTANTIATION, GENERALIZATION

The type system that we are using is polymorphic, meaning that whenever a judgment holds for a type, it will also hold for a more specific type. To counter this polymorphism we will force the types in a judgment to be unique by explicitly stating whenever we want to use a more specific or general type.

Definition 3.1: Instantiation

Let $\Delta: \mathcal{V} \nrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a type context, $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and e be an expression.

Then we define

$$e \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} T : \Leftrightarrow \exists T_0 \in \mathcal{T}.(e, T_0) \in \Delta \land T_0 \sqsubseteq T$$

Note that Δ is a partial function and therefore $\Delta(e)$ would only be defined if T_0 exists. If T_0 does no exist, then this predicate will be false.

The act of replacing T_0 with the more specific type T is called *Instantiation* and is typically in the text books introduced as an additional inference rule.

Definition 3.2: Generalization

Let Δ_1, Δ_2 be type contexts, $a \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}$ such that T' is a mono type $\forall c_1, \ldots, \forall c_m T' = T$ for some $c_i \in \mathcal{V}, i \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$.

We define

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{insert}_{\Delta_1}(\Delta_2) := \\ \Delta_1 \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a, \forall b_1 \dots \forall b_n. T') \; \middle| \; (a, T) \in \Delta_2 \\ \wedge \left\{ b_1, \dots, b_n \right\} = \left\{ b \; \middle| \; b \in \operatorname{free}(T) \wedge (b, _) \not\in \Delta_2 \right\} \end{array} \right\} \end{split}$$

This definition essentially states that all quantified variables of T, that occur in Δ_2 , will be dropped and any free variables will be quantified. The act of removing a quantified variable that is already in the type context is called *Generalization* and is also typically found as an inference rule in text books.

PREDEFINED TYPES

Additionally, we define

$$Bool := \mu_.True|False$$
 $Nat := \mu C.1|Succ\ C$
 $Int := \mu_.0 \mid Pos\ Nat \mid Neg\ Nat$
 $List := \forall a.\mu C.[\] \mid Cons\ a\ C$

3.3.3 Inference Rules for Type Signatures

LIST-TYPE-FIELDS

Judgment: $\Gamma \vdash ltf : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$

$$\Gamma \vdash "" : \{\}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash ltf : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\} \quad \{a_0 : T_0, a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\} = T}{\Gamma \vdash a_0 " : " t ", " ltf : T}$$

The type context Γ is used in the judgment $\Gamma \vdash t : T_0$ that turns the type signature t into a type T_0 .

LIST-TYPE

Judgment: $\Gamma \vdash lt : (T_1, \dots, T_n)$

$$\Gamma \vdash "":()$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash lt : (T_1, \dots, T_n) \quad (T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n) = T}{\Gamma \vdash t \ lt : T}$$

TYPE

Judgment: $\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$Bool = T$$

$$\Gamma \vdash "Bool" : T$$

$$Int = T$$

$$\Gamma \vdash "Int" : T$$

$$List T_2 = T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t : T_2$$

$$\Gamma \vdash "List" \quad t : T_1$$

$$(T_1, T_2) = T_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2$$

$$\Gamma \vdash "("t_1", "t_2")" : T_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash ltf : T$$

$$\Gamma \vdash "tf : T$$

$$\Gamma \vdash "tf : T$$

$$\Gamma \vdash T \vdash T$$

For a given type T we write the application constructor as \overline{T} .

$$\frac{\forall a.a = T}{\Gamma \vdash a : T}$$

Example 3.1

In example ?? we have looked at the syntax for a list reversing function.

The type signiture for the reverse function was List a -> List a. We will now show how we can obtain the curresponding type T_0 . For that, let $\Gamma = \emptyset$.

We can therefore conclude that $T_0 = List\ (\forall a.a) \to List\ (\forall a.a) = \forall a.List\ a \to List\ a.$

3.3.4 Inference Rules for patterns

LIST-PATTERN-LIST

Judgment: $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash$: match $\Theta(List\ T, lpl)$

 $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash : \mathsf{match}_\varnothing(\forall a.List\ a, "")$

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma, \Delta \vdash : \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_1}(T, p) \\ &\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash : \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_2}(\mathit{List}\ T, \mathit{lpl}) \quad \Theta_1 \cap \Theta_2 = \varnothing \quad \Theta_3 = \mathsf{insert}_{\Theta_1}(\Theta_2)}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash : \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_3}(\mathit{List}\ T, p \text{ "," } \mathit{lpl})} \end{split}$$

 Θ_3 is the set of all bindings in the list with head p and tail lpl. Variables may only bound once, therefore we need to ensure that the binding Θ_1 of p and the binding Θ_2 of lpl are disjoint.

LIST-PATTERN-SORT

Judgment: $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}((T_1, \ldots, T_n), lps)$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash : \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}((), "")$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, \Delta \vdash : \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_1}(T_0, p) \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash : \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_2}((T_1, \dots, T_n), \mathit{lps}) \quad \Theta_1 \cap \Theta_2 = \varnothing \quad \Theta_3 = \mathsf{insert}_{\Theta_1}(\Theta_2)}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash : \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_3}((T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n), p \; \mathit{lps})} \end{array}$$

LIST-PATTERN-VARS

Judgment: $lpv:(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$

$$\frac{lpv:(a_1,\ldots,a_n)}{a_0 "" lpv:(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_n)}$$

PATTERN

$$\frac{b:Bool}{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_\varnothing(Bool,b)}$$

$$\frac{i:Int}{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_\varnothing(Int,i)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(\mathit{List}\,T, !pl)}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(\mathit{List}\,T, " \, [" \, lpl"] \, ")}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_1}(T_1, p_1) \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_2}(T_2, p_2)$$

$$\frac{\Theta_1 \cap \Theta_2 = \varnothing \quad \mathsf{insert}_{\Theta_1}(\Theta_2) = \Theta_3}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_3}((T_1, T_2), " (" \, p_1" \, " \, p_2") \, ")}$$

$$\frac{c \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} T_1 \to \cdots \to T_n \to T_0 \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}((T_1, \ldots, T_n), lps)}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(T_0, c \, lps)}$$

$$\frac{(a, _) \not\in \Delta \quad \Theta = \{(a, T)\}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(T, a)}$$

$$\frac{(a, _) \not\in \Delta \quad (a, _) \not\in \Theta_1 \quad \mathsf{insert}_{\Theta_1}(\{(a, T)\}) = \Theta_2 \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_1}(T, p)}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_2}(T, p \, "as \, "a)}$$

$$\frac{lpv = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \quad T = \{a_1 : T_1, \ldots, a_n : T_n\}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(T, " \, " \, " \, " \, ")}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \cap \{(a_1, _), \ldots, (a_n, _)\} = \varnothing \quad \Theta = \{(a_1, T_1), \ldots, (a_n, T_n)\}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(T, " \, " \, " \, " \, " \, " \, ")}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_1}(T, p_1) \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_2}(\mathit{List}\,T, p_2)}{\Theta_1 \cap \Theta_2 = \varnothing \quad \mathsf{insert}_{\Theta_1}(\Theta_2) = \Theta_3}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_3}(\mathit{List}\,T, p_1 \, " \, : : " \, p_2)}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta_3}(\mathit{List}\,T, p_1 \, " \, : : " \, p_2)}$$

Example 3.2

In example ?? we have looked at the syntax for list reversing function. We will now find the bindings Θ_0 for the following pattern used in the reversing function.

a :: _

We assume that the type of the expression being matched is $List\ Int$ and $\Gamma=\Delta=\varnothing$.

After ensuring $\Theta_1 \cap \Theta_2 = \{(a, \mathit{Int})\} \cap \varnothing = \varnothing$ we can conclude

$$\Theta_0 = \operatorname{insert}_{\{(a,Int)\}}(\varnothing) = \{(a,Int)\}.$$

3.3.5 Inference Rules for Expressions

LIST-EXP-FIELD

Judgment: $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash lef : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash a \text{ "=" } e : \{a : T\}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash lef : T \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T_0 \quad \{a_0 : T_0, \dots, a_n : T_n\} = T}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash a_0 \text{ "=" } e \text{ "," } lef : T}$$

MAYBE-EXP-SIGN

Judgment: $\Gamma, mes \vdash a : T$

$$\Gamma$$
, "" $\vdash a : T$

If no argument is given, then we do nothing.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : Ta_1 = a_2}{\Gamma, a_1" : "t" ; " \vdash a_2 : T}$$

If we have a variable a_1 and a type T, then the variables a_2 need to match. The type signature t defines the type of a_2 .

LIST-CASE

Judgment: $\Gamma, \Delta, T_1 \vdash lc : T_2$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(T_1, p) \quad \Gamma, \mathsf{insert}_{\Delta}(\Theta) \vdash e : T_2}{\Gamma, \Delta, T_1 \vdash p \text{ "}\text{->"} e : T_2}$$

Given the type T_1 of the expression that is being matched, we can now find all new binding Θ by matching p with T_1 . Finally, we unify Δ with Θ .

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(T_1, p) \quad \Gamma, \mathsf{insert}_{\Delta}(\Theta) \vdash e : T_2 \quad \Gamma, \Delta, T_1 \vdash lc : T_2}{\Gamma, \Delta, T_1 \vdash p \text{ "}\text{->" }e \text{ "}; \text{" }lc : T_2}$$

BOOL

Judgment: b:T

b: Bool

INT

Judgment: i:T

i:Int

We have proven in theorem $\ref{eq:thm.pdf}$ that Nat is isomorph to \mathbb{N} . Is should be trivial to therefore conclude that Int is isomorph to \mathbb{Z} . And therefore this rule is justified.

LIST-EXP

 $\mathsf{Judgment:}\ \Gamma, \Delta \vdash le : \mathit{List}\ T$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \verb""": \forall a.List\ a$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash le : \mathit{List} \ T}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e \text{ "," } le : \mathit{List} \ T}$$

EXP

Judgment: $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{"foldl"} : \forall a. \forall b. (a \to b \to b) \to b \to List \ a \to b \\ \\ \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{"(::)"} : \forall a. a \to List \ a \to List \ a \\ \\ \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{"(+)"} : Int \to Int \to int \\ \\ \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{"(-)"} : Int \to Int \to int \\ \\ \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{"(*)"} : Int \to Int \to int \\ \\ \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{"(//)"} : Int \to Int \to int \\ \\ \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{"(<)"} : Int \to Int \to bool \\ \\ \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{"(==)"} : Int \to Int \to bool \\ \end{split}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \texttt{"not"} : Bool \to Bool$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \texttt{"(\&\&)"} : Bool \to Bool \to Bool$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \texttt{"(||)"} : Bool \to Bool \to Bool$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_2 : T_1 \to T_2}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 \; \texttt{"|>"} \; e_2 : T_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : T_1 \to T_2 \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_2 : T_2 \to T_3}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 \; \texttt{">>"} \; e_2 : T_1 \to T_3}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : Bool \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_2 : T \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_3 : T}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \texttt{"if"} \; e_1 \; \texttt{"then"} \; e_2 \; \texttt{"else"} \; e_3 : T}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash lef : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \texttt{"\{"lef"\}"} : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \texttt{"\{\}"} : \{\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

Since Elm version 0.19, released in 2018, setters are not allowed to change the type of a field in a record.

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{(a_1,\{a_2:T,\dots\}) \in \Delta}_{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash a_1" \cdot "a_2:T} \\ \\ & \underbrace{(a,_) \not\in \Delta \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1:T_1 \quad mes:T_1 \vdash a:T_1}_{\Gamma, \, \text{insert}_\Delta(\{(a,T_1)\}) \vdash e_2:T_2} \\ \\ & \underbrace{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash "let" \, mes \, a" = "e_1 \, "in" \, e_2:T_2}_{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash \ "case" \, e_1 \, "of" \ "[" \, lc \, "]":T_2} \\ \\ & \underbrace{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash e_1:T_1 \quad \Gamma,\Delta,T_1 \vdash lc:T_2}_{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash \ "case" \, e_1 \, "of" \ "[" \, lc \, "]":T_2} \\ \\ & \underbrace{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash e_1:T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \quad \Gamma,\Delta \vdash e_2:T_1}_{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash e_1 \, e_2:T_2} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{b:T}{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash b:T} \\ &\frac{i:T}{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash i:T} \\ &\frac{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash le:T}{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash "["le"]":T} \\ &\frac{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash e_1:T}{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash "["le"]":T} \\ &\frac{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash e_1:T_1 \quad \Gamma,\Delta \vdash e_2:T_2}{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash "("e_1","e_2")":(T_1,T_2)} \\ &\frac{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash \mathsf{match}_{\Theta}(T_1,p) \quad \Gamma,\mathsf{insert}_{\Delta}(\Theta) \vdash e:T_2}{\Gamma,\Delta \vdash "\backslash "p" -> "e:T_1 \to T_2} \end{split}$$

In Elm function arguments may be pattern matched, this mostly used to "unwrap" a type, meaning to bind contained elements to variables.

$$\frac{\Delta(c) \sqsubseteq T}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash c : T}$$

$$\frac{\Delta(a) \sqsubseteq T}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash a : T}$$

Example 3.3

In example ?? we have looked at the syntax for a list reversing function. We can now check the type $T_0 = \forall a.List \ a \rightarrow List \ a$ of the reverse function for $\Gamma = \Delta = \varnothing$, $\Delta = \varnothing$. The body of the reverse function is as follows:

where $T_1 = \forall a.List \ a \rightarrow List \ a \rightarrow List \ a$ and $T_2 = \forall a.(List \ a \rightarrow List \ a) \rightarrow List \ a \rightarrow List \ a \rightarrow List \ a$.

3.3.6 Inference Rules for Statements

LIST-STATEMENT-VAR

Judgment: $lsv:(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$

$$"":()$$

$$\frac{lsv:(a_1,\ldots,a_n)}{a_0\;lsv:(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_n)}$$

LIST-STATEMENT-SORT

Judgment: $lss:(c_1:(T_{1,1},\ldots,T_{1,k_1}),\ldots,c_n:(T_{n,1},\ldots,T_{n,k_n}))$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash lt : (T_0, \dots, T_n)}{c \ lt : (c : (T_0, \dots, T_n))}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash lt : (T_{0,1}, \dots, T_{0,k_n}) \quad lss : \begin{pmatrix} a_1 : (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,k_1}), \\ \vdots \\ a_n : (T_{n,1}, \dots, T_{n,k_n}) \end{pmatrix} }{c \ lt \ " \mid " \ lss : \begin{pmatrix} a_0 : (T_{0,1}, \dots, T_{0,k_0}), \\ a_1 : (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,k_1}), \\ \vdots \\ a_n : (T_{n,1}, \dots, T_{n,k_n}) \end{pmatrix} }$$

LIST-STATEMENT

Judgment: $\Gamma_1, \Delta_1, ls \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 \quad \Delta_1 = \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Delta_1 "" \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \Delta_1, s \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2 \quad \Gamma_2, \Delta_2, \mathit{ls} \vdash \Gamma_3, \Delta_3}{\Gamma_1, \Delta_1, s \text{ "; "} \mathit{ls} \vdash \Gamma_3, \Delta_3}$$

MAYBE-STATEMENT-SIGN

Judgment: $\Gamma, mss \vdash a : T$

$$\Gamma$$
, "" $\vdash a : T$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : Ta_1 = a_2}{\Gamma, a_1 \text{ ":" } t \text{ ";"} \vdash a_2 : T}$$

STATEMENT

Judgment: $\Gamma_1, \Delta_1, s \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_1 &= \Gamma_2 \quad (a,_) \not\in \Delta_1 \\ \frac{\Gamma_1, \mathit{mss} \vdash e : T \quad \Gamma_1, \Delta_1 \vdash e : T \quad \Delta_2 = \mathsf{insert}_{\Delta_1}(\{(a,T)\})}{\Gamma_1, \Delta_1, \mathit{mss} \ a \text{ "="}e \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta_1 &= \Delta_2 \quad (c,_) \not\in \Gamma_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t : T_1 \\ T_2 \text{ is a mono type} \quad lsv : (a_1,\ldots,a_n) \quad \{a_1\ldots a_n\} = \text{free}(T_2) \\ &\frac{\forall a_1\ldots\forall a_n.T_2 = T_1 \quad \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_2 \cup \{(c,T_1)\}}{\Gamma_1,\Delta_1, \text{"type alias" c lsv "=" $t \vdash \Gamma_2,\Delta_2$} \end{split}$$

$$(c,_) \not\in \Gamma_1 \quad lsv : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ lss : (c_1 : (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,k_1}), \dots, c_n : (T_{n,1}, \dots, T_{n,k_n})) \\ \Delta_1 \cap \{(c_1,_), \dots, (c_n,_)\} = \varnothing \quad \{a_1 \dots a_n\} = \mathrm{free}(T_2) \\ \mu C.c_1 \ T_{1,1} \ \dots \ T_{1,k_1} \ | \ \dots \ | \ c_n \ T_{n,1} \ \dots \ T_{n,k_n} = T_2 \quad \forall a_1 \dots \forall a_n.T_2 = T_1 \\ \Gamma_1 \cup \{(c,T_1)\} = \Gamma_2 \quad \mathrm{insert}_{\Delta_1}(\left\{ \begin{matrix} (c_1,T_{1,1} \to \dots \to T_{1,k_1} \to T_1), \\ \vdots \\ (c_n,T_{n,1} \to \dots \to T_{n,k_n} \to T_1) \end{matrix} \right\}) = \Delta_2 \\ \hline \Gamma_1, \Delta_1, \text{"type"} \ c \ lsv \text{"="} lss \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2$$

The list lss provides us with the structure of the type. From there we construct the type T_2 and bind all variables, thus creating the poly type T_1 . Additionally, every sort c_i for $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ has its own constructor that gets added to Δ_1 under the name c_i . In Elm these constructors are the only constants beginning with an upper-case letter.

MAYBE-MAIN-SIGN

Judgment: Γ , $mms \vdash main : T$

$$\Gamma$$
, "" \vdash main : T

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T}{\Gamma, \texttt{"main } : \texttt{"}t"; \texttt{"} \vdash \mathsf{main } : T}$$

PROGRAM

Judgment: prog: T

$$\frac{\varnothing,\varnothing,ls\vdash\Gamma,\Delta\quad\Gamma,mms\vdash\min:T\quad\Gamma,\Delta\vdash e:T}{ls\;mms\;\text{"main}\;=\;\text{"}\;e:T}$$