

### 3.3 Type Inference

In the first section of this chapter we defined a type system, in the second section we introduced a syntax for our language. Now we want to define rules how to obtain the type of a given program written in our language.

#### 3.3.1 Typing Judgments

To state that a program  $e$  is of type  $T$  (and therefor well-formed) we write

$$e : T$$

We call such a statement a judgment. Judgments are generally written in meta-language, thus we also need to provide a system of inference rules how to infer such a judgment. These *inference rules* have the following form

$$\frac{P_1 \dots P_n}{C}$$

where  $P_1$  up to  $P_n$  are premises and  $C$  is a conclusion.

We can read it in two ways:

- “if all premises hold then the conclusion holds as well” or
- “to prove the conclusion we need to prove all premises”

The premises as well as the conclusion are meta-language statements in the following form

$$A_1, \dots, A_n \vdash B.$$

Such a sequent would be generally read as “If we know  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  then we can prove  $B$ ”.

We will now provide a judgment for every production rule defined in the last section.

---

#### TYPE SIGNATURE JUDGMENTS

For type signature judgments, let  $\Gamma$  be a type context,  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $a_i \in \mathcal{V}, T_i \in \mathcal{T}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-lower-var} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$l : (a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-type-fields} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma \vdash l : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}.$$

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-type} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma \vdash l : (T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

For  $t \in \langle \text{txpe} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma \vdash t : T$$

---

### PATTERN JUDGMENTS

We will now introduce another context, this time for variables instead of types:

#### Definition 3.1: Variable Context

$\Delta \in \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is called the *variable context*.

For pattern judgments, let  $\Gamma$  be a type context and  $\Delta, \Theta$  be variable contexts. Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $T_i \in \mathcal{T}, a_i \in \mathcal{V}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-pattern-list} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{match}_\Theta((T_1, \dots, T_n), l).$$

This can be read as “given  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$ , we can match  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  with the pattern  $l$  by using the variable context  $\Theta$ ”.

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-pattern-sort} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{match}_\Theta((T_1, \dots, T_n), l)$$

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-pattern-vars} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$l : (a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

For  $p \in \langle \text{pattern} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{match}_\Theta(T, p)$$

---

### EXPRESSION JUDGMENTS

For expression judgments, let  $\Gamma$  be a type context,  $\Delta$  be a variable context,  $T \in \mathcal{T}, a \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $T_i \in \mathcal{T}, a_i \in \mathcal{V}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-exp-field} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash l : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

For  $m \in \langle \text{maybe-exp-sign} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$m : T_1 \vdash a : T_2$$

It can be read as “given that  $m$  has the type  $T_1$ ,  $a$  has the type  $T_2$ ”.

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-case} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash l : T$$

For  $e \in \langle \text{bool} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$e : T$$

For  $e \in \langle \text{int} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$e : T$$

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-exp} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash l : (T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

For  $e \in \langle \text{exp} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T$$

If the type  $T$  is known then we talk about *type checking* else we call the process of finding the judgment *type inferring*. For inferring a type (for the judgment  $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T$ ), the result is not necessary unique. Therefore, we want to find the most general type, meaning a type  $T_1$  such that

- $T_1$  is an inferred type: for all  $T_2 \in \mathcal{T} \wedge T_1 \sqsubseteq T_2$  the judgment  $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T_2$  holds.
- $T_1$  is sharp: for all  $T_2 \in \mathcal{T} \wedge T_2 \sqsubseteq T_1$  we can find  $T_3 \in \mathcal{T} \wedge T_2 \sqsubseteq T_3$  such that the judgment  $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T_3$  does not hold.

---

#### STATEMENT JUDGMENTS

For statement judgments, let  $\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  be type contexts,  $\Delta, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$  be a variable contexts,  $T, T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $T_i \in \mathcal{T}, a_i \in \mathcal{V}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $T_{i,j} \in \mathcal{T}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, n \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k_i}$  and  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-sort} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$l : (a_1 : (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,k_1}), \dots, a_n : (T_{n,1}, \dots, T_{n,k_n}))$$

For  $l \in \langle \text{maybe-statement-sign} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$m : T_1 \vdash a : T_2$$

For  $l \in \langle \text{list-statement} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma_1, \Delta_2, l \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2$$

For  $e \in \langle \text{statement} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$\Gamma_1, \Delta_2, e \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2$$

It can be read as “the statement  $e$  maps  $\Gamma_1$  to  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Delta_1$  to  $\Delta_2$ ”.

For  $m \in \langle \text{maybe-main-sign} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$m : T_1 \vdash \text{main} : T_2$$

For  $e \in \langle \text{program} \rangle$  the judgment is

$$e : T$$

### 3.3.2 Auxiliary Definitions

We will use  $(e, T) \in \Gamma$  and  $(e, T) \in \Delta$  to denote that a tuple  $(e, T)$  exists in  $\Gamma$  or  $\Delta$ . We will also sometimes use a wildcard  $_$  instead of a  $T$  if we are only interested in  $e$ .

We will use  $T_1 \sqsubseteq T_2$  for given types  $T_1, T_2$  to denote that  $T_1$  is more general than  $T_2$ .

We will use “ $T$  is a mono type”,  $T$  is a type variable and type equivalence  $T_1 = T_2$  for two given types  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .

We will use  $a_1, \dots, a_n = \text{free}(T)$  to get all free variables of  $T$ .

### 3.3.3 Inference Rules for type signatures

---

#### LIST-LOWER-VAR

Judgment:  $l : (a_1, \dots, a_n)$

$$"" : ()$$

For an empty list we return the empty tuple.

$$\frac{l : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = T}{a_0 \ l : T}$$

For a nonempty list, we append the head  $a$  to the type  $T$  of the tail  $l$ .

---

#### LIST-TYPE-FIELDS

Judgment:  $\Gamma \vdash l : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$

$$\Gamma \vdash "" : \{\}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash l : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\} \quad \{a_0 : T_0, a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\} = T}{\Gamma \vdash a_0 \ " : " t ", " l : T}$$

The type context  $\Gamma$  is used in the judgment  $\Gamma \vdash t : T_0$  that turns the type signature  $t$  into a type  $T_0$ .

---

**LIST-TYPE**

Judgment:  $\Gamma \vdash l : (T_1, \dots, T_n)$

$$\Gamma \vdash "" : ()$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash l : (T_1, \dots, T_n) \quad (T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n) = T}{\Gamma \vdash t l : T}$$

---

**TYPE**

Judgment:  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{"Bool"} : Bool$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{"Int"} : Int$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{"List"} \ t : (\forall a. \mu C. [] \mid Cons \ a \ C) \ T}$$

The resulting type is a type application  $List \ T$  for the type  $List = \forall a. \mu C. [] \mid Cons \ a \ C$ .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash "(" \ t_1 \ " \ , \ t_2 \ ")" : (T_1, T_2)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l : T}{\Gamma \vdash "{" \ l \ "}" : T}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \rightarrow t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2}$$

$$\frac{(c, f) \in \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash l : (T_1, \dots, T_n) \quad f \ T_1 \dots T_n = T}{\Gamma \vdash c \ l : T}$$

Note that  $\Gamma$  maps variables to application constructors.

$$\frac{(a, (T)) \in \Gamma \quad T \text{ is a type variable}}{\Gamma \vdash a : T}$$

For a given type  $T$  we write the application constructor as  $(T)$ .