

Refinement Types for Elm

Second Master Thesis Report

Lucas Payr

31 January 2020

Current State

1. Formal language similar to Elm
 - 1.1 A formal syntax **(DONE)**
 - 1.2 A formal type system **(DONE)**
 - 1.3 A denotational semantic **(DONE)**
 - 1.4 A small step semantic (using K Framework)
(WORK IN PROGRESS)
 - 1.5 Proof that the type system is valid with respect to the semantics.
2. Extension of the formal language with Liquid Types
3. A type checker implementation written in Elm for Elm.

Topics of this Talk

- Quick introduction to K Framework
- Discuss the formal inference rules based on an example
- Compare the implemented type checker in K Framework with the formal type system
- Live demonstration using the given example.

- Created in 2003 by Grigore Rosu
- Maintained and developed by the research groups FSL (Illinois,USA) and FMSE (Lasi,Romania).
- Framework for designing and formalizing programming languages.
- Based on Rewriting systems.

K Framework - K File

```
require "unification.k"
require "elm-syntax.k"

module ELM-TYPESYSTEM
  imports DOMAINS
  imports ELM-SYNTAX

  configuration <k> $PGM:Exp </k>
                <tenv> .Map </tenv>

  //..

  syntax KResult ::= Type
endmodule
```

K Framework - Syntax

syntax denotes a syntax

- strict - Evaluate the inner expression first
- right/left - Evaluate left/right expression first
- bracket - Notation for Brackets

syntax Type

```
::= "bool"  
    | "int"  
    | "{}Type"  
    | "{" ListTypeFields "}"Type" [strict]  
    | Type "->" Type           [strict,right]  
    | LowerVar  
    | "(" Type ")"             [bracket]  
    | ..
```

K Framework - Rules

- rules will be executed top to bottom
- `rule . => .` denotes a rewriting rule
- `. ~> .` denotes a concatenation of two processes(KItems)
- `.` denotes the empty process (`rule . ~> A => A`)
- `requires` denotes a precondition to the rule
- `?T` denotes an existentially quantified variable

`syntax Exp ::= Type`

`rule E1:Type E2:Type`

`=> E1 =Type (E2 -> ?T:Type)`

`~> ?T`

`syntax KItem ::= Type`

Example for Formally Inferring the Type

```
let  
  model = { counter = 0 }  
in  
{ model | counter = model.counter |> (+) 1 }
```

0. $\Gamma := \emptyset, \Delta := \emptyset$

[Int] **1.** $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash 0 : \text{Int}$

[Record] **2.** $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \{\text{counter} = 0\} : \{\text{counter} : \text{Int}\}$

[LetIn] **3.** $\Delta := \Delta \cup (\text{model} \mapsto \{\text{counter} : \text{Int}\})$

[Call] **4.** $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash (+) 1 : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$

[Getter] **5.** $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{model.counter} : \text{Int}$

[Pipe] **6.** $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{model.counter} | > (+) 1 : \text{Int}$

[Setter] **7.** $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \Delta(\text{model})" \supseteq "\{\text{counter} = \text{Int}\}$

Formal Inference Rules - (+), Int

$$\overline{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash "(+)" : Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int}$$

rule (+)

=> int -> int -> int

$$\frac{i : T}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash i : T} \quad \overline{i : Int}$$

rule i:Bool => bool

Formal Inference Rules - Call, Pipe

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_2 : T_1}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : T_2}$$

```
rule E1:Type E2:Type
  => E1 =Type (E2 -> ?T:Type)
  ~> ?T
```

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 \mid > e_2 : T_2}$$

```
rule E1:Type |> E2:Type
  => ( E2 =Type (E1 -> ?T:Type) )
  ~> ?T
```

```
syntax KItem ::= Type "=Type" Type
rule T =Type T => .
```

Formal Inference Rules - Record

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{lef} : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{" " lef " "}} : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$$

rule { LEF:ListTypeFields }Exp => { LEF }Type

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash a \text{ " = " } e : \{a : T\}}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{lef} : T \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T_0 \quad \{a_0 : T_0, \dots, a_n : T_n\} = T}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash a_0 \text{ " = " } e \text{ " , " lef : } T}$$

syntax ListExpField ::= ListTypeFields

rule A:Id = E:Type , => A : E ,

rule A:Id = E:Type , LEF:ListTypeFields => A : E , LEF

syntax KResult ::= ListTypeFields

Formal Inference Rules - LetIn

$$\frac{(a, _) \notin \Delta \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash e_1 : T_1 \quad \text{mes} : T_1 \vdash a : T_1 \quad \Gamma, \text{insert}_{\Delta}(\{(a, T_1)\}) \vdash e_2 : T_2}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{"let" mes a = " e_1 "in" e_2 : T_2}}$$

rule <k> let A:Id = E1:Type in E2:Exp

=> E2

...</k>

<tenv> TEnv:Map => TEnv [A <- E1] </tenv>

- Assume $\text{insert}_{\Delta}(A) := \Delta \cup A$

Formal Inference Rules - Getter

$$\frac{(a_1, \{a_2 : T, \dots\}) \in \Delta}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash a_1 "." a_2 : T}$$

```
rule <k> A1:Id get A2:Id => getField LTF A2 ...</k>  
  <tenv>... A1 |-> { LTF:ListTypeFields }Type ...</tenv>
```

```
syntax Map ::= "getField" ListTypeFields Id  
  rule getField (A:Id : T:Type ,) A => T  
  rule getField (A1:Id : T:Type , LTF:ListTypeFields) A2  
    => T  
    requires A1 ==K A2  
  rule getField (A1:Id : T:Type , LTF:ListTypeFields) A2  
    => getField LTF A2  
    requires A1 /=K A2
```

Formal Inference Rules - Setter

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{lef} : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\} \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash a \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} T_0 \quad T_0 = \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n, \dots\}}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{"{" } a \text{"|" lef "}" : } T_0}$$

```
rule <k> ( { A:Id | LEF:ListTypeFields } )  
  => { LTF }Type  
  ...</k>  
<tenv>... A |-> { LTF:ListTypeFields }Type ...</tenv>  
requires (containsFields LTF LEF)
```

- Assume $a \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} T := (a, T) \in \Delta$
- We skip the definition of `containsFields`

Polymorphism & Demonstration

Polymorphism is not yet implemented (as of 16.1)

```
let
  model = {list = []}      --forall a.List a
in
{ model | list = [ 1 ] }  --List Int
```

Demonstration

Definition (Instantiation)

Let $\Delta : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a type context, $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and e be an expression.

Then we define

$$e \sqsubseteq_{\Delta} T :\Leftrightarrow \exists T_0 \in \mathcal{T}. (e, T_0) \in \Delta \wedge T_0 \sqsubseteq T$$

Note that Δ is a partial function and therefore $\Delta(e)$ would only be defined if T_0 exists. If T_0 does not exist, then this predicate will be false.

- Used in the inference rule **[Setter]**

Definition (Generalization)

Let Δ_1, Δ_2 be type contexts, $a \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}$ such that T' is a mono type $\forall c_1 \dots \forall c_m T' = T$ for some $c_i \in \mathcal{V}, i \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{insert}_{\Delta_1}(\Delta_2) &:= \Delta_1 \cup \\ &\quad \{(a, \forall b_1 \dots \forall b_n. T') \\ &\quad \mid (a, T) \in \Delta_2 \wedge \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} = \{b \mid b \in \text{free}(T) \wedge (b, _) \notin \Delta_2\} \\ &\quad \} \end{aligned}$$

- Used in the inference rule **[LetIn]**

Current State

1. Formal language similar to Elm
 - 1.1 A formal syntax **(DONE)**
 - 1.2 A formal type system **(DONE)**
 - 1.3 A denotational semantic **(DONE)**
 - 1.4 A small step semantic (using K Framework)
(WORK IN PROGRESS)
 - 1.5 Proof that the type system is valid with respect to the semantics.
2. Extension of the formal language with Liquid Types
3. A type checker implementation written in Elm for Elm.

Started thesis in July 2019

Expected finish in Summer 2021