

4.4 Formulating SMT Statements

So far we have described the inference rules and the subtyping rule. We have yet to describe an algorithm that can derive a valid type for a set of given subtyping rules.

Definition 4.1: Liquid Type Variable

We say $\mathcal{K} := \{\kappa_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the set of all *liquid type variables*.

Note that κ is a special character.

Definition 4.2: Template

We say \hat{T} is a *template* $:\Leftrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{T} \text{ is of form } \{\nu : Int \mid [k]_S\} \\ &\text{where } k \in \mathcal{K} \text{ and } S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \\ \vee \hat{T} \text{ is of form } a : \{\nu : Int \mid [k]_S\} \rightarrow \hat{T} \\ &\text{where } k \in \mathcal{K}, \hat{T} \text{ is a template and } S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

We define $\mathcal{T}^? := \{\hat{T} \mid \hat{T} \text{ is a template}\}$

A template will be used for a liquid type with unknown refinement. Note that the inference rule for function applications introduces a refinement substitution S . For templates this substitution is not defined and needs to be delayed until the corresponding liquid type has been derived. We will point out whenever the substitution $[k]_S$ will be applied.

Our algorithm will resolve a set of suptyping conditions:

Definition 4.3: Subtyping Condition

We say c is a *Subtyping Condition* $:\Leftrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} &c \text{ is of form } \hat{T}_1 <_{\Theta, \Lambda} \hat{T}_2 \\ &\text{where } \hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2 \in \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}^?, \Theta : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow (\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}^?) \text{ and } \Lambda \subset \mathcal{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

We define $\mathcal{C} := \{c \mid c \text{ is a subtyping condition}\}$

We will also need a function to obtain the set of all liquid type variables of a template or subtyping condition.

Definition 4.4: Vars

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Vars} : (\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}^?) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K}) \\
& \text{Vars}(\{\nu \in \text{Int}|r\}) = \{\} \\
& \text{Vars}(\{\nu \in \text{Int}|\kappa_i\}) = \{\kappa_i\} \\
& \text{Vars}(a : \{\nu \in \text{Int}|\kappa_i\} \rightarrow \hat{T}) = \{\kappa_i\} \cup \text{Vars}(\hat{T}) \\
& \text{Vars} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K}) \\
& \text{Vars}(\hat{T}_1 <_{:\Theta, \Lambda} \hat{T}_2) = \text{Vars}(\hat{T}_1) \cup \text{Vars}(\hat{T}_2) \cup \{\text{Vars}(\hat{T}_3) | (_, \hat{T}_3) \in \Theta\}
\end{aligned}$$

The main idea of the algorithm is to first generate a set of predicates and then exclude elements until all subtyping conditions are valid for the remaining predicates. By conjunction over all remaining predicates we result in a valid refinement.

We therefore need a function, depending on a set of variable \mathcal{Q} , that will generate a set of predicates. Note that the resulting set should be finite and a subset of \mathcal{Q} . If the generated set is too small, then our resulting subtyping conditions might be too weak.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Init} : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Q}) \\
& \text{Init}(V) ::= \{0 < \nu\} \\
& \quad \cup \{a < \nu \mid a \in V\} \\
& \quad \cup \{\nu < 0\} \\
& \quad \cup \{\nu < a \mid a \in V\} \\
& \quad \cup \{\nu = a \mid a \in V\} \\
& \quad \cup \{\nu = 0\} \\
& \quad \cup \{a < \nu \vee \nu = a \mid a \in V\} \\
& \quad \cup \{\nu < a \vee \nu = a \mid a \in V\} \\
& \quad \cup \{0 < \nu \vee \nu = 0\} \\
& \quad \cup \{\nu < 0 \vee \nu = 0\} \\
& \quad \cup \{\neg(\nu = a) \mid a \in V\} \\
& \quad \cup \{\neg(\nu = 0)\}
\end{aligned}$$

We can always extend the realm of predicates if the resulting refinements are too weak.

4.4.1 The Inference Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Infer} : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K} \multimap \mathcal{Q}) \\
& \text{Infer}(C) = \\
& \quad \text{Let } V := \bigcup_{\hat{T}_1 <_{\Theta, \Lambda} \hat{T}_2 \in C} \{a \mid (a, _) \in \Theta\} \\
& \quad Q_0 := \text{Init}(V), \\
& \quad A_0 := \{(\kappa, Q_0) \mid \kappa \in \bigcup_{c \in C} \text{Var}(c)\}, \\
& \quad A := \text{Solve}\left(\bigcup_{c \in C} \text{Split}(c), A_0\right) \\
& \quad \text{in } \{(\kappa, \bigwedge Q) \mid (\kappa, Q) \in A\} \\
& \quad \text{where } V \subseteq \mathcal{V}, Q_0, Q \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \text{ and } A_0, A \in \mathcal{K} \multimap \mathcal{Q}.
\end{aligned}$$

We first split the subtyping conditions for functions into subtyping conditions for simpler templates:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^- := & \{ \{ \nu : \text{Int}|q_1 \} <_{\Theta, \Lambda} \{ \nu : \text{Int}|q_2 \} \\
& \mid (q_1 \in \mathcal{Q} \vee q_1 = [k_1]_{S_1} \text{ for } k_1 \in \mathcal{K}, S_1 \in \mathcal{V} \multimap \mathcal{Q}) \\
& \wedge (q_2 \in \mathcal{Q} \vee q_2 = [k_2]_{S_2} \text{ for } k_2 \in \mathcal{K}, S_2 \in \mathcal{V} \multimap \mathcal{Q}) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

With this we can now define the Split function.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Split} : \mathcal{C} \multimap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}^-) \\
& \text{Split}(a : \hat{T}_1 \rightarrow \hat{T}_2 <_{\Theta, \Lambda} a : \hat{T}_3 \rightarrow \hat{T}_4) = \text{Split}(\hat{T}_3 <_{\Theta, \Lambda} \hat{T}_1) \\
& \quad \cup \text{Split}(\hat{T}_2 <_{\Theta \cup \{(a, \hat{T}_3)\}, \Lambda} \hat{T}_4) \\
& \text{Split}(\{ \nu : \text{Int}|q_1 \} <_{\Theta, \Lambda} \{ \nu : \text{Int}|q_2 \}) = \{ \{ \nu : \text{Int}|q_1 \} <_{\Theta, \Lambda} \{ \nu : \text{Int}|q_2 \} \}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that Split will result in an error, if the subtyping condition is not one of the two cases above.

We resolve the obtained subtyping conditions by repeatably checking if a subtyping condition is not valid and removing all predicates that contradict it. By removing the predicate we weaken the resulting refinement.

Solve : $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}^-) \times (\mathcal{K} \multimap \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K} \multimap \mathcal{Q})$

Solve(C, A) =

Let $S := \{(k, \bigwedge Q) \mid (k, Q) \in A\}$.

If there exists $(\{v : Int \mid q_1\} <_{\Theta, \Lambda} \{v : Int \mid [k_2]_{S_2}\}) \in C$ such that

$\forall i_1 \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_1) \dots \forall i_n \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_n). \llbracket r_1 \wedge p \rrbracket_{\{(b_1, i_1), \dots, (b_n, i_n)\}}$

$\models \forall i_1 \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_1) \dots \forall i_n \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_n). \llbracket r_2 \rrbracket_{\{(b_1, i_1), \dots, (b_n, i_n)\}}$ is not valid

for $r_2 := \bigwedge [S(\kappa_2)]_{S_2}, p := \bigwedge \Lambda,$

$$r_1 := \begin{cases} \bigwedge [S(q_1)]_{S_1} & \text{if } q_1 \in \mathcal{K} \\ q_1 & \text{if } q_1 \in \mathcal{Q} \end{cases},$$

$\Theta' := \{ (a, T')$

$\mid T' = T \wedge (a, T) \in \Theta \wedge T \in \mathcal{T}$

$\vee T' = [\hat{T}]_S \wedge (a, \hat{T}) \in \Theta \wedge \hat{T} \in \mathcal{T}^? \}$

$\{(b_1, T_1), \dots, (b_n, T_n)\} = \Theta'$

then Solve($C, \text{Weaken}(c, A)$) else A

where $k, k_2 \in \mathcal{K}, S : \mathcal{K} \multimap \mathcal{Q}, Q, \Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{Q}, S_1, S_2 : \mathcal{V} \multimap \mathcal{Q}, q_1 \in \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{Q},$

$\Theta : \mathcal{V} \multimap (\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}^?), r_1, p, r_2 \in \mathcal{Q}, a \in \mathcal{V}, \Theta' : \mathcal{V} \multimap \mathcal{T}, T' \in \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}^?, n \in \mathbb{N}, b_i \in \mathcal{V},$

$T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $[t]_A$ denotes the substitution for the term t with a

substitution A .

Note that we can use a SMT solver to validate

$\forall i_1 \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_1) \dots \forall i_n \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_n). \llbracket r_1 \wedge p \rrbracket_{\{(b_1, i_1), \dots, (b_n, i_n)\}}$

$\models \forall i_1 \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_1) \dots \forall i_n \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_n). \llbracket r_2 \rrbracket_{\{(b_1, i_1), \dots, (b_n, i_n)\}}.$

Weaken : $\mathcal{C}^- \times (\mathcal{K} \multimap \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K} \multimap \mathcal{Q})$

Weaken($\{\nu : Int[k_1]_{S_1}\} <_{\Theta, \Lambda} \{\nu : Int[k_2]_{S_2}\}, A) =$

Let $S := \{(k, \bigwedge Q) \mid (k, Q) \in A\},$

$r_1 := \bigwedge [S(k_1)]_{S_1}, p := \bigwedge \{[q]_S \mid q \in \Lambda\},$

$\Theta' := \{ (a, T')$

$\mid T' = T \wedge (a, T) \in \Theta \wedge T \in \mathcal{T}$

$\vee T' = [\hat{T}]_S \wedge (a, \hat{T}) \in \Theta \wedge \hat{T} \in \mathcal{T}^? \},$

$\{(b_1, T_1), \dots, (b_n, T_n)\} = \Theta'$

$Q_2 := \{ q$

$\mid q \in A(k_2) \wedge \text{wellFormed}(q, \Theta')$

$\wedge \forall i_1 \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_1) \dots \forall i_n \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_n). \llbracket r_1 \wedge p \rrbracket_{\{(b_1, i_1), \dots, (b_n, i_n)\}}$

$\models \forall i_1 \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_1) \dots \forall i_n \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_n). \llbracket [q]_{S_2} \rrbracket_{\{(b_1, i_1), \dots, (b_n, i_n)\}} \}$

in $\{(k, Q) \mid (k, Q) \in A \wedge k \neq k_2\} \cup \{(k_2, Q_2)\}$

where $k, k_1 \in \mathcal{K}, Q, Q_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}, S : \mathcal{K} \multimap \mathcal{Q}, r_1 \in \mathcal{Q}, p \in \mathcal{Q}, S_1, S_2 : \mathcal{V} \multimap \mathcal{Q}, \Theta' : \mathcal{V} \multimap \mathcal{T},$

$a \in \mathcal{V}, T' \in \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}^? n \in \mathbb{N}, b_i \in \mathcal{V}, T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $[t]_A$ denotes the

substitution for the term t with a substitution A .

Note that we can use a SMT solver to validate

$\forall i_1 \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_1) \dots \forall i_n \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_n). \llbracket r_1 \wedge p \rrbracket_{\{(b_1, i_1), \dots, (b_n, i_n)\}}$

$\models \forall i_1 \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_1) \dots \forall i_n \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T_n). \llbracket [q]_{S_2} \rrbracket_{\{(b_1, i_1), \dots, (b_n, i_n)\}} \}.$

Example 4.1

Assume that we have given the following suptyping conditions:

$\Theta := \{(a, \{Int|\kappa_1\}), (b, \{Int|\kappa_2\})\}$

$C_0 := \{\{\nu : Int|\nu = b\} <_{\Theta, \{a < b\}} \{\nu : Int|\kappa_3\},$

$\{\nu : Int|\nu = a\} <_{\Theta, \{\neg(a < b)\}} \{\nu : Int|\kappa_3\},$

$a : \{\nu : Int|\kappa_1\} \rightarrow b : \{\nu : Int|\kappa_2\} \rightarrow \{\nu : Int|\kappa_3\}$

$<_{\{\}, \{\}} a : \{\nu : Int|True\} \rightarrow b : \{\nu : Int|True\} \rightarrow \{\nu : Int|\kappa_4\}$

Then $V := \{a, b\}$ and $A_0 := \{(\kappa_1, \text{Init}(V)), (\kappa_2, \text{Init}(V)), (\kappa_3, \text{Init}(V)), (\kappa_4, \text{Init}(V))\}.$

Splitting the conditions

We will only consider the last subtyping condition of C_0 , all other conditions

do not need to be split.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Split}(a : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_1\} \rightarrow b : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_2\} \rightarrow \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_3\} \\
& \quad <:\{\},\{\} a : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\} \rightarrow b : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\} \rightarrow \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_4\}) \\
& = \text{Split}(a : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_1\} <:\{\},\{\} a : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\}) \\
& \quad \cup \text{Split}(b : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_2\} \rightarrow \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_3\} \\
& \quad \quad <:\{(a, \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\})\},\{\} b : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\} \rightarrow \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_4\}) \\
& = \{a : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\} <:\{\},\{\} a : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_1\}\} \\
& \quad \cup \text{Split}(b : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\} <:\{(a, \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\})\},\{\} b : \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_2\}) \\
& \quad \cup \text{Split}(\{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_3\} <:\{\Theta,\{\}\} \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_4\}) \\
& = \{\{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\} <:\{\},\{\} \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_1\}, \\
& \quad \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\} <:\{(a, \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\})\},\{\} \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_2\}, \\
& \quad \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_3\} <:\{\Theta,\{\}\} \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_4\}\}
\end{aligned}$$

So in conclusion we have the following set of subtypings conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
C := & \{\{\nu : \text{Int}|\nu = b\} <:\{\Theta,\{a < b\}\} \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_3\}, \\
& \{\nu : \text{Int}|\nu = a\} <:\{\Theta,\{\neg(a < b)\}\} \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_3\}, \\
& \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\} <:\{\},\{\} \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_1\}, \\
& \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\} <:\{(a, \{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\})\},\{\} \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_2\}, \\
& \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_3\} <:\{\Theta,\{\}\} \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_4\}\}
\end{aligned}$$

We therefore now will go through each condition $c \in C$ and check its validity.

Iteration 1, Case $c = \{\nu : \text{Int}|\nu = b\} <:\{\Theta,\{a < b\}\} \{\nu : \text{Int}|\kappa_3\}$:

We define $S := \{(\kappa_1, \wedge \text{Init}(V)), (\kappa_2, \wedge \text{Init}(V)), (\kappa_3, \wedge \text{Init}(V)), (\kappa_4, \wedge \text{Init}(V))\}$.

$\text{Init}(V)$ contains $\nu = 0$ and $\neg\nu = 0$, so we know that $\wedge \text{Init}(V)$ can be simplified to *False*.

We now check if

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{False}\}). \\
& \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{False}\}). \\
& \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\}). \\
& \nu = b \wedge a < b \\
& \models \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{False}\}). \\
& \quad \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{False}\}). \\
& \quad \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int}|\text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \text{False}
\end{aligned}$$

is not valid.

We know that $\text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{False}\}) = \{\}$, and therefore this can be simplified to $\text{True} \models \text{True}$, which is valid.

Iteration 1, Case $c = \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \nu = a\} <_{:\Theta, \{\neg(a < b)\}} \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_3\}$:

The argument is analogously to the previous case.

Iteration 1, Case $c = \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\} <_{:\{\}, \{\}} \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_1\}$:

We now check if

$$\forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \text{True} \models \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \text{False}$$

is valid. This time we can ignore the quantifiers and thus it simplifies to $\text{True} \models \text{False}$, which is not valid.

We therefore will now weaken A_0 . To do so we compute all $q \in A_0(\kappa_1)$ such that $\text{wellFormed}(q)$ and

$$\forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \llbracket \text{True} \rrbracket_{\{\}} \models \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \llbracket q \rrbracket_{\{\}}.$$

There are only two values for q that are well formed: True and False .

The resulting set is $Q_2 := \{\text{True}\}$ and thus we replace A_0 with

$$A := \{(\kappa_1, \{\text{True}\}), (\kappa_2, \text{Init}(V)), (\kappa_3, \text{Init}(V)), (\kappa_4, \text{Init}(V))\}$$

Iteration 1, Case $c = \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\} <_{:\{(a, \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\})\}, \{\}} \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_2\}$:

The argument is analogously to the previous case, resulting in the updated value for A :

$$A = \{(\kappa_1, \{\text{True}\}), (\kappa_2, \{\text{True}\}), (\kappa_3, \text{Init}(V)), (\kappa_4, \text{Init}(V))\}$$

Iteration 1, Case $c = \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_3\} <_{:\Theta, \{\}} \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_4\}$:

The suptyping condition is valid, analogously to the first case of this iteration.

Iteration 2, Case $c = \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \nu = b\} <_{:\Theta, \{a < b\}} \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_3\}$:

We check the validity of

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \nu = b \wedge a < b \\
& \models \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \text{False.}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see, that it is not valid.

Thus we now compute all $q \in A(\kappa_3)$ such that $\text{wellFormed}(q)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \nu = b \wedge a < b \\
& \models \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& q.
\end{aligned}$$

is valid. The resulting set Q_2 is the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2 := \{ & a < \nu, \nu = b, \neg(\nu = a), \nu < b \vee \nu = b, b < \nu \vee \nu = b, \nu < a \vee \nu = a, \\
& a < \nu \vee \nu = a \}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we update A :

$$\begin{aligned}
A = \{ & (\kappa_1, \{\text{True}\}), (\kappa_2, \{\text{True}\}), \\
& (\kappa_3, \{a < \nu, \nu = b, \neg(\nu = a), \nu < b \vee \nu = b, b < \nu \vee \nu = b, \nu < a \vee \nu = a, \\
& \quad a < \nu \vee \nu = a\}), \\
& (\kappa_4, \text{Init}(V)) \}
\end{aligned}$$

Iteration 2, Case $c = \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \nu = a\} <_{:\Theta, \{\neg(a < b)\}} \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_3\}$:

We check the validity of

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \nu = a \wedge \neg(a < b) \\
& \models \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad a < \nu \wedge \nu = b \wedge \neg(\nu = a) \wedge \nu < b \vee \nu = b \\
& \quad \wedge b < \nu \vee \nu = b \wedge \nu < a \vee \nu = a \wedge a < \nu \vee \nu = a.
\end{aligned}$$

It is not valid, because $\nu = a \wedge \neg(a < b) \models \nu = b$ is not valid.

Thus we compute all $q \in A(\kappa_3)$ such that $\text{wellFormed}(q)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \nu = a \wedge \neg(a < b) \\
& \models \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad q.
\end{aligned}$$

is valid. The resulting set Q_2 is the following.

$$Q_2 := \{\nu < b \vee \nu = b, \nu < a \vee \nu = a\}$$

Thus we update A :

$$A = \{(\kappa_1, \{\text{True}\}), (\kappa_2, \{\text{True}\}), (\kappa_3, \{\nu < b \vee \nu = b, \nu < a \vee \nu = a\}), (\kappa_4, \text{Init}(V))\}$$

Iteration 2, Case $\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\} <_{\{\}, \{\}} \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_1\}$:

Nothing has changed since the last iteration, therefore this case can be skipped.

Iteration 2, Case $\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\} <_{\{(a, \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\})\}, \{\}} \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_2\}$:

The argument is analogously to the previous case, therefore this case can be skipped.

Iteration 2, Case : $\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_3\} <_{\Theta, \{\}} \{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \kappa_4\}$:

We check the validity of

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \{\nu < b \vee \nu = b \wedge \nu < a \vee \nu = a\} \\
& \models \forall a \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \forall b \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \quad \forall \nu \in \text{values}_{\{\}}(\{\nu : \text{Int} \mid \text{True}\}). \\
& \text{False.}
\end{aligned}$$

We see that this is not valid, therefore we derive the new set Q_2 . Note that $A(\kappa_3) \subseteq \text{Init}(V)$ and therefore $Q_2 = A(\kappa_3)$.

We update the corresponding entry in A :

$$\begin{aligned}
A = \{ & (\kappa_1, \{\text{True}\}), (\kappa_2, \{\text{True}\}), \\
& (\kappa_3, \{\nu < b \vee \nu = b, \nu < a \vee \nu = a\}), \\
& (\kappa_4, \{\nu < b \vee \nu = b, \nu < a \vee \nu = a\}) \}
\end{aligned}$$

Iteration 3:

In this iteration all subtyping conditions are valid, thus the algorithm stops. The resulting set of substitutions is therefore the following

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{(\kappa_1, \text{True}), (\kappa_2, \text{True}), \\
& (\kappa_3, (\nu < b \vee \nu = b) \wedge (\nu < a \vee \nu = a)), \\
& (\kappa_4, (\nu < b \vee \nu = b) \wedge (\nu < a \vee \nu = a))\}
\end{aligned}$$