

Refinement Types for Elm

Master Thesis Report

Lucas Payr

30 Oktober 2019

Elm Programming Language

- Invented by Evan Czaplicki as his master-thesis in 2012.
- Goal: Bring Function Programming to Web-Development
- Side-Goal: Learning-friendly design decisions

Characteristics

- Pure Functional Language (immutable, no side effect, everything is a function)
- Statically typed
- Compiles to JavaScript (in the future also to WebAssembly)
- ML-like Syntax (we say `fun a b c` for *`fun(a, b, c)`*)
- Simpler than Haskell (no Type classes, no Monads, only one way to do a given thing)
- “No Runtime errors” (running out of memory, Function equality and non-terminating functions still give runtime errors.)

Introduction to Type Theory

We will use the Hindley-Milner type system (used in ML, Haskell and Elm)

We say

T is a *mono type* $:\Leftrightarrow T$ is a type variable

$\vee T$ is a type application

$\vee T$ is a algebraic type

$\vee T$ is a product type

$\vee T$ is a function type

T is a *poly type* $:\Leftrightarrow T = \forall a. T'$

where T' is a mono type or poly type

and a is a symbol

T is a *type* $:\Leftrightarrow T$ is a mono type $\vee T$ is a poly type.

Example

1. $Nat ::= \mu C. 1 \mid Succ\ C$
2. $List = \forall a. \mu C. Empty \mid Cons\ a\ C$
3. $splitAt : \forall a. Nat \rightarrow List\ a \rightarrow (List\ a, List\ a)$

The *values* of a type is the set corresponding to the type:

$$\text{values}(\text{Nat}) = \{1, \text{Succ } 1, \text{Succ Succ } 1, \dots\}$$

$$\text{values}(\text{List Nat}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{values}_n(\text{List Nat})$$

$$\text{values}_0(\text{List Nat}) = \{[]\}$$

$$\text{values}_n(\text{List Nat}) = \{[]\} \cup \{\text{Cons } a \ b \mid a \in \text{Nat}, b \in \text{values}_{n-1}(\text{List Nat})\}$$

Refinement Types

- Restricts the values of an existing type
- Liquid Types (Logically Quantified Data Types)

Definition (Liquid Types)

Let T be a Type Application of *Int*, tuples and functions. Let q be a predicate consisting of

- Logical operations \neg, \wedge, \vee
- Logical constants *True*, *False*
- Comparisons $<, \leq, =, \neq$
- Integer operations $+, \cdot c$ where c is a constant
- Integer constants $0, 3, 42, \dots$
- Bound variables a, b, c, \dots

Then we call $\{a : T \mid q(a)\}$ a *Liquid Type*.

Example

Let $Nat = \{a : Int \mid a > 0\}$ in

$$\{ \{ (a : Nat, b : Nat) \mid a + b < 42 \} \rightarrow \{ (c : Nat, d : Nat) \mid c \leq d \} \\ \mid (a = c \wedge b = d) \vee (b = c \wedge a = d) \\ \}$$

Solvable Problems

- Division by zero errors

$$(/) : Int \rightarrow \{a : Int \mid a \neq 0\} \rightarrow Int$$

- Off by one errors

Let $Pos = \{a : Int \mid 0 \leq a \wedge a < 8\}$ in

$get : (Pos, Pos) \rightarrow Chessboard \rightarrow Maybe Figure$

- Proving the correctness of very simple programs

$swap : \{(a : Int, b : Int) \rightarrow (c : Int, d : Int) \mid b = c \wedge a = d\}$

- Clearer Interfaces

$length : List\ a \rightarrow \{a : Int \mid a \geq 0\}$

Goal and Current State

1. A formal syntax. **(DONE)**
2. A formal type system. **(WORK IN PROGRESS)**
3. A high level denotational semantic.
4. A proof, using the denotational semantics, that the type system rules out runtime errors.
5. A low level small step semantic (with the help of K Framework).
6. A type checker for Elm

Started thesis in July 2019

Expected finish at the end of 2020