4.1 Formulating SMT Statements

So far we have described the inference rules and the subtyping rule. We have yet to algorithm that can tying a valid type for a set of given subtyping rules.

Definition 4.1: Template

We say \hat{T} is a template : \Leftrightarrow

T is of form $\{\nu \in Int|[\kappa_i]_S\}$ where $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S: \mathcal{V} \nrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ $\vee T$ is of form $a: \{\nu \in Int|[\kappa_i]_S\} \rightarrow \hat{T}$ where $i \in \mathbb{N}, \hat{T}$ is a template and $S: \mathcal{V} \nrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$.

we call κ_i for $i \in \mathcal{Q}$ a liquid type variable.

A Template will be used for a liquid type with unknown refinement. Note that the inference rule for function application introduces a refinement substitution S. For template this substitution is not defined and needs to be delaying until after the corresponding liquid type has been derived.

To transform a template into a liquid type, we need to substitute all liquid type variables with refinements. For this the term-wise substitution will be used.

Our algorithm will resolve a set of suptying condition for templates:

Definition 4.2: Condition

Let $\Theta: \mathcal{V} \nrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ and $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{Q}$.

We say c is a $Condition :\Leftrightarrow c$ is of form $\hat{T}_1 <:_{\Theta,\Lambda} \hat{T}_2$ where \hat{T}_1,\hat{T}_2 are templates.

We will also need a function to obtain the set of all liquid type variables of a template or condition.

Definition 4.3: Vars

Given a template \hat{T} , we define $Vars(\hat{T})$ as follows.

$$\operatorname{Vars}(\{\nu \in \operatorname{Int}|\kappa_i\}) = \{\kappa_i\}$$
$$\operatorname{Vars}(a : \{\nu \in \operatorname{Int}|\kappa_i\} \to \hat{T}) = \{\kappa_i\} \cup \operatorname{Vars}(\hat{T})$$

Given a condition c, we define Vars(c) as follows.

$$\operatorname{Vars}(\hat{T}_1 <:_{\Theta,\Lambda} \hat{T}_2) = \operatorname{Vars}(\hat{T}_1) \cup \operatorname{Vars}(\hat{T}_2) \cup \{\operatorname{Vars}(\hat{T}_3) | (_,\hat{T}_3) \in \Theta\}$$

The main idea of the algorithm is to first generate a set of predicates and then exclude elements of it until all conditions are valid for the remaining predicates. By

conjunction over all remaining predicates we result in a valid refinement.

We therefore need a function, depending on a set of variable Q, that will generate a set of predicates. Note that the resulting set should be finite and a subset of. If the generated set is to small, then our resulting conditions might be to weak.

$$Init: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Q})$$

$$Init(Q) ::= 0 < \nu$$

$$\mid Q < \nu$$

$$\mid \nu < 0$$

$$\mid \nu < Q$$

$$\mid \nu = Q$$

$$\mid \nu = 0$$

$$\mid \neg(\nu = Q)$$

$$\mid \neg(\nu = 0)$$

We can always extend the realm of predicates if the resulting refinements are too weak.

4.1.1 The Inference Algorithm

As an input we require a set of conditions C and $\Theta: \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{T}$. The result will be a valid liquid type.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Infer}(\Theta,C) &= \operatorname{let} \, I := \{ (\kappa,\operatorname{Init}(\{a|\ (a,\underline{\ \ }) \in \Theta\})) | \kappa \in \bigcup_{c \in C} \operatorname{Var}(c) \} \\ A &:= \operatorname{Solve}(\Theta,\bigcup_{c \in C} \operatorname{Split}(c),I), \\ & \operatorname{in} \, \{ (\kappa,\bigwedge Q) \mid (\kappa,Q) \in A \} \end{split}$$

We start by spliting the conditions for functions into conditions for simpler liquid types.

$$Split(a: \hat{T}_1 \to \hat{T}_2 <:_{\Theta,\Lambda} a: \hat{T}_3 \to \hat{T}_4) = \{\hat{T}_3 <:_{\Theta,\Lambda} \hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2 <:_{\Theta \cup \{(a,\hat{T}_3)\},\Lambda} \hat{T}_4\}$$
$$Split(\{\nu: Int|r_1\} <:_{\Theta,\Lambda} \{\nu: Int|r_2\}) = \{\{\nu: Int|r_1\} <:_{\Theta,\Lambda} \{\nu: Int|r_2\}\}$$

We resolve the obtained conditions by repeatably checking if a condition is not valid and removing all predicates that contradict it. By removing the predicate we weaken the resulting refinement.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Solve}(\Theta,C,A) &= \\ \operatorname{let} S &:= \{(\kappa, \bigwedge Q) \mid (\kappa,Q) \in A\} \\ & \operatorname{in} \begin{cases} \operatorname{Solve}(C,\operatorname{Weaken}(c,A)) & \text{if } c \in C \text{ exists, such that } \llbracket[c]_S\rrbracket_\Theta \text{ is not valid} \\ A & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Note that we can use a SMT solver to validate $[\![c]_S]\!]_\Theta$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Weaken}(\Lambda, \{\nu: \operatorname{Int}|r\} <:_{\Theta, \Lambda} \{\nu: \operatorname{Int}|[\kappa_0]_{S_0}\}, A) = \\ \operatorname{let} S := \{(\kappa, \bigwedge Q) \mid (\kappa, Q) \in A\}, \\ p := \bigwedge \{[q]_S \mid q \in \Lambda\}, \\ Q_0 := \{q \mid q \in A(\kappa_0) \wedge (\llbracket p \wedge r \rrbracket_{\Theta} \Rightarrow \llbracket [r_2]_{S_0} \rrbracket_{\Theta})\} \\ \operatorname{in} \{(\kappa, Q) \mid (\kappa, Q) \in A \wedge \kappa \neq \kappa_0\} \cup \{(\kappa_0|Q_0)\} \end{split}$$

Note that we can use a SMT solver to validate $[\![p \wedge r]\!]_{\Theta} \Rightarrow [\![r_2]_{S_0}]\!]_{\Theta}$.