

3.5 Soundness

In this section we want to prove the soundness of the inference rules with respect to the semantics. This means we want to ensure that a if we can infer the type of a program, the program has also got a semantic.

3.5.1 Soundness of the type signature

The judgement and the semantics for the type signatures are build structually similar therefore we can easily show their equivalence.

Theorem 3.1

Let Γ be a type context, $lft \in \langle \text{list-type-fields} \rangle$, $a_i \in \mathcal{V}, T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The Judgment $\Gamma \vdash lft : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$ can be derived if and only if $\llbracket lft \rrbracket_\Gamma = \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$.

Proof. Γ be a type context.

- **Case** $lft = ""$: Then $\Gamma \vdash lft : \{ \}$ and $\llbracket lft \rrbracket_\Gamma = \{ \}$.
- **Case** $lft = a_0 ":" T_0 ", " lft_1$ for given $a_0 \in \mathcal{V}$, $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ and $lft_1 \in \langle \text{list-type-field} \rangle$ where $\Gamma \vdash lft_1 : \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$ and $\llbracket lft_1 \rrbracket_\Gamma = \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$ for given $T_i \in \mathcal{T}, a_i \in \mathcal{V}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:
Then $\Gamma \vdash lft : \{a_0 : T_0, a_1 : T_1 \dots a_n : T_n\}$ and $\llbracket lft \rrbracket_\Gamma = \{a_0 : T_0, a_1 : T_1 \dots a_n : T_n\}$.

□

Theorem 3.2

Let Γ be a type context, $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The Judgment $\Gamma \vdash lt : (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ can be derived if and only if $\llbracket lt \rrbracket = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$.

Proof. Γ be a type context.

- **Case** $l = ""$: then $\Gamma \vdash l : ()$ and $\llbracket l \rrbracket_\Gamma = ()$.
- **Case** $l = t_0 l_1$ for $l_1 \in \langle \text{list-type} \rangle$ that $\Gamma \vdash l_1 : (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ where $T_i \in \mathcal{T}, i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $t_0 \in \langle \text{type} \rangle$ such that $\Gamma \vdash t_0 : T_0$ and $\llbracket t_0 \rrbracket_\Gamma = T_0$ and $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}$:
Then $\Gamma \vdash l : (T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n)$.

□

Theorem 3.3

Let Γ be a type context, $t \in \langle \text{type} \rangle$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

The Judgment $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ can be derived if and only if $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\Gamma = T$.

Proof. Let Γ be a type context, $t \in \langle \text{type} \rangle$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

- **Case** $t = \text{"Bool"}$: Then $\Gamma \vdash t : \text{Bool}$ and $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\Gamma = \text{Bool}$.
- **Case** $t = \text{"Int"}$: Then $\Gamma \vdash t : \text{Int}$ and $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\Gamma = \text{Int}$.
- **Case** $t = \text{"List" } t_2$, for $t_2 \in \langle \text{type} \rangle$: By induction hypothesis, assume $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2$ and $\llbracket t_2 \rrbracket_\Gamma = T_2$ for given $T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $\Gamma \vdash t : \text{List } T_2$ and $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\Gamma = \text{List } T_2$.
- **Case** $t = \text{"(" } t_1, t_2 \text{ ")"}$, for $t_1, t_2 \in \langle \text{type} \rangle$: By induction hypothesis, assume $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2$ for given $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $\Gamma \vdash t : (T_1, T_2)$ and $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\Gamma = (T_1, T_2)$.
- **Case** $t = \text{"{" } ltf \text{ "}"}$, for $ltf \in \langle \text{list-type-field} \rangle$: Then $\Gamma \vdash ltf : T$ and $\llbracket ltf \rrbracket_\Gamma = T$ for $T = \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\}$, $a_i \in \mathcal{V}, T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\Gamma = T$.
- **Case** $t = t_1 \text{"->" } t_2$, for $t_1, t_2 \in \langle \text{type} \rangle$: By induction hypothesis, assume $\Gamma \vdash t_i : T_i$ and $\llbracket t_i \rrbracket_\Gamma = T_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and given $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $\Gamma \vdash t : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ and $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\Gamma = T_1 \rightarrow T_2$.
- **Case** $t = c \ l$ for $l \in \langle \text{list-type} \rangle$ and $c \in \langle \text{upper-var} \rangle$ with $(c, T') \in \Gamma$ with $T' \in \mathcal{T}$: Then $\Gamma \vdash l : (T_0, \dots, T_n)$ and $\llbracket l \rrbracket_\Gamma = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ for $T_i \in \mathcal{T}, i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $\Gamma \vdash t : \overline{T'} \ T_1 \ \dots \ T_n$ and $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\Gamma = \overline{T'} \ T_1 \ \dots \ T_n$, where $\overline{T'}$ represents the application constructor of T' .
- **Case** $t = a$ for $a \in \mathcal{V}$: Then $\Gamma \vdash t : \forall b.b$ and $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\Gamma = \forall b.b$.

□

3.5.2 Soundness of the variable context

In our previous sections we had two different meanings for Δ . We now want to show, that these two definitions correlate.

Definition 3.1: Well formed variable context

Let Γ, Δ be type contexts and Δ' a variable context.

We say Δ' is *well defined with respect to* $\Delta : \Leftrightarrow$

$$\forall T \in \mathcal{T}. \forall a \in \mathcal{V}. (a, T) \in \Delta \Rightarrow \exists e \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T). (a, e) \in \Delta'.$$

We will now show that our semantic ensures that all used variable contexts are well formed.

//Todo

3.5.3 Soundness of the Expression Semantic

We can now use the definition of well formed variable contexts, to proof the soundness of the expression semantics.

Theorem 3.4

Let Γ, Δ be type contexts, Δ' be a well formed variable context with respect to Δ and $lef \in \langle \text{list-exp-field} \rangle$. Let the judgment $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash lef : T$ hold for $T = \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\} \in \mathcal{T}$, $a_i \in \mathcal{V}$, $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$, given $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

—

Then $\llbracket lef \rrbracket_{\Gamma, \Delta'} \in \text{value}_{\Gamma}(T)$.

Proof. Let Γ, Δ be type contexts, Δ' be a well formed variable context with respect to Δ and $lef \in \langle \text{list-exp-field} \rangle$. Let the judgment $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash lef : T$ hold for $T = \{a_1 : T_1, \dots, a_n : T_n\} \in \mathcal{T}$, $a_i \in \mathcal{V}$, $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$, given $i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

- **Case** $lef = a_1 \text{ "=" } e$ for $e \in \langle \text{type} \rangle$ and $n = 1$: Then $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T_1$ and therefore $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\Gamma, \Delta'} \in \text{value}_{\Gamma}(T)$. Thus the assumption holds.
- **Case** $lef = a_1 \text{ "=" } e \text{ " , " } lef_0$ for $e \in \langle \text{type} \rangle$ and $lef_0 \in \langle \text{list-exp-field} \rangle$: Then $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash lef_0 : T$, $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e : T_1$ and therefore $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\Gamma, \Delta'} \in \text{value}_{\Gamma}(T_1)$ and by induction hypothesis $\llbracket lef_0 \rrbracket_{\Gamma, \Delta'} \in \text{value}_{\Gamma}(\{a_2 : T_2, \dots, a_n : T_n\})$. Thus the assumption holds.

□

Theorem 3.5

Let $mes \in \langle \text{maybe-exp-sign} \rangle$.

—

Then $\llbracket mes \rrbracket = ()$.

Proof. //Todo

□

Theorem 3.6

Let $b \in \langle \text{bool} \rangle$. Let $b : Bool$.

—

Then $\llbracket b \rrbracket \in \text{value}_{\emptyset}(Bool)$.

Proof. //Todo

□

Theorem 3.7

Let $i \in \langle \text{int} \rangle$. Let $i : \text{Int}$.

—

Then $\llbracket i \rrbracket \in \text{value}_{\emptyset}(\text{Int})$.

Proof. //Todo

□

Theorem 3.8

Let Γ, Δ be type contexts, Δ' be a well formed variable context with respect to Δ and $le \in \langle \text{list-exp} \rangle$. Let $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash le : \text{List } T$ for $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

—

Then $\llbracket le \rrbracket_{\Gamma, \Delta'} \in \text{value}_{\Gamma}(\text{List } T)$.

Proof. //Todo

□

Theorem 3.9

Let Γ, Δ be type contexts, Δ' be a well formed variable context with respect to Δ . Let $e \in \langle \text{exp} \rangle$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Let $\Delta, \Gamma \vdash e : T$ be valid.

—

Then $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\Gamma, \Delta'} \in \text{value}_{\Gamma}(T)$.

Proof. //Todo

□

3.5.4 Soundness of the Statement Semantic

Statements are modeled as operations on either the type context or the variable context. We will now show that their definitions described in the semantics are the same as the definition in the inference rules.

Theorem 3.10

Let $lsv \in \langle \text{list-statement-var} \rangle$, $a_i \in \mathbb{N}_1^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $lsv : (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ hold.

—

Then $\llbracket lsv \rrbracket \in \mathcal{V}^*$.

Proof. //Todo

□

Theorem 3.11

Let $\Gamma_1, \Delta_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta_2$ be type contexts and Δ'_1, Δ'_2 be well formed variable contexts with respect to Δ_1 and Δ_2 respectively. Let $ls \in \langle \text{list-statement} \rangle$ such that $\Gamma_1, \Delta_1, ls \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2$ holds.

Then $\llbracket ls \rrbracket(\Gamma_1, \Delta'_1) = (\Gamma_2, \Delta'_2)$.

Proof. //Todo

□

Theorem 3.12

Let Γ be a type context, $mss \in \langle \text{maybe-statement-sign} \rangle, a \in \mathcal{V}, T \in \mathcal{T}$. Let $\Gamma, mss \vdash a : T$ hold.

Then $\llbracket mss \rrbracket = ()$.

Proof. //Todo

□

Theorem 3.13

Let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ be type contexts and Δ'_1, Δ'_2 be well defined variable contexts with respect to Δ_1, Δ_2 . Let $s \in \langle \text{statement} \rangle$ and $\Gamma_1, \Delta_1, s \vdash \Gamma_2, \Delta_2$ hold.

Then $\llbracket s \rrbracket(\Gamma_1, \Delta'_1) = (\Gamma_2, \Delta'_2)$.

Proof. //Todo

□

3.5.5 Soundness of the Program Semantic

A program is a sequence of statements. Starting with an empty type context, and an empty variable context, one statement at the time will be applied, resulting in a value e , a type T and a type context Γ such that $e \in \text{value}_\Gamma(T)$.

Theorem 3.14

Let Γ be a type context, $mms \in \langle \text{maybe-main-sign} \rangle$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\Gamma, mms \vdash \text{main} : T$ holds.

Then $\llbracket mms \rrbracket = ()$.

Proof. //Todo

□

Theorem 3.15

Let $p \in \langle \text{program} \rangle$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $p : T$.

—

Then there exists a type context Γ such that $\llbracket p \rrbracket \in \text{value}_{\Gamma}(T)$.

Proof. //Todo

□