3.6 Type System Written in K Framework

We will now discuss an implementation of the type inference using K Framework.

K Framework[RS14] is a system for designing and formalizing programming languages. It uses rewriting systems that are written in its own K Language.

One can specify the realm upon which the rewriting system can be executed by using the configuration keyword. Here we specify two parts: <k></k> containing the expression and <tenv></tenv> containing the type context.

We also need to specify the end result using the KResult keyword. Once the rewriting system reaches such an expression, it will stop. If not specified the system might not terminate.

3.6.1 Implementing the Formal Language

To implement the formale Elm language in K Framework we need to translate the formal grammar into the K language.

Additionally, we can include meta-information: strict to ensure the inner expression gets evaluated first, right/left to state in which direction the expressions should be evaluated and bracket for brackets that will be replaced with meta level brackets during paring.

Rules are written as rewriting rules instead of inference rules.

```
syntax Exp ::= Type
```

```
rule E1:Type E2:Type
    => E1 =Type (E2 -> ?T:Type)
     ~> ?T
syntax KResult ::= Type
```

The rule itself has the syntax rule . => .. The inner expressions need to be rewritten (into types) for the outer rule can be applied. We can include an additional syntax line before the rule and a KResult to ensure that rewriting system keeps on applying rules until a specific result has been reached. Only then it may continue.

Additionally, we have variables starting with an uppercase letter and existentially quantified variables starting with a question mark.

The system itself allows for a more untraditional imperative rewriting system using \sim . This symbol has only one rule: rule . \sim A => A where . is the empty symbol. Thus,d the left part needs to be rewritten to . before the right part can be changed.

With all of this applied, the type system can infer types by applying rules as long as possible. But this only holds true for mono types. For poly types we need to implement the polymorphism, in particular instantiation and the generalization. The inference rules that we have presented in the section about type inference are not monomorphic and therefore can't be implemented. So in order to implement them we need to modify the slightly.

3.6.2 Implementing Algorithm J

In the original paper by Milner[Mil78] an optimized algorithm is presented for implementing polymorphism in a programming language. This algorithm is imperative but is typically presented as logical rules:

$$\frac{a: T_1 \quad T_2 = inst(T_1)}{\Gamma \vdash_{I} a: T_2}$$
 [Variable]

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_J e_0 : T_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash_J e_1 : T_1 \quad T_2 = newvar \quad unify(T_0, T_1 \to T_2)}{\Gamma \vdash_J e_0 e_1 : T_2}$$
 [Call]

$$\frac{T_1 = newvar \quad \Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash_J e : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash_J \backslash x \rightarrow e : T_0 \rightarrow T_1}$$
 [Lambda]

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \vdash_J e_0 : T_1 \quad \Delta_1, a : \operatorname{insert}_{\Delta_1}(\{T_1\}) \vdash_J e_1 : T_2}{\Delta \vdash_J \mathtt{let} x = e_0 \mathtt{in} e_1 : T_2} \quad [\mathtt{LetIn}]$$

So all we need to do, is to replace the rules of *let in*, *lambda*, *call* and *variable* with the rules above. The imperative functions are *newvar*, *unify* and *inst*:

- newvar creates a new variable.
- instantiates a type with new variables.
- *inify* checks whether two types can be unified.

K Framework has these imperative functions implemented in the Unification.k module. In order to use them, we need to first properly define poly types.

```
syntax PolyType ::= "forall" Set "." Type
```

Next we tell the system that we want to use the unification algorithm on types.

```
syntax Type ::= MetaVariable
```

Once this is set up, we can use the function #renameMetakVariables for inst and ?T for newvar.

Note that the **setTenv** function ensures that ?T is instantiated before its inserted into the environment.

For implementing unification we use #metaKVariables for getting all bound variables and #freezeKVariables to ensure that variables in the environment needs to be newly instantiated whenever they get used.

As for unify, we can take advantage of the build-in pattern matching capabilities:

```
syntax KItem ::= Type "=Type" Type
rule T =Type T => .
```

By using a new function =Type with the rewriting rule rule T =Type T => . we can force the system to pattern match when ever we need to. Note that if we do not use this trick, the system will think that all existentially quantified variables are type variables and will therefore stop midway.

3.6.3 Example

We will now showcase how K-Framework infers types using the following example:

```
let
  model = []
in
(::) 1 model
```

We first need to write the example into a form that K-Framework can parse. Using the following syntax:

Translating the program into our K-Framework syntax, this results in the following file.

```
<k>
let
  model = []Exp
in
((::) (intExp 1)) (variable model)
</k>
<tenv> .Map </tenv>
```

Here .Map denotes the empty type context. Also note that we have already applied the left rule. K-Framework uses this rule in parse-time, so this just syntax sugar.

K-Framework will now walk through the abstract syntax tree to find the first term it can match. By specifying strict(2) we tell the system that let in can only be matched once []Exp is rewritten. By appling the rule

```
rule []Exp => list ?A:Type
```

K-Framework obtains the following result.

```
<k>
let
  model = list ?AO:Type
in
((::) (intExp 1)) (variable model)
</k>
<tenv> .Map </tenv>
```

The system remembers the type hole ?AO and will fill it in as soon as it finds a candidate for it. By using the rule

```
=> TEnv[ X
         <- forall
           (#metaKVariables(T) -Set #metaKVariables(setTenv(TEnv)))
           ( #freezeKVariables(T, setTenv(TEnv)):>Type
      ]
    </tenv>
the system rewrites the let in expression.
<k>
((::) (intExp 1)) (variable model)
</k>
<tenv>
  [model <- forall A0 . (list (#freeze(A0))]</pre>
</tenv>
Note that we have just witnessed generalization: The free variable ?A of the type got
bound resulting in a poly type. These poly types only exist inside the type inference
system.
The rule Exp Exp is strict, we therefore need to first rewrite (::) (intExp 1) and
variable model. By appling the rules
rule (::) => ?A:Type -> ( list ?A ) -> ( list ?A )
rule intExp I:Int => int
the left expression can be rewritten.
((?A1:Type -> ( list ?A1 ) -> ( list ?A1 )) int) (variable model)
</k>
<tenv>
  [model <- forall A0 . (list (#freeze(A0))]</pre>
</tenv>
We can apply the expression using the rule
rule E1:Type E2:Type => E1 =Type (E2 -> ?T:Type) ~> ?T
and by pattern matching we fill in the type hole ?A1 with int.
<k>
(( list int ) -> ( list int )) (variable model)
</k>
<tenv>
  [model <- forall A0 . (list (#freeze(A0))]</pre>
</tenv>
Next we need to get model out of the type context. By the rule
rule <k> variable X:Id => #renameMetaKVariables(T, Tvs) ...</k>
    <tenv>... X |-> forall Tvs . T
```

...</tenv>

we obtain the following expression.

```
<k>
(( list int ) -> ( list int )) (list ?A2)
</k>
<tenv>
  [model <- forall A0 . (list (#freeze(A0))]
</tenv>
```

Note how the poly type was only used to store the variables that have been frozen. As we take a copy out of the type context, we instantiate the poly type resulting in a new type hole ?A1.

Finally, we apply the expressions and again fill the type hole ?A2 = int resulting in our final expression.

```
<k>
list int
</k>
<tenv>
  [model <- forall A0 . (list (#freeze(A0))]
</tenv>
```

Here the rewriting system terminates, and the inferred type is list int.

References

- [Mil78] Robin Milner. "A theory of type polymorphism in programming". In: Journal of Computer and System Sciences 17 (1978), pp. 348–375.
- [RS14] Grigore Rosu and Traian-Florin Serbanuta. "K Overview and SIMPLE Case Study". In: *Electr. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci.* 304 (2014), pp. 3–56. DOI: 10.1016/j.entcs.2014.05.002. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.entcs.2014.05.002.