What does the compiler mean? PDXCPP 2017-08-22

Unexpected EOF

```
struct S
{
    static void f();
}
```

```
test.cpp(5): fatal error C1004: unexpected end-of-file found
```

Long long long is too long

```
void f()
{
    long long x;
}
```

```
error: 'long long is too long for GCC
long long x;
^~~~
```

Passing 'x' discards qualifiers

```
struct Container
{
    bool empty();
};

bool empty(auto &c)
{
    return c.empty();
}
```

Passing 'x' discards qualifiers

- A non-static member method is also cv-qualified and that applies to the object pointed by this
- Can't call a non-const method in a const object
- The template parameter was const

```
test.cpp: In instantiation of 'bool
empty(auto:1&) [with auto:1 = const Container]':
error: passing 'const Container' as 'this'
argument discards qualifiers [-fpermissive]
```

Need typename

```
template <typename T> struct S
{
    T::Type t;
};
```

```
error: need 'typename' before 'T::Type' because 'T' is a
dependent scope
T::Type t;
^
```

Need typename

- In order to print the warning, the compiler needs to determine that it would be correct with typename, so why complain?
- C++ Standard says it's necessary to disambiguate dependent types from non-types
- P0634R0 ("Down with typename!") proposes to remove the need where only a type is possible

Member was not declared

```
template <typename T> struct Base
{
    int i;
};

template <typename T> struct S : Base<T>
{
    void g() { i = 0; }
};
```

```
Clang
error: use of undeclared identifier 'i'

GCC
error: 'i' was not declared in this scope
  void g() { i = 0; }
  ^
```

Declaration must be available

```
template <typename T> struct Base
{
    void f();
};

template <typename T> struct S : Base<T>
{
    void g() { f(); }
};
```

```
Clang
error: use of undeclared identifier 'f'

GCC
error: there are no arguments to 'f' that depend on a template parameter, so a declaration of 'f' must be available [-fpermissive]
    void g() { f(); }
    ^
```

Declaration must be available

- S<T>'s base depends on the template argument T
 - Accesses to the base must happen at second phase lookup (Argument Dependent Lookup)
 - Therefore, accesses to base must either "depend on a template parameter" or be qualified:

```
this->i = 0;
this->f();
Base<T>::i = 0;
Base<T>::f();
```

Weird error 1

```
struct S
{
    static void f();
};
int main()
{
    S;:f();
}
```

Weird error 2

```
bool unix = false;
bool windows = false;
void sysident()
{
#ifdef _WIN32
     windows = true;
#else
     unix = true;
#endif
}
```

```
error: expected unqualified-id before numeric constant
bool unix = false;

'
In function 'void sysident()':
error: lvalue required as left operand of assignment
unix = true;

'~~~
```

Weird error 2

When the error makes no sense, try reading the preprocessor output

```
$ gcc -E /tmp/test.cpp
 1 "/tmp/test.cpp"
# 1 "<built-in>"
# 1 "<command-line>"
# 1 "/usr/include/stdc-predef.h" 1 3 4
# 1 "<command-line>" 2
# 1 "/tmp/test.cpp"
bool 1 = false;
bool windows = false;
void sysident()
    1 = true;
```

Unable to find string literal operator

```
#define MAKE_HTML(x) "<html><body>"x"</html></body>"
const char *text()
{
    return
MAKE_HTML("List:>0ne>1i>Two
// error!
}

const char *text2()
{
    return "<html><body>"
        "List:>0ne
// error!

// error!
// error!
// error!
// error!
// const char *text2()
// error!
```

```
error: unable to find string literal operator 'operator""x' with
'const char [27]', 'long unsigned int' arguments
  #define MAKE_HTML(x) "<a href="https://www.ntml.com/html.org/libar-ntml">https://www.ntml.com/html.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-ntml.org/libar-n
```

Unable to find string literal operator

String literal concatenation usually requires no space:

```
static const char text[] = u8"a""b";
```

- Before C++11, it worked in macros too
- C++11 introduced User Defined Literals, so the parsing changed
- Clang error message (with -std=c++11): error: invalid suffix on literal; C++11 requires a space between literal and identifier [-Wreserved-user-defined-literal]

Invalid use of incomplete type

```
#include <QTcpSocket>
void doConnect(const QString &addr)
{
    QTcpSocket *socket = new QTcpSocket;
    socket->connectToHost(addr);
    socket->waitForConnected();
    qDebug() << socket->peerAddress().toString() << "connected";
}</pre>
```

Ambiguous overload with built-in

```
#include <string>
struct JsonNode {
   JsonNode();
   JsonNode(int);
   JsonNode(std::string const &);
   operator int();
   operator std::string const &();
   JsonNode &operator[](size_t);
    JsonNode &operator[](std::string const &);
void test4() {
   JsonNode v:
   v["abc"] = 123; // doesn't compile!
```

```
error: ambiguous overload for 'operator[]' (operand types are
'JsonNode' and 'const char [4]')
note: candidate: operator[](long int, const char*) <built-in>
note: candidate: JsonNode& JsonNode::operator[](const string&)
```

Ambiguous overload with built-in

There are two viable conversions for

```
operator[](v, "abc")

1)Convert v to int:
  operator[](v.operator int(), "abc") →
  "abc"[int(v)]

2)Convert "abc" to std::string:
  operator[](v, std::string("abc"))
```

MSVC can't count

```
[same code]
 test.cpp(17): error C2666: 'JsonNode::operator []': 2 verloads have
 similar conversions
1 test.cpp(12): note: could be 'JsonNode &JsonNode::operator [](const
 std::string &)'
2 test.cpp(11): note: or
                            'JsonNode &JsonNode::operator [](::size_t)'
3 test.cpp(17): note: or
                               'built-in C++ operator[(__int64, const char
 [4])'
 test.cpp(17): note: while trying to match the argument list '(JsonNode,
 const char [4])'
 test.cpp(17): fatal error C1903: unable to recover from previous error(s);
 stopping compilation
 Internal Compiler Error in C:\Program Files (x86)\Microsoft Visual
 Studio\2017\BuildTools\VC\Tools\MSVC\14.10.25017\bin\HostX64\x64\cl.exe.
 You will be prompted to send an error report to Microsoft later.
 INTERNAL COMPILER ERROR in 'C:\Program Files (x86)\Microsoft Visual
 Studio\2017\BuildTools\VC\Tools\MSVC\14.10.25017\bin\HostX64\x64\cl.exe'
     Please choose the Technical Support command on the Visual C++
     Help menu, or open the Technical Support help file for more information
```

ISO C++ says that worst is better than the worst

```
void function(char x, double y);
void function(int x, int y);
int main() {
   function('a', 'b');
   return 0;
}
```

```
warning: ISO C++ says that these are ambiguous, even though the
worst conversion for the first is better than the worst
conversion for the second:
/tmp/test.cpp:2:6: note: candidate 1: void function(int, int)
/tmp/test.cpp:1:6: note: candidate 2: void function(char,
double)
```

ISO C++ says that worst is better than the worst

- It's ambiguous because there's no perfect match
 - Note GCC inverted the order of the overloads
 - For the first overload, both 'a' and 'b' need to be converted to int
 - For the second, 'a' matches char perfectly, but conversion of 'b' to double is really bad
 - The "worst conversions for the first" (from char to int) are "better than the worst conversion for the second" (from char to double)

C++ does not support default-int

```
struct S
{
    static S f();
};

struct T : S
{
    int i;
}

S f()
{
    return S::f();
}
```

```
test.cpp(11): error C2146: syntax error: missing ';' before identifier 'f' test.cpp(12): error C4430: missing type specifier - int assumed. Note: C++ does not support default-int test.cpp(13): error C2440: 'return': cannot convert from 'S' to 'int' test.cpp(13): note: No user-defined-conversion operator available that can perform this conversion, or the operator cannot be called test.cpp(14): error C2617: 'f': inconsistent return statement test.cpp(11): note: see declaration of 'f'
```

(X+c) < X is always false

```
int addOne(int v1)
{
    int sum = v1 + 1;
    if (sum < v1)
        throw Overflow();
    return sum;
}</pre>
```

```
warning: assuming signed overflow does not occur when
assuming that (X + c) < X is always false [-Wstrict-
overflow]</pre>
```

(X+c) < X is always false

- C and C++ standards say signed integer overflow is Undefined Behaviour
- In a well-formed program, you don't ever overflow, so X + c must be >= X
- Solutions:
 - 1)Use unsigned (if it makes sense)
 - 2)Avoid the UB

```
if (v1 == std::numeric_limits<int>::max())
    throw Overflow();
return v1 + 1;
```

 GCC prints this warning due to a bug report long ago (deprecated in GCC 8)

Will break strict aliasing rules

```
uint g(float f)
{
    return *reinterpret_cast<uint *>(&f);
}
```

```
warning: dereferencing type-punned pointer will break
strict-aliasing rules [-Wstrict-aliasing]
```

Will break strict aliasing rules

- Same as the previous warning: GCC prints because of complaints in bug reports
- C and C++ Standards say that two distinct types (other than chars) cannot alias each other
- What does this return?

```
int f(int *iptr, short *sptr)
{
    *iptr = 42;
    *sptr = 0;
    return *iptr;
}
```

malloc argument out of range

```
#include <stdlib.h>

void *f(int n)
{
   return malloc(n > 0 ? 0 : n);
}
```

```
64-bit
warning: argument 1 range [18446744071562067968,
18446744073709551615] exceeds maximum object size
9223372036854775807 [-Walloc-size-larger-than=]
32-bit
warning: argument 1 range [2147483648, 4294967295] exceeds
maximum object size 2147483647 [-Walloc-size-larger-than=]
```

malloc argument out of range

malloc() takes size_t

the size in bytes of any object."

- size_t is defined in [support.types.layout]/3 as:
 "The type size_t is an implementation-defined unsigned integer type that is large enough to contain
- But the maximum size is limited by ptrdiff_t to half that ([support.types.layout]/2):
 - "The type ptrdiff_t is an implementation-defined signed integer type that can hold the difference of two subscripts in an array object, as described in 8.7."