

**Question 1: (Welfare Cost of Financial Frictions)**

Let  $\beta = .95$ ,  $R = 1.04$ .

**Scenario 1 for Consumer** The consumer maximizes the following welfare

$$U = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{s.t. } F_{t+1} = R(F_t + y_t - c_t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

$$y_t = y_0 \delta^t, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

$$F_0 = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$(\text{transversality condition}) \quad (5)$$

**Scenario 2 for Consumer** The consumer faces the same problem as Scenario 1, except with **no borrowing**:  $F_{t+1} \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and the initial level of  $y_0$  is potentially different (defined as  $y_0^{NB}$ ). Define the PDV of utility (i.e., the welfare) of this as  $U^{NB}$

- (a) Assume  $\delta = 1.02$ ,  $y_0 = 1$ , and  $y_0^{NB} = 1$ . Calculate  $U$  and  $U_{NB}$ .
- (b) Let  $y_0 = 1$ . Now find a  $y_0^{NB}$  such that  $U = U^{NB}$ . The difference between  $y_0$  and  $y_0^{NB}$  is the amount of sacrifice in terms a consumer with a borrowing constraint would pay to be free to borrow. A measure of the welfare loss of the no borrowing constraint.
- (c) Maintain  $y_0 = 1$ . Now, let  $\beta = .99$ ,  $R = 1.04$ , and  $\delta = 1.01$ . What is  $c_0$  and  $F_1$  here under Scenario 1? Repeat part (b) to find  $y_0^{NB}$  such that  $U = U^{NB}$  with these new parameters. What can you conclude about the welfare cost of no borrowing in this case?

**Question 2: (Sequential and Recursive)**

Let the consumer have power utility,

$$u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 1$$

Given  $F_0 = 0, B \geq 0, \beta R = 1$ , and the deterministic income stream  $y_t = \delta^t$ , the consumer maximizes

$$U = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \tag{6}$$

$$\text{s.t. } F_{t+1} = R(F_t + y_t - c_t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{7}$$

$$F_{t+1} \geq -B \tag{8}$$

$$F_0 = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$(\text{transversality condition}) \tag{10}$$

- (a) Derive the euler equation as an inequality, and the condition for it holding with equality.
- (b) Let  $\delta > 1$  and  $B = \infty$ . What is  $\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ ?
- (c) Let  $\delta > 1$  and  $B = 0$ . What is  $\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ ?
- (d) Let  $\delta < 1$  and  $B = 0$ . What is  $\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ ?
- (e) Assume that the consumer optimally eats their entire income each period, i.e.,  $c_t = y_t = \delta^t$  which implies  $c_{t+1} = \delta c_t$ . Setup, using dynamic programming, an equation to find the value  $V(c)$  recursively.
- (f) Guess that  $V(c) = k_0 + k_1 c^{1-\gamma}$  for some undetermined  $k_0$  and  $k_1$ .<sup>1</sup> Solve for  $k_0$  and  $k_1$  and evaluate  $V(1)$  (i.e., the value of starting with  $c_0 = 1$ ).

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that this equation deliberately is avoiding any  $t$  subscripts! This makes it a truly recursive expression.

**Question 3: (Search with Firing)**

Take the search model we did in class with an endogenous choice of accepting a job, but add in the following elements:

- If you are unemployed and reject a wage, there is only a  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  probability to get a wage offer. Otherwise, you can recall a wage you previously rejected last period. (hint: they would never choose to recall a wage in equilibrium, but it may help you write down the Bellman equation cleanly.)
- There is an exogenous probability  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  of being fired at the end of any period you are working. You then draw a new wage as an unemployed agent entering the next period with certainty (i.e., bypass the  $\theta$  probability going into the next period).

To summarize the timing here: As in our example in class, let  $v(w)$  be the value of coming a period unemployed with wage offer  $w$  and when they are about to choose to accept or reject.

If they reject an offer, they gain unemployment insurance  $c$ , and have the probability  $\theta$  to gain the draw with expected value

$$Q = \int_0^B v(\hat{w})f(\hat{w})d\hat{w}$$

If they accept they gain the wage  $w$  that period, and then have the  $\alpha$  chance of being fired as they come into the next period—at which point they get the wage offer draw with certainty, as discussed. (Hint: if they are not fired, the value next period is  $v(w)$ , the same as if they were first offered  $w$ .)

- Draw a Markov chain with two states  $E$  and  $U$ . Let the probability of staying unemployed be  $\lambda$  which will end up endogenous. You will also need the  $\alpha$  transition probability
- Write the value of a worker with wage offer  $w$  who chooses to reject the offer (hint: if they reject they don't necessarily gain a new draw, but could have  $v(w)$  as their value next period since they can recall the rejected  $w$ ).
- Write the value of a worker accepting the offer of  $w$ . (hint: may need to be recursive now, unlike what we did in class)
- Combine the values in the previous two parts to form a Bellman equation with  $v(w)$  and the max for the choice.
- Write the equation for an indifference point  $\bar{w}$ , where they are at the threshold of accepting (or rejecting) the wage.<sup>2</sup>
- Assuming that you could numerically solve the previous equation to find a  $\bar{w}$ , what is the expression for the stationary proportion of unemployed workers as a function of  $\alpha, \theta, f(\cdot)$ , and  $\bar{w}$ . (Hint: derive the  $\lambda$ , then use older notes on unemployment. However, recall that the  $U \rightarrow E$  transition only occurs if both a wage offer and endogenous acceptance occur).

---

<sup>2</sup>As in the case of class, the Bellman equation could be reorganized to eliminate the  $v(\cdot)$  function to give an implicit equation in  $\bar{w}$  and parameters. This is significantly trickier than what we did, so only try to simplify the indifference equation if you wish.