Stochastic Permanent Income Model and Government Fiscal Policy

Jesse Perla University of British Columbia

January 17, 2018

1 Stochastic Permanent Income

1.1 Basic setup.

Linear State Space + Normal Shock:

• Let

$$x_{t+1} = Ax_t + Cw_{t+1} (1)$$

$$y_t = G \cdot x_t \tag{2}$$

where A is $n \times n$ matrix, x is $n \times 1$ vector, C is $n \times m$ matrix, $w_{t+1} \sim N(0, I_{n \times m})$, i.i.d. normal shocks; G is $1 \times n$ vector, y_t is a scalar, which means "labor income"

• Consumer's Budget Constraint (assuming $\beta R = 1$):

$$F_{t+1} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\beta}}_{\text{gross}} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{F_t}_{\text{Financial}} + y_t - c_t\right)}_{\text{Financial awealth}}$$
(3)

• Recall if $\{y_t\}$ is deterministic, and $R = 1/\beta$, then for any strictly concave u(c) they achieved perfect consumption smoothing:

$$c_{t} = (1 - \beta) \left(\underbrace{F_{t}}_{\text{Financial wealth}} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^{j} y_{t+j}}_{\text{PDV of human wealth}} \right)$$

$$(4)$$

 \bullet If y_t is stochastic, can we just replace the above equation with expected value?:

$$c_{t} = (1 - \beta)(F_{t} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^{j} y_{t+j} \right]}_{\text{expected PDV}})$$
of human wealth with information at time t into the state of the state

Note: if u'(c) is not linear, then this is only an approximation

• Combine (3) and (5):

$$F_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\beta} \left[F_t + y_t - (1 - \beta) \left(F_t + \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j y_{t+j} \right] \right) \right]$$
 (6)

$$= F_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\beta F_t + y_t - (1 - \beta) \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j y_{t+j} \right] \right]$$
 (7)

$$\Rightarrow F_{t+1} - F_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \left[y_t - (1 - \beta) \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j y_{t+j} \right] \right]$$
 (8)

i.e. agents adds difference between y_t and permanent income. Now use (5) at t and t+1,

$$c_{t+1} = (1 - \beta) \left[F_{t+1} + \mathbb{E}_{t+1} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j y_{t+j+1} \right] \right]$$
 (9)

$$c_t = (1 - \beta) \left[F_t + \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j y_{t+j} \right] \right]$$
 (10)

$$\Rightarrow c_{t+1} - c_t = (1 - \beta)(F_{t+1} - F_t) + (1 - \beta) \left[\mathbb{E}_{t+1} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j y_{t+j+1} \right] - \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j y_{t+j} \right] \right]$$

$$\tag{11}$$

Use (8) to find (after many steps):

Proposition:

$$c_{t+1} - c_t = (1 - \beta) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j \left(\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{t+1}(y_{t+j+1})}_{\text{Forecast of } t+1, t+2, \cdots} - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_t(y_{t+j+1})}_{\text{With time } t \text{ information}} \right)$$
(12)

• Consumption only changes due to "surprise" of new information changing expected value

- \bullet Only <u>unanticipated</u> changes in y_{t+j}, \ldots or other information which changes forecasts.
- Could be unanticipated changes in government policy or shock realizations.
- Finally, for a shock between $t \to t+1$ with our linear state space model:

$$c_{t+1} - c_t = (1 - \beta) \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j \left(\mathbb{E}_{t+1}(y_{t+j+1}) - \mathbb{E}_t(y_{t+j+1}) \right) \right]$$
 (13)

$$= (1 - \beta) \left[G(I - \beta A)^{-1} x_{t+1} - G(I - \beta A)^{-1} A x_t \right]$$
(14)

$$= (1 - \beta)G(I - \beta A)^{-1} \left[\underbrace{Ax_t + Cw_{t+1}}_{x_{t+1}} - Ax_t\right]$$
 (15)

Solution with Linear Gaussian State Space

$$c_{t+1} - c_t = \underbrace{(1 - \beta)}_{\text{Propensity to}} \underbrace{G(I - \beta A)^{-1} \cdot Cw_{t+1}}_{\text{PDV of impulse response}}$$
a shock to x_{t+1} (16)

i.e PDV of changes to forecasts from the realized shock.

1.2 Special case of Quadratic Preferences

• Recall Euler equation for Permanent Income Model:

$$u'(c_t) = \beta(1+r)u'(c_{t+1}), \forall t = 0, \dots, T-1$$
 (17)

If stochastic consumption and $\beta = \frac{1}{1+r}$, just replace with expectation?

$$\underbrace{u'(c_t)}_{\text{Marginal utility}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_t \left[u'(c_{t+1}) \right]}_{\text{Expectation of marginal utility}} \tag{18}$$

• Let $u(c) = \frac{a_1}{2}c^2 + a_2c + a_3 \Rightarrow u'(c) = a_1c + a_2$ In Euler equation:

$$a_1c_t + a_2 = \mathbb{E}_t(a_1c_{t+1} + a_2) \tag{19}$$

$$c_t = \mathbb{E}_t(c_{t+1}) \tag{20}$$

i.e., Euler equation implying perfect consumption smoothing with a deterministic process translates to consumption being a martingale if stochastic!

• Note:

- In general, $\mathbb{E}_t(u(c)) \neq u\left(\mathbb{E}_t(c)\right)$
- -Then, we can use the linear-stochastic state space model for forecasting $E_t c_{t+1}$ Due to linearity, it simply forecasts mean.
- This is a general result called "Certainty Equivalence" of optimizing a quadratic objective subject to a linear-gaussian state space model.
- The decision is identical in a model with or without the certainty
- However, the realized sequence contingent on the sequence, and utility, are not the same.

2 Examples

2.1 Pre-announced Tax Cut

• This will use a shock to knowledge about deterministic income processes, rather than a constant stream of shocks to income.

• Setup:

- Government announce at t=0 that at t=1 it will borrow α from international markets at interest rate (1+r) per period and give it to each consumer.
- They also announce that to eventually balance the budget, they will pay it back at t=2 for simplicity by increasing taxation that period.
- Assume consumers had deterministic y_{t+j} , which happens to consumption?

•
$$c_{t+1} - c_t = (1 - \beta) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j \left[\mathbb{E}_{t+1}(y_{t+j+1}) - \mathbb{E}_t(y_{t+j+1}) \right]$$

Define: $\{\hat{y}_{t+1}\}_{j=0}^{\infty} = \left\{ y_t, \underbrace{y_{t+1} + \alpha, y_{t+2} - \alpha(1+r)\beta}_{\text{Only difference}}, y_{t+3}, \dots, y_{t+j} \dots \right\}$

- Note that from t to t+1, the agent has the news that $\{y_{t+j}\} \to \{\hat{y}_{t+j}\}$
- This is a change in expectations:

$$c_1 - c_0 = (1 - \beta) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j (\hat{y}_{j+1} - y_{j+1})$$
(21)

$$\Rightarrow c_1 - c_0 = (1 - \beta) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j (y_{j+1} - y_{j+1}) + (1 - \beta) [\alpha - \beta(1+r)\alpha]$$
 (22)

• Notes: If $\beta = \frac{1}{1+r}$, then $c_1 - c_0 = 0$ i.e. tax cut has <u>no effect</u> because of <u>anticipated rise</u> in taxes. Later, we will investigate cases why $\beta = \frac{1}{1+r}$ comes out of general equilibrium.

2.2 Timing of Tax Cuts

- Setup:
 - Between time 0 and 1, government announces that it will cut taxes to give α to each individual at a deterministic time T > 1
 - Assume they do not need to pay it back and taxes will not raise to compensate.
 - What happens to consumption at time $\{0, \ldots, T, T+1, \ldots\}$?
 - Assume y_{t+j+1} are deterministic.
- Solve:

$$c_1 - c_0 = (1 - \beta) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j \left[\mathbb{E}_{t+1}(y_{t+j+1}) - \mathbb{E}_t(y_{t+j+1}) \right]$$
 (23)

$$= (1 - \beta) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^{j} \left[y_{t+j+1} - y_{t+j+1} \right] + (1 - \beta) \cdot \beta^{T-1} \cdot \alpha$$
 (24)

$$= \underbrace{(1-\beta)}_{\text{MPC out of wealth}} \underbrace{\beta^{T-1} \cdot \alpha}_{\text{Chage in permanent income}}$$
(25)

• For $t \geq 1$:

$$\mathbb{E}_{t+1}(y_{t+j+1}) = \mathbb{E}_t(y_{t+j+1}) \tag{26}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{t+1} - c_t = 0, \forall t \ge 1 \tag{27}$$

- That is:
 - Changes only happen at announcement, not at tax cut, T.
 - A similar approach with stochastic income would yield the same result.

<u>Variation</u>: The only reason that T enters the above is that PDV of the α delivery is discounted for the T period. If instead, the government announces they will set aside α , put it in the bank at R interest, and then deliver the α with interest at time T. In that case, interest compounds for T-1 period, which menas that

$$c_1 - c_0 = (1 - \beta)\beta^{T-1} (R^{T-1}\alpha) = (1 - \beta)\alpha$$

i.e., the tax break (no matter when it is actually implemented) adds α to the PDV of lifetime earning.

2.3 Example from Friedman-Muth

• Setup:

$$y_t = z_t + u_t \tag{28}$$

$$z_{t+1} = z_t + \sigma_1 w_{1t+1} \tag{29}$$

$$u_{t+1} = \sigma_2 w_{2t+1} \tag{30}$$

where y_t is income, z_t is the *persistent* or "permanent income", u_t is transitory changes in income;

- Which one is a martingale (i.e., random walk here)?
- Define the vector of shocks $w_{t+1} = \begin{pmatrix} w_{1t+1} \\ w_{2t+1} \end{pmatrix} \sim N(0_2, I_{2\times 2})$, i.e. iid normal distributed, mean 0, variance 1.
- Setup in linear state space form:

Since
$$x_t = \begin{pmatrix} z_t \\ u_t \end{pmatrix}$$
, we have: (31)

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} z_{t+1} \\ u_{t+1} \end{pmatrix}}_{x_{t+1}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} z_{t} \\ u_{t} \end{pmatrix}}_{x_{t}} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2} \end{pmatrix}}_{C} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} w_{1t+1} \\ w_{2t+1} \end{pmatrix}}_{w_{t+1}} \tag{32}$$

$$y_t = \underbrace{\left(1 \quad 1\right)}_{G} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} z_t \\ u_t \end{pmatrix}}_{r_t} \tag{33}$$

$$I - \beta A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \tag{34}$$

 $(I - \beta A)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1-\beta} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, since diagonal matrix, its inverse is just 1 over each element (35)

$$G(I - \beta A)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1-\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1-\beta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(36)

• Recall:

$$c_t = (1 - \beta) \left[F_t + \mathbb{E}_t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j y_{t+j} \right) \right]$$
(37)

$$= (1 - \beta) \left[F_t + G(I - \beta A)^{-1} x_t \right]$$

$$(38)$$

in this example =
$$(1 - \beta) \left[F_t + \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta} \quad 1 \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z_t \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} \right]$$
 (39)

$$c_t = (1 - \beta) \left[F_t + \frac{1}{1 - \beta} z_t + u_t \right] \Rightarrow \tag{40}$$

$$c_t = (1 - \beta)F_t + z_t + (1 - \beta)u_t \tag{41}$$

Note: coefficient on u_t is $(1 - \beta)$, the marginal propensity to consumer (MPC) out of transitory income: coefficient of z_t is 1, which is the MPC out of permanent income. The marginal propensity to consumer out of financial wealth F_t is the same as before.

• Recall:

$$c_{t+1} - c_t = (1 - \beta)G(1 - \beta A)^{-1} \cdot C \cdot w_{t+1}$$
(42)

$$= (1 - \beta) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1-\beta} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} w_{1t+1} \\ w_{2t+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tag{43}$$

$$= \sigma_1 w_{1t+1} + (1-\beta)\sigma_2 w_{2t+1} \tag{44}$$

i.e. Consumes all of the permanent shock, and the MPC out of the transitory shock.

• What about savings?

Recall:

$$F_{t+1} - F_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \left[y_t - (1 - \beta) \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j y_{t+j} \right]$$
 (45)

$$= \frac{1}{\beta} \left[G \cdot x_t - (1 - \beta)G(I - \beta A)^{-1} x_t \right] \tag{46}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta} G \left[I - (1 - \beta)G(I - \beta A)^{-1} \right] x_t \tag{47}$$

$$G \cdot I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{48}$$

$$G(I - \beta A)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1-\beta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 from before \Rightarrow (49)

$$F_{t+1} - F_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - \beta \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_t \\ u_t \end{pmatrix}$$
(50)

$$= \frac{1}{\beta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_t \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} \tag{51}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_t \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \tag{52}$$

$$F_{t+1} - F_t = u_t \tag{53}$$

i.e. Consumer spends all of z_t , saves nothing but a fraction of transitory income (Note returns on savings to F_{t+1})