

Problem 1.

Complete Exercise 6.1 in Newman.

Problem 2.

Complete Exercise 6.4 in Newman.

Problem 3.

The *node-edge incidence matrix* of a graph with n nodes and m edges is a matrix $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times n}$. There are two rows of \mathbf{B} for each edge e . If e links nodes j and ℓ , then there is a row for the $j \rightarrow \ell$ “direction” and a row for the $\ell \rightarrow j$ “direction”. So, we can write an individual entry of \mathbf{B} as $b_{(j \rightarrow \ell), i}$. These entries are given by:

$$b_{(j \rightarrow \ell), i} = \begin{cases} -1 & i = j \\ +1 & i = \ell \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Part (a)

The Laplacian matrix \mathbf{L} of a graph is defined in eq. (6.29) of Newman. Prove using direct matrix multiplication that \mathbf{L} can be computed using one of the two formulae below (and figure out which one):

$$\mathbf{L} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{L} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^T .$$

Part (b)

Use your result from Part (a) to give a very short proof that \mathbf{L} is a positive-semidefinite matrix.

Problem 4.

In section 6.14.1, Newman considers the role of the Laplacian \mathbf{L} in partitioning or “cutting” graphs into groups. Let’s focus on the two-group case. In eq. (6.37), Newman defines an objective function

$$R(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{s}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector with entries

$$s_i = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{node } i \text{ is in group 1,} \\ -1 & \text{node } i \text{ is in group 2.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The idea is that a choice of \mathbf{s} corresponds to a choice of groups for the nodes. Newman writes—somewhat uncarefully—that “... our goal is to find the vector \mathbf{s} that minimizes the cut size (6.37) for given \mathbf{L} .”

Assume throughout this problem that we are considering the Laplacian matrix \mathbf{L} of a connected graph.

Part (a)

Find the vector \mathbf{s} that minimizes $R(\mathbf{s})$.

Hint. There are multiple ways to do this, but carefully reading Chapter 6, section 14 of Newman is one.

Part (b)

Comment briefly (2-3 sentences is fine) on whether this vector is useful in the context of the graph partitioning problem.

Part (c)

Suggest a **heuristic** idea for how you might modify Newman’s framework in order to generate more useful solutions. What kinds of condition could you impose on \mathbf{s} in order to make it more useful for the partitioning problem?

Please **draw** a simple network to accompany your discussion, and show how your proposed modification might partition the network. **You are not responsible for proving or exactly calculating anything for this part.**

Problem 5.

In an upcoming part of the course, we will spend a lot of time working with *random graphs*. In this problem, you will begin an analysis of the famous Erdős-Rényi random graph model, which was first studied by Solomonoff and Rapoport.

A random graph model is a *probability distribution over graphs*. Many random graph models are specified as recipes for randomly generating a graph. Here's the recipe for the Erdős-Rényi model $G(n, p)$:

- Start with n nodes and no edges.
- Between each pair of distinct nodes, place an edge with probability p . Each edge placement event is independent from each other edge placement event.

Since $G(n, p)$ is a random graph, many of its properties can be described as random variables. Here are a few random variables:

- M , the total number of edges in the graph.
- K_i , the degree of node i .
- The number of components in the graph.

In this problem, you'll show a few things about some of these random variables.

Part (a)

Consider the degree K_i of node i . Argue that, if $p = c/n$ for some constant $c > 0$, then the degree of node i is approximately distributed according to a Poisson distribution **when n is very large** (i.e. $n \rightarrow \infty$). Carefully justify each step.

Hint. Homework 0.

Part (b)

Using your result from Part (a), compute the approximate mean and variance of K_i in terms of c , again in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We often report an estimate of a mean in the form $\mu \pm \sigma$, where σ is the standard deviation. Calculate the standard deviation σ . In a large Erdős-Rényi random graph in which each node has expected degree 100, what is the estimate $100 \pm \sigma$?

Note. This is usually considered to be a small amount of variation in the node degrees when compared against real-world network data.

Part (c)

Using your result from Part (a), compute the approximate probability mass function of M , the total number of edges in the graph. Find the approximate mean and variance of M . Here, you should assume that n is large but finite.

Problem 6.

The *degree distribution* of a random graph is an empirical probability distribution p such that p_k is the proportion of nodes with degree k . It is possible to prove that the degree distribution of an Erdős-Rényi random graph is approximately the same as the Poisson distribution for an individual node degree.

Write a computer program to produce two annotated plots.

- Generate an Erdős-Rényi random graph with 10^6 nodes and expected degree 100. Compute its degree distribution. Plot this distribution. Also plot (in a different color or symbol) a Poisson distribution with mean 100. Comment briefly on your findings.
- Acquire, in any way you choose, a network data set containing at least 10^3 nodes. Some data sets are available at the sources listed [here](#), but you're free to look anywhere else as well. Compute and plot the degree distribution of your network. Again plot a Poisson distribution with mean equal to the mean degree of the network. Comment briefly on your findings.

Note. You may find it helpful to plot the degree distributions on log-log axes.