$\underset{\mathit{MRB}}{\operatorname{ExploratoryAnalyses}}$

October 27, 2017

Purpose

Document for data exploration for **Data Challenge 2017**!

Look at the data

Table 1: Overall Data Summary

	Overall (N=400)
Y	
Mean (SD)	6.26 (3.47)
Q1, Q3	3.62, 8.43
Range	0.042 - 16.6
\mathbf{A}	
0	215 (53.8%)
1	185 (46.2%)
W1	
A	42~(10.5%)
В	75 (18.8%)
\mathbf{C}	263 (65.8%)
D	20 (5%)
W2	` ,
A	252~(63%)
В	71 (17.8%)
\mathbf{C}	37(9.25%)
D	40 (10%)
W3	, ,
A	115 (28.8%)
В	115 (28.8%)
\mathbf{C}	170 (42.5%)
W4	,
A	289 (72.2%)
В	34 (8.5%)
\mathbf{C}	77 (19.2%)
W5	` '
0	232 (58%)
1	168 (42%)
W6	` ,
0	279 (69.8%)
1	$121\ (30.2\%)$
W7	, ,
Mean (SD)	2.29(2.99)
Q1, Q3	0.028, 4.33
Range	-5.2 - 11.5

	Overall (N=400)	
$\overline{ m W8}$	·	
Mean (SD)	2.36(3.68)	
Q1, Q3	-0.22, 4.87	
Range	-7.7 - 14.3	
W9		
Mean (SD)	2.93(3.14)	
Q1, Q3	0, 5	
Range	0 - 11	
W10	0 11	
Mean (SD)	0.089(3.03)	
Q1, Q3	-1.9, 2.02	
Range	-9.1 - 9.27	
W11	-9.1 - 9.21	
	0.207 (4.47)	
Mean (SD) Q1, Q3	0.307 (4.47) $-2.6, 3.11$	
	-2.0, 3.11 -14 - 14.9	
Range	-14 - 14.9	
W12	1 55 (7 67)	
Mean (SD)	1.55 (7.67)	
Q1, Q3	-3.4, 6.65	
Range	-19 - 28.1	
W13	2.22 (1.27)	
Mean (SD)	3.02(4.67)	
Q1, Q3	-0.152, 5.93	
Range	-7.7 - 17.1	
W14		
Mean (SD)	5.68 (6.6)	
Q1, Q3	0.478, 9.04	
Range	-2.7 - 27.4	
W15		
Mean (SD)	2.01 (5.69)	
Q1, Q3	-1.7, 5.29	
Range	-14 - 27.2	
W16		
Mean (SD)	3.8 (5)	
Q1, Q3	0.297, 6.11	
Range	-3.4 - 16.9	
W17		
Mean (SD)	4.66(5.14)	
Q1, Q3	$0.817,\ 8.17$	
Range	-7.9 - 20.3	
W18		
Mean (SD)	5.54 (3.61)	
Q1, Q3	2.92, 8.32	
Range	-3 - 14.9	
W19	0 11.0	
Mean (SD)	9.09 (9.26)	
Q1, Q3	2.67, 12.6 -5.2 - 57.6	
Range W20	-9.2 - 97.0	
	0.070 (2.11)	
Mean (SD)	-0.079 (3.11)	
Q1, Q3	-2.4, 1.86	
Range	-13 - 9.71	

	Overall ($N=400$)
$\overline{ m W21}$	
Mean (SD)	3.79(4.31)
Q1, Q3	$0.32\hat{1},\ 7.\hat{3}$
Range	-8.4 - 15.6
W22	
Mean (SD)	4.73(2.01)
Q1, Q3	3.21,6.03
Range	0.631 - 10.9
W23	
Mean (SD)	8.5 (4.26)
Q1, Q3	5.14, 11.5
Range	-0.232 - 23.5
W24	
Mean (SD)	2.89(8.34)
Q1, Q3	-2.9, 8.1
Range	-17 - 38
W25	
Mean (SD)	9.81 (9.21)
Q1, Q3	2.86, 15.4
Range	-4.9 - 41.5


Table 2: Overall Data Summary: By A

	0 (N=215)	1 (N=185)	Total $(N=400)$	p value
$\overline{ m W1}$				0.378
A	$18 \ (8.37\%)$	24 (13%)	$42\ (10.5\%)$	
В	41 (19.1%)	34 (18.4%)	75 (18.8%)	
\mathbf{C}	143 (66.5%)	120 (64.9%)	263 (65.8%)	
D	13 (6.05%)	7 (3.78%)	20 (5%)	
W2	, ,	,	,	< 0.001
A	121~(56.3%)	131 (70.8%)	252~(63%)	
В	34 (15.8%)	37 (20%)	71 (17.8%)	
\mathbf{C}	30 (14%)	7(3.78%)	37 (9.25%)	
D	30 (14%)	10 (5.41%)	40 (10%)	
W3	` ,	,	,	0.889
A	64 (29.8%)	51 (27.6%)	115 (28.8%)	
В	61 (28.4%)	54 (29.2%)	115 (28.8%)	
$^{\mathrm{C}}$	90 (41.9%)	80 (43.2%)	170(42.5%)	
W4	,	,	,	0.031
A	160 (74.4%)	129 (69.7%)	289 (72.2%)	
В	$11\ (5.12\%)$	$23\ (12.4\%)$	34 (8.5%)	
\mathbf{C}	$44\ (20.5\%)$	33 (17.8%)	77(19.2%)	
W5	, ,	, ,	, ,	< 0.001
0	146 (67.9%)	86 (46.5%)	232 (58%)	
1	69 (32.1%)	99 (53.5%)	168 (42%)	
W6	, ,	, ,	,	0.580
0	153 (71.2%)	126 (68.1%)	279 (69.8%)	
1	62 (28.8%)	59 (31.9%)	$121\ (30.2\%)$	
W7	, ,	,	,	< 0.001
Mean (SD)	1.82(2.91)	2.83(3)	2.29(2.99)	
Q1, Q3	-0.216, 3.76	$0.77, \hat{5.18}$	0.028, 4.33	

	0 (N=215)	1 (N=185)	Total ($N=400$)	p value
Range	-5.2 - 11.5	-4.1 - 10.3	-5.2 - 11.5	
W8				0.010
Mean (SD)	1.92(3.49)	2.87(3.84)	2.36(3.68)	
Q1, Q3	-0.51, 3.83	0.293, 5.8	-0.22, 4.87	
Range	-5.7 - 12.4	-7.7 - 14.3	-7.7 - 14.3	
W9				< 0.001
Mean (SD)	2.28(2.73)	3.68(3.41)	2.93(3.14)	
Q1, Q3	0, 4	0, 6	0, 5	
Range	0 - 11	0 - 11	0 - 11	
W10				0.404
Mean (SD)	-0.028 (3.07)	0.225(2.98)	0.089(3.03)	
Q1, Q3	-2.2, 1.97	-1.6, 2.16	-1.9, 2.02	
Range	-9.1 - 7.57	-7.9 - 9.27	-9.1 - 9.27	
W11	0.1 1.01	1.0 0.21	0.1 0.21	0.851
Mean (SD)	0.346(4.47)	0.262(4.48)	0.307(4.47)	0.001
Q1, Q3	-2.8, 2.93	-2.3, 3.2	-2.6, 3.11	
Range	-2.8, 2.93 -14 - 14.9	-2.3, 3.2 -13 - 12.2	-2.0, 3.11 -14 - 14.9	
W12	-14 - 14.3	-10 - 14.4	-14 - 14.3	0.230
	1 19 (7 97)	2 04 (7 42)	1 55 (7 67)	0.230
Mean (SD)	1.12 (7.87)	2.04 (7.42)	$1.55 \ (7.67)$	
Q1, Q3	-4, 6.21	-3, 7.08	-3.4, 6.65	
Range	-19 - 28.1	-17 - 20.9	-19 - 28.1	0.000
W13	0 54 (4 50)	0 FO (4 FO)	2.00 (4.05)	0.026
Mean (SD)	2.54 (4.58)	3.58(4.73)	3.02(4.67)	
Q1, Q3	-0.522, 5.29	0.567, 6.61	-0.152, 5.93	
Range	-7.1 - 17.1	-7.7 - 16.9	-7.7 - 17.1	
W14				< 0.001
Mean (SD)	4.52 (5.97)	7.02(7.05)	5.68 (6.6)	
Q1, Q3	0.178, 7.21	0.914, 12.1	0.478, 9.04	
Range	-2.7 - 23.9	-2.7 - 27.4	-2.7 - 27.4	
W15				0.020
Mean (SD)	1.39 (5.59)	2.73(5.75)	2.01(5.69)	
Q1, Q3	-1.9, 4.12	-1.5, 6.4	-1.7, 5.29	
Range	-14 - 17.7	-10 - 27.2	-14 - 27.2	
W16				< 0.001
Mean (SD)	5.59(5.34)	1.71(3.58)	3.8(5)	
Q1, Q3	1.22, 11.4	-0.261, 2.31	0.297, 6.11	
Range	-2 - 15.7	-3.4 - 16.9	-3.4 - 16.9	
W17				0.039
Mean (SD)	4.17(5.08)	5.23 (5.17)	4.66 (5.14)	2.000
Q1, Q3	0.32, 7.51	1.38, 9.03	0.817, 8.17	
Range	-7.9 - 20.3	-6.3 - 18	-7.9 - 20.3	
W18	1.0 20.0	0.0 10	1.0 20.0	0.002
Mean (SD)	5.01 (3.52)	6.15 (3.64)	5.54 (3.61)	0.002
Q1, Q3	2.6, 7.2	3.71, 9	2.92, 8.32	
	-3 - 14.5	3.71, 9 -1.7 - 14.9	2.92, 8.32 -3 - 14.9	
Range	-9 - 14.9	-1.1 - 14.9	-o - 14.9	<0.001
W19	7 69 (9 60)	10.0 (0.69)	0.00 (0.00)	< 0.001
Mean (SD)	7.62 (8.69)	10.8 (9.63)	9.09 (9.26)	
Q1, Q3	1.75, 11.2	4.16, 15.2	2.67, 12.6	
Range	-5.2 - 57.6	-3.1 - 50.9	-5.2 - 57.6	0.405
W20	0.00 (0.00)	0.400 (0.01)	0.000 (2.11)	0.495
Mean (SD)	0.02(2.99)	-0.193 (3.24)	-0.079 (3.11)	
Q1, Q3	-2.1, 1.71	-2.6, 1.88	-2.4, 1.86	

	0 (N=215)	1 (N=185)	Total ($N=400$)	p value
Range	-7 - 9.71	-13 - 9.49	-13 - 9.71	
W21				< 0.001
Mean (SD)	2.88(4.16)	4.86(4.24)	3.79(4.31)	
Q1, Q3	-0.224, 6.02	1.67, 8.23	0.321, 7.3	
Range	-8.4 - 15.6	-4 - 14.2	-8.4 - 15.6	
W22				< 0.001
Mean (SD)	5.07(2.03)	4.32(1.92)	4.73(2.01)	
Q1, Q3	3.6, 6.57	2.95, 5.42	3.21,6.03	
Range	1.46 - 10.9	0.631 - 10.9	0.631 - 10.9	
W23				0.018
Mean (SD)	8.03 (3.91)	9.04(4.58)	8.5(4.26)	
Q1, Q3	$4.99,\ 10.5$	5.27, 12.6	5.14, 11.5	
Range	1.33 - 21.1	-0.232 - 23.5	-0.232 - 23.5	
W24				0.071
Mean (SD)	2.19(8.21)	3.7(8.45)	2.89(8.34)	
Q1, Q3	-3.2, 7.9	-2.4, 8.7	-2.9, 8.1	
Range	-17 - 25.7	-13 - 38	-17 - 38	
W25				0.013
Mean (SD)	10.9 (10)	8.58 (8.05)	9.81 (9.21)	
Q1, Q3	$3.19,\ 16.7$	2.56, 14.5	2.86, 15.4	
Range	-3.6 - 41.5	-4.9 - 34.1	-4.9 - 41.5	

Scatterplot Matrix without Transformation



Scatterplot Matrix with log transform on response variable.

Table 3: Model Summaries: $Y = W_i + A$, for i = 1, 2, ..., 25

	estimate	std.error	p.value	adj.r.squared
(Intercept)	6.58	0.469	< 0.001	0.324
W ₁ B	-1.4	0.55	0.009	
W1 C	-2.5	0.475	< 0.001	
W1 D	0.736	0.777	0.344	
A 1	3.4	0.287	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	4.59	0.25	< 0.001	0.242
$\mathbf{W2} \mathbf{B}$	0.247	0.406	0.542	
W2 C	0.634	0.541	0.242	

	estimate	std.error	p.value	adj.r.squared
W2 D	-0.342	0.521	0.512	
A 1	3.46	0.312	< 0.001	•
(Intercept)	4.39	0.312	< 0.001	0.242
W3 B	0.327	0.398	0.412	
W3 C	0.436	0.365	0.232	
A 1	3.43	0.303	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	4.42	0.222	< 0.001	0.257
W4 B	0.112	0.546	0.838	
W4 C	1.2	0.383	0.002	
A 1	3.46	0.302	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	3.66	0.198	< 0.001	0.433
W5 1	3.14	0.271	< 0.001	
A 1	2.76	0.268	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	4.53	0.226	< 0.001	0.245
W6 1	0.471	0.328	0.152	•
A 1	3.42	0.302	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	3.34	0.159	< 0.001	0.625
W7	0.727	0.036	< 0.001	•
A 1	2.7	0.216	< 0.001	•
(Intercept)	3.45	0.141	< 0.001	0.692
W8	0.636	0.026	< 0.001	•
A 1	2.83	0.194	< 0.001	•
(Intercept)	2.69	0.111	< 0.001	0.832
W9	0.869	0.023	< 0.001	
A 1	2.22	0.023 0.146	< 0.001	•
(Intercept)	4.67	0.206	< 0.001	0.242
W10	0.046	0.200 0.05	0.354	0.242
A 1	3.42	0.303	< 0.001	•
(Intercept)	$\frac{3.42}{4.65}$	0.303	< 0.001	0.245
W11	0.05	0.200 0.034	0.142	
A 1	3.44	0.302	< 0.001	•
(Intercept)	$\frac{3.44}{4.52}$	0.302 0.196	< 0.001	0.324
` - /				
W12 A 1	$0.13 \\ 3.31$	0.019	< 0.001	•
		0.286	< 0.001	0
(Intercept)	3.58	0.167	< 0.001	0.57
W13	0.427	0.024	< 0.001	•
A 1	2.99	0.229	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	2.91	0.126	< 0.001	0.775
W14	0.39	0.013	< 0.001	•
A 1	2.46	0.168	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	4.23	0.168	< 0.001	0.509
W15	0.317	0.021	< 0.001	•
A 1	3.01	0.245	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	4.21	0.274	< 0.001	0.253
W16	0.082	0.033	0.012	•
A 1	3.75	0.326	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	3.2	0.193	< 0.001	0.51
W17	0.351	0.024	< 0.001	•
A 1	3.06	0.245	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	1.86	0.221	< 0.001	0.575
W18	0.56	0.032	< 0.001	•
A 1	2.8	0.229	< 0.001	

	estimate	$\operatorname{std.error}$	p.value	adj.r.squared
(Intercept)	3.41	0.21	< 0.001	0.429
W19	0.164	0.014	< 0.001	
A 1	2.91	0.267	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	4.67	0.206	< 0.001	0.243
$\mathbf{W20}$	-0.058	0.049	0.231	
A 1	3.42	0.303	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	3.28	0.171	< 0.001	0.581
$\mathbf{W21}$	0.481	0.027	< 0.001	
A 1	2.48	0.231	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	4.31	0.439	< 0.001	0.242
$\mathbf{W22}$	0.07	0.076	0.361	
A 1	3.49	0.308	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	0.538	0.245	0.029	0.635
$\mathbf{W23}$	0.514	0.025	< 0.001	
A 1	2.92	0.211	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	4.32	0.188	< 0.001	0.389
$\mathbf{W24}$	0.16	0.016	< 0.001	
A 1	3.19	0.273	< 0.001	
(Intercept)	2.21	0.199	< 0.001	0.597
$\mathbf{W25}$	0.226	0.012	< 0.001	
A 1	3.95	0.222	< 0.001	





Figure 1: knit to html to see

Playtime!

Different Methods of Variable Selection:

Decision Trees, Lasso, Forward, Stepwise, Manual (not backward)

Sweet, Sweet Plot




```
##
         W9 < 3.5
                          to the left,
                                        improve=0.5454315, (0 missing)
                                        improve=0.5181421, (0 missing)
##
         W14 < 5.763803
                          to the left,
         W8 < 2.992449
                          to the left,
##
                                        improve=0.4821869, (0 missing)
                                        improve=0.4175468, (0 missing)
##
         W7 < 3.478499
                          to the left,
##
         W21 < 4.90963
                          to the left, improve=0.4038650, (0 missing)
##
     Surrogate splits:
         W14 < 5.262932
                          to the left, agree=0.940, adj=0.846, (0 split)
##
                                        agree=0.872, adj=0.673, (0 split)
         W8 < 2.92829
##
                          to the left,
##
         W23 < 9.745147
                          to the left, agree=0.855, adj=0.628, (0 split)
##
         W25 < 11.65137
                          to the left, agree=0.805, adj=0.500, (0 split)
                          to the left, agree=0.802, adj=0.494, (0 split)
##
         W13 < 3.613826
##
## Node number 2: 244 observations,
                                       complexity param=0.05146478
     mean=4.211024, MSE=3.867181
##
##
     left son=4 (184 obs) right son=5 (60 obs)
##
     Primary splits:
##
         W9 < 1.5
                          to the left, improve=0.2614948, (0 missing)
##
            splits as LR, improve=0.2540243, (0 missing)
         W7 < 1.591133
##
                          to the left, improve=0.2526917, (0 missing)
##
         W21 < 1.663075
                          to the left, improve=0.2055047, (0 missing)
##
         W8 < 2.017509
                          to the left, improve=0.1808941, (0 missing)
##
     Surrogate splits:
##
         W14 < 2.53977
                          to the left, agree=0.893, adj=0.567, (0 split)
         W8 < 2.903125
                          to the left, agree=0.836, adj=0.333, (0 split)
##
##
         W25 < 13.25427
                          to the left, agree=0.803, adj=0.200, (0 split)
##
         W16 < 11.3988
                          to the left, agree=0.799, adj=0.183, (0 split)
##
         W13 < 4.309836
                          to the left, agree=0.791, adj=0.150, (0 split)
## Node number 3: 156 observations,
                                       complexity param=0.0987268
##
     mean=9.4532, MSE=7.921833
##
     left son=6 (135 obs) right son=7 (21 obs)
##
     Primary splits:
##
         W9 < 9.5
                          to the left, improve=0.3830205, (0 missing)
##
         W7 < 4.999441
                          to the left, improve=0.3164421, (0 missing)
                          to the left, improve=0.3133315, (0 missing)
##
         W14 < 13.03272
##
           splits as LR, improve=0.2846859, (0 missing)
##
         W21 < 4.90963
                          to the left, improve=0.2515501, (0 missing)
##
     Surrogate splits:
##
         W14 < 20.21393
                          to the left, agree=0.936, adj=0.524, (0 split)
##
                          to the left, agree=0.910, adj=0.333, (0 split)
         W23 < 15.62551
                          to the left, agree=0.885, adj=0.143, (0 split)
##
         W11 < 10.56538
##
         W17 < 15.30834
                          to the left, agree=0.885, adj=0.143, (0 split)
                          to the left, agree=0.878, adj=0.095, (0 split)
##
         W13 < 13.02812
##
                                       complexity param=0.02691989
## Node number 4: 184 observations,
##
     mean=3.636782, MSE=2.5425
##
     left son=8 (118 obs) right son=9 (66 obs)
##
     Primary splits:
##
             splits as LR, improve=0.27588740, (0 missing)
                          to the left, improve=0.13836180, (0 missing)
##
         W7 < 1.39736
##
         W21 < 3.076161
                          to the left, improve=0.10365300, (0 missing)
##
         W12 < 1.487588
                          to the left, improve=0.08995449, (0 missing)
##
         W1 splits as RRLR, improve=0.08627216, (0 missing)
##
     Surrogate splits:
```

```
##
         W16 < 0.7283739 to the right, agree=0.674, adj=0.091, (0 split)
##
         W22 < 1.713776
                          to the right, agree=0.674, adj=0.091, (0 split)
##
         W23 < 2.328696
                          to the right, agree=0.674, adj=0.091, (0 split)
         W8 < -5.151453 to the right, agree=0.663, adj=0.061, (0 split)
##
##
         W25 < -2.560438 to the right, agree=0.663, adj=0.061, (0 split)
##
## Node number 5: 60 observations,
                                      complexity param=0.01774951
     mean=5.972035, MSE=3.817129
##
     left son=10 (34 obs) right son=11 (26 obs)
##
##
     Primary splits:
##
            splits as LR, improve=0.3715656, (0 missing)
##
         W7 < 1.590959
                          to the left, improve=0.3063926, (0 missing)
##
         W21 < 2.455516
                          to the left, improve=0.2793826, (0 missing)
##
         W12 < 0.9629807 to the left, improve=0.2108504, (0 missing)
##
         W8 < 1.879978
                          to the left, improve=0.1933822, (0 missing)
##
     Surrogate splits:
         W25 < 4.990111
                          to the right, agree=0.800, adj=0.538, (0 split)
##
##
         W16 < 1.168843
                          to the right, agree=0.783, adj=0.500, (0 split)
##
         W17 < 5.369905
                          to the right, agree=0.733, adj=0.385, (0 split)
##
         W22 < 3.75348
                          to the right, agree=0.683, adj=0.269, (0 split)
##
         W2 splits as LRLL, agree=0.667, adj=0.231, (0 split)
##
## Node number 6: 135 observations,
                                       complexity param=0.04592446
     mean=8.766184, MSE=5.145359
##
     left son=12 (58 obs) right son=13 (77 obs)
##
##
     Primary splits:
##
             splits as LR, improve=0.3169800, (0 missing)
##
         W7 < 4.999441
                        to the left, improve=0.2928541, (0 missing)
##
         W21 < 4.90963
                          to the left, improve=0.2803883, (0 missing)
##
         W12 < 1.297934
                          to the left, improve=0.2278584, (0 missing)
##
         W9 < 5.5
                          to the left, improve=0.2232378, (0 missing)
##
     Surrogate splits:
##
         W16 < 7.491763
                          to the right, agree=0.756, adj=0.431, (0 split)
                          to the right, agree=0.756, adj=0.431, (0 split)
##
         W25 < 16.86896
##
         W21 < 3.170922
                          to the left, agree=0.681, adj=0.259, (0 split)
                          to the left, agree=0.659, adj=0.207, (0 split)
##
         W19 < 4.571226
##
         W12 < 0.1056728 to the left, agree=0.652, adj=0.190, (0 split)
##
## Node number 7: 21 observations
     mean=13.86973, MSE=3.23064
##
##
## Node number 8: 118 observations
    mean=3.010417, MSE=1.935495
##
##
## Node number 9: 66 observations
    mean=4.756646, MSE=1.672211
##
##
## Node number 10: 34 observations
##
     mean=4.930597, MSE=2.456896
##
## Node number 11: 26 observations
##
    mean=7.333916, MSE=2.322863
##
## Node number 12: 58 observations,
                                       complexity param=0.01617286
```

```
mean=7.294701, MSE=3.41116
##
##
     left son=24 (43 obs) right son=25 (15 obs)
     Primary splits:
##
         W7 < 5.200705
                                        improve=0.3919170, (0 missing)
##
                          to the left,
##
         W14 < 15.69639
                          to the left,
                                        improve=0.3720128, (0 missing)
##
         W9 < 7.5
                                        improve=0.3720128, (0 missing)
                          to the left,
##
         W18 < 8.31034
                          to the left.
                                        improve=0.3595877, (0 missing)
                          to the left, improve=0.3563726, (0 missing)
         W19 < 12.06569
##
##
     Surrogate splits:
##
         W18 < 9.142641
                          to the left, agree=0.948, adj=0.800, (0 split)
##
         W21 < 8.249895
                          to the left, agree=0.948, adj=0.800, (0 split)
         W19 < 15.61409
                          to the left, agree=0.931, adj=0.733, (0 split)
##
                          to the left, agree=0.897, adj=0.600, (0 split)
##
         W12 < 8.316907
                          to the left, agree=0.862, adj=0.467, (0 split)
##
         W9 < 7.5
##
## Node number 13: 77 observations,
                                       complexity param=0.01610594
##
     mean=9.874574, MSE=3.592136
##
     left son=26 (23 obs) right son=27 (54 obs)
##
     Primary splits:
         W7 < 3.536022
##
                          to the left,
                                        improve=0.2791772, (0 missing)
##
         W9 < 5.5
                          to the left,
                                        improve=0.2493821, (0 missing)
##
         W14 < 12.33164
                          to the left,
                                        improve=0.2082537, (0 missing)
         W21 < 4.786183
                                        improve=0.1811454, (0 missing)
##
                          to the left,
         W8 < 4.274089
                          to the left, improve=0.1773352, (0 missing)
##
##
     Surrogate splits:
##
         W18 < 6.649654
                          to the left, agree=0.896, adj=0.652, (0 split)
                          to the left, agree=0.857, adj=0.522, (0 split)
##
         W8 < 3.479762
         W12 < -0.2520162 to the left, agree=0.844, adj=0.478, (0 split)
##
##
         W21 < 4.786183
                          to the left, agree=0.844, adj=0.478, (0 split)
##
         W17 < 5.275259
                          to the left, agree=0.792, adj=0.304, (0 split)
##
## Node number 24: 43 observations
     mean=6.611798, MSE=1.850068
##
##
## Node number 25: 15 observations
    mean=9.252359, MSE=2.716976
##
## Node number 26: 23 observations
    mean=8.340136, MSE=2.780899
##
## Node number 27: 54 observations
    mean=10.52813, MSE=2.507683
```

I'm Bored



From the scatterplot matrix there appears to be several variables that are very strongly correlated. Techniques to consider: lasso, pls, others?

Of the variables that are highly correlated, can select the variable(s) that appear to be most correlated with the response

Must not lose focus. The objective is to measure the treatment effect of A, not predict Y.