

# Discrete Maths



## State in FSM

state represents a summary of the history of the machine.

Two states are equivalent if they represent summaries that are equivalent (identical) as far as the terminal behaviour of the machine is concerned.

Surprisingly,

Equivalent states within a given machine can be combined without changing the terminal behaviour of the machine.

Moreover, less the number of states, less is going to be ~~implementation~~ maintenance cost.

This also can be proved ~~mathematically~~.

How do we find states (2 or more)  
are equivalent?

Can we say anything based  
on their output?

---

Ideally, an exhaustive examination  
of all possible input sequences  
of arbitrary length is required.

What can we do to  
avoid exhaustive ?

Two finite state machines  
are said to be equivalent  
if, starting from their  
respective initial states,  
they will produce the same  
~~output~~ sequence when they  
are given the same input  
sequence.

In other words,  
equivalent machines have  
identical terminal  
(FINAL)

behaviors even though their  
internal structures might  
be different.

i.e Petrol or CNG or LPG  
all drive car engines to  
fuel motion.

State	Input		
	0	1	
A	B	F	0
B	A	<del>A</del> F	0
C	G	<del>F</del> A	0
D	H	B	0
E	A	G	0
F	H	C	1
G	A	D	1
H	A	C	1

$$\pi_0$$

$$\{ \overline{ABCDE}, \overline{FGH} \}$$

$$\pi_1$$

$$\{ \overline{ABE}, \overline{CD}, \overline{F}, \overline{GH} \}$$

$$\pi_2 =$$

$$\{ \overline{AB}, \overline{CD}, \overline{E}, \overline{F}, \overline{GH} \}$$



Two states are in the same  
 block in  $\pi_k$  if and only if <sup>①</sup> they  
 are in the same block in  
 $\pi_{k-1}$  and <sup>②</sup> for <sup>every</sup> any input  
 letter, ~~their successors~~

---

are in the same block in  
 $\pi_{k-1}$

That is if there exist at least one input  
 letter, if ~~its~~ successor is NOT in same  
 block then currently they can NOT be together.

$\Pi_1$  formation Given  $\Pi_0$   $\overline{AB C D E}$   $\overline{F G H}$  ]

Successor Input

AB	$\downarrow$ B, A ✓	<del>1, A</del> F, F ✓	$T \wedge T \Rightarrow T$
(I) AC	B, G X	1, F, A X	$F \wedge F \Rightarrow F$
AD	B, H X	1, F, B X	
AE	B, A ✓	1, F, G ✓	
B <del>DE</del>	A, G X	1, F, A X	
BD	A, H X	F, B X	
BE	A, A ✓	F, G ✓	
C <del>D</del>	G, H ✓	A, B ✓	
CE	G, A X	A, G X	
DE	H, A X	B, G X	

Refer given machine to populate

~~At least one X then final X~~ NO NO  $F \wedge T \Rightarrow F$   $T \wedge F \Rightarrow F$

FGH

⑦

FG

HAX

CD ✓

NO

FH

HAX

CC ✓

NO

GH

AA ✓

DC ✓

YES

PT<sub>1</sub>

AB E

CD

F

GH

Given  $\Pi_0, \Pi_1$   
 $\Pi_2$  formation

In  $\Pi_2$   
 Will be together

ABE

Input

0

1

AB

B, A ✓

F, F ✓

Yes

AC

B, A ✓

F, G X

NO

BE

A, A ✓

F, G ✓

NO

CD

G, H ✓

A, B ✓

Yes

CD

F  
GH

GH

A, A ✓

D, C ✓

Yes

~~Refer~~

Given

machine  
to  
populate

$\pi_2$      $\overline{AB}$      $\overline{E}$      $\overline{CD}$      $\overline{F}$      $\overline{GH}$

When should we stop?

$\overline{A}$      $\overline{B}$      $\overline{E}$      $\overline{C}$      $\overline{D}$      $\overline{F}$      $\overline{G}$      $\overline{H}$

Exhaustive?

When there is no difference

from  $\pi_k$  to  $\pi_{k+1}$  Stop

Very much like

---

Improved Bubble sort where  
two iterations do not have further  
exchange we don't go all iterations.  
As data is already sorted.

$\Rightarrow$

Given  $\pi_2$  calculate  $\pi_3$

$\overline{AB}$	$\overline{E}$	$\overline{CD}$	$\overline{F}$	$\overline{GH}$
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------

$$\overline{AB}$$

11

2

GH

A-B

BA ✓

1  
EF

Will stay together?  
yes

$$\subseteq$$

49

$C_p$

GH ✓

AB ✓



CH

AA ✓

$\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{V}$

Yes

$\pi_1$  is same as  $\pi_3$ . Stop.

What is judgement?

Instead of 8 original states (A-H)  
the same machine can be  
developed using 5 states.

1) AB ~~AB~~

2) E

3) CD

4) ~~A~~ F

5) GH



## Areas

① Given a single machine

try to reduce states

[ machine with more ~~or less~~ states  
both are equivalent machines

② Given two different machines

find out they are same

or not?

$m_A \Rightarrow m_A \text{ reduced}$  ) Compare.

$m_B \Rightarrow m_B \text{ reduced}$

$m_A$  and  $m_B$  are equivalent.

State	Input		Output
	0	1	
AB (A')	AB (A')	FF (D')	0
CD (B')	GH (E')	AB (A')	0
E (C')	<u>AB</u> (A')	<u>GH</u> (E')	0
F (D')	<u>GH</u> (E')	<u>CD</u> (B')	1
GH (E')	<u>AB</u> (A')	CD (B')	1