

The effect of a specialist paramedic primary care rotation on appropriate non-conveyance decisions: a controlled interrupted time series analysis

Author information

Richard Pilbery

Research paramedic
Yorkshire Ambulance Service NHS Trust
Springhill, Brindley Way
Wakefield 41 Business Park
Wakefield
WF2 0XQ

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5797-9788>

email: r.pilbery@nhs.net

tel:

Prof. Tracey Young

School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield

Andrew Hodge

Yorkshire Ambulance Service NHS Trust

Keywords: Paramedic rotation, Urgent care, Safe non-conveyance

Abstract

Introduction

Ambulance conveyance rates in the UK NHS are almost 70%, despite an increase in non-emergency cases. This is increasing the demands on crowded emergency departments (ED) and contributes to increased ambulance turnaround times. Yorkshire Ambulance Service introduced a specialist paramedic (SP) role to try and address this, but non-conveyance rates in this group have not been much greater than regular paramedics.

Methods

We conducted a controlled interrupted time series analysis to study appropriate non-conveyance rates before and after the GP rotation. A costing analysis examined the average cost per appropriate non-conveyance achieved for patients receiving care from intervention group SPs compared to a matched control group.

Results

Between June 2017 and December 2019 there were 7349 incidents attended by intervention group SPs and eligible for inclusion. Following removal of cases with missing data, 5537/7349 (75.3%) cases remained. Post-placement, the intervention group demonstrated an increase in appropriate non-conveyance rate by 32.2% (95%CI 20.1–44.3%, $p < 0.001$) and a reduction in the trend of appropriate non-conveyance relative to the control group of -1.8% (95%CI -3.5–0.0%, $p = 0.045$).

Post-rotation, intervention group SPs cost a mean of £322.92 per incident (95% CI £318.1–£328.07), compared to £352.36 per incident (95% CI £348.05–£356.28) for the control group. Cost per appropriate non-conveyance for intervention SPs was a mean of £501.94 (95%CI £478.55–£525.91) versus £1217.89 (95%CI £1124.16–£1322.38) for the control group.

Conclusion

In this single NHS ambulance service study, we found a clinically and statistical significant increase in appropriate non-conveyance rates by SPs who had completed a 10-week GP rotation. This improvement persisted for the 12-month period following the rotation and was cheaper compared to usual care.

Introduction

The National Health Service (NHS) in the United Kingdom is facing a 5% year-on-year increase in demand for urgent and emergency care services [1]. In 2018/19, ambulance services in England provided a face-to-face assessment to

nearly 7.9 million incidents, of which 7.6 million were conveyed to hospital [2]. This conveyance rate of around 69% is occurring despite an increase in non-emergency cases and is placing increasing demands on already crowded emergency departments (EDs), leading to decreased availability of ambulances as turnaround times at hospitals increase [3]. ED overcrowding is a significant issue for patients, resulting in poorer quality of care, increased healthcare costs and potentially, increased mortality [4–7].

Yorkshire Ambulance Service have been early adopters of initiatives to address inappropriate conveyance, and have had advanced paramedics working in the role of Emergency Care Practitioners (ECP), since 2004 [8]. Even without selective dispatching, ECPs consistently have non-conveyance rates double that of other paramedics in the Trust. More recently, the specialist paramedic (SP) role has been introduced, with education comprising of a 1 year university program. However, SP non-conveyance rates have been only marginally better compared to other paramedics within the Trust.

In 2018, Health Education England funded a pilot scheme to rotate paramedics into a range of healthcare settings, with the aim of improving patient care and relieving pressures on primary care, ambulance services and other parts of the NHS in a sustainable way [9]. **However, to date no cost-effectiveness analysis of the scheme has been published - This is not the case. Check with 2019 HEE report.**

This pilot presented an opportunity to develop SPs and further improve their decision-making, with potential to deliver the patient and cost-benefits of the role as originally anticipated.

This study aimed to evaluate whether specialist paramedics who have rotated into primary care, are appropriately increasing the level and trend of non-conveyance decisions compared to a matched control population of YAS paramedics and are cost-effective.

Objectives

The primary objective was to determine the change and trend in proportion of appropriate non-conveyance decisions by specialist paramedics who have completed a 10-week rotation in a GP surgery. The secondary objective was to compare the cost-effectiveness between specialist paramedics who have completed a 10-week rotation in a GP surgery and a matched control group of YAS paramedics.

Methods

This study was a natural experiment using routinely collected observational data. We utilised a controlled interrupted time series analysis method to detect any change in the level and trend of paramedic appropriate non-conveyance decisions. The cost-effectiveness analysis examined the cost per appropriate non-conveyance achieved for patients receiving care from specialist paramedics who have completed a 10 week rotation in a GP surgery compared with those receiving care from paramedics who did not take part in the primary care rotational pilot.

Setting

Yorkshire Ambulance Service NHS Trust (YAS) provides 24-hour emergency and health care services for the county of Yorkshire in northern England. The county has a population of approximately five million, spread over almost 6000 square miles of varied terrain, included isolated moors and dales, coastline and heavily populated urban areas. In 2018/19 YAS received more than 998,500 emergency calls and responded to 798,968 incidents through either a vehicle arriving on scene or by telephone advice.

Specialist paramedic rotation

YAS employs approximately 118 specialist paramedics (SPs) and emergency care practitioners (ECPs). 30 clinicians took part in the rotational paramedic pilot across different sites, with the largest scheme comprising 5 ECPs and 10 SPs working with Leeds Primary Care. The ECPs and SPs rotated between providing a home visiting service for 15 GP surgeries, front line operations (999) and the emergency operations centre (EOC).

Data sources

We used routinely collected computer aided dispatch (CAD) and patient record data to identify all cases attended by one of the 10 SPs who had completed a GP placement in the Leeds area. For operational reasons, these placements were staggered, with the first paramedics entering the rotation in June 2018 and the final paramedics completed their placements at the end of December 2018. In order to obtain sufficient data pre- and post-pilot, all cases attended by these paramedics in the 12 month period prior to the rotation commencing, and 12 months after the placement had completed, were obtained. To count as an 'attendance', the SP's name had to appear on the patient record.

To take account of case-mix and paramedic experience in the pre- and post-rotational phase, a matched comparison (control) group consisting of cases in Yorkshire covering the period from the 1st June, 2017 to 31st December, 2019 was obtained. This cohort of patients received a face-to-face assessment by paramedics who did not take part in the GP rotation.

Since YAS does not keep a record of paramedic registration beyond the current 2-year registration cycle, it was not possible to determine how long staff had been registered as a paramedic. Instead, we identified when staff were first entered into the Health and Professions Council (HCPC) paramedic register.

Study variables

We hypothesised that appropriate non-conveyance was likely to increase following the 10-week placement, but needed to ensure that we took account of factors previously identified as being important for pre-hospital clinicians making non-conveyance decisions [10]. To achieve this, we aimed to match the control and intervention groups on the following variables:

- Patient:
 - Age (5 year increments)
 - Sex
 - Working impression (as determined by paramedic on scene)
 - Time of call (in-hours/out-of-hours)
 - Call category
 - Call month and year
 - Lowest National Early Warning Score (NEWS) threshold
- Location (lower super output area, LSOA):
 - Urban/rural
 - Index of multiple deprivation decile
 - Proportion of population within LSOA with a long-term physical or mental illness (0–4%, 4–8%, 8–12%)
- Paramedics
 - Years registered as a paramedic (<1 year, 1–5 years, >5 years)
 - Role designation at time of incident.

The ambulance service does not routinely capture outcome data for patients, we pragmatically defined appropriate non-conveyance as any patient episode where the patient was not transferred to hospital and no further calls were made to the ambulance service in the following 72 hours.

Matching

Matching was performed utilising a genetic algorithm and computed using the 'Matching' R statistics package [11]. Genetic algorithms are a subgroup of evolutionary computing which as the name suggests, imitate biological processes of reproduction and natural selection to solve according to 'fitness' [12]. The 'Matching' package uses this algorithm to find the optimal balance between groups by examining the cumulative probability distribution functions of a variety of standardised statistics such as t-tests and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests.

Cases where the patient record could not be located, or where data was missing were excluded. With the exception of ECPs (who were removed since their non-conveyance rates are consistently higher than other clinicians), it was not possible to accurately determine what role designation paramedics in the control group had. As a result, this was removed as a matching variable.

Statistical methods

Sample size calculation

The sample size was constrained by the fact that this was an observational study with only 12 months pre- and post-rotation available. Based on a previous audit of 999 call data, we anticipated that there would be approximately 700 patient episodes per month. Given that the time series analysis included data from 24 months in total, this resulted in an estimated 33,600 patients.

Summary of baseline data

Descriptive statistics were used to summarise the data pre- and post-rotation and between rotational paramedics and non-rotational paramedics, to illustrate the success of matching. Median and interquartile ranges were reported for continuous variables, and counts and proportions reported for categorical data.

Primary outcome analysis

We conducted a retrospective analysis of appropriate non-conveyance before and after the GP rotation, using segmented regression as part of a controlled interrupted time series (CITS) design [13]. Since the SP placements were staggered, the actual month and year was not utilised. Instead, the number of months before and after the placement were used, so that month 1 was the month that occurred 12 months prior to the GP placement for all SPs and month 24, the month that occurred 12 months after the placement. It was anticipated that this would remove or reduce any autocorrelation. However, we checked for autoregression and moving averages by performing the Durbin-Watson test and by plotting autocorrelation function and partial autocorrelation function plots. Coefficients from the model were used to predict the absolute and relative changes in appropriate non-conveyance immediately after the 10-week placement and at 12-months.

Secondary outcome analysis

Salary costs were calculated for the 10 week GP placement and divided by the number of incidents attended by SPs to calculate a per-incident cost. SPs were assumed to be salaried at NHS Agenda for Change mid-band 6, which was £31,121 for 2018/19. Education costs were not included since all SPs had already undertaken the education component prior to the rotation commencing. The resource use related to the dispatch of the ambulance, cost of conveyance and admission to the ED was calculated using reference costs published by the NHS Improvement reference costs [14] (Table 1. For example, a non-conveyance would comprise the cost of answering a 999 call and an ambulance: see and treat. In the event that a recall was made within 72 hours, the total cost for the non-conveyance would be the 999 call, ambulance: see and treat plus the cost of the second call i.e. 999 call and ambulance (see and treat or see, treat and convey plus ED attendance).

Table 1: Unit costs to the NHS for ambulance 999 calls

item	cost
999 call	£7.33
Ambulance: see and treat	£209.38
Ambulance: see, treat and convey	£257.34
ED attendance	£135.00

Bootstrapping was used to estimate uncertainty (95% confidence intervals) around cost estimates. Costs of those patients seen by paramedics undertaking the 10-week training rotation was compared with matched controls and the results presented as the cost per appropriate conveyance.

Results

As part of the Health Education England rotational paramedic pilot, 10 specialist paramedics undertook a 10 week placement in a primary care setting in the Leeds area. Five paramedics commenced their placement in June 2018, with a further three starting in August 2018 and the final two starting their placement in October 2018.

Between 1st June 2017 and 31st December, 2019 there were 8849 incidents attended by one of the rotational paramedics. Once data was adjusted to remove any cases occurring in the 10 week rotation period, and outside of the 12 months prior to the start of the rotation and 12 months after the end of the rotation, 7349 cases remained. A further 6 had no gender recorded, 15 had no age recorded and 8 had no post code, leaving 7326/7349 (99.7%) cases. A further 4 cases were excluded due to a missing index of multiple deprivation decile (3 cases), rural urban classification (3 cases) and/or prevalence of missing long-term condition data (4 cases), leaving 7322/7349 (99.6%) cases. Finally, no working impression was included in 1785 cases, resulting in a final dataset of 5537/7349 (75.3%) cases for inclusion in the final analysis. Due to the high number of missing working impressions, a sensitivity analysis was performed excluding the working impression as a variable (Appendix 1).

Matched dataset for analysis

The matching algorithm utilised 5198/5537 (93.9%) cases. Overall, the control group was closely matched to the rotational paramedic (intervention group) incidents (defined as less than 10% in standardised mean difference). Only the NEWS risk category and prevalence of long-term conditions were outside this limit.

Pre- and Post-rotation exploratory data analysis

In addition to the substantial reduction in number of cases attended post-rotation, there were also other differences in pre- and post-rotation cases, which could have contributed to the change in rate of non-conveyance, validating the

decision to include a matched control (Table 2).

Table 2: Comparison of matched control and rotational paramedic groups, stratified by pre and post-placement phases

Measure	Pre-placement		Post-placement	
	Control	Intervention	Control	Intervention
n	3297	3297	1901	1901
Appropriately not conveyed n (%)	600 (18.2)	926 (28.1)	550 (28.9)	1223 (64.3)
Not conveyed n (%)	648 (19.7)	1002 (30.4)	623 (32.8)	1356 (71.3)
Yearly quarter n (%)				
1	910 (27.6)	800 (24.3)	279 (14.7)	296 (15.6)
2	699 (21.2)	721 (21.9)	419 (22.0)	451 (23.7)
3	768 (23.3)	760 (23.1)	650 (34.2)	592 (31.1)
4	920 (27.9)	1016 (30.8)	553 (29.1)	562 (29.6)
Out-of-hours n (%)	1961 (59.5)	1957 (59.4)	1123 (59.1)	1112 (58.5)
Call category				
cat1	473 (14.3)	582 (17.7)	142 (7.5)	174 (9.2)
cat2	2033 (61.7)	1865 (56.6)	858 (45.1)	845 (44.5)
cat3	702 (21.3)	706 (21.4)	644 (33.9)	586 (30.8)
cat4	80 (2.4)	93 (2.8)	174 (9.2)	225 (11.8)
cat5	9 (0.3)	51 (1.5)	83 (4.4)	71 (3.7)
Urban location n (%)	2970 (90.1)	2988 (90.6)	1791 (94.2)	1786 (94.0)
IMD decile n (%)				
1	831 (25.2)	958 (29.1)	533 (28.0)	631 (33.2)
2	451 (13.7)	453 (13.7)	279 (14.7)	216 (11.4)
3	359 (10.9)	394 (12.0)	250 (13.2)	259 (13.6)
4	317 (9.6)	221 (6.7)	112 (5.9)	89 (4.7)
5	260 (7.9)	253 (7.7)	157 (8.3)	178 (9.4)
6	302 (9.2)	189 (5.7)	155 (8.2)	110 (5.8)
7	276 (8.4)	307 (9.3)	158 (8.3)	169 (8.9)
8	237 (7.2)	187 (5.7)	137 (7.2)	99 (5.2)
9	135 (4.1)	178 (5.4)	74 (3.9)	84 (4.4)
10	129 (3.9)	157 (4.8)	46 (2.4)	66 (3.5)
Prevalence of Long-term conditions n (%)				
[0,4]	15 (0.5)	42 (1.3)	19 (1.0)	22 (1.2)
(4,8]	118 (3.6)	400 (12.1)	276 (14.5)	254 (13.4)
(8,12]	3039 (92.2)	2677 (81.2)	1566 (82.4)	1571 (82.6)
(12,16]	125 (3.8)	178 (5.4)	40 (2.1)	54 (2.8)
Patient age in years n (%)				
[0,5]	26 (0.8)	193 (5.9)	43 (2.3)	51 (2.7)
(5,10]	17 (0.5)	56 (1.7)	24 (1.3)	25 (1.3)
(10,15]	51 (1.5)	71 (2.2)	14 (0.7)	32 (1.7)
(15,20]	146 (4.4)	166 (5.0)	63 (3.3)	79 (4.2)
(20,25]	192 (5.8)	174 (5.3)	95 (5.0)	108 (5.7)
(25,30]	171 (5.2)	169 (5.1)	107 (5.6)	107 (5.6)
(30,35]	174 (5.3)	174 (5.3)	111 (5.8)	103 (5.4)
(35,40]	142 (4.3)	164 (5.0)	71 (3.7)	70 (3.7)
(40,45]	148 (4.5)	152 (4.6)	74 (3.9)	80 (4.2)
(45,50]	202 (6.1)	208 (6.3)	77 (4.1)	81 (4.3)
(50,55]	174 (5.3)	171 (5.2)	84 (4.4)	92 (4.8)
(55,60]	194 (5.9)	139 (4.2)	94 (4.9)	86 (4.5)
(60,65]	202 (6.1)	172 (5.2)	108 (5.7)	80 (4.2)
(65,70]	203 (6.2)	151 (4.6)	74 (3.9)	96 (5.0)
(70,75]	273 (8.3)	206 (6.2)	125 (6.6)	135 (7.1)
(75,80]	275 (8.3)	211 (6.4)	167 (8.8)	147 (7.7)
(80,85]	329 (10.0)	328 (9.9)	170 (8.9)	192 (10.1)
(85,90]	231 (7.0)	248 (7.5)	231 (12.2)	168 (8.8)

Table 2: Comparison of matched control and rotational paramedic groups, stratified by pre and post-placement phases (*continued*)

Measure	Control	Intervention	Control	Intervention
(90,95]	113 (3.4)	105 (3.2)	135 (7.1)	127 (6.7)
(95,100]	32 (1.0)	31 (0.9)	34 (1.8)	35 (1.8)
(100,105]	2 (0.1)	8 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	6 (0.3)
(105,110]	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)
Patient sex n (%)				
Female	1799 (54.6)	1744 (52.9)	1108 (58.3)	1099 (57.8)
Male	1498 (45.4)	1553 (47.1)	793 (41.7)	801 (42.1)
Transgender	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)
NEWS category n (%)				
Low	2307 (70.0)	2099 (63.7)	1444 (76.0)	1400 (73.6)
Low-Medium	697 (21.1)	760 (23.1)	370 (19.5)	341 (17.9)
Medium	205 (6.2)	129 (3.9)	8 (0.4)	20 (1.1)
High	88 (2.7)	309 (9.4)	79 (4.2)	140 (7.4)
Clinical working impression n (%)				
abdominal pain	241 (7.3)	244 (7.4)	115 (6.0)	106 (5.6)
acute cardiac	158 (4.8)	163 (4.9)	35 (1.8)	28 (1.5)
acute respiratory	14 (0.4)	19 (0.6)	4 (0.2)	2 (0.1)
asthma	25 (0.8)	20 (0.6)	17 (0.9)	12 (0.6)
cardiac/respiratory arrest	39 (1.2)	55 (1.7)	24 (1.3)	32 (1.7)
choking	14 (0.4)	11 (0.3)	4 (0.2)	3 (0.2)
collapse	175 (5.3)	170 (5.2)	49 (2.6)	64 (3.4)
confusion	25 (0.8)	30 (0.9)	9 (0.5)	14 (0.7)
convulsion	144 (4.4)	142 (4.3)	43 (2.3)	41 (2.2)
copd	45 (1.4)	54 (1.6)	52 (2.7)	43 (2.3)
dead on ems arrival - signs inconsistent with life	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	15 (0.8)	14 (0.7)
diabetic problem	49 (1.5)	46 (1.4)	17 (0.9)	11 (0.6)
drug overdose	142 (4.3)	143 (4.3)	35 (1.8)	39 (2.1)
end of life care	9 (0.3)	9 (0.3)	1 (0.1)	3 (0.2)
eye injury or problem	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (0.2)	2 (0.1)
falls	178 (5.4)	171 (5.2)	236 (12.4)	226 (11.9)
febrile illness	14 (0.4)	13 (0.4)	5 (0.3)	8 (0.4)
generally unwell	463 (14.0)	450 (13.6)	213 (11.2)	207 (10.9)
gi bleed	33 (1.0)	26 (0.8)	3 (0.2)	4 (0.2)
gynaecological	8 (0.2)	16 (0.5)	2 (0.1)	11 (0.6)
head injury	122 (3.7)	146 (4.4)	140 (7.4)	92 (4.8)
lrti	99 (3.0)	123 (3.7)	91 (4.8)	86 (4.5)
major trauma	173 (5.2)	142 (4.3)	49 (2.6)	54 (2.8)
mental health	92 (2.8)	99 (3.0)	48 (2.5)	48 (2.5)
minor illness	118 (3.6)	91 (2.8)	53 (2.8)	85 (4.5)
minor injury	92 (2.8)	115 (3.5)	120 (6.3)	121 (6.4)
neurological problems	72 (2.2)	82 (2.5)	38 (2.0)	34 (1.8)
non accidental injury	8 (0.2)	1 (0.0)	3 (0.2)	1 (0.1)
obstetric	32 (1.0)	27 (0.8)	18 (0.9)	20 (1.1)
other medical condition	119 (3.6)	125 (3.8)	69 (3.6)	88 (4.6)
pain: other	265 (8.0)	272 (8.2)	175 (9.2)	200 (10.5)
renal problems or colic	4 (0.1)	12 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)
sepsis	38 (1.2)	43 (1.3)	21 (1.1)	19 (1.0)
shock	16 (0.5)	13 (0.4)	1 (0.1)	2 (0.1)
shortness of breath	116 (3.5)	102 (3.1)	48 (2.5)	53 (2.8)
social issue	80 (2.4)	54 (1.6)	55 (2.9)	45 (2.4)
urological	69 (2.1)	66 (2.0)	90 (4.7)	82 (4.3)
vascular emergency	6 (0.2)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Years registered as a paramedic				
<1 year	9 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

Table 2: Comparison of matched control and rotational paramedic groups, stratified by pre and post-placement phases (*continued*)

Measure	Control	Intervention	Control	Intervention
1 to 5 years	689 (20.9)	605 (18.4)	414 (21.8)	362 (19.0)
>5 years	2599 (78.8)	2692 (81.6)	1487 (78.2)	1539 (81.0)

Operational activity was higher pre-placement (Figure 1), with SPs undertaking a range of additional activities in the post-placement phase, including staffing a dedicated tasking desk in EOC and working in GP practices as part of the rotation (Table 3). Post-placement, there were also differences in patient call category and acuity based on the NEWS risk category that the SPs were tasked to attend (Figures 2 and 3).




Figure 1: Number of incidents attended by rotating SPs in pre- and post-placement phases, stratified by month

Table 3: SP daily activity (excluding leave and days off) stratified by pre- and post-placement time periods

Activity	Pre-placement n	Pre-placement %	Post-placement n	Post-placement %
EOC	48	3.4	179	11.9
GP	0	0	270	18
OPS	1202	84.4	910	60.6
STUDY	174	12.2	143	9.5
Total	1424	100	1502	100

Key: EOC - emergency operations centre, OPS - operational shift responding to 999 calls, STUDY - study leave and other training activities

Time series

Figure 4 illustrates the change in raw and fitted CITS model data between the pre- and post-rotation phase. There was no indication of autoregression, where future values are based on past values (Durbin-Watson statistic 2.33, $p=0.93$).




Figure 2: Call category pre- and post-placement




Figure 3: NEWS category pre- and post-placement

Post-rotation, the intervention group significantly increased their appropriate non-conveyance rate by 32.2% (95%CI 20.1–44.3%, $p<0.001$) relative to the control group (Table 4). However, there was a non-statistically significant decrease in the trend of appropriate non-conveyance relative to the control group of -1.8% (95%CI -3.5–0.0%, $p=0.045$). Removal of the working impression as a matching variable resulted in a reduction in post-rotation intervention group change in level relative to control group to 26.0% (95%CI 15.1–36.9%, $p<0.001$), but not trend (0.2%, 95%CI -1.4–1.7%, $p=0.843$)

Table 4: Result of segmented regression analysis for appropriate non-conveyance

	Coefficient (%)	95% CI	P value
Initial control group level	21.3	14.9 to 27.7	<0.001
Pre-placement control group trend	-0.5	-1.4 to 0.3	0.231
Difference in level between control and intervention groups	6.5	-2.6 to 15.6	0.154
Intervention group trend relative to control group	0.5	-0.7 to 1.7	0.406
Post-placement change in control group level	14.4	5.8 to 22.9	0.002
Post-placement change in control group trend	0.4	-0.8 to 1.6	0.516
Post-placement intervention group change in level relative to control group	32.2	20.1 to 44.3	<0.001
Post-placement intervention group change in trend relative to control group	-1.8	-3.5 to -0.0	0.045

Economic analysis

Post-placement, the cost per appropriate non-conveyance for intervention paramedics was a mean of £501.94 (95% bootstrapped CI £478.55–£525.91) versus £1217.89 (95% bootstrapped CI £1124.16–£1322.38) for the control group. This represents a mean saving of £715.95 per appropriate non-conveyance (95% bootstrapped CI £637.91–£794.78) for rotating paramedics compared to the control group.

INCLUDE COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS

The sensitivity analysis (excluding working impression) calculate the mean post-placement cost per appropriate non-conveyance for intervention paramedics to be £508.65 (95% bootstrapped CI £485.75–£533.52) versus £1098.01 (95% bootstrapped CI £1020.44–£1182.24) for the control group. This represents a mean saving of £589.36 per appropriate non-conveyance (95% bootstrapped CI £527.88–£656.69) for rotating paramedics compared to the control group.

Discussion

**** NEED TO DISCUSS CHANGE IN VOLUME AND ACUITY OF CALLS ****

In this single NHS ambulance service study, we found a clinically and statistical significant increase in appropriate non-conveyance of patients following a 10-week GP rotation. In addition, this intervention proved to be cost saving compared to usual care. These results needs to be interpreted with caution, since they only include data from a single ambulance service with less than 10% of all paramedics currently in the role of specialist paramedic. Training and experiential opportunities do vary between organisations, in part likely due to the piecemeal way in which the advanced practice roles have evolved for paramedics in the UK [9]. YAS has been commissioning the education of specialist paramedics with local Higher Education Institutions since 2014, with a focus on minor illness and injury, and long-term conditions, which is likely to resonate with other similar schemes [15]. Furthermore, YAS have continued to work to develop their workforce model aligned to the College of Paramedics post-registration career framework since 2015 [16].

The non-conveyance rates seen in this study are difficult to compare with other reported statistics, since the population included in this study is different to all emergency call activity. For example, in the pre-placement phase, there was a higher proportion of category 1 and 2 calls (75–76.9%) compared to figures reported nationally (64%), but a lower proportion post-placement, due to greater case selection with the introduction of the dedicated SP tasking desk [17]. During the study period, YAS see-and-treat rates were between 22.9–25.4% which was lower than the English average of 29.3–30.7% [18].

An evaluation of the first phase of the rotating paramedic pilot reported non-conveyance rates of at least 70%, which mirrors the performance of rotating specialist paramedics in Wales [19]. Two sites in the rotating paramedic pilot had non-conveyance rates in excess of 90%, tended to be primary care focused, rather than fully ambulance service based, highlighting the different interpretation of the guidance issued by Health Education England.




Figure 4: Effect of 10-week primary care rotation on safe non-conveyance. a) Monthly appropriate non-conveyance rates b) Fitted CITS model. Dashed lines represent the counterfactuals (what would have happened in the absence of the intervention).

In YAS, the integration into the primary care team for the Leeds rotation, enabled the SPs to develop a greater understanding of the local healthcare system as they navigated pathways across community and acute care. This knowledge was available to be utilised when the SPs rotated back into YAS and were responding to 999 calls in a rapid response car, or working in the EOC to identify appropriate 999 calls for SP response.

Limitations

It is important to acknowledge this study's limitations. We used routine observational data rather than conducting a randomised-controlled trial for example, which was not possible since the rotation had already completed on commencement of this work. The outcome of this study, while patient focused, could not capture episodes where patients presented to other sectors of the healthcare system as a result of a complication of not being conveyed to hospital. In addition, identifying re-contacts, relied on identification of cases either by NHS number or a combination of patient name, age and incident location which may have been missing on subsequent calls.

The number of missing working impression codes was not anticipated, and so no contingency was made in the methodology to account for this. While the sensitivity analysis showed that this is likely to have had a modest impact on our findings, in retrospect this study would have been more robust with a plan to take account of this.

Finally, it became apparent once the data was provided that determining the grade of paramedic with any certainty was not possible, which may have inflated the performance of the control group by inclusion of specialist paramedics who had not taken part in the GP rotation.

Conclusion

In this single NHS ambulance service study, we found a clinically and statistical significant increase in appropriate non-conveyance rates by specialist paramedics who had completed a 10-week GP rotation. This improvement persisted for the 12-month period following the rotation and demonstrated cost savings compared to usual care.

Acknowledgements

This work uses data provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and support. The authors would also like to thank the Yorkshire Ambulance service business intelligence team who collated the data used in this study. *Claire Lindsay and Harriet ?*

Contributors

RP, TY and AH conceived and designed the study. RP obtained the research approvals and acts as guarantor for the paper. All authors drafted the manuscript and contributed substantially to its revision.

Funding

This paper presents independent research by the NIHR Applied Research Collaboration Yorkshire and Humber (ARC YH).

Disclaimer

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Appendix A

Due to the high number of missing working impressions, we conducted a sensitivity analysis including data that was matched without working impression as a variable. Figure 5 shows the change in proportion of appropriate non-conveyance in this group and can be compared with 4. The results of the segmented regression can be seen in Table 5.

Table 5: Result of segmented regression analysis for appropriate non-conveyance (excluding working impression)

	Coefficient (%)	95% CI	P value
Initial control group level	16.8	11.0 to 22.6	<0.001

Table 5: Result of segmented regression analysis for appropriate non-conveyance (excluding working impression) (*continued*)

	Coefficient (%)	95% CI	P value
Pre-rotation control group trend	0.2	-0.6 to 1.0	0.558
Difference in level between control and intervention groups	14.1	5.9 to 22.3	0.001
Rotation group trend relative to control group	-0.5	-1.6 to 0.6	0.374
Post-rotation change in control group level	14.8	7.1 to 22.5	<0.001
Post-rotation change in control group trend	-0.7	-1.8 to 0.4	0.226
Post-rotation intervention group change in level relative to control group	26.0	15.1 to 36.9	<0.001
Post-rotation intervention group change in trend relative to control group	0.2	-1.4 to 1.7	0.843

References

- 1 National Audit Office. NHS Ambulance Services. National Audit Office. 2017. <https://www.nao.org.uk/report/nhs-ambulance-services/> (accessed 22 Oct 2019).
- 2 NHS England. Ambulance Quality Indicators Data 2018-19. 2019. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/statistical-work-areas/ambulance-quality-indicators/ambulance-quality-indicators-data-2018-19/> (accessed 22 Oct 2019).
- 3 Willett K, Benger J. Addressing ambulance handover delays. 2017. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/ambulance-handover-letter.pdf> (accessed 10 Oct 2019).
- 4 Sun BC, Hsia RY, Weiss RE *et al.* Effect of Emergency Department Crowding on Outcomes of Admitted Patients. *Annals of emergency medicine* 2013;**61**:605–611.e6. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2012.10.026
- 5 Guttman A, Schull MJ, Vermeulen MJ *et al.* Association between waiting times and short term mortality and hospital admission after departure from emergency department: Population based cohort study from Ontario, Canada. *BMJ* 2011;**342**:d2983. doi:10.1136/bmj.d2983
- 6 Bernstein SL, Aronsky D, Duseja R *et al.* The Effect of Emergency Department Crowding on Clinically Oriented Outcomes. *Academic Emergency Medicine* 2009;**16**:1–10. doi:10.1111/j.1553-2712.2008.00295.x
- 7 Richardson DB. Increase in patient mortality at 10 days associated with emergency department overcrowding. *The Medical Journal of Australia* 2006;**184**:213–6.
- 8 Mason S. Developing a community paramedic practitioner intermediate care support scheme for older people with minor conditions. *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2003;**20**:196–8. doi:10.1136/emj.20.2.196
- 9 Turner J, Williams J. An Evaluation of early stage development of rotating paramedic model pilot sites. 2018. <https://www.hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/Feasibility%20Study%20of%20the%20Rotating%20Paramedics%20Pilot%20-%20Final.pdf> (accessed 10 Oct 2019).
- 10 O’Cathain A, Knowles E, Bishop-Edwards L *et al.* Understanding variation in ambulance service non-conveyance rates: A mixed methods study. *Health Services and Delivery Research* 2018;**6**:1–192. doi:10.3310/hsdro6190
- 11 Sekhon JS. Multivariate and Propensity Score Matching Software with Automated Balance Optimization: The Matching package for R. *Journal of Statistical Software* 2011;**42**:1–52. doi:10.18637/jss.v042.i07
- 12 Mitchell M. *An introduction to genetic algorithms*. Cambridge, Mass:: MIT Press 1996.
- 13 Penfold RB, Zhang F. Use of Interrupted Time Series Analysis in Evaluating Health Care Quality Improvements. *Academic Pediatrics* 2013;**13**:S38–44. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2013.08.002
- 14 NHS Improvement. Reference Costs. 2018. <https://improvement.nhs.uk/resources/reference-costs/> (accessed 28 Nov 2019).
- 15 Hodge A, Swift S, Wilson JP. Maintaining competency: A qualitative study of clinical supervision and mentorship as a framework for specialist paramedics. *British Paramedic Journal* 2018;**3**:10–5. doi:10.29045/14784726.2018.12.3.3.10
- 16 College of Paramedics. Post-registration paramedic career framework - 4th edition. 2018. https://collegeofparamedics.co.uk/COP/ProfessionalDevelopment/post_reg_career_framework.aspx (accessed 1 Jun 2020).
- 17 NHS England. Ambulance Response Programme Review. 2018. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/ambulance-response-programme-review.pdf> (accessed 1 Jun 2020).




Figure 5: Effect of 10-week primary care rotation on safe non-conveyance (excluding working impression). a) Monthly appropriate non-conveyance rates b) Fitted CITS model. Dashed lines represent the counterfactuals.

18 NHS England. Ambulance Quality Indicators. 2020. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/statistical-work-areas/ambulance-quality-indicators/> (accessed 1 Jun 2020).

19 Association of Ambulance Chief Executives. Model of rotational working aims to stop ambulance trusts spinning out of control - aace.org.uk. 2019. <https://aace.org.uk/news/model-of-rotational-working-aims-to-stop-ambulance-trusts-spinning-out-of-control/> (accessed 1 Jun 2020).