Grafana Labs

Philosophy of Observability

Richard “RichiH” Hartmann

My Background

- Senior Developer Programs Director @ Grafana Labs
- Prometheus team member (CNCF graduated project)
- Was:
  - CNCF Governing Board
  - CNCF Technical Oversight Committee
  - CNCF Technical Advisory Group Observability chair
- Help run conferences from 100s to 18k attendees
  - DENOG, DebConf, FOSDEM, CCC, GrafanaCon, PromCon

Today's reality:
Disparate systems. Disparate data.

ORACLE



APPDYNAMICS



Grafana



splunk>

elasticsearch

Back to the basics

Let’s rethink this

How humanity deals with data



Event Browser

Geräte auswählen | Geräte suchen | 19.12.2019 - 19.12.2019 00:00 - 23:59

Statistische Auswertung

Ereignisse	8
Transienten	14
Ereignis Übersicht	
Durchschnittliche Dauer	160 ms
Längste Dauer	220 ms
Unterspannung	8
Transienten Übersicht	
Einhüllende	14

Betroffene Phasen	T	E
Phase L1	2	2
Phase L2	4	2
Phase L3	4	2
Phase L4	4	2

	Gerätename	Typ	Phase	Start	Ende	Dauer	Wert	
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L2	19.12.2019 01:15:40'663	---	---	---	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L3	19.12.2019 01:15:40'663	---	---	---	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L4	19.12.2019 01:15:40'663	---	---	---	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L1	19.12.2019 01:15:39'345	---	---	---	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L2	19.12.2019 01:15:39'345	---	---	---	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L3	19.12.2019 01:15:39'345	---	---	---	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L4	19.12.2019 01:15:39'345	---	---	---	Analysieren
E	UMG 508 - TD	Unterspannung	L1	19.12.2019 00:30:59'979	19.12.2019 00:30:59'199	220 ms	117.836 V (MIN)	Analysieren
E	UMG 508 - TD	Unterspannung	L2	19.12.2019 00:30:59'979	19.12.2019 00:30:59'199	220 ms	117.806 V (MIN)	Analysieren
E	UMG 508 - TD	Unterspannung	L3	19.12.2019 00:30:59'979	19.12.2019 00:30:59'199	220 ms	117.825 V (MIN)	Analysieren
E	UMG 508 - TD	Unterspannung	L4	19.12.2019 00:30:59'979	19.12.2019 00:30:59'199	220 ms	117.830 V (MIN)	Analysieren
E	UMG 508 - TD	Unterspannung	L1	19.12.2019 00:30:58'559	19.12.2019 00:30:58'659	100 ms	118.640 V (MIN)	Analysieren
E	UMG 508 - TD	Unterspannung	L2	19.12.2019 00:30:58'559	19.12.2019 00:30:58'659	100 ms	118.612 V (MIN)	Analysieren
E	UMG 508 - TD	Unterspannung	L3	19.12.2019 00:30:58'559	19.12.2019 00:30:58'659	100 ms	118.622 V (MIN)	Analysieren
E	UMG 508 - TD	Unterspannung	L4	19.12.2019 00:30:58'559	19.12.2019 00:30:58'659	100 ms	118.631 V (MIN)	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L2	19.12.2019 00:28:40'565	---	---	---	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L3	19.12.2019 00:28:40'565	---	---	---	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L4	19.12.2019 00:28:40'565	---	---	---	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L1	19.12.2019 00:28:40'130	---	---	---	Analysieren
T	UMG 512 - TD	Einhüllende	L2	19.12.2019 00:28:40'130	---	---	---	Analysieren

20 | 1 2

Grafana Labs


DEUTSCHLAND
GERMANY-WEST
Unlegierte Werkzeugstähle
Carbon tool steels
Schnellarbeitsstähle
High speed steels
DEUTSCHLAND
GERMANY-WEST
Unlegierte Werkzeugstähle
Carbon tool steels
Schnellarbeitsstähle
High speed steels





Humanity has optimized detailed accounts into key events into numbers for millenia

Again and again and again

Any established industry is numbers-first

By extension, logs-first IT is not very mature yet

Observability & SRE

Or: Buzzwords, and their useful parts

Observability, the buzzword

- Cool new term, almost meaningless by now, what does it mean?
  - Pitfall alert: Cargo culting
  - It's about changing the behaviour, not about changing the name
- "Monitoring" has taken on a meaning of collecting, not using data
  - One extreme: Full text indexing
  - Other extreme: Data lake
- "Observability" is about enabling humans to understand complex systems
  - Ask new questions on the fly
  - Ask **why** it's not working instead of just knowing that it's not
- Terms such as "Observability 2.0", "Observability 3.0", and "Observability 4.0", are other examples of buzzwordiness


IDENTIFYING WOOD
ACCURATE RESULTS WITH SIMPLE TOOLS
R. Bruce Hoadley
HUMOAR.COM
YEP, IT'S WOOD

[...] observations are [...] approximations to the truth [...] this can be accomplished in no other way than by a suitable combination of more observations than the number absolutely requisite for the determination of the unknown quantities

Carl Friedrich Gauß, 1809

Observability is a measure of how well internal states of a system can be inferred from knowledge of its external outputs.

Rudolf Emil Kálmán, 1960

Complexity

- Fake complexity, a.k.a. bad design
  - Can be reduced
- Real, system-inherent complexity
  - Can **not** be reduced
  - Can be moved (monolith vs client-server vs microservices)
  - Must be compartmentalized (service boundaries)
  - Should be distilled meaningfully (observability...)

Services

- What's a service?
  - Compartmentalized complexity, with an interface
  - Different owners/teams
  - Contracts define interfaces
- Why "contract": Shared agreement which MUST NOT be broken
  - Internal and external customers rely on what you build and maintain
- Other common term: layer
  - The Internet would not exist without network layering
  - Enables innovation, parallelizes human engineering
- Other examples: CPUs, hard drive, compute nodes, your lunch

Cloud-native vs client-server vs mainframe vs...

- A mainframe application and a microservices fleet are fundamentally the same
  - You can *move* system-inherent complexity, but...
- Microservices broke up old service and system boundaries
  - Enabling horizontal scalability, arguably at the cost of vertical scalability
- Previous-generation tooling is designed to understand system complexity along existing service boundaries
  - Cloud native tooling is able to deal with this increased complexity
  - NB: This means previous-generation complexity is even easier to observe

SRE

- At its core: Align incentives across the org
  - Error budgets allow devs, ops, PMs, etc. to optimize for shared benefits
- Measure it!
  - SLI: Service Level Indicator: What you measure
  - SLO: Service Level Objective: What you need to hit
  - SLA: Service Level Agreement: When you need to pay
- Discern between different SLIs
  - Primary: service-relevant, for alerting
  - Secondary: informational, debugging, might be underlying's primary

SRE


O'REILLY
Site Reliability Engineering
HOW GOOGLE RUNS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS
Edited by Betsy Beyer, Chris Jones, Jennifer Petoff & Niall Murphy

Shared understanding

- Everyone uses the same tools & dashboards
  - Shared incentive to invest into tooling
  - Pooling of institutional system knowledge
  - Shared language & understanding of services

Alerting

- Customers care about services being up, not about individual components

Anything currently or imminently impacting customer service must be alerted upon

But nothing(!) else

Prometheus

Prometheus 101

- Inspired by Google's Borgmon
- Time series database
- Rich ecosystem, 1,000s of instrumentations & exporters
- Cloud-native default

Time series

- Time series are recorded values which change over time
- Individual events are usually merged into counters and/or histograms
- Changing values are recorded as gauges
- Typical examples
  - Requests to a webserver (counter)
  - Temperatures in a datacenter (gauge)
  - Service latency (histograms)

Cloud-native default

- Kubernetes =~ Borg
- Prometheus =~ Borgmon
- Google couldn't have run Borg without Borgmon
- Kubernetes & Prometheus are designed and written with each other in mind

Main selling points

- Highly dynamic, built-in service discovery
- No hierarchical model, n-dimensional label set
- PromQL: for processing, graphing, alerting, and export
- Simple operation
- Highly efficient

Super easy to emit, parse & read

```
http_requests_total{env="prod",method="post",code="200"} 1027
http_requests_total{env="prod",method="post",code="400"} 3
http_requests_total{env="prod",method="post",code="500"} 12
http_requests_total{env="prod",method="get",code="200"} 20
http_requests_total{env="test",method="post",code="200"} 372
http_requests_total{env="test",method="post",code="400"} 75
```

PromQL

What's the ratio of request errors across all service instances?

```
sum by(path) (rate(http_requests_total{status="500"}[5m])) /
 sum by(path) (rate(http_requests_total[5m]))

 {path="/status"} 0.0039
 {path="/"} 0.0011
 {path="/api/v1/topics/:topic"} 0.087
 {path="/api/v1/topics} 0.0342
```

Prometheus scale

- 1,000,000+ samples/second no problem on current hardware
- ~200,000 samples/second/core
- 16 bytes/sample compressed to 1.36 bytes/sample
- Reliable into the tens of millions of active series

Mimir

Mimir

- For **M**etrics
- Prometheus → Cortex → Grafana Enterprise Metrics → Mimir
- Scales to more than 1,000,000,000 Active Series
- Blazingly fast query performance
- Hard multi-tenancy, access control, and three-way replication
- Can ingest native OpenTelemetry, DataDog, Graphite, and Influx
  - In Cloud, you can also use Graphite and Datadog query language

Mimir @ Grafana

- 1,000,000,000 Active Series - in one cluster
- 1,500 machines
- 7,000 CPU cores
- 30 TiB RAM

Loki

Loki 101

- For **L**ogs
- Following the same label-based system as Prometheus
  - Only index what you need often, query the rest
  - “Index the labels, query the data”
- Work with logs at scale, without the massive cost
  - Scalable low latency write path
  - Flexible schema on read
- Access logs with the same label sets as metrics
  - Turn logs into metrics, to make it easier & cheaper to work with them


2019-12-11T10:01:02.123456789Z {env="prod",instance="1.1.1.1"} GET /about
Timestamp
with nanosecond precision
Prometheus-style Labels
key-value pairs
Content
log line
indexed
unindexed

Loki @ Grafana Labs

- Largest user cluster: 180 TiB per day
- Queries regularly peak at **900GB/s**
  - Query 10TB in 12 seconds, including complex processing of result sets



Tempo

Tempo

- For **T**races
- Historic problem: Traces require extremely rich metadata for analysis
  - Expensive, slow, and mandates sampling
- Exemplars: Leverage the extracted logs & metrics
  - Exemplars work at Google scale, with the ease of Grafana
  - Native to Prometheus, Cortex, Thanos, and Loki
- Index and search by labelsets available for those who need it
- 100% compatible with OpenTelemetry Tracing, Zipkin, Jaeger

Tempo @ Grafana Labs

- 1,500,000 samples per second @ 450 MiB/s
  - 560 MiB/s peak
- 14-day retention @ 3 copies stored
- Latencies:
  - p99 - 2.5s
  - p90 - 2.3s
  - p50 - 1.6s

Grafana Pyroscope

Pyroscope

- For **P**rofiling
- We announced the acquisition March 15th
  - https://github.com/grafana/pyroscope
- Profiles
  - “How much CPU & RAM am I spending in what areas of the code?”
  - “...and how does this change over time?”
- Go: pprof
- Java: https://github.com/grafana/JPProf

Data (and cost) savings

Logs to metrics

- Full text indexing: 10 TiB logs → ~20 TiB index
- Loki: 10 TiB logs → ~200 MiB index

- Logs @ Grafana ~600 Byte average per line
- Metrics ~1.36 Byte per metric sample

→ 99.8% reduction in storage size for first log line
~100% for every follow-up log line

Grafana









Grafana Labs







Grafana Labs

play.grafana.org

All of this is Open Source and you can run it yourself

(But we will also sell it to you happily)

Thank you!

chaos.social/@RichiH
github.com/RichiH/talks

This talk