

SpaceNet Turn-key datacenter

Richard Hartmann richard.hartmann@space.net



About SpaceNet

- Founded in 1993
 - 96 emails per hour in all of Germany
 - Physical email dashboard with blinking lights
- Shaped part of the Internet as we know it today
 - Helped build what has become De-CIX, world's largest Internet Exchange
 - Founding member of DENIC, the .de registry
 - One of the first IPv6-enabled providers in Europe
 - Single-handedly stopped Germany's unconstitutional data rentention laws
- Long-term sustainabilty
 - Stock not traded publically
 - Founder is the CEO
 - Weathered all bubbles and bursts



About SpaceNet

- Full-service Internet provider
 - Connectivity
 - Co-location
 - Cloud services
 - Managed applications
 - ..even webdesign
- Three sites in Munich
 - Vertiv is building the fourth site
- Roughly 1200 customers



A few reference customers











♦> Bayern Invest **cash.life**

















By the way...

- 100% daughter of SpaceNet: SDC Datacenter
- 130 employees
- ~70% engineers
- Visited production sites
- Class 4
- Contrary to popular belief, we're based in Germany, not Isreal



Why did we start this project

- Obvious answer: growth
- Full control over production stack
 - From power & cooling up to multi-redundant services
- No modern data-center for edge technologies in Munich, Germany's strongest city in tech
- Market demand due to data security, espionage, and privacy
 - State actors are becoming more and more aggressive
 - PATRIOT act, FISA letters, etc apply to all US companies
 - Health data is incredibly precious
 - Family-run businesses need to preserve their legacy
 - Innovation-driven companies need to protect against competitors
 - This is a huge issue in Germany



Our project

- EN 50600, class 4
- Hyperscale design
- Green-field project
- Concrete stick-build
- Adiabatic indirect air-to-air cooling (EFC)
 - 100% DX backup
- First phase 500kW IT load
 - Phase scales up to 1.5MW
 - 2 * 500 m² per phase
- Total of five phases
- Operational in Q1 2019
- A few concepts which are not public yet









Design goals

- Industry-leading power efficiency
- Modular
 - Pay as you grow
 - Easier to adopt new technologies
- Low TCO
- Resilience and security in-depth
- Relatively low average power due to more traditional co-lo customers
- Using these as first principles helped streamlining the project



Buzzwords of our times

- One of those buzzwords: "modular"
- Everyone is currently pushing modular designs
 - But it's not just about speed of execution
 - We need to maintain the site as well
- Together with Vertiv, we can adapt
 - Size of modules
 - Different cooling technologies
 - Access-control (DC-in-a-DC)
 - Mapping of which power modules deliver to which IT modules
 - There's an obvious tie between power & cooling



What options did we look at

- Everything
- We looked at every option and talked to (almost?) every player in the relevant fields
 - Build-it-yourself
 - Contract planning agency
 - Turn-key datacenter
 - Datacenter-as-a-service
 - Exclusive use
 - Multi-tenant



Considerations: build-it-yourself

- Pro
 - Deepest possible control
 - Direct contracts ensure good control over contractors
 - Full cost visibility and hopefully control
- Con
 - Largest risk
 - Need to contract lots of expertise



Considerations: Planning agency

- Pro
 - One-stop-shop for external expertise
 - Less risk
 - Direct contracts ensure good control over contractors
 - Full cost visibility and hopefully control
 - Con
 - One more party to sync with
 - Indirect access to planning



Considerations: Turn-key

- Pro
 - One central point of cont[r]act
 - One-stop-shop for external expertise
- Con
 - Even less direct access to planning
 - Loss of price visibility



Considerations: DC-as-a-service

- Pro
 - One central point of cont[r]act
 - One-stop-shop for external expertise
- Con
 - Least access to planning
 - Loss of price visibility
 - When has leasing anything you use often ever been cheaper than buying outright?
 - Special case of multi-tenant:
 - De-facto loss of any planning access
 - Loss of value-add



Sweet spot

- Best trade-off between all considerations: turn-key
- It's the first time we did this
- Vertiv is also doing this at this depth for the first time
 - Even responsible for groundworks, streets, everything
- We see this as a growing trend in the future



Caveat: Vertiv is special

- Vertiv's advantage:
 - They are their own supplier for almost everything
 - ..so they have extremely good control over the full DC
- Vertiv's liabilty:
 - They are their own supplier for almost everything
 - ..so they won't easily suggest other market players for components
- From the customer's PoV, this is important
 - We have to rely on Vertiv always maintaining their leading position



Our path; sales phase

- During sales phase, we all focused on technology
 - Rough budget numbers so we knew we were not completely out of bounds
- We got high quality input, but challenged again and again
- The over-arching concept remained the same, but we changed a lot
- Our longest in-person meeting took 18 hours, our longest telco took 11.5 hours
 - and those were still productive and friendly
- This builds trust



Using this trust

- Shortly before signing, we hit a major roadblock
- Issues around the gensets forced us to face a six-figure price increase; per phase
 - This made a lot of people nervous
 - ..but Vertiv assured us that they would compensate for those issues
 - ..which, in turn, motivated us to work with them to lessen certain requirements
 - ..we managed to work around this problem completely, while maintaining availability
 - Text-book win-win; and it would not have happened without a baseline of trust



Our path; engineering phase

- Vertiv employs a larger-than-average amount of intelligent and specialized people
- Projects of this scale always cause lots of issues
- Sometimes, Vertiv feels like a beehive
 - To a complete stranger, it looks like utter chaos
 - ..but intelligent and skilled people who agree on the Big Picture align automatically
 - ..and the results are always on point
- Vertiv engineers know they can rely on me to support wherever I can
- Again, this builds common trust



Using this trust

- Reminder: we are doing indirect air-to-air cooling
 - ..so we need to move lots of air at a slow speed to be cost-effective
 - ..which means huge air ducts
- We realized that beams and underconstruction were in the way of some air ducts
- We challenged Vertiv on this issue
 - Vertiv changed the structure and statics of our building
- This goes beyond what other companies would be willing to do



Would we choose Vertiv again?

- I wouldn't be standing here if I would be about to say no
 - ..and you wouldn't be here if you didn't expect me to say yes
- I am not an easy customers and hard to please
 - I convinced myself that we chose the best of the best
 - Vertiv still outpaces my expectations
- So: Yes



What would we do differently?

- Overall, we are very happy with our path up to now
- If we made one mistake, it was not to cull obviously non-fitting offers more aggressively
- Turning this into a positive statement:
 - Early and high-quality engagement with potential customers is key



Take-aways

Intentions



Take-aways

Trust



Take-aways

Partnership

