

CNCF TOC Meeting

January 19, 2021

LF Antitrust Policy Notice

CNCF meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Linux Foundation to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of, and not participate in, any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.

Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at CNCF meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at <http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of the Linux Foundation, feel free to contact Andrew Updegrove of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to the Linux Foundation.



Meeting Logistics

- [Meeting Minutes / Planning Doc](#)
- Time: January 19, 2021 8AM (PT)
- [https://zoom.us/j/967220397](#)
 - Passcode: 77777
- Or Telephone:
 - Dial:
 - +1 646 558 8656 (US Toll) or +1 408 638 0968 (US Toll)
 - +1 855 880 1246 (US Toll Free) or +1 877 369 0926 (US Toll Free)
 - Meeting ID: 967 220 397
 - International numbers:
[https://zoom.us/zoomconference](#)



TOC - Members Present Today

- Alena Prokharchyk <aprokharchyk@apple.com>
- Brendan Burns <bburns@microsoft.com>
- Dave Zolotusky <dzolo@spotify.com>
- Justin Cormack <justin.cormack@docker.com>
- Liz Rice <liz@lizrice.com>
- Matt Klein <mklein@lyft.com>
- Michelle Noorali <michelle.noorali@microsoft.com>
- Saad Ali <saadali@google.com>
- Sheng Liang <sheng@rancher.com>
- Xiang Li <x.li@alibaba-inc.com>

*Note: TOC meetings require a **quorum of two-thirds of the TOC total members to take a vote or make any decision**. If a TOC meeting fails to meet the quorum requirement, discussions may proceed, however there shall be no voting or decisions.*



Agenda

- Open* Explainer

Questions



Super short history

- Term itself is on the buzzwordy side now (Open Source, Open Standards, OpenStack, OpenTSDB, many many more)
- OpenMetrics: Standardizing Prometheus wire format
- OpenTracing: Standardizing code interfaces around tracing in different languages
- OpenCensus: Open sourcing Census (think Borg->Kubernetes, Borgmon->Prometheus)
- OpenTelemetry: Merger of OpenTracing and OpenCensus

Questions



OpenMetrics

- Back in 2015/2016, there was a political problem with other projects and vendors doing anything with the name “Prometheus”
- Dan Kohn & Chris A. asked Prometheus-team to standardize this outside of Prometheus
- I needed an IETF RFC to force network vendors to support Prometheus
- Chris A. wanted “OpenMetrics” strongly; I would have preferred “Epimetheus” ;)



OpenMetrics

- 100% focus on Prometheus, Cortex, Thanos, and K8s SIG instrumentation
- Opinionated about happy path: Do one thing, and do it well
- Stable release in 2020
- client_golang 29th most used Go library on GitHub. OM support since 2018/2019, full support of 1.0 within Q1 2021
- Concerns about open-ness
 - Too context much in our heads, even short absences found themselves unable to re-onboard
 - We thought we would be done quickly, wrong assessment
 - Personal story: Burnout, several markers of depression, acute loss of hearing, kinda meh, but better now
 - Strong attempts to take OpenMetrics away from Prometheus, we overshot in protection



OpenTelemetry

- Intended as a largely agnostic data pipeline for metrics, logs, and traces
- Focussing on Metrics here
- OTel-native metrics format, called OTLP
- Many meetings between Prometheus, K8s, & OTel recently
 - Last meeting last week; 5 hours
 - Middle of the Friday night for EMEA, yet most of Prometheus-team showed up
 - OTel re-iterated commitment to first-class support for Prometheus
 - Still many technical incompatibilities between OTel & Prometheus, ongoing work
 - Histograms, host-centric model, many more atomic data formats than Prometheus, K8s requires in-process metrics endpoint
- Current plan is to have something EOY 2021



Prometheus compatible

- Identified many interpretations of “compatible”
- Extensive guidelines, technical docs, and reference code exist, but no real compatibility scheme
- More players means we need a fair playing field
- We started with PromQL in 2020
- Focus area of 2021 for Prometheus-team
 - Also usable for OTel



Questions