# 2 - Existence of optimal solutions and optimality conditions for unconstrained problems

#### G. Mastroeni

Department of Computer Science, University of Pisa giandomenico.mastroeni@unipi.it

Optimization Methods and Game Theory Master of Science in Artificial Intelligence and Data Engineering University of Pisa – A.Y. 2024/25

#### Contents of the lessons

- Existence of optimal solutions for optimization problems
- Existence of optimal solutions in the presence of convexity assumptions
- First and second order optimality conditions for unconstrained optimization problems
- Optimal solutions of unconstrained quadratic programming problems

# Optimization problem in standard form

$$f_* = \min\{f(x) : x \in X\} \tag{P}$$

- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is the objective function
- $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is the constraints set or feasible region
- If  $X \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$  then (P) is said to be unconstrained

From now on, we will only consider minimization problems since

$$\max\{f(x): x \in X\} = -\min\{-f(x): x \in X\}.$$

# Mathematical programming problems

In general X is defined by the expression:

$$X:=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:g_i(x)\leq 0,\ i\in\mathcal{I},\ h_j(x)=0,\ j\in\mathcal{J}\},$$

where  $g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{I} := \{1, ..., m\}$ ,  $h_j: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j \in \mathcal{J} := \{1, ..., p\}$ , Using the notation:

$$g(x) := (g_1(x), ..., g_m(x))^T, \quad h(x) := (h_1(x), ..., h_p(x))^T,$$

then 
$$X=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:g(x)\leq 0,\ h(x)=0\},\ g:\mathbb{R}^n\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^m,\ h:\mathbb{R}^n\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^p.$$

g and h are called the "constraint functions".

#### Remark

In this case (P) is also referred to as a mathematical programming problem.

#### Global and local optima

#### **Optimal value**

The optimal value of (P) is defined by:  $v(P) = \inf\{f(x): x \in X\}$   $v(P) \in \mathbb{R}$  if the problem is bounded from below  $v(P) = -\infty$  if the problem is unbounded from below  $v(P) = +\infty$  if the problem is infeasible, i.e.,  $X = \emptyset$ 

#### Global optimal solution

A global optimal solution of (P) is a point  $x^* \in X$  s.t.  $f(x^*) \le f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .  $X_* = \arg\min\{f(x) : x \in X\}$  denotes the set of global minima of f on X.

#### Local optimal solution

A local optimal solution of (P) is a point  $x^* \in X$  s.t.  $f(x^*) \le f(x)$  for all  $x \in X \cap B(x^*, r)$  for some r > 0.

#### **Examples**

- f(x) = x,  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v(P) = -\infty$ , no optimal solution
- $f(x) = e^x$ ,  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , v(P) = 0, no optimal solution
- $f(x) = x^3 3x$ ,  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v(P) = -\infty$ ,  $x^* = 1$  is a local optimum, no global optimum
- $f(x) = x \log(x)$ ,  $X = \mathbb{R}_{++}$ , v(P) = -1/e,  $x^* = 1/e$  is a global optimum
- $f(x) = 3x^4 8x^3 6x^2 + 24x + 19$ ,  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , v(P) = 0,  $x^* = -1$  is a global optimum and  $\tilde{x} = 2$  is a local optimum

# Existence of global optima

#### Theorem (Weierstrass)

If the objective function f is continuous and the feasible region X is closed and bounded, then (at least) a global optimum exists.

**Proof.** Let  $v(P) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$ . Define a minimizing sequence  $\{x^k\} \subseteq X$  s.t.

 $f(x^k) \to v(P)$ . Since  $\{x^k\}$  is bounded, the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem guarantees that there exists a subsequence  $\{x^{k_p}\}$  converging to some point  $x^*$ . Since X is closed, we get  $x^* \in X$ . Finally,  $f(x^{k_p}) \to f(x^*)$  since f is continuous. Therefore,  $f(x^*) = v(P)$ , i.e.,  $x^*$  is a global optimum.

#### **Example**

min 
$$x_1 + x_2$$
:  $x \in X := \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4 \le 0\}$ 

admits a global optimum.

# Existence of global optima

#### Corollary 2

If the objective function f is continuous, the feasible region X is closed and there exists  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the k-sublevel set

$$S_k(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \le k\} \tag{1}$$

is nonempty and bounded, then (at least) a global optimum exists.

**Proof.** Minimizing f on X is equivalent to minimize f on  $S_k(f)$  which is bounded and closed since f is continuous and X is closed.

#### **Example**

The function  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4x_1 - 2x_2$  fulfils the condition (1).

In fact, suitably choosing k, the set

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4x_1 - 2x_2 \le k$$

is a circle with center C = (2,1) and ray  $r = \sqrt{2^2 + 1^2 + k}$ 

# **Example**

$$\begin{cases} \min e^{x_1+x_2} \\ x \in X := \{x_1 - x_2 \le 0, -2x_1 + x_2 \le 0\} \end{cases}$$

f is continuous, X is closed and unbounded. But the sublevel set  $S_2(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \le 2\}$  is nonempty and bounded, thus a global optimum exists.

Note that  $S_2(f)$  is the solution set of the system:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 \le log 2 \\ x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \\ -2x_1 + x_2 \le 0 \end{cases}$$

#### Existence of global optima

#### Corollary 3

If the objective function f is continuous and coercive, i.e.,

$$\lim_{\substack{\|x\|\to\infty\\x\in X}} f(x) = +\infty,$$
 (2)

and the feasible region  $X \neq \emptyset$  is closed, then (at least) a global optimum exists.

**Proof.** Let  $\bar{x} \in X$  and  $k := f(\bar{x})$ . By (2) the sublevel set  $S_k(f)$  is nonempty and bounded, then apply Corollary 2 to show that a global optimum exists.

#### Example

$$\begin{cases} \min x^4 + 3x^3 - 5x^2 + x - 2 \\ x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

Since f is coercive, then there exists a global optimum.

#### **Example**

The function  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4x_1 - 2x_2$  fulfils the condition (2), with  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Indeed, 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4x_1 - 2x_2 = (x_1 - 2)^2 - 4 + (x_2 - 1)^2 - 1$$
.

If  $||x|| = ||(x_1, x_2)|| \to +\infty$ , then at least one of the following conditions holds:

$$|x_1| \to +\infty$$
 or  $|x_2| \to +\infty$ 

In the first case we have:

$$\lim_{\|x\| \to +\infty} f(x_1, x_2) \ge \lim_{|x_1| \to +\infty} (x_1 - 2)^2 - 5 = +\infty$$

In the second case:

$$\lim_{\|x\| \to +\infty} f(x_1, x_2) \ge \lim_{\|x_2\| \to +\infty} (x_2 - 1)^2 - 5 = +\infty$$

Therefore, for any sequence  $\{x^n\} = \{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}$  such that  $||x^n|| \to +\infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}f(x^n)=+\infty,$$

which guarantees that (2) is fulfilled.

# Existence in the presence of convexity assumptions

#### Theorem 1

Assume that f is convex on the convex set X. Then any local optimum of (P) is a global optimum.

**Proof.** Let  $x^*$  be a local optimum of (P), i.e., there is r > 0 s.t.

$$f(x^*) \leq f(z) \qquad \forall \ z \in X \cap B(x^*, r).$$

By contradiction, assume that  $x^*$  is not a global optimum, then there exists  $y \in X$  s.t.  $f(y) < f(x^*)$ . Take  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  s.t.  $\alpha x^* + (1-\alpha)y \in B(x^*,r)$ . Then, we have

$$f(x^*) \le f(\alpha x^* + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha f(x^*) + (1 - \alpha)f(y) < \alpha f(x^*) + (1 - \alpha)f(x^*) = f(x^*),$$

that is impossible.

#### **Proposition 1**

Assume that f is strictly convex on the convex set X and that (P) admits a global optimum  $x^*$ . Then  $x^*$  is the unique optimal solution of (P).

**Proof.** By contradiction, assume that there exists  $\hat{x} \in X$ , with  $\hat{x} \neq x^*$ , such that  $f(\hat{x}) = f(x^*)$ . Since f is strictly convex, we have

$$f(\alpha x^* + (1-\alpha)\hat{x}) < \alpha f(x^*) + (1-\alpha)f(\hat{x}) = f(x^*) \quad \forall \alpha \in (0,1)$$

# Existence in the presence of convexity assumptions

which contradicts that  $x^*$  is a global optimum of (P).

#### Theorem 2

If  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is strongly convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and X is closed, then there exists a global optimum.

**Proof.** It is known that any convex function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous, moreover, recall that any strongly convex function is the sum of a convex function plus the function  $\tau \|x\|_2^2$ , for some  $\tau > 0$ , i.e.,

$$f(x) = \psi(x) + \tau ||x||_2^2,$$

with  $\psi$  convex.

Since  $\psi$  is convex then it is bounded from below by linear function:

$$f(x) = \psi(x) + \tau ||x||_2^2 \ge a^T x + \tau ||x||_2^2 \ge -||a||_2 ||x||_2 + \tau ||x||_2^2$$

By the previous inequalities it follows that f is coercive, so that the thesis follows from Corollary 3.

# Corollary 1

If f is strongly convex (on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) and X is closed and convex, then there exists a unique global optimum.

**Proof.** By the previous theorem we know that a global minimum point exists, then the proof follows from Proposition 1.

#### **Example**

Any quadratic programming problem

$$\min \ \frac{1}{2} x^{\mathsf{T}} Q x + c^{\mathsf{T}} x, \quad x \in X,$$

where Q is a positive definite matrix and X is closed and convex, has a unique global optimum.

# Optimality conditions for unconstrained problems

We now consider the particular case where X is an open set. In particular, this assumption is fulfilled in when  $X := \mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e., (P) is an unconstrained problem defined by

$$\min\{f(x): x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

#### Theorem 3 (Necessary optimality condition)

Assume that X is an open set and let f be differentiable at  $x^* \in X$ . If  $x^*$  is a local optimum of (P), then

$$\nabla f(x^*) = 0.$$

**Proof.** By contradiction, assume that  $\nabla f(x^*) \neq 0$ . Choose direction  $d = -\nabla f(x^*)$ , define  $\varphi(t) = f(x^* + td)$ ,

$$\varphi'(0) = d^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla f(x^*) = -\|\nabla f(x^*)\|^2 < 0,$$

thus  $f(x^* + td) < f(x^*)$  for all t small enough, which is impossible because  $x^*$  is a local optimum.

# Second order optimality conditions

Assume that  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has continuous first and second order partial derivatives for every  $x \in X$ .

# Theorem 4 (Second order necessary optimality condition)

Let X be an open set and let  $x^* \in X$  be a local optimum for (P). Then the following conditions hold:

- The Hessian matrix  $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$  is positive semidefinite.

# Theorem 5 (Second order sufficient optimality condition)

Let X be an open set,  $x^* \in X$  and assume that the following conditions hold:

- The Hessian matrix  $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$  is positive definite.

Then  $x^*$  is a local optimum for (P).

# Optimality conditions in the convex case

# Theorem 6 (Optimality condition for convex problems)

Let f be a differentiable convex function on the open convex set X, then  $x^* \in X$  is a global optimum for (P) if and only if  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ .

**Proof.** The necessity follows from Theorem 3.

Assume that  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ . Recall that, under the given differentiability assumptions f is convex on X if and only if

$$f(x) - f(y) \ge (x - y)^T \nabla f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Setting  $y = x^*$  we obtain

$$f(x^*) \leq f(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Similarly we can prove the following uniqueness result.

#### Theorem 7

Let f be a differentiable strictly convex function on the open convex set X, then  $x^* \in X$  is a unique global optimum for (P) if and only if  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ .

# Existence of global optima for unconstrained quadratic programming problems

Consider the quadratic problem

$$\begin{cases} \min f(x) := \frac{1}{2} x^{\mathsf{T}} Q x + c^{\mathsf{T}} x \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$
 (P)

where Q is a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix.

#### Corollary 2

There exists a global optimum  $x^*$  for (P) if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $Qx^* + c = 0$ ,
- (ii) Q is positive semidefinite.

#### Remark

Notice that, from (ii) a quadratic unconstrained problem admits an optimal solution only if f is convex, so that any local solution is also global.

#### Remark

We already observed that if Q is positive definite then (P) admits a unique global optimum. Indeed, in such a case Q is nonsingular and the system in (i) admits the unique solution  $x^* = -Q^{-1}c$ .

Let us consider more in details the case where Q is positive semidefinite but not positive definite.

In order to guarantee the existence of a global optimal solution we have to analyze the existence of a solution of the system Qx + c = 0.

By the Rouche'-Capelli Theorem the system  ${\it Qx}=-c$  admits a solution if and only if

$$rank([Q, -c]) = rank(Q)$$
 (3)

#### **Proposition**

If Q is positive semidefinite and (3) is fulfilled then (P) admits global optima given by the set of solutions of the system Qx = -c.

#### **Example**

Check if the function

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + x_3^2 + 3x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 - 6x_1 - 4x_2 - 3x_3$$

admits a global minimum on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

The Hessian matrix is 
$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

By the Matlab command eig(Q) we obtain the eigenvalues of Q

$$eig(Q) \approx [0.61, 2.28, 7.09]$$

Then f is strongly convex and the global minimum point is

$$x^* = -Q^{-1}c = -inv(Q) * c$$
, where  $c = [-6, -4, -3]'$   
 $x^* = -inv(Q) * c = inv(Q) * [-6, -4, -3]'$ 

$$x^* = 2.7000$$

# **Example**

Check if the function

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_1x_2 - 2x_1x_3 - x_2x_3 + x_1 - x_3$$

admits a global minimum on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

The Hessian matrix is 
$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 6 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $c = (1, 0, -1)^T$ .

By the Matlab command eig(Q) we obtain the eigenvalues of Q

$$eig(Q) \approx [0, 3.26, 6.73]$$

Then f is convex but not strongly convex and the global minimum points, if any, are given by the solutions of the system Qx + c = 0.

Setting c = [1, 0, -1]', by the Matlab command "rank", we check that

$$rank([Q,-c]) = rank(Q) = 2,$$

which proves that the system admits solutions.

We note that the first two rows of Q are linearly independent.

Therefore, we can delete the third equation of system Qx = -c, which turns out to be equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 6 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S}$$

Setting,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then (S) can be written as

$$B\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} + Nx_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} x_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then,

$$B\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} x_3$$

and, provided that  $det(B) \neq 0$ , we obtain,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = B^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - Nx_3 \end{bmatrix} = B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - B^{-1}Nx_3$$

Computing by Matlab, inv(B) \* [-1; 0] and inv(B) \* N, we obtain:

$$B^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} -1\\0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.5455\\0.0909 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B^{-1}N = \begin{pmatrix} -1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.5455 \\ 0.0909 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} x_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -0.5455 + x_3 \\ 0.0909 \end{pmatrix}$$

The set of global minima of the function f is given by

$$X_* = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 = -0.5455 + x_3, x_2 = 0.0909, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

# Convex optimization problems

An optimization problem  $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \min \ f(x) \\ g(x) \leq 0 \\ h(x) = 0 \end{array} \right.$  is said convex if the following conditions

#### hold:

- objective function f is convex
- inequality constraints  $g_1, \ldots, g_m$  are convex functions
- ullet equality constraints  $h_1,\ldots,h_p$  are affine functions (i.e.,  $h_j(x)=c^{ op}x+d$ )

#### **Examples**

a) Problem 
$$\begin{cases} & \min \ x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 3x_2^2 + 4x_1 + 5x_2 \\ & x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4 \le 0 \\ & x_1 + x_2 - 2 = 0 \end{cases}$$
 is convex 
$$\begin{cases} & \min \ x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ & x_1/(1 + x_2^2) \le 0 \end{cases}$$
 is NOT convex, 
$$(x_1 + x_2)^2 = 0$$

but it is equivalent to the problem  $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \min \ x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ x_1 \leq 0 \\ x_1 + x_2 = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{that is convex.}$ 

# Main properties of convex problems

#### **Proposition**

In a convex optimization problem the feasible region X is a convex set.

**Proof.** The sublevel sets of convex functions are convex and the level sets of affine functions are convex.

#### **Proposition**

In a convex optimization problem any stationary point is a global optimal solution.