

## 13: Summary + Extras

[bit.ly/SISBID3](https://bit.ly/SISBID3)

The most important tool is the **mindset**,  
when starting, that the end product  
will be reproducible.

– Keith Baggerly

# Steps toward reproducible research

- ▶ Slow down
- ▶ Organize; document
- ▶ Everything with code
- ▶ Scripts → RMarkdown
- ▶ Code → functions → packages
- ▶ Version control with Git
- ▶ Automation with Make
- ▶ Choose a license
- ▶ Share your work with others

# Challenges

- ▶ Daily maintenance
  - READMEs up to date?
  - Documentation match code?
- ▶ Cleaning up the junk
  - Move defunct stuff into an old/ subdirectory?
- ▶ Start over from the beginning, nicely?

# Sharing your work

- ▶ Why share?
  - Funding agency or journal requirement
  - Increased visibility
  - So that others can build on your work
- ▶ When?
  - Continuously and instantaneously
  - When you submit a paper
  - When your paper appears
- ▶ Risks?

[bit.ly/rr\\_sharing\\_slides](https://bit.ly/rr_sharing_slides)

# What to share?

- ▶ For sure
  - Primary dataset
  - Metadata
  - Data cleaning scripts
  - Analysis scripts
- ▶ It could help
  - Very-raw data
  - Processed/clean data
  - Intermediate results
- ▶ No
  - Confidential data (e.g. HIPAA data)
  - Passwords, private keys

# Where to share?

- ▶ Domain-specific repository
  - Genbank, dbGaP, etc.
  - See [re3data.org](https://re3data.org)
- ▶ Figshare, Dryad, Zenodo
- ▶ Institutional repository
- ▶ GitHub, BitBucket

# Resources

- ▶ R Markdown

- [rmarkdown.rstudio.com](https://rmarkdown.rstudio.com)

- ▶ R Packages

- Releasing to CRAN: [r-pkgs.had.co.nz/release.html](https://r-pkgs.had.co.nz/release.html)
  - Leek group: [github.com/jtleek/rpackages](https://github.com/jtleek/rpackages)
  - When to trust an R package: [bit.ly/trust\\_r\\_pkg](https://bit.ly/trust_r_pkg)

- ▶ Make

- [kbroman.org/minimal\\_make](https://kbroman.org/minimal_make)
  - remake R package, [github.com/richfitz/remake](https://github.com/richfitz/remake)

- ▶ Git

- Git branches: [nicercode.github.io/git/branches.html](https://nicercode.github.io/git/branches.html)
  - Hadley on Git/GitHub: [r-pkgs.had.co.nz/git.html](https://r-pkgs.had.co.nz/git.html)
  - Git subtrees: [bit.ly/git\\_subtree](https://bit.ly/git_subtree)

- ▶ Also see [bit.ly/sisbid3\\_resources](https://bit.ly/sisbid3_resources)



Some of the things we didn't cover

# R CMD BATCH

## Why is it cool?

- Scripting lets you run everything in the background
- Increases the odds you've got *everything* reproducible

## Why didn't we cover it?

- A bit geek-heavy

## Where would we point you?

- Stackoverflow discussion: [bit.ly/so\\_rscript](https://bit.ly/so_rscript)
- Codecademy Learn the Command Line lesson: [bit.ly/learn\\_command\\_line](https://bit.ly/learn_command_line)

# Coding conventions

## Why are they cool?

- They help you keep things consistent between team members
- They make code easier to read, and more likely to be used

## Why didn't we cover them?

- Not enough time

## Where would we point you?

- Hadley's recommendations [adv-r.had.co.nz/Style.html](http://adv-r.had.co.nz/Style.html)
- Google's recommendations  
[google.github.io/styleguide/Rguide.xml](https://google.github.io/styleguide/Rguide.xml)

# Code review

## Why is it cool?

- Helps to find bugs and clean up confusing bits
- Potentially a test of the reproducibility of your work

## Why didn't we cover it?

- Not enough time

## Where would we point you?

- Software Carpentry blog post, [bit.ly/swc\\_codereview](http://bit.ly/swc_codereview)
- Titus Brown's blog post,  
[http://bit.ly/titus\\_codereview](http://bit.ly/titus_codereview)

# Software testing

## Why is it cool?

- Explicit tests help you to avoid bugs, and to find bugs sooner

## Why didn't we cover it?

- Not enough time

## Where would we point you?

- testthat package, [github.com/hadley/testthat](https://github.com/hadley/testthat)
- [Testing R Code](#) book

# Continuous integration (eg Travis)

## Why is it cool?

- Automatically build and run tests when you push to GitHub

## Why didn't we cover it?

- Not enough time

## Where would we point you?

- Julia Silge blog post,  
[juliasilge.com/blog/beginners-guide-to-travis](https://juliasilge.com/blog/beginners-guide-to-travis)
- Hadley's R packages book,  
[r-pkgs.had.co.nz/check.html#travis](https://r-pkgs.had.co.nz/check.html#travis)

# Capturing dependencies

## Why is it cool?

- Ensure that your carefully constructed reproducible project doesn't fail due to a change in one of the packages you use

## Why didn't we cover it?

- Not enough time

## Where would we point you?

- packrat package, [github.com/rstudio/packrat](https://github.com/rstudio/packrat)
- checkpoint package,  
[github.com/RevolutionAnalytics/checkpoint](https://github.com/RevolutionAnalytics/checkpoint)

# Containers (e.g. docker)

## Why are they cool?

- Capture your entire environment, so your project is *for sure* fully reproducible.

## Why didn't we cover them?

- A bit technical

## Where would we point you?

- [Rocker: Docker for R](#)
- [R Docker tutorial](#)



# R Markdown templates

Why are they cool?

- More complete control over the appearance of your document

Why didn't we cover them?

- A bit technical

Where would we point you?

- [R Markdown documentation](#)

# knitr Bootstrap

Why is it cool?

- Allows for generation of slicker reports

Why didn't we cover it?

- A bit technical

Where would we point you?

- [github.com/jimhester/knitrBootstrap](https://github.com/jimhester/knitrBootstrap)

# knitr citations

## Why is it cool?

- Allows for generation of slicker reports, automates assembly of references from the web

## Why didn't we cover it?

- A bit technical

## Where would we point you?

- [github.com/cboettig/knitcitations](https://github.com/cboettig/knitcitations)

# Shiny!

## Why is it cool?

- Interactive pictures have pizzazz.

## Why didn't we cover it?

- Tangential to *reproducible research*?

## Where would we point you?

- [shiny.rstudio.com](https://shiny.rstudio.com)
- [shiny.rstudio.com/tutorial](https://shiny.rstudio.com/tutorial)

# GitHub pages

Why are they cool?

- They provide nicer interfaces to your content

Why didn't we cover them?

- Tangential to *reproducible research*?

Where would we point you?

- [pages.github.com](https://pages.github.com)
- [kbroman.org/simple\\_site](https://kbroman.org/simple_site)

# Feedback we'd like from you (1)

What motivated us to teach this course?

What would we see as a positive outcome?

- ▶ Given this motivation, are we doing things right?
- ▶ What motivated you to take this course?
- ▶ Were there specific sessions you found really useful/really useless?
- ▶ Points you'd like us to expand on?
- ▶ Were there points you were hoping we'd cover that we didn't?

## Feedback we'd like from you (2)

- ▶ Do you have examples/anecdotes you think we might be able to use that you'd be willing to share?
- ▶ Were there ways we could've used time more effectively?
- ▶ Were there ways we could've used our TAs more effectively?
- ▶ Can you see things you learned in this course changing how you do things day to day?
  - Why or why not?
  - Can we ask you again in 6 months?
  - Can we ask you again in a year?
- ▶ Could you write this down now? (anonymous is fine)