Matrix Variate Normal

Seoul National University Bayesian Statistics Lab

May 17, 2023

Table of contents

Introduction

2 Properties

Oiscussion

Introduction

We know that

- (1) For univariate normal r.v. x, p.d.f. is : $(2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$

(2) For multivariate normal r.v.
$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)^\top$$
, p.d.f. is :
$$(2\pi)^{-p/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)\right\}, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^p, \Sigma > 0$$



Matrix Variate Normal

Question

Question1 : How about matrix normal r.m. $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$?

Definition

Definition 1

The random matrix $\mathbf{X}(p \times n)$ is said to have a matrix variate normal distribution with mean matrix $\mathbf{M}(p \times n)$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma \otimes \Psi$ where $\Sigma(p \times p) > 0$ and $\Psi(n \times n) > 0$, if $\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X}^{\top}) \sim N_{pn}(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{M}^{\top}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi))$.

Simply write as:

$$\mathbf{X} \sim N_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi).$$

Question

 $\label{eq:Question2} Question2: \ How \ do \ we \ derive \ p.d.f. \ of \ Matrix \ Variate \ Normal \ Distribution?$

Theorem 1

If $\mathbf{X} \sim N_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi)$, then the p.d.f. of \mathbf{X} is given by

$$(2\pi)^{-np/2}|\Sigma|^{-n/2}|\Psi|^{-p/2}\exp\left\{tr\left[-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M})\Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M})^{\top}\right]\right\},$$

where $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$.

Matrix Variate Normal

Before we start , remark the following :

Lemma 1

For $A(p \times m), B(n \times q), C(q \times m), D(q \times n), E(m \times m)$ and $X(m \times n),$ we have

- (i) $vec(AXB) = (B^{\top} \otimes A)vec(X)$
- (ii) $tr(CXB) = [vec(C^\top)]^\top [I_q \otimes X] vec(X)$
- (iii) $\operatorname{tr}(DX^{\top}EXB) = [\operatorname{vec}(X)]^{\top}[D^{\top}B^{\top}\otimes E]\operatorname{vec}(X) = [\operatorname{vec}(X)]^{\top}[BD\otimes E^{\top}]\operatorname{vec}(X)$

Lemma 2

- (i) For nonsingular matrices A and B, $(A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}$
- (ii) For $A(m \times m)$ and $B(n \times n)$, $|A \otimes B| = |A|^n |B|^m$.

Proof.

Let $\mathbf{x} = \mathsf{vec}(\mathbf{X}^\top)$ and $\mathbf{m} = \mathsf{vec}(\mathbf{M}^\top)$.

Then according to the **Definition 1**, $\mathbf{x} \sim N_{pn}(\mathbf{m}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi)$, and its p.d.f. is

$$(2\pi)^{-np/2}|\Sigma \otimes \Psi|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})^{\top}(\Sigma \otimes \Psi)^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})\right\}.$$

Using Lemma 1, we can derive the following :

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{m})^\top(\Sigma\otimes\Psi)^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{m}) = (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{m})^\top(\Sigma^{-1}\otimes\Psi^{-1})(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{m}) \quad (\because \mathbf{Lemma} \ \mathbf{2}(i)) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}[(\Sigma^{-1}\otimes\Psi^{-1})(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{m})(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{m})^\top] \\ &= \operatorname{tr}[(\Sigma^{-1}\otimes\Psi^{-1})(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X}^\top-\mathbf{M}^\top))(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X}^\top-\mathbf{M}^\top))^\top] \\ &= \operatorname{tr}[(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X}^\top-\mathbf{M}^\top))^\top(\Sigma^{-1}\otimes\Psi^{-1})(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X}^\top-\mathbf{M}^\top))] \\ &= \operatorname{tr}[(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X}^\top-\mathbf{M}^\top))^\top\operatorname{vec}(\Psi^{-1}\mathbf{X}^\top-\mathbf{M}^\top\Sigma^{-1})] \quad (\because \mathbf{Lemma} \ \mathbf{1}(i)) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}[(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M})\Psi^{-1}\mathbf{X}^\top-\mathbf{M}^\top\Sigma^{-1}] \quad (\because \mathbf{Lemma} \ \mathbf{1}(ii)) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M})\Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M})^\top\right] \end{split}$$

Proof continued.

Also using Lemma 2, we can derive the following:

$$|\Sigma \otimes \Psi|^{-1/2} = (|\Sigma|^n |\Psi|^p)^{-1/2} = |\Sigma|^{-n/2} |\Psi|^{-p/2} (\because \textbf{Lemma 2}(ii))$$

Combining above results, p.d.f of X is

$$(2\pi)^{-np/2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-n/2}|\boldsymbol{\Psi}|^{-p/2}\exp\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M})\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M})^{\top}\right]\right\},$$

where $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$.



Matrix Variate Normal

Properties

Theorem 2

If $\mathbf{X} \sim N_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi)$, then $\mathbf{X}^{\top} \sim N_{n,p}(\mathbf{M}^{\top}, \Psi \otimes \Sigma)$.

We skip the proof since the proof suffices to show that the exponents occurring in the densities of $\text{vec}(\mathbf{X}^\top)$ and $\text{vec}(\mathbf{X})$ are equal. But this can be derived not so hard from **Lemma 1**.

Characteristic function

Theorem 3

If $\mathbf{X} \sim N_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi)$, then the characteristic function of \mathbf{X} is

$$\phi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{Z}) = \exp\left(tr\left[i\mathbf{Z}^{\top}\mathbf{M} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}^{\top}\Sigma\mathbf{Z}\Psi\right]\right).$$

Proof.

We know that the characteristic function of a random matrix $\mathbf{X}(p \times n)$ is denoted by

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{Z}) &= M_{\mathbf{X}}(i\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mathrm{tr}(i\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z}^{\top})}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mathrm{tr}(i\mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{X}^{\top})^{\top}\mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{Z}^{\top}))}\right] \quad (\because \text{ Lemma } \mathbf{1}(ii)) \end{split}$$



Matrix Variate Normal

Characteristic function

Proof continued.

Now, we know that $\text{vec}(\mathbf{X}^{\top}) \sim N_{pn}(\text{vec}(\mathbf{M}^{\top}), \Sigma \otimes \Psi)$. Hence, we can use the form of characteristic function of multivariate normal as following :

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{Z}) &= \exp\left[i \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{M}^\top)^\top \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{Z}^\top) - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{Z}^\top)^\top (\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Psi}) \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{Z}^\top)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[i \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}^\top) - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{Z}^\top)^\top \mathrm{vec}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\mathbf{Z}^\top \mathbf{Z})\right] \quad (\because \mathbf{Lemma} \ \mathbf{1}(ii), (i)) \\ &= \exp\left[i \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}^\top) - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\Psi}\mathbf{Z}^\top\boldsymbol{\Sigma})\right] \quad (\because \mathbf{Lemma} \ \mathbf{1}(ii)) \\ &= \exp\left[\mathrm{tr}\left(i \mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}^\top - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\Psi}\mathbf{Z}^\top\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[\mathrm{tr}\left(i \mathbf{Z}^\top \mathbf{M} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Z}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\Psi}\right)\right] \end{split}$$



Question

 $\label{eq:Question3} \textbf{Question3}: \ \textbf{Why do we need c.f. of Matrix Variate Normal Distribution?}$

Properties

Theorem 4

If $\mathbf{X} \sim N_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi), \ D(m \times p)$ is of rank $m \leq p$ and $C(n \times t)$ is of rank $t \leq n$, then

$$D\mathbf{X}C \sim N_{m,t}(D\mathbf{M}C, (D\Sigma D^{\top}) \otimes (C^{\top}\Psi C))$$

Proof.

We know that the characteristic function of $D\mathbf{X}C$ is

$$\phi_{D\mathbf{X}C}(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tr[iD\mathbf{X}C\mathbf{Z}^{\top}]}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{tr[i\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z}_{1}^{\top}]}]$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_1^{\top} = C\mathbf{Z}^{\top}D$. Then by **Theorem 3**,

$$\begin{split} \phi_{D\mathbf{X}C}(\mathbf{Z}) &= e^{\mathrm{tr}[iZ_1^\top \mathbf{M} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}_1^\top \Sigma \mathbf{Z}_1 \Psi]} \\ &= e^{\mathrm{tr}[iC\mathbf{Z}^\top D\mathbf{M} - \frac{1}{2}C\mathbf{Z}^\top D\Sigma (C\mathbf{Z}^\top D)^\top \Psi]} \\ &= e^{\mathrm{tr}[i\mathbf{Z}^\top (D\mathbf{M}C) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}^\top (D\Sigma D^\top) \mathbf{Z} (C^\top \Psi C)]} \end{split}$$

which is the characteristic function of a MVND with mean DMC and covariance matrix $(D\Sigma D^{\top})\otimes (C^{\top}\Psi C)$.



Question

 $\label{eq:Question4} \mbox{Question4}: \mbox{ Can we get marginal and conditional distribution?}$

Marginal distribution

Theorem 5

Let $\mathbf{X} \sim N_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi)$, and partition $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{M}, \Sigma$ and Ψ as

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{11} & \mathbf{X}_{12} \\ (p_1 \times n_1) & (p_1 \times n_2) \\ \mathbf{X}_{21} & \mathbf{X}_{22} \\ (p_2 \times n_1) & (p_2 \times n_2) \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{11} & \mathbf{M}_{12} \\ (p_1 \times n_1) & (p_1 \times n_2) \\ \mathbf{M}_{21} & \mathbf{M}_{22} \\ (p_2 \times n_1) & (p_2 \times n_2) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ (p_1 \times p_1) & (p_1 \times p_2) \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \\ (p_2 \times p_1) & (p_2 \times p_2) \end{bmatrix} and \quad \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{11} & \Psi_{12} \\ (n_1 \times n_1) & (n_1 \times n_2) \\ \Psi_{21} & \Psi_{22} \\ (n_2 \times n_1) & (n_2 \times n_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, $\mathbf{X}_{11} \sim N_{p_1,n_1}(\mathbf{M}_{11},\Sigma_{11} \otimes \Psi_{11}).$

Proof.

The result follows by using

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} I_{p_1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } C^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

in Theorem 4.



Theorem 6

Let $\mathbf{X} \sim N_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi)$, and partition $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{M}, \Sigma$ and Ψ as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{1r} \\ (p_1 \times n) \\ \mathbf{X}_{2r} \\ (p_2 \times n)) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{1c} & \mathbf{X}_{2c} \\ (p \times n_1) & (p \times n_2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{1r} \\ (p_1 \times n) \\ \mathbf{M}_{2r} \\ (p_2 \times n)) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{1c} & \mathbf{M}_{2c} \\ (p \times n_1) & (p \times n_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ \Sigma &= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{11} & \sum_{12} \\ (p_1 \times p_1) & (p_1 \times p_2) \\ \sum_{21} & \sum_{22} \\ (p_2 \times p_1) & (p_2 \times p_2) \end{bmatrix} and \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{11} & \Psi_{12} \\ (n_1 \times n_1) & (n_1 \times n_2) \\ \Psi_{21} & \Psi_{22} \\ (n_2 \times n_1) & (n_2 \times n_2) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Then, following holds:

(i)
$$\mathbf{X}_{1r} \sim N_{m,n}(\mathbf{M}_{1r}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi), \ \mathbf{X}_{1c} \sim N_{p,t}(\mathbf{M}_{1c}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi_{11})$$

(ii)
$$X_{2r}|X_{1r} \sim N_{p_2,n}(\mathbf{M}_{2r} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}), \Sigma_{22\cdot 1} \otimes \Psi)$$

(iii)
$$X_{2c}|X_{1c} \sim N_{p,n_2}(\mathbf{M}_{2c} + (\mathbf{X}_{1c} - \mathbf{M}_{1c})\Psi_{11}^{-1}\Psi_{12}), \Sigma \otimes \Psi_{22\cdot 1}),$$

where
$$\Sigma_{22\cdot 1}=\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}~$$
 and $\Psi_{22\cdot 1}=\Psi_{22}-\Psi_{21}\Psi_{11}^{-1}\Psi_{12}.$



Matrix Variate Normal

May 17, 2023

Proof.

(i)It can be proved by using same logic as in the proof of Theorem 5:

$$\begin{cases} for \ X_{1r} \Rightarrow use \ D = (I_{p_1} \quad 0), \ C^\top = (I_n \quad 0) \\ for \ X_{1c} \Rightarrow use \ D = (I_p \quad 0), \ C^\top = (I_{n_1} \quad 0) \end{cases}$$



Proof continued.

(ii)We will use the logic : $pdf(y_1, y_2) = pdf(y_1)pdf(y_2|y_1)$

Let
$$\Sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^{11} & \Sigma^{12} \\ \Sigma^{21} & \Sigma^{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
,

where $\Sigma^{11} = \Sigma_{11,2}^{-1}$, $\Sigma^{22} = \Sigma_{22,1}^{-1}$, $\Sigma^{12} = -\Sigma_{11,2}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} = -\Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22,1}^{-1} = (\Sigma^{21})^{\top}$. Then we can derive the following:

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M})^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M}) &= \left[(\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r})^{\top} \quad (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r})^{\top} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^{11} & \Sigma^{12} \\ \Sigma^{21} & \Sigma^{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r} \\ \mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r})^{\top} \Sigma^{11} (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}) + (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r})^{\top} \Sigma^{12} (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r}) \\ &+ (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r})^{\top} \Sigma^{21} (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}) + (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r})^{\top} \Sigma^{22} (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r}) \\ &= (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r})^{\top} (\Sigma^{11} - \Sigma^{12} (\Sigma^{22})^{-1} \Sigma^{21}) (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}) \\ &+ (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r})^{\top} \Sigma^{12} (\Sigma^{22})^{-1} \Sigma^{21} (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}) + (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r})^{\top} \Sigma^{12} (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r}) \\ &+ (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r})^{\top} \Sigma^{21} (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}) + (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r})^{\top} \Sigma^{22} (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r}) \end{split}$$

May 17, 2023

Proof continued.

$$= (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r})^{\top} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}) \\ + (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1})^{\top} \Sigma_{22 \cdot 1}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1})$$

This is because

$$(1) \ \Sigma^{12}(\Sigma^{22})^{-1}\Sigma^{21} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22\cdot 1}^{-1}(\Sigma_{22\cdot 1})\Sigma_{22\cdot 1}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22\cdot 1}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}$$

(2)
$$\Sigma^{11}-\Sigma^{12}(\Sigma^{22})^{-1}\Sigma^{21}=\Sigma^{-1}_{11}$$
 (: Woodbury matrix identity)

Thus, the density of ${\bf X}$ can be written as

$$\begin{split} f(\mathbf{X}) &= (2\pi)^{-np/2} |\Sigma|^{-n/2} |\Psi|^{-p/2} e^{tr[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M})^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M}) \Psi^{-1}]} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-np_1/2} |\Sigma_{11}|^{-n/2} |\Psi|^{-p_1/2} e^{tr[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r})^{\top} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}) \Psi^{-1}]} \\ &\quad \times (2\pi)^{-np_2/2} |\Sigma_{11}|^{-n/2} |\Psi|^{-p_2/2} e^{tr[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1})^{\top} \Sigma_{22 \cdot 1}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{2r} - \mathbf{M}_{2r} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1})]} \end{split}$$

Hence we can conclude the following:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{X}_{1r} \sim N_{p_1,n}(\mathbf{M}_{1r}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi) \\ \mathbf{X}_{2r} | \mathbf{X}_{1r} \sim N_{p_2,n}(\mathbf{M}_{2r} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}), \Sigma_{22 \cdot 1} \otimes \Psi) \end{cases}$$

Proof continued.

Using Theorem 2, we know that

$$\mathbf{X}^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{1c}^{\top} \\ \mathbf{X}_{2c}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \sim N_{n,p} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{1c}^{\top} \\ \mathbf{M}_{2c}^{\top} \end{bmatrix}, \Psi \otimes \Sigma \right)$$

Then using same logic as in (ii), we get

$$\mathbf{X}_{2c}^{\top}|\mathbf{X}_{1c}^{\top} \sim N_{n_2,p}(\mathbf{M}_{2c}^{\top} + \Psi_{21}\Psi_{11}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_{1c}^{\top} - \mathbf{M}_{2c}^{\top}), \Psi_{22\cdot 1} \otimes \Sigma)$$

Again using Theorem 2, we get

$$\mathbf{X}_{2c}|\mathbf{X}_{1c} \sim N_{p,n_2}(\mathbf{M}_{2c} + (\mathbf{X}_{1c} - \mathbf{M}_{1c})\Psi_{11}^{-1}\Psi_{12}, \Sigma \otimes \Psi_{22\cdot 1})$$



Another Proof.

(ii)We will use the logic : $X_2|X_1\sim N(\mu_2+\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}(X_1-\mu_1),\Sigma_{22}-\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})$ From **Definition 1**, we have

$$\mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{X}^{\top}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{X}_{1r}^{\top}) \\ \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{X}_{2r}^{\top}) \end{bmatrix} \sim N_{pn} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{M}_{1r}^{\top}) \\ \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{M}_{2r}^{\top}) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} \otimes \Psi & \Sigma_{12} \otimes \Psi \\ \Sigma_{21} \otimes \Psi & \Sigma_{22} \otimes \Psi \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X}_{2r}^\top)|\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X}_{1r}^\top) \sim N_{p_2n}\left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{M}_{2r}^\top) + (\Sigma_{21} \otimes \Psi)(\Sigma_{11} \otimes \Psi)^{-1}\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X}_{1r}^\top - \mathbf{M}_{1r}^\top), \mathbf{V}_{22 \cdot 1}\right),$$

where
$$\mathbf{V}_{22\cdot 1} = (\Sigma_{22} \otimes \Psi) - (\Sigma_{21} \otimes \Psi)(\Sigma_{11} \otimes \Psi)^{-1}(\Sigma_{12} \otimes \Psi).$$



Matrix Variate Normal

Another Proof continued.

For mean part,

(1)
$$(\Sigma_{21} \otimes \Psi)(\Sigma_{11} \otimes \Psi)^{-1} = (\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1} \otimes I_p)$$

(2)

$$\begin{split} (\Sigma_{21} \otimes \Psi) (\Sigma_{11} \otimes \Psi)^{-1} \mathrm{vec} \left([\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}]^\top \right) &= (\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \otimes I_p) \mathrm{vec} \left([\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}]^\top \right) \\ &= \mathrm{vec} \left([\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}]^\top \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \right) \end{split}$$

(3)

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{mean} &\equiv \mathsf{vec} \left(\mathbf{M}_{2r}^\top \right) + (\Sigma_{21} \otimes \Psi) (\Sigma_{11} \otimes \Psi)^{-1} \mathsf{vec} \left(\left[\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r} \right]^\top \right) \\ &= \mathsf{vec} \left(\left[\mathbf{M}_{2r} + \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}) \right]^\top \right) \end{split}$$



Another Proof continued.

For covariance matrix part,

(1)
$$(\Sigma_{21} \otimes \Psi)(\Sigma_{11} \otimes \Psi)^{-1}(\Sigma_{12} \otimes \Psi) = (\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12} \otimes \Psi)$$

(2)

$$\begin{split} (\Sigma_{22} \otimes \Psi) - (\Sigma_{21} \otimes \Psi) (\Sigma_{11} \otimes \Psi)^{-1} (\Sigma_{12} \otimes \Psi) &= ([\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}] \otimes \Psi) \\ &= (\Sigma_{22 \cdot 1} \otimes \Psi) \end{split}$$



Another Proof continued.

Combining above results, we get

$$\therefore \text{vec}(\mathbf{X}_{2r}^\top) | \text{vec}(\mathbf{X}_{1r}^\top) \sim N_{p_2n} \left(\text{vec} \left([\mathbf{M}_{2r} + \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r})]^\top \right), \Sigma_{22 \cdot 1} \otimes \Psi \right)$$

By Definition 1, we get

$$\therefore \mathbf{X}_{2r}|\mathbf{X}_{1r} \sim N_{p_2,n} \left(\mathbf{M}_{2r} + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{1r} - \mathbf{M}_{1r}), \Sigma_{22\cdot 1} \otimes \Psi \right),$$

which is the same result we get from the previous proof.



Discussion

- (1) Why isn't matrix variate normal popular?
- (2) Relationship between matrix variate normal and wishart

Reference

A.K. Gupta, D.K. Nagar (1999). Matrix Variate Distributions. CHAPMAN $\,$ HALL/CRC