

1 ChaProEV: Generating Charging Profiles for Electric 2 Vehicles

3 **Omar Usmani**  ^{1*} and **Germán Morales-España**  ^{1,2*}

4 1 TNO Energy and Materials Transition, Radarweg 60, Amsterdam, 1043 NT, The Netherlands  2
5 Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science, Delft University of Technology,
6 Delft, The Netherlands  * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

7 Summary

8 ChaProEV is

9 Statement of need

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

- 10 ▪ Profiles are good and useful, but optimisation modes might also need some underlying parameters to do optimisation computations as well
- 11 ▪ Provide optimisation models with the boundary conditions they need
- 12 ▪ ChaProEV provides the necessary parameters (as exemplified in COMPETES, Mopo/Ines, etc.) in a clear and accessible way, with the user also allowing a clear way to modify them without touching code (Sijm et al., 2022)

13 Conceptual innovations: Supporting optimisation models

14 Basic elements

15 18 A commonly used aggregated EV formulation is (Morales-España et al., 2022):

$$e_t = e_{t-1} + \eta^{G2V} p_t^{G2V} \Delta - \frac{p_t^{V2G}}{\eta^{V2G}} \Delta - E_t^{\text{drive}} \Delta N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (1)$$

$$\underline{E}N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \leq e_t \leq \bar{E}N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (2)$$

$$0 \leq p_t^{G2V} \leq \bar{P}_t^{G2V} N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (3)$$

$$0 \leq p_t^{V2G} \leq \bar{P}_t^{V2G} N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (4)$$

19 where t is the time index and parameter Δ (h) is the duration of the time step. Variable e_t (kWh) tracks the total state of charge of the plugged EVs to the grid. Variables p_t^{G2V}/p_t^{V2G} (kW) are the power consumed/provided by the EVs from/to the grid. Parameters η^{G2V}/η^{V2G} (p.u.) are the charging/discharging efficiencies; \underline{E}/\bar{E} (kWh) are the minimum/maximum storage capacity per vehicle; N is the total number of EVs; and α (p.u.) is the share of controllable EVs providing demand response to the system.

20 25 Section ?? defines the remaining parameters (profiles).

21 26 Equation 1–Equation 4 model the demand response provided by controllable EVs through p_t^{G2V} and p_t^{V2G} . The total EV demand d_t^{Tot} (kW), including the non-controllable load, is defined as

$$d_t^{\text{Tot}} = D_t^0 N (1 - \alpha) + p_t^{G2V} - p_t^{V2G} \quad \forall t \quad (5)$$

28 where D_t^0 is the reference (non-demand response) profile given by ChaProEV (see Section ??),
 29 and α is the proportion of vehicles that are optimally providing demand response.

30 Further modelling

31 The formulation [Equation 1-Equation 4](#) has several shortcomings because there is no clear
 32 distinction between plugged and unplugged EVs. For example, suppose that plugged EVs
 33 were fully charged and the unplugged EVs were near to being empty, equation [Equation 1](#)
 34 allows that unplugged EVs could be charging while they should be unavailable to the system.
 35 ([Momber et al., 2014](#)) shows this and more detailed cases where the traditional EV aggregated
 36 formulation fails.

37 To overcome the above shortcomings, ([Momber et al., 2014](#)) proposed a more rigorous
 38 formulation, in which inventories for plugged/unplugged EVs are clearly distinguished from
 39 each other. This formulation ensures that only EVs plugged to the grid are charged/discharged
 40 from the electric system. It also guarantees that unplugged EVs cannot further charge while
 41 driving.

42 The state of charge of EVs in [Equation 1](#) is now replaced by the separated plugged [Equation 6](#)
 43 and unplugged [Equation 7](#) state of charges. Additionally, [Equation 2](#) is replaced by [Equation 8](#)
 44 and [Equation 9](#).

$$e_t^{\text{plugged}} = e_{t-1}^{\text{plugged}} + \eta^{\text{G2V}} p_t^{\text{G2V}} \Delta - \frac{p_t^{\text{V2G}}}{\eta^{\text{V2G}}} \Delta + N_{t-1}^{\text{plugging}} N \alpha e_{t-1}^{\text{unplugged}} - N_{t-1}^{\text{unplugging}} N \alpha e_{t-1}^{\text{plugged}} \quad \forall t \quad (6)$$

$$e_t^{\text{unplugged}} = e_{t-1}^{\text{unplugged}} - E_{t-1}^{\text{drive}} \Delta N \alpha - N_{t-1}^{\text{plugging}} N \alpha e_{t-1}^{\text{unplugged}} + N_{t-1}^{\text{unplugging}} N \alpha e_{t-1}^{\text{plugged}} \quad \forall t \quad (7)$$

$$\underline{E}N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \leq e_t^{\text{plugged}} \leq \bar{E}N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (8)$$

$$\underline{E}N_t^{\text{unplugged}} N \alpha \leq e_t^{\text{unplugged}} \leq \bar{E}N_t^{\text{unplugged}} N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (9)$$

45 Software innovations

46 No code parameters and profiles modification (explain what kind of modifications are possible)
 47 Scenarios

- 48 1. Demand for next leg (kWh) (from network): The charge that the vehicles leaving in the
 49 next time step need to pull from the network for the leg they are about to undertake,
 50 corrected by the charger efficiency.
- 51 2. Demand for next leg (kWh) (to vehicles): The part of the above that vehicles get.
 52 ($\{E_t^{\text{drive}}\}$ in [Equation 9](#))
- 53 3. Connected vehicles: The share of vehicles that are connected to a charger ($\{N_t^{\text{plugged}}\}$ in
 54 [Equation 9](#))
- 55 4. *Charging Power from Network (kW)*: Maximum power that connected vehicles can
 56 potentially draw from the network. ($\{\bar{P}_t^{\text{G2V}}\}$ in [Equation 9](#))
- 57 5. Charging Power to Vehicles (kW): Maximum power that can potentially go to vehicles
 58 go to vehicles (i.e. the same as above with a charger efficiency correction).
- 59 6. *Vehicle Discharge Power (kW)*: The amount of power connected vehicles can discharge
 60 to the network.
- 61 7. Discharge Power to Network (kW): How much of that discharged power can go to the
 62 network. ($\{\bar{P}_t^{\text{V2G}}\}$ in [Equation 9](#))
- 63 8. Effective charging efficiency: Ratio between charging power going to the vehicle and
 64 power coming from the network. This can vary in time, as the location of the charging
 65 vehicles (and thus the efficiency of the involved chargers) changes as they move around.
 66 (η^{G2V} in [Equation 9](#))

- 67 9. Effective discharging efficiency: Same as above, but for discharging (it is the power going
68 out of the vehicles divided by the power going into the network). (η^{V2G} in Equation)

69 **Acknowledgements**

70 ChaProEV was partly developed under funding from the European Climate, Infrastructure
71 and Environment Executive Agency under the European Union's HORIZON Research and
72 Innovation Actions under grant agreement no. 101095998.

73 Momber, I., Morales-España, G., Ramos, A., & Gomez, T. (2014). PEV Storage in Multi-
74 Bus Scheduling Problems. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 5(2), 1079–1087. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2013.2290594>

76 Morales-España, G., Martínez-Gordón, R., & Sijm, J. (2022). Classifying and modelling demand
77 response in power systems. *Energy*, 242, 122544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.122544>

79 Sijm, J., Morales-España, G., & Hernández-Serna, R. (2022). *The role of demand response
80 in the power system of the netherlands, 2030-2050* (Report No. P10131). TNO. <https://publications.tno.nl/publication/34639481/emVYyq/TKO-2022-P10131.pdf>