

¹ ChaProEV: Generating Charging Profiles for Electric Vehicles

³ **Omar Usmani**  ^{1*} and **Germán Morales-España**  ^{1,2*}

⁴ ¹ TNO Energy and Materials Transition, Radarweg 60, Amsterdam, 1043 NT, The Netherlands  2
⁵ Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science, Delft University of Technology,
⁶ Delft, The Netherlands  * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

⁷ Summary

⁸ ChaProEV is

⁹ Statement of need

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright ¹⁵ and release the work under a ¹⁶ Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 ¹⁷ International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))¹⁸

¹⁰ Battery-electric vehicles (BEVs) as the fleets of EVs are poised to grow sharply in the future and

¹¹ have a strong impact on the electric grid ([Smit et al., 2022](#), [TNO_impact_elektriciteitssysteem](#)).

- Profiles are good and useful, but optimisation modes might also need some underlying parameters to do optimisation computations as well
- Provide optimisation models with the boundary conditions they need
- ChaProEV provides the necessary parameters (as exemplified in COMPETES, Mopo/Ines, etc.) in a clear and accessible way, with the also allowing a clear way to modify them without touching code ([Sijm et al., 2022](#))

¹² tailored to supply optimisation models (list, but also actual implementations) through the model
¹³ below by explicitly supplying the necessary parameters EV parameters for optimisation models
¹⁴ and providing an option to change some key parameters [creating new scenarios](#) # Conceptual
¹⁵ innovations: Supporting optimisation models

²² Basic elements

²³ A commonly used aggregated EV formulation is ([Morales-España et al., 2022](#)):

$$e_t = e_{t-1} + \eta^{G2V} p_t^{G2V} \Delta - \frac{p_t^{V2G}}{\eta^{V2G}} \Delta - E_t^{\text{drive}} \Delta N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (1)$$

$$\underline{E}N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \leq e_t \leq \bar{E}N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (2)$$

$$0 \leq p_t^{G2V} \leq \bar{P}_t^{G2V} N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (3)$$

$$0 \leq p_t^{V2G} \leq \bar{P}_t^{V2G} N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (4)$$

²⁴ where t is the time index and parameter Δ (h) is the duration of the time step. Variable e_t (kWh) tracks the total state of charge of the plugged EVs to the grid. Variables p_t^{G2V}/p_t^{V2G} (kW) are the power consumed/provided by the EVs from/to the grid. Parameters η^{G2V}/η^{V2G} (p.u.) are the charging/discharging efficiencies; \underline{E}/\bar{E} (kWh) are the minimum/maximum storage capacity per vehicle; N is the total number of EVs; and α (p.u.) is the share of controllable EVs providing demand response to the system.

³⁰ Section ?? defines the remaining parameters (profiles).

³¹ **Equation 1-Equation 4** model the demand response provided by controllable EVs through p_t^{G2V}
³² and p_t^{V2G} . The total EV demand d_t^{Tot} (kW), including the non-controllable load, is defined as

$$d_t^{\text{Tot}} = D_t^0 N(1 - \alpha) + p_t^{\text{G2V}} - p_t^{\text{V2G}} \quad \forall t \quad (5)$$

³³ where D_t^0 is the reference (non-demand response) profile given by ChaProEV (see Section ??),
³⁴ and α is the proportion of vehicles that are optimally providing demand response.

³⁵ Further modelling

³⁶ The formulation **Equation 1-Equation 4** has several shortcomings because there is no clear
³⁷ distinction between plugged and unplugged EVs. For example, suppose that plugged EVs
³⁸ were fully charged and the unplugged EVs were near to being empty, equation **Equation 1**
³⁹ allows that unplugged EVs could be charging while they should be unavailable to the system.
⁴⁰ ([Momber et al., 2014](#)) shows this and more detailed cases where the traditional EV aggregated
⁴¹ formulation fails.

⁴² To overcome the above shortcomings, ([Momber et al., 2014](#)) proposed a more rigorous
⁴³ formulation, in which inventories for plugged/unplugged EVs are clearly distinguished from
⁴⁴ each other. This formulation ensures that only EVs plugged to the grid are charged/discharged
⁴⁵ from the electric system. It also guarantees that unplugged EVs cannot further charge while
⁴⁶ driving.

⁴⁷ The state of charge of EVs in **Equation 1** is now replaced by the separated plugged **Equation 6**
⁴⁸ and unplugged **Equation 7** state of charges. Additionally, **Equation 2** is replaced by **Equation 8**
⁴⁹ and **Equation 9**.

$$e_t^{\text{plugged}} = e_{t-1}^{\text{plugged}} + \eta^{\text{G2V}} p_t^{\text{G2V}} \Delta - \frac{p_t^{\text{V2G}}}{\eta^{\text{V2G}}} \Delta \quad (6)$$

$$e_t^{\text{unplugged}} = e_{t-1}^{\text{unplugged}} - E_{t-1}^{\text{drive}} \Delta N \alpha - N_{t-1}^{\text{plugging}} N \alpha e_{t-1}^{\text{unplugged}} + N_{t-1}^{\text{unplugging}} N \alpha e_{t-1}^{\text{plugged}} \quad (7)$$

$$\underline{E}N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \leq e_t^{\text{plugged}} \leq \bar{E}N_t^{\text{plugged}} N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (8)$$

$$\underline{E}N_t^{\text{unplugged}} N \alpha \leq e_t^{\text{unplugged}} \leq \bar{E}N_t^{\text{unplugged}} N \alpha \quad \forall t \quad (9)$$

⁵⁰ Software innovations

⁵¹ No code parameters and profiles modification (explain what kind of modifications are possible)
⁵² Scenarios

- ⁵³ 1. *Demand for next leg (kWh) (from network)*: The charge that the vehicles leaving in the
⁵⁴ next time step need to pull from the network for the leg they are about to undertake,
⁵⁵ corrected by the charger efficiency.
- ⁵⁶ 2. *Demand for next leg (kWh) (to vehicles)*: The part of the above that vehicles get.
⁵⁷ (E_t^{drive} in [Equation \(1\)](#))
- ⁵⁸ 3. *Connected vehicles*: The share of vehicles that are connected to a charger (N_t^{plugged} in
⁵⁹ [Equation \(2\)](#))
- ⁶⁰ 4. *Charging Power from Network (kW)*: Maximum power that connected vehicles can
⁶¹ potentially draw from the network. (\bar{P}_t^{G2V} in [Equation \(1\)](#))
- ⁶² 5. *Charging Power to Vehicles (kW)*: Maximum power that can potentially go to vehicles
⁶³ go to vehicles (i.e. the same as above with a charger efficiency correction).

- 64 6. *Vehicle Discharge Power (kW)*: The amount of power connected vehicles can discharge
 65 to the network.
- 66 7. *Discharge Power to Network (kW)*: How much of that discharged power can go to the
 67 network. (\bar{P}_t^{V2G} in Equation (1))
- 68 8. *Effective charging efficiency*: Ratio between charging power going to the vehicle and
 69 power coming from the network. This can vary in time, as the location of the charging
 70 vehicles (and thus the efficiency of the involved chargers) changes as they move around.
 71 (η^{G2V} in Equation (1))
- 72 9. *Effective discharging efficiency*: Same as above, but for discharging (it is the power going
 73 out of the vehicles divided by the power going into the network). (η^{V2G} in Equation (1))
- 74 ChaProEV also provides charging sessions (in case they are not obtained from energy system
 75 models). This provides another description of the system that could be used for models and
 76 analyses that focus on charging sessions rather than profiles (which are aggregates of such
 77 sessions). Sessions include (in addition the elements that a profile gets):
- 78 1. *Location*: Where the session takes place
- 79 2. *Start time*: At which moment the vehicles in the session can start charging (i.e. when
 80 they arrive).
- 81 3. *End time*: At which moment the vehicles in the session must stop charging (i.e. when
 82 they leave).
- 83 4. *Demand for incoming leg (kWh) (to vehicle)*: How much the incoming vehicles have
 84 spent on the leg arriving to the session.
- 85 5. *Maximal Possible Charge to Vehicles (kWh)*: How much the vehicles could charge if they
 86 used the available power during their whole session.
- 87 6. *Charge to Vehicles (kWh)*: How much of the vehicles actually charge during the session.
 88 This is based on the charging strategy of the vehicles and can be used to derive a
 89 charging profile.
- 90 7. *Charge from Network (kWh)*: The same as above, but corrected for charging efficiency
 91 (i.e. how much the network provides)




Figure 1: trips

92 [Figure 1](#)

93 **Acknowledgements**

- 94 ChaProEV was partly developed under funding from the European Climate, Infrastructure
95 and Environment Executive Agency under the European Union's HORIZON Research and
96 Innovation Actions under grant agreement no. 101095998.
- 97 Momber, I., Morales-España, G., Ramos, A., & Gomez, T. (2014). PEV Storage in Multi-
98 Bus Scheduling Problems. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 5(2), 1079–1087. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2013.2290594>
- 100 Morales-España, G., Martínez-Gordón, R., & Sijm, J. (2022). Classifying and modelling demand
101 response in power systems. *Energy*, 242, 122544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.122544>
- 103 Sijm, J., Morales-España, G., & Hernández-Serna, R. (2022). *The role of demand response
104 in the power system of the netherlands, 2030-2050* (Report No. P10131). TNO. <https://publications.tno.nl/publication/34639481/emVYyq/TONO-2022-P10131.pdf>
- 106 Smit, C., Wilde, H. de, Westerga, R., Usmani, O., & Hers, S. (2022). *Verlagen van
107 lokale impact laden elektrisch vervoer: De waarde en haalbaarheid van potentiële
108 oplossingen* (Report No. M12721). TNO. <https://energy.nl/wp-content/uploads/kip-local-impact-ev-charging-final-1.2.pdf>