



Report of MaaS-API working group

*26/06/2024, session #87
14:00h-15:00h, Teleconference
Chair: Edwin*

Attended by (11):

Ayse Öcal (NTM)
Edwin van der Belt (Goudappel)
Eddy Borremans (Billinghouse)
Meier Markus (CEN)
Paul Theyskens (MaaS alliance)
Ross Curzon-Butler (Translink)
Irma Verschuur (NTM)
Jeffrey van Gils (KNV)
Matthias Wirtz (Hacon)
Roman Itzinger (carsharing.link)
Tu-Tho Thai (ITxPT)

Summary of decision points & feedback from actions from previous working session

1. Approval of report of previous working session

The TOMP Working Group approves the report of the last meeting.
Walk-through of the actions from last report:

2. The future House of TOMP by Tu-Tho

Based on this scenario, Tu-Tho gave a presentation on the future of the TOMP, considering the potential roles of MobilityData and the MaaS Alliance. The presentation highlighted the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats.

Eddy inquired whether the Working Group (WG) can be assured of a part of the funding. Next week, MobilityData and the MaaS Alliance will discuss the financial task distribution and accountability with each other and try to concretely determine how the funding will be split.

Markus pointed out that a strength of MobilityData is their existing management of relevant standards, which gives them an advantage over the MaaS Alliance.

Tu-Tho mentioned that based on previous experiences, it is very challenging to engage with European entities on standardization and securing funding solely from MobilityData's perspective.

Tu-Tho will send the slides to Ayse, and Ayse will ensure that all TOMP WG members receive the slides so they can provide feedback.

3. The market consultation by Eddy

The market consultation has been successfully completed. The main questions that needed to be answered were: the technical state of the TOMP API, how many parties are using TOMP, the considerations for choosing or not choosing TOMP, and the potential governance or management. The market consultation provides a good overview of the general sentiment regarding TOMP in the market. Simultaneously, this served as a test for Eddy to conclude whether the energy and work that the volunteers in the WG put into TOMP is indeed worthwhile. Overall, the conclusion can be drawn that it certainly is worthwhile and that TOMP is generally viewed positively in the market.

Ayse will forward Eddy's slides and the (Dutch) market consultation document.

The market consultation is in Dutch; if anyone would like to translate the document, please feel free.

Matthias was interested in the countries that were interviewed and whether more micro-mobility providers or car providers were interviewed.

Action point: Eddy will look into the data and follow up on this.

4. Summer meetup

Matthias in Hannover and Jeffrey in the Hague are offering their offices.

Matthias suggest that the working teams can get together as well. Eddy agrees that would be very useful, but due to lack of funding it wasn't arranged before.

Action point: Edwin will take this action point and make a poll and date suggestions.

5. Update Working Teams

- WT1 Technical issues: Still working on version 2 (Transmodel-based), but this will take a while because the CoRoM project won't provide usable deliverables for the next few months. Meanwhile, there are issues to resolve, with customer registration being the most complex. The situation is manageable because we closely collaborate with implementing parties like Entur, so not all questions are documented.
- WT 3/4 Standard & Communication: WT 3/4 has shared the collected feedback on website with NTM, who shared it with their agency. Now waiting for agency's suggestion on how to revisit the website (look & feel + navigation).

6. Action points

- Ayse will send the market consultation slides and the house of the TOMP slides to the members.
- Eddy will look into the market consultation data and follow up on the question of Matthias.
- Edwin will make a poll and date suggestions for the summer meetup.