

Meson-meson scattering in 1+1 Dimension

Yingsheng Huang

Institute of High Energy Physics

(In collaboration with Guo-ying Chen, Yu Jia and Rui Yu)

April 3, 2018

- Meson-meson scattering amplitude in 1+1 dimension, Guo-ying Chen, Yingsheng Huang, Yu Jia and Rui Yu.
- Divergence of Klein-Gordon hydrogen atom wave-function near origin, Yingsheng Huang, Yu Jia and Rui Yu.

- 1 Motivation
- 2 1+1-d QCD and 't Hooft model
- 3 Quark-Antiquark Amplitude and Normalized Bound State Wave-function
- 4 Meson-meson scattering amplitude
- 5 Numerical Calculation
- 6 Conclusion
- 7 Divergence in Relativistic Quantum Mechanics

- Weinberg's statement that in large N limit, tetraquark state is not ruled out. (PRL, 2013)
- Similar work was incomplete (Batiz, Peña and Stabler, 2013).
- 1+1-d QCD can provide insight to 3+1-d physics since it's far simpler than 3+1-d.

meson[4], and has a width of only 40 to 100 MeV. The large N approximation not only does not rule out such exotic mesons — it can explain why they are narrow.

1+1-d QCD and 't Hooft model ('t Hooft, 1974)

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^j G^{\mu\nu}_j + \bar{q}^a i(\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_a) q^a, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu}^j &= \partial_\mu A_\nu^j - \partial_\nu A_\mu^j + ig[A_\mu, A_\nu]^j, \\ D_\mu q_i^a &= \partial_\mu q_i^a + ig A_\mu^j q_j^a, \\ i, j &= 1, 2, \dots, N_c, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, N_f. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Choose light-cone gauge condition

$$A_- = A^+ = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $A_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A^0 + A^1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A_0 - A_1)$. With this condition gauge field can be solved and transformed into instantaneous potential.

In the light-cone gauge, the nonvanishing components of the field strength tensor reads

$$G_{+-} = -G_{-+} = -\partial_- A_+, \quad (4)$$


and the Lagrangian can then be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\partial_- A_+)^2 + \bar{q}^a (i\partial_+ \gamma_- + i\partial_- \gamma_+ - g\gamma_- A_+ - m_a) q^a. \quad (5)$$

The definition and the algebra for the γ matrices read

$$\gamma^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\gamma^0 \pm \gamma^1), \quad (\gamma^+)^2 = (\gamma^-)^2 = 0, \quad \{\gamma^+, \gamma^-\} = 2. \quad (6)$$

The Feynman rules in the light-cone gauge



$$iD(k) = \frac{i}{k_-^2} \quad iS_0(p) = \frac{i(p_+ \gamma_- + p_- \gamma_+ + m)}{2p_- p_+ - m^2 + i\epsilon} \quad -ig\gamma_-$$

Figure: Feynman rules in the light-cone gauge.

Dyson-Schwinger equation in the large N_c limit, no crossed gluons

$$S(p) = S_0(p) + iN_c g^2 S(p) \left[\int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} D(p-k) \gamma_- S(k) \gamma_- \right] S_0(p), \quad (7)$$




Figure: The thin line denotes the free quark propagator and the solid line denotes the dressed quark propagator.

Solution to the above equation is found to be

$$S(p) = \frac{p_- \gamma_+}{2p_+ p_- - M^2 - \frac{N_c g^2}{\pi} \frac{|p_-|}{\lambda} + i\epsilon}, \quad M^2 = m^2 - \frac{N_c g^2}{\pi}, \quad (8)$$

The Bethe-Salpeter equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p, r) = & 4iN_c g^2 p_- (p_- - r_-) [2p_+ p_- - M_1^2 - \frac{N_c g^2}{\pi} \frac{|p_-|}{\lambda} + i\epsilon]^{-1} \\ & \times [2(p_+ - r_+)(p_- - r_-) - M_2^2 - \frac{N_c g^2}{\pi} \frac{|p_- - r_-|}{\lambda} + i\epsilon]^{-1} \\ & \times \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{k_-^2} \psi(p+k, r). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$




Figure: The Bethe-Salpeter equation of the bound state. Arrow lines are dressed quark propagators.

After defining $\varphi(p_-, r) = \int dp_+ \psi(p, r)$, one can have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(p_-, r) &= i \frac{N_c g^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int dp_+ \left[p_+ - \frac{M_1^2}{2p_-} - \frac{N_c g^2}{2\pi} \frac{\text{sgn}(p_-)}{\lambda} + i\epsilon \cdot \text{sgn}(p_-) \right]^{-1} \\
 &\times \left[p_+ - r_+ - \frac{M_2^2}{2(p_- - r_-)} - \frac{N_c g^2}{2\pi} \frac{\text{sgn}(p_- - r_-)}{\lambda} + i\epsilon \cdot \text{sgn}(p_- - r_-) \right]^{-1} \\
 &\times \int dk_- \frac{\varphi(p_- + k_-, r)}{k_-^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

By taking the p_+ integral and using

$$\int dk_- \frac{\varphi(p_- + k_-, r)}{k_-^2} = \frac{2}{\lambda} \varphi(p_-, r) + P \int dk_- \frac{\varphi(p_- + k_-, r)}{k_-^2}, \tag{11}$$

where $P \frac{1}{k_-^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(k_- + i\epsilon)^2} + \frac{1}{(k_- - i\epsilon)^2} \right)$, one can have the following

$$\begin{aligned}
& [r_+ - \frac{M_2^2}{2(r_- - p_-)} - \frac{M_1^2}{2p_-} - \frac{N_c g^2}{\pi \lambda} + i\epsilon] \varphi(p_-, r) \\
& = -\frac{N_c g^2}{2\pi} \theta(p_-) \theta(r_- - p_-) \times \left[\frac{2}{\lambda} \varphi(p_-, r) + P \int dk_- \frac{\varphi(p_- + k_-, r)}{k_-^2} \right]. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the infra-red singularities in both sides cancel with each other. After timing the factor $\frac{2\pi}{N_c g^2} r_-$ in both sides of the above equation and defining the following dimensionless quantities

$$\frac{2\pi r_+ r_-}{N_c g^2} = \mu^2, \quad \frac{\pi M_{1,2}^2}{N_c g^2} = \alpha_{1,2}, \quad \frac{p_-}{r_-} = x, \quad (13)$$

we obtain the famous 't Hooft equation

$$\mu^2 \varphi(x) = \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{x} + \frac{\alpha_2}{1-x} \right) \varphi(x) - P \int_0^1 dy \frac{\varphi(y)}{(x-y)^2}. \quad (14)$$

The solutions to the 't Hooft equation have discrete eigenvalues μ_n^2 , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The corresponding eigenfunctions φ_n satisfy the complete and orthogonal relations

$$\sum_n \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n^*(x') = \delta(x - x'), \quad \int_0^1 \varphi_n^*(x) \varphi_m(x) dx = \delta_{nm}. \quad (15)$$

Quark-Antiquark Amplitude and Normalized Bound State Wave-function(Callen, Coote and Gross, 1976)

The Bethe-Salpeter equation for the quark-antiquark scattering amplitude can be written as

$$\mathcal{T}(p, p'; r) = -\frac{ig^2}{(p_- - p'_-)^2} + i4N_c g^2 \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(k_- - p_-)^2} \tilde{S}(k) \tilde{S}(k - r) \mathcal{T}(k, p'; r), \quad (16)$$

where $\tilde{S}(p)\gamma_+ = S(p)$. This equation has been solved (Callan, Coote and Gross, 1975) and the result is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(x, x'; r) &= -\frac{ig^2}{r_-^2 (x - x')^2} + \sum_n \frac{i}{r_-^2 - r_n^2} \left\{ \varphi_n(x) \frac{g^2}{|r_-|} \sqrt{\frac{N_c}{\pi}} \left[\theta(x(1-x)) \frac{2|r_-|}{\lambda} + \frac{\alpha_1}{x} + \frac{\alpha_2}{1-x} - \mu_n^2 \right] \right\} \\ &\times \left\{ \varphi_n^*(x') \frac{g^2}{|r_-|} \sqrt{\frac{N_c}{\pi}} \left[\theta(x'(1-x')) \frac{2|r_-|}{\lambda} + \frac{\alpha_1}{x'} + \frac{\alpha_2}{1-x'} - \mu_n^2 \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $x = \frac{p_-}{r_-}$, $x' = \frac{p'_-}{r_-}$.




Figure: The Bethe-Salpeter equation for quark-antiquark scattering amplitude.

The amplitude has infinite poles at $r^2 = r_n^2$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Physical interpretation of the above solution is clear, the summation of the t-channel multi-gluon exchange is equivalent to the summation of the s-channel quark-antiquark bound state exchange. The residue of the pole gives the normalized bound-state wave function

$$\Phi_n^{1,2}(x) = \varphi_n(x) \frac{g^2}{|r_-|} \sqrt{\frac{N_c}{\pi}} \left[\theta(x(1-x)) \frac{2|r_-|}{\lambda} + \frac{\alpha_1}{x} + \frac{\alpha_2}{1-x} - \mu_n^2 \right]. \quad (18)$$

Function $\Phi_n^{1,2}(x)$ can also be interpreted as the transition amplitude between the bound state and the quark pair.

This so-called form factor serves as an external state and link between bound state and quarks in our amplitude calculation.

Meson-meson scattering amplitude(Gou-ying Chen and Rui Yu)

For process $A(q^a \bar{q}^b) + B(q^c \bar{q}^a) \rightarrow C(q^a \bar{q}^b) + D(q^c \bar{q}^a)$ (where a, b, c are different flavor indexes), the amplitude reads

$$i\mathcal{M} = (1 + \mathcal{C})i\mathcal{M}_0,$$

$$i\mathcal{M}_0 = \theta(\omega_2 - \omega_1) i4g^2 \omega_1 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \frac{1}{(y\omega_1 - \omega_2 - x)^2} \varphi_A\left(\frac{\omega_2 - \omega_1 + x}{\omega_2 - \omega_1 + 1}\right) \varphi_B(y) \varphi_C(x) \varphi_D\left(\frac{y\omega_1}{\omega_2}\right),$$

where

$$\omega_1 = \frac{r_{B-}}{r_{C-}}, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{r_{D-}}{r_{C-}}. \quad (19)$$

Here and in the following, we define the operation

$(A \leftrightarrow C, B \leftrightarrow D, \omega_1 \rightarrow \frac{\omega_2}{1+\omega_2-\omega_1}, \omega_2 \rightarrow \frac{\omega_1}{1+\omega_2-\omega_1})$ as \mathcal{C} . One can find that the final expression is infra-red safe, thus we postpone $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in our final expression.

$A(q^a \bar{q}^b) + B(q^b \bar{q}^a) \rightarrow C(q^a \bar{q}^b) + D(q^b \bar{q}^a)$ reads

$$i\mathcal{M} = (1 + \mathcal{P})(1 + \mathcal{C})i\mathcal{M}_0. \quad (20)$$

where the operation \mathcal{P} is defined as $\mathcal{P} = (A \leftrightarrow B, C \leftrightarrow D, \omega_1 \rightarrow \frac{1+\omega_2-\omega_1}{\omega_2}, \omega_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\omega_2})$.

Diagrams for the three-flavor processes




Figure: Four-body contact interaction part for $A(q^a \bar{q}^b) + B(q^c \bar{q}^a) \rightarrow C(q^a \bar{q}^b) + D(q^c \bar{q}^a)$. r_A, r_B are the incoming momenta of A and B respectively, and r_C, r_D are the outgoing momenta of C and D respectively.




Figure: The meson exchange part for $A(q^a \bar{q}^b) + B(q^c \bar{q}^a) \rightarrow C(q^a \bar{q}^b) + D(q^c \bar{q}^a)$

Diagrams for the two-flavor processes




Figure: Basic diagrams for $A(q^a \bar{q}^b) + B(q^b \bar{q}^a) \rightarrow C(q^a \bar{q}^b) + D(q^b \bar{q}^a)$.

$A(q^a \bar{q}^a) + B(q^a \bar{q}^a) \rightarrow C(q^a \bar{q}^a) + D(q^a \bar{q}^a)$ reads

$$i\mathcal{M} = (1 + \mathcal{R})(1 + \mathcal{P})(1 + \mathcal{C})i\mathcal{M}_0 + (1 + \mathcal{R})i\mathcal{M}_1, \quad (21)$$

where

$i\mathcal{M}_1$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -(1 + \mathcal{Q})\theta(1 - \omega_1)i4g^2 \int_0^1 dx P \int_0^1 dy \frac{\omega_1 \omega_2}{[(y-1)\omega_1 + (1-x)\omega_2]^2} \varphi_A\left(\frac{x\omega_2}{1 + \omega_2 - \omega_1}\right) \varphi_B(y) \varphi_C(y\omega_1) \varphi_D(x) \\ &\quad - (1 + \mathcal{C})\theta(\omega_2 - \omega_1)i4g^2 \int_0^1 dx P \int_0^1 dy \frac{\omega_1}{(y\omega_1 - x)^2} \varphi_A\left(\frac{x + \omega_2 - \omega_1}{1 + \omega_2 - \omega_1}\right) \varphi_B(y) \varphi_C(x) \varphi_D\left(\frac{(y-1)\omega_1 + \omega_2}{\omega_2}\right) \\ &\quad - (1 + \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C})\theta(\omega_2 - \omega_1)\theta(\omega_1 - 1)i\frac{4\pi}{N_c} \int_0^1 dx \left[2r_{C+}r_{C-} + 2r_{D+}r_{C-} + \frac{M_a^2}{x - \omega_1} + \frac{M_a^2}{x - 1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{M_a^2}{x - \omega_1 + \omega_2} - \frac{M_a^2}{x} \right] \times \varphi_A\left(\frac{x - \omega_1 + \omega_2}{1 + \omega_2 - \omega_1}\right) \varphi_B(x/\omega_1) \varphi_C(x) \varphi_D\left(\frac{x - \omega_1 + \omega_2}{\omega_2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &= (C \leftrightarrow D, \quad \omega_1 \rightarrow \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}, \quad \omega_2 \rightarrow 1/\omega_2), \\ \mathcal{Q} &= (B \leftrightarrow C, \quad A \leftrightarrow D, \quad \omega_1 \rightarrow 1/\omega_1, \quad \omega_2 \rightarrow \frac{1 + \omega_2 - \omega_1}{\omega_1}). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The amplitude can be different when the flavour composition is different, which leads to 23 scenarios in total.

Diagrams for the one-flavor processes




Figure: Basic diagrams for $A(q^a \bar{q}^a) + B(q^a \bar{q}^a) \rightarrow C(q^a \bar{q}^a) + D(q^a \bar{q}^a)$. There are also diagrams with clock-wised fermion loop.




Figure: Replotting the third diagram in Fig. 8.

Numerical Calculation(Yingsheng Huang)

The whole process is simple:

- ① Solve 't Hooft equation and obtain meson masses (the eigen value) and the corresponding eigen states;
 - (a) BSW method
Best for heavy quark mass, easy to solve, minimum time consumption, large matrix size cause difficulty in calculating amplitude.
 - (b) 't Hooft's original method
Only for light quark, time consuming, not reliable in high exciting states, small matrix size makes it ideal for amplitude calculation.
- ② Put the solution into the corresponding scattering amplitude formula.

Numerical difficulties:

- Cauchy principal value integration.
The main problem in the process. In other words, it's singularity problem in numerical calculation. This cause problems with light (u, s) quark
- Integration accuracy and instability.

Numerical Results (Preliminary)

Dimensions

The unit of mass is $\beta = 340\text{MeV}$. In this case, the mass of charm quark is $m_c = 4.19\beta = 1.425\text{GeV}$, the mass of strange quark is $m_s = 0.749\beta = 0.2547\text{GeV}$ and the mass of bottom quark is $m_b = 13.5565\beta = \text{GeV}$.

CHARMONIUM $c\bar{c}$.




Figure: Quark mass: 1.425 GeV




Figure: Quark mass: 1.425 GeV

CHARMED, STRANGE MESONS $c\bar{s}$. It's $12 + 21 \rightarrow 12 + 21$.





Figure: Quark mass: 1.425 GeV & 0.2547 GeV

Figure: Quark mass: 1.425 GeV & 0.2547 GeV (HE)

BOTTOM MESONS Both $B^-(b\bar{u})$ and $\bar{B}^0(b\bar{d})$. $B^- + \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow B^- + \bar{B}^0$ (TBD).

Conclusion

- Derive 't Hooft equation (Large N_c limit)
- Derive normalized bound state wave-function
- Calculate amplitudes
- Numerical study
- We were looking for four-quark state in 1+1-d QCD, and we thought the bump might have something to do with resonance (similar fitting with Breit-Wigner formula was done by Batiz, Peña and Stabler in 2013), and that could mean exotic state.

But a cut through the quark line can't produce four quarks, thus four quark intermediate state seems not possible.

No concrete conclusion for now.

Divergence in Relativistic Quantum Mechanics

Ground state Klein-Gordon Wave-function

with Coulomb potential:

$$\psi = \frac{c}{\sqrt{4\pi}} e^{-kr} r^\lambda \quad (23)$$

where

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - Z^2 \alpha^2},$$

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{(2k)^{2(1+\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - Z^2 \alpha^2})}}{\Gamma(2 + 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - Z^2 \alpha^2})}},$$

$$k = \frac{m}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - Z^2 \alpha^2})^2}{Z^2 \alpha^2}}}$$

expand over $Z\alpha$ we got logarithmic divergence.

$$R(r) \sim -(Z\alpha)^2 \log(2mZ\alpha r)$$




Figure: Comparison between Klein-Gordon wavefunction and Schrödinger wavefunction, with parameters set at $Z = 1$, $\alpha = 0.2$, $m = 1$.

Quantum Mechanics perturbation theory

This behavior can be reproduced by simple perturbation theory, with extra power divergence.

$$H = H_0 + H_{int}, \quad H_{int} = H_{kin} + H_{Darwin} + \mathcal{O}(v^6)$$
$$H_0 = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \frac{Z\alpha}{r}, \quad H_{kin} = \frac{\nabla^4}{8m^3}, \quad H_{Darwin} = \frac{1}{32m^4}[-\nabla^2, [-\nabla^2, -\frac{Z\alpha}{r}]] \quad (24)$$

The NLO correction to wave-function is

$$\phi^{(1)} = \sum_{n \neq 1} a_{n1} \phi_{n00}^{(0)} + \int d\kappa a_{\kappa 1} \phi_{\kappa 00}^{(0)} \quad (25)$$

where $\kappa = \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{mZ\alpha}$ and $\phi_{nlm}^{(0)}$, $\phi_{\kappa lm}^{(0)}$ are Schrödinger wave-functions in bound state and scattering state.

The scattering part would cause a divergence when integrating over very-high momentum states, by introducing a hard cutoff Λ we can regularize it (Darwin term won't contribute)

$$R^{(1)}(0)_{kin} = \int^{\frac{\Lambda}{m}} d\kappa (Z\alpha)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \right) \quad (26)$$

$$\sim (Z\alpha)^2 \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\pi m} + \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{m} \right) \right) \quad (27)$$

- This problem can be dealt with in the framework of QFT!
- NRQED, HQET, OPE, Composite Operator, RGE

Thanks for your attention!