# CS 446/ECE 449: Machine Learning

A. G. Schwing

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2020

L19: Learning Theory

### Goals of this lecture

Getting to know learning theory basics

### Reading material:

 Shai Shalev-Shwartz & Shai Ben-David, Understanding Machine Learning: From Theory to Algorithms, Chapter 4

# **Learning Theory**

Learning on a training set is fine, but what can we say about generalization?

### Possible analysis:

- Vapnik-Chervonenkis theory (often distribution independent and therefore worst case, mostly applicable to binary classification)
- Rademacher complexity
- PAC-Bayes (probably approximately correct)
  - ▶ Define a prior P over the function class  $\mathcal{F}$
  - ightharpoonup Algorithm outputs a posterior Q over the function class  $\mathcal{F}$

## Learning Algorithm

$$A: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{F}$$

 $\mathcal{D} = \{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\}$ : Dataset  $\mathcal{F}$ : Space of all classifiers

### Learning Theory Assumptions:

- There exists a data-generating distribution  $P_d$  over D
- $\bullet$  Algorithm doesn't know the distribution but sees only  ${\cal D}$
- The samples in  $\mathcal{D}$  are i.i.d.

#### Learning a predictor:

- Algorithm driven by some learning principle: max-margin, negative log-likelihood, etc.
- Informed by prior knowledge resulting in inductive bias

#### Certifying performance:

- What happens beyond the training set
- Generalization bounds

If a classifier does well on the given dataset, will it do well on other data drawn from  $P_d$ ?

### Losses:

Which losses have we seen so far:

- $\ell(f(x), y) = \delta(f(x) \neq y)$ : 0-1 loss
- $\ell(f(x), y) = (y f(x))^2$ : square loss
- $\ell(f(x), y) = \max\{0, (1 yf(x))\}$ : hinge loss
- $\ell(f(x), y) = \log(1 + \exp(yf(x)))$ : log loss

Let's define the **bounded** random variable

$$X_i = \delta(f(x^{(i)}) \neq y^{(i)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(x^{(i)}) \neq y^{(i)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let's define

Generalization gap:

$$L(f) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$$

Goal:

$$L(f) \leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(f) + \epsilon(\cdot)$$

## How to get to a bound

$$L(f) \leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(f) + \epsilon(\cdot)$$

#### Hoeffding's inequality:

- $X_i \in [0, 1]$  i.i.d.
- $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} (X_1 + \ldots + X_n)$
- We know

$$P(|\bar{X} - \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}]| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-2nt^2}$$

#### In our case with union bound:

$$P(\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : |L(f) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(f)| > t) \leq \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} P(|L(f) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(f)| > t) \leq 2|\mathcal{F}|e^{-2|\mathcal{D}|t^2}$$

Our bound:

$$P(\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : |L(f) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(f)| > t) \leq \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} P(|L(f) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(f)| > t) \leq 2|\mathcal{F}|e^{-2|\mathcal{D}|t^2}$$

We want this bound to be less than  $\delta$ , i.e.,  $2|\mathcal{F}|e^{-2|\mathcal{D}|t^2} \leq \delta$ 

$$|\mathcal{D}| \geq \frac{\mathsf{ln}(2|\mathcal{F}|) + \mathsf{ln}(\frac{1}{\delta})}{2t^2}$$

Consequently: With probability at least 1  $-\delta$  (flip inequality in 'our bound')

$$L(f) \leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(f) + \sqrt{\frac{\ln(2|\mathcal{F}|) + \ln(\frac{1}{\delta})}{2|\mathcal{D}|}}$$

Note: This only works for finite function classes

#### Observations from

$$L(f) \leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(f) + \sqrt{\frac{\ln(2|\mathcal{F}|) + \ln(\frac{1}{\delta})}{2|\mathcal{D}|}}$$

- Increasing  $|\mathcal{D}|$  decreases the second term
- Low empirical error guarantees lower generalization error
- A simple hypothesis space (small  $ln(|\mathcal{F}|)$ ) decreases generalization error

Note: Many generalizations exist and are covered in other classes

# Quiz:

- Goal of learning theory?
- Hoeffding bound?
- Union bound?

### Important topics of this lecture

Understanding how we can bound the generalization gap

# Up next:

Generative modeling