Assignment 3, Part 1, Specification

SFWR ENG 2AA4

March 5, 2019

This Module Interface Specification (MIS) document contains modules, types and methods for implementing the state of a game of Forty Thieves solitaire.

[The parts that you need to fill in are marked by comments, like this one. In several of the modules local functions are specified. You can use these local functions to complete the missing specifications. —SS]

[As you edit the tex source, please leave the **wss** comments in the file. Put your answer **before** the comment. This will make grading easier. —SS]

Card Types Module

Module

CardTypes

Uses

N/A

Syntax

Exported Constants

 $TOTAL_CARDS = 104$ ACE = 1 JACK = 11 QUEEN = 12KING = 13

Exported Types

SuitT = {Heart, Diamond, Club, Spade}
RankT = [1..13]
CategoryT = {Tableau, Foundation, Deck, Waste}
CardT = tuple of (s: SuitT, r: RankT)

Exported Access Programs

None

Semantics

State Variables

None

State Invariant

None

Generic Stack Module

Generic Template Module

Stack(T)

Uses

N/A

Syntax

Exported Types

Stack = ? [What should be written here? —SS]

Exported Constants

None

Exported Access Programs

Routine name	In	Out	Exceptions
new Stack	seq of T	Stack	none
push	Т	Stack	none
pop		Stack	out_of_range
top		Т	out_of_range
size		N	
toSeq		seq of T	

Semantics

State Variables

S: sequence of T [What is the type of the state variable? —SS]

State Invariant

None

Assumptions & Design Decisions

- The Stack(T) constructor is called for each object instance before any other access routine is called for that object. The constructor can only be called once.
- Though the toSeq() method violates the essential property of the stack object, since this could be achieved by calling top and pop many times, this method is provided as a convenience to the client. In fact, it increases the property of separation of concerns since this means that the client does not have to worry about details of building their own sequence from the sequence of pops.

Access Routine Semantics

```
new Stack(s):
   • transition: S := s
   • output: out := self
   • exception: none
push(e):
   • output: out := new Stack(S \mid\mid \langle e \rangle)
   • exception: none
pop():
   • output: out := \text{new Stack}(S[0..|S|-2]) [What should go here? —SS]
   • exception: (|S| = 0 \Rightarrow \text{out\_of\_range}) [What should go here? —SS]
top():
   • output: out := S[|S| - 1]
   • exception: (|S| = 0 \Rightarrow \text{out\_of\_range}) [What should go here? —SS]
size():
   • output: out := |S| [What should go here? —SS]
   • exception: None
toSeq():
   • output: out := S
   • exception: None
```

CardStack Module

Template Module

 $\operatorname{CardStackT}$ is $\operatorname{Stack}(\operatorname{seq}\ \operatorname{of}\ \operatorname{CardT})\ [\operatorname{What}\ \operatorname{should}\ \operatorname{go}\ \operatorname{here}?\ --\operatorname{SS}]$

Game Board ADT Module

Template Module

BoardT

Uses

 $\begin{array}{c} {\bf CardTypes} \\ {\bf CardStack} \end{array}$

Syntax

Exported Access Programs

Routine name	In	Out	Exceptions
new BoardT	seq of CardT	BoardT	invalid_argument
is_valid_tab_mv	CategoryT, N, N	\mathbb{B}	out_of_range
is_valid_waste_mv	CategoryT, ℕ	\mathbb{B}	invalid_argument, out_of_range
is_valid_deck_mv		\mathbb{B}	
tab_mv	CategoryT, N, N		invalid_argument
waste_mv	CategoryT, N		invalid_argument
deck_mv			invalid_argument
get_tab	N	CardStackT	out_of_range
get_foundation	N	CardStackT	out_of_range
get_deck		CardStackT	
get_waste		CardStackT	
valid_mv_exists		\mathbb{B}	
is_win_state		\mathbb{B}	

Semantics

State Variables

 $T \colon \mathbf{SeqCrdStckT} \ \# \ Tableau$

 $F \colon \mathbf{SeqCrdStckT} \not \# \mathit{Foundation}$

 $D \colon \mathsf{CardStackT} \ \# \ \mathsf{Deck}$

 $W \colon \mathbf{CardStackT} \ \# \ Waste$

State Invariant

```
|T| = 10 [What goes here? —SS] |F| = 8[What goes here? —SS] cnt_cards(T, F, D, W, \lambda t \rightarrow True[What goes here? — - SS]) = TOTAL\_CARDS two\_decks(T,F,D,W)# each card appears twice in the combined deck
```

Assumptions & Design Decisions

- The BoardT constructor is called before any other access routine is called on that instance. Once a BoardT has been created, the constructor will not be called on it again.
- The Foundation stacks must start with an ace, but any Foundation stack can start with any suit. Once an Ace of that suit is placed there, this Foundation stack becomes that type of stack and only those type of cards can be placed there.
- Once a card has been moved to a Foundation stack, it cannot be moved again.
- For better scalability, this module is specified as an Abstract Data Type (ADT) instead of an Abstract Object. This would allow multiple games to be created and tracked at once by a client.
- The getter function is provided, though violating the property of being essential, to give a would-be view function easy access to the state of the game. This ensures that the model is able to be easily integrated with a game system in the future. Although outside of the scope of this assignment, the view function could be part of a Model View Controller design pattern implementation (https://blog.codinghorror.com/understanding-model-view-controller/)
- A function will be available to create a double deck of cards that consists of a random permutation of two regular decks of cards (TOTAL_CARDS cards total). This double deck of cards can be used to build the game board.

Access Routine Semantics

GameBoard(deck):

- transition:
 - $T, F, D, W := \text{tab_deck}(\textit{deck}[0..39]), \text{init_seq}(8), \text{CardStackT}(\textit{deck}[40..103]), \text{CardStackT}(\langle \rangle)$
- exception: $exc := (\neg two_decks(init_seq(10), init_seq(8), CardStackT(deck), CardStackT(\langle \rangle)) \Rightarrow invalid_argument)$

is_valid_tab_mv(c, n_0, n_1):

• output:

	out :=
c = Tableau	valid_tab_tab (n_0, n_1)
c = Foundation	valid_tab_foundation (n_0, n_1)
c = Deck	False [What goes here? —SS]
c = Waste	False [What goes here? —SS]

• exception:

	exc :=
$c = \text{Tableau} \land \neg(\text{is_valid_pos}(\text{Tableau}, n_0) \land \text{is_valid_pos}(\text{Tableau}, n_1))$	out_of_range
$c = \text{Foundation} \land \neg(\text{is_valid_pos}(\text{Tableau}, n_0) \land \text{is_valid_pos}(\text{Foundation}, n_1))$	out_of_range

is_valid_waste_mv(c, n):

• output:

	out :=
c = Tableau	$valid_waste_tab(n)$
c = Foundation	$valid_waste_foundation(n)$
c = Deck	False[What goes here? —SS]
c = Waste	True[What goes here?—SS]

• exception:

	exc :=
W.size() = 0	$invalid_argument$
$c = \text{Tableau} \land \neg \text{is_valid_pos}(\text{Tableau}, n)$	out_of_range
$c = \text{Foundation} \land \neg \text{is_valid_pos}(\text{Foundation}, n)$	out_of_range

is_valid_deck_mv():

- $\bullet \ \, {\rm output:} \ \, out := {\rm D.size}() > 0 \,\, [{\rm What \; goes \; here?} \,\, -\!\!\!\! -\!\!\! {\rm SS}]$
- exception: None

 $tab_mv(c, n_0, n_1)$:

• transition:

c = Tableau	$T[n_0], T[n_1] := T[n_0].pop(), T[n_1].push(T[n_0].top())[What goes here? —SS]$
c = Foundation	$T[n_0], F[n_1] := T[n_0].pop(), F[n_1].push(T[n_0].top())$ [What goes here? —SS]

• exception: $exc := (\neg is_valid_tab_mv(c, n_0, n_1) \Rightarrow invalid_argument)$

waste_mv(c, n):

• transition:

```
c = \text{Tableau}   W, T[n] := W.\text{pop}(), T[n].\text{push}(W.\text{top}())[What goes here? —SS]   c = \text{Foundation}   W, F[n] := W.\text{pop}(), F[n].\text{push}(W.\text{top}())[What goes here? —SS]
```

• exception: $exc := (\neg is_valid_waste_mv(c, n) \Rightarrow invalid_argument)$

deck_mv():

- transition: D, W := D.pop(), W.push(D.top()) [What goes here? —SS]
- exception: $exc := (\neg is_valid_deck_mv() \Rightarrow invalid_argument)$

 $get_tab(i)$:

- output: out := T[i]
- exception: exc: ($\neg is_valid_pos(Tableau, i) \Rightarrow out_of_range$)

 $get_foundation(i)$:

- output: out := F[i]
- exception: exc: (\neg is_valid_pos(Foundation, i) \Rightarrow out_of_range)

 $get_deck()$:

- output: out := D
- exception: None

get_waste():

- output: out := W
- exception: None

valid_mv_exists():

• output: $out := valid_tab_mv \lor valid_waste_mv \lor is_valid_deck_mv()$ where

```
valid_tab_mv \equiv (\exists c : \text{CategoryT}, n_0 : \mathbb{N}, n_1 : \mathbb{N} | 0 \leq n_0 < |T| \land 0 \leq n_1 < |c| \land c \in \{\text{Tableau, Foundation}\}[\text{What goes here?} - - SS] : \text{is\_valid\_tab\_mv}(c, n_0, n_1))
```

valid_waste_mv \equiv ($\exists c$: CategoryT, n : $\mathbb{N}|0 \leq n < |c| \land c \in \{\text{Tableau}, \text{Foundation}\}$ [What goes here? --- is_valid_waste_mv(c, n))

• exception: None

is_win_state():

- output: out := $\forall e : \text{CardStackT} | e \in F : |e| = 12 \text{[What goes here? } -\text{SS]}$
- exception: None

Local Types

SeqCrdStckT = seq of CardStackT

Local Functions

```
two\_decks : SeqCrdStckT \times SeqCrdStckT \times CardStackT \times CardStackT \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
two_decks(T, F, D, W) \equiv
(\forall st : \text{SuitT}, rk : \text{RankT} | st \in \text{SuitT} \land rk \in \text{RankT} : \text{cnt\_cards}(T, F, D, W, \lambda t \rightarrow t.r = rk \land t.s = st) = 2)
[What goes here?—SS]
cnt\_cards\_seg : SegCrdStckT \times (CardT \rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
\operatorname{cnt\_cards\_seq}(S, f) \equiv (+s : \operatorname{CardStackT} | s \in S : \operatorname{cnt\_cards\_stack}(s, f))
cnt\_cards\_stack : CardStackT \times (CardT \rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
\operatorname{cnt\_cards\_stack}(S, f) \equiv (+c : \operatorname{CardT}|c \in S \land f(c) : 1)) [What goes here? —SS]
cnt\_cards: SeqCrdStckT \times SeqCrdStckT \times CardStackT \times CardStackT \times (CardT \rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
\operatorname{cnt\_cards}(T, F, D, W, f) \equiv \operatorname{cnt\_cards\_seq}(T, f) + \operatorname{cnt\_cards\_seq}(F, f) + \operatorname{cnt\_cards\_stack}(D, f) +
\operatorname{cnt\_cards\_stack}(W, f)
init\_seq : \mathbb{N} \to SeqCrdStckT
\operatorname{init\_seq}(n) \equiv s \text{ such that } (|s| = n \land (\forall i \in [0..n-1] : s[i] = \operatorname{CardStackT}(\langle \rangle))
tab\_deck : (seq of CardT) \rightarrow SeqCrdStckT
tab\_deck(deck) \equiv T \text{ such that } (\forall i : \mathbb{N} | i \in [0..9] : T[i].toSeq() = deck[4i..4(i+1)-1])
[What goes here?—SS]
is_valid_pos: CategoryT \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}
is_valid_pos(c, n) \equiv (c = \text{Tableau} \Rightarrow n \in [0..9] | c = \text{Foundation} \Rightarrow n \in [0..7] | \text{True} \Rightarrow \text{True})
```

valid_tab_tab: $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}$ valid_tab_tab $(n_0, n_1) \equiv$

$T[n_0]$.size() > 0	$T[n_1].size() > 0$	tab_placeable($T[n_0]$.top(), $T[n_1]$.top()) [What goes here? —S
	$T[n_1].size() = 0$	True [What goes here? —SS]
$T[n_0].size() = 0$	$T[n_1].size() > 0$	False [What goes here? —SS]
	$T[n_1].size() = 0$	False [What goes here? —SS]

valid_tab_foundation: $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}$ valid_tab_foundation $(n_0, n_1) \equiv$

$T[n_0]$.size() > 0	$F[n_1].size() > 0$	foundation_placeable($T[n_0].top(), F[n_1].top()$) [What goes he
	$F[n_1].size() = 0$	$T[n_0].top().r = ACE [What goes here? -SS]$
$T[n_0].size() = 0$	$F[n_1].size() > 0$	False [What goes here? —SS]
	$F[n_1].size() = 0$	False [What goes here? —SS]

valid_waste_tab: $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}$ valid_waste_tab $(n) \equiv$

T[n].size() > 0	$tab_placeable(W.top(), T[n].top())$
T[n].size() = 0	True

valid_waste_foundation: $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}$ valid_waste_foundation $(n) \equiv$

F[n].size() > 0	$foundation_placeable(W.top(), F[n].top())$
F[n].size() = 0	W.top().r = ACE

tab_placeable: CardT × CardT $\rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ tab_placeable $(c_0, c_1) \equiv c_1.r - c_0.r = 1 \land c_1.s = c_0.s$ [What goes here? —SS]

foundation_placeable: CardT × CardT $\rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ foundation_placeable(c_0, c_1) $\equiv c_0.r - c_1.r = 1 \land c_1.s = c_0.s$ [What goes here? —SS]

Critique of Design

[Write a critique of the interface for the modules in this project. Is there anything missing? Is there anything you would consider changing? Why? —SS]

I believe the interface for the modules in this project are well written and designed compared to the other assignment that we've had. The modules are well defined and have a satisfacory amount of cohesian as the components are very relevant and low coupling as they dont rely on each other too much. I also believe the modules have a decent amount of generality. Some of the modules such as the stack module are very generic and can be implemented for any type of stack necessary. The modules are also broken down into small peices and are very maintainable. Its hard to see without programming it if there is anything missing due to just how large the specification is, however when coding it there is the possibility that an extra feature may need to be added.