# Project Information Retrieval – Part 2

### Adham Mohamed, Veronica Orsanigo

#### 1 Introduction

In this second part we present some techniques to improve performances of a search engine. There are some issues that occur while searching: the same word can have different meanings (polysemy), two different words can have the same meaning (synonymy), vocabulary of searcher may not match that of the documents. Since the request made within a query could need some more results than the only ones where the query terms perfectly match with document terms, we have to make our search engine able to find correspondences based on a larger similarity. In particular we are interested in retrieving also documents where synonyms or words close to the ones of our query occur, we are interested in semantic too, in the topic where the query is inserted.

For example, if we consider the query "plane fuel", exact matching will miss documents containing aircraft, airplane or jet.

There are two main different ways to improve performances:

#### Feedback relevance:

It is local, based on the analysis of the single query, it tries to make a new query from the starting one analyzing the feedback from the retrieved relevant and not relevant documents. It works with query and document vectors, so it modifies the final query vector giving a larger weight to the relevant documents.

#### • Query expansion:

It is global, it uses thesaurus to keep a list of possible terms that can expand the one from the starting query. Thesaurus can be manual or automatically derived, in the first case for each term of the query there are words that the thesaurus lists as semantically related with it, in the second case the generation of the thesaurus is automatic, it follows similarity terms rules of co-occurrence or grammatical relation with same words.

The code and the complete results on GitHub:

https://github.com/adham95/IR\_Assignment

#### 1.1 Feedback relevance

This method is grounded on relevance of document retrieved, this feedback can be given explicitly (explicit feedback) by users or implicitly (implicit feedback), for example checking if a document is selected for viewing, the duration of time spent viewing a document, or page browsing or scrolling action. Finally there is also pseudo-relevance, where the feedback action is made automatically, assuming that the k-top ranked documents are relevant. [1]

A particular well known algorithm for feedback relevance is Rocchio algorithm. Its aim is to find the optimal query  $\vec{q}_{opt}$ , so to move the query vector towards relevant documents and away from nonrelevant documents.

Rocchio algorithm's formula is:

$$\vec{q}_m = \alpha \overrightarrow{q_0} + \beta \frac{1}{|D_r|} \sum\nolimits_{\overrightarrow{d_j} \in D_r} \overrightarrow{d_j} - \gamma \frac{1}{|D_{nr}|} \sum\nolimits_{\overrightarrow{d_j} \in D_{nr}} \overrightarrow{d_j}$$

Where:

 $\vec{q}_m$ : modified query vector

 $\overrightarrow{q_0}$ : original query vector

 $D_r$  and  $D_{nr}$ : sets of known relevant and nonrelevant documents

 $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ : weights attached to each component.

### 1.2 Query expansion

The query is modified based on global resource, so not query-dependent. Often the problem aims to find (near-)synonyms.

This is possible thanks to different methods:

- Use of a controlled vocabulary that is maintained by human editors
- Manual thesaurus
- Automatically derived thesaurus
- Query reformulations based on query log mining

The automatic query expansion based on co-occurrence data has been studied for nearly three decades, there are different methods that can be classified into four groups:

- Simple use of co-occurrence data. The similarities between terms are calculated based on the association hypothesis and then used to classify terms by setting a similarity threshold value. So a set of index terms is subdivided into classes of similar terms and a query is expanded by adding all the terms of the classes that contain query terms.
- Use of document classification. Documents are first classified. Infrequent terms found in a document class are considered similar and clustered in the same term class.
- Use of syntactic context. The term relations are generated on the basis of linguistic knowledge and co-occurrence statistics. The method uses a grammar and a dictionary to extract for each term t a list of terms.
- Use of relevance information. Relevance information is used to construct a global information structure. A query is expanded by means of this global information structure.

#### 2 Data and results

In this section we want to compare different methods to search for documents that match our queries.

#### Standard Searcher [2]

This searcher is the one implemented following the standard given on Lucene web site and used for examples from the previous report (part 1).

#### o Rocchio algorithm [3]

To create Rocchio algorithm we first needed to implement the tf\_idf for terms and so create the corresponding vector of the query.

Later we implemented Rocchio algorithm giving more weight to the relevant documents, in order to obtain the new optimal query to pass to the searcher.

In particular we proceeded as follows:

- We represented each query and document as tf-idf vector
- For each query we retrieved top 50 documents using search.py (presented in part 1), with StandardAnalyzer and BM25Similarity
- We applied Rocchio algorithm to update each query vector by considering top 10 retrieved documents as relevant ones (so applying pseudo-relevance, even if we know it can have some limits with some queries), since we previously saw that BM25Similarity worked not that bad and to make the procedure more automatic. We set  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0.65$ ,  $\gamma = 0$
- From the updated query vector, we picked up the top 10 terms to obtain the updated query
- We retrieved again documents, but now for the updated query

#### Query expansion [4]

We made query expansion using WordNet, a large lexical database of English. Nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs are grouped into sets of cognitive synonyms (synsets), each expressing a distinct concept. Synsets are interlinked by means of conceptual-semantic and lexical relations.

So we could use it to have the words to use to expand the queries.

Later we obtained the expanded query from the chosen title and we searched for it to have the new results.

Since the set of documents is very large, our approach was to take a subset of it, so we took some titles as queries and we worked on them.

Also here, to evaluate the system using different searching techniques, we were interested in precision and recall.

P = measure of "how much correct found"

R = measure of "how much of correct found".

# 3 Examples

We analyze here how the system evolves using different techniques to modify the original query.

As first approach we manually checked the results for the following queries, in order to decide which were the correct answers before and after applying Rocchio algorithm and query expansion.

Y = correct answer for the query

N = not correct answer for the query

Red = document with title equal to the query

Technique	Document	Top-10		Query
Toomiquo	2 ocument	l op 10		(original/modified)
	Q1171			What is the most efficient
	Q11/1			graph data structure in
				Python?
BM25		Q1171.xml	Y	,
		Q852334.xml	N	
		Q262232.xml	N	
		Q10025584.xml	N	
		Q1005494.xml	Y	
		Q902910.xml	N	
		Q463240.xml		
		Q1094215.xml		
		Q238008.xml		
		Q872290.xml		
Rocchio		Q852334.xml	N	structure graph efficient
		Q872290.xml	N	what most in data python
		Q1171.xml	Y	the is
		Q902910.xml	N	
		Q262232.xml	N	
		Q628192.xml	Y	
		Q671403.xml		
		Q990469.xml		
		Q10025584.xml		
		Q1005494.xml		
Query expansion		Q1139449.xml	N	What efficient effective
<b>V</b> 1		Q1321062.xml	N	graph graphical_record
		Q1457045.xml	N	chart data information
		Q1368822.xml	N	datum structure
		Q572808.xml	N	construction
		Q561949.xml	N	anatomical_structure
		Q1392604.xml		python Python
		Q1074200.xml		
		Q1171.xml		
		Q598931.xml		
	Q1350396			Error while inserting
				pointer to a vector
BM25		Q914460.xml	Y	
		Q1222926.xml	Y	
		Q485358.xml	Y	
		Q555223.xml	N	
		Q1052135.xml	N	

	1	T = = -		
		Q947394.xml	N	
		Q1084251.xml		
		Q488729.xml		
		Q313432.xml		
		Q995281.xml		
Rocchio		Q914460.xml	Y	a inserting vector pointer to
		Q485358.xml	Y	error while function
		Q947394.xml	N	strongpwrite have
		Q1280513.xml	N	
		Q1222926.xml	N	
		Q488729.xml	N	
		Q621233.xml		
		Q62340.xml		
		Q1436020.xml		
		Q313432.xml		
Query expansion		Q1384301.xml	N	mistake error fault insert
Query expansion		Q326922.xml	N	infix enter arrow pointer
		Q891913.xml	Y	cursor vector transmitter
		Q1381840.xml	N	carsor vector transmitter
		Q1472019.xml	N	
		Q405617.xml	N	
		Q403017.xml Q995281.xml	1.4	
		Q1039627.xml		
		Q901704.xml		
		Q739870.xml		
	010000	Q739670.XIIII		A dd
	Q10880			Any good advice on using emacs for C++ project?
BM25		Q671412.xml	Y	
D11123		Q557555.xml	N	
		Q79210.xml	Y	
		Q10880.xml	Y	
		Q1078069.xml	N	
		Q1416882.xml	N	
		Q445595.xml	-,	
		Q623040.xml		
		Q1231397.xml		
		Q495579.xml		
Dogahio		Q671412.xml	Y	on good advice any using
Rocchio		Q557555.xml	N	emacs for c project isn
		Q1114305.xml	Y	emaes for e project isin
		Q842918.xml	Y	
		-		
		Q1209497.xml	N Y	
		Q79210.xml	Y	
		Q10880.xml		
		Q1078069.xml		
		Q1416882.xml		
		Q24109.xml		
Query expansion		Q454664.xml	N	Any good goodness
		Q708684.xml	N	commodity advice
		Q396074.xml	N	exploitation victimization
		Q1209497.xml	N	victimisation
		Q807846.xml	N	degree_centigrade
		Q1436545.xml	N	degree_Celsius C
		Q314553.xml		undertaking project task
		Q1027785.xml		
		Q1239433.xml		
		Q883332.xml		
	Q2933			How can I create a
				directly-executable cross-

	1	1		platform GUI app using
				Python?
BM25		Q818736.xml	N	
		Q1147199.xml	N	
		Q2933.xml	Y	
		Q1459087.xml	N	
		Q205062.xml	N	
		Q1060679.xml	N	
		Q450136.xml Q5313.xml		
		Q716524.xml		
		Q796364.xml		
Rocchio		Q1147199.xml	N	gui i platform can a app
Roccino		Q818736.xml	N	cross directly executable
		Q2933.xml	Y	python
		Q1459087.xml	N	F)
		Q5313.xml	Y	
		Q1060679.xml	N	
		Q205062.xml		
		Q450136.xml		
		Q1029435.xml		
		Q81584.xml		
Query expansion			N	create
		Q13607.xml	Y	graphical_user_interface
		Q636990.xml	Y	GUI
		Q722098.xml	N	
		Q692566.xml	Y	
		Q211830.xml Q977653.xml	N	
		Q707491.xml		
		Q1043114.xml		
		Q402598.xml		
	Q638360	Q 1020 y 0		Python How to calculate
				equal parts of two dictionaries
BM25		Q327311.xml	Y	
		Q1154378.xml	N	
		Q526125.xml	Y	
		Q399957.xml	N	
		Q638360.xml	Y	
		Q285938.xml	N	
		Q1317410.xml		
		Q631463.xml Q1055410.xml		
		Q1053410.xml		
Rocchio		Q327311.xml	Y	equal calculate dictionaries
Roccino			N	two how parts python to of
		Q592746.xml	N	other
		Q1456617.xml	N	
		Q38987.xml	Y	
		Q1154378.xml	N	
		Q526125.xml		
		Q399957.xml		
		Q638360.xml		
On a series		Q285938.xml	NT	nuthon Duthon coloulet-
Query expansion		Q431082.xml Q526125.xml	N Y	python Python calculate cipher cypher peer equal
		Q326125.xml Q1165352.xml	Y Y	match parts part portion
		Q327311.xml	Y	two 2 II dictionary lexicon
		Q285938.xml	N	two 2 if dictionary textcoll
		Q49137.xml	N	
	1	V+213/.XIIII	T.A.	

		T = ==================================		T
		Q581209.xml		
		Q638360.xml		
		Q609972.xml		
		Q1024847.xml		
	Q1124667			What is the best practice in
				deploying application on
				Windows
BM25		Q261829.xml	Y	
		Q455904.xml	N	
		Q585165.xml	N	
		Q1208963.xml	N	
		Q1222626.xml	Y	
		Q1121725.xml	N	
		Q1424169.xml		
		Q941809.xml		
		Q467899.xml		
		Q848126.xml		
Rocchio		Q261829.xml	Y	application is the what in
Kocciilo		Q455904.xml	Y	windows practice best
		Q585165.xml	N	deploying on
		Q1208963.xml	N	acploying on
		Q1208903.xiiii Q1222626.xml	Y	
		Q1222020.xml Q1121725.xml	N	
		Q1424169.xml	11	
		Q941809.xml		
		Q447809.xml		
		~		
		Q848126.xml	N.T.	In the desire of the second se
Query expansion		Q1305632.xml	N	best topper Best practice
		Q401118.xml	N	pattern exercise deploy
		Q797771.xml	N	application
		Q646427.xml	N	practical_application
		Q1365729.xml	N	coating Windows window
		Q651358.xml	N	windowpan
		Q1409192.xml		
		Q1161618.xml		
		Q259634.xml		
		Q1367130.xml		
	Q827393			Default value for bool in C
BM25		Q827393.xml	Y	
		Q1059630.xml	Y	
		Q412611.xml	Y	
		Q1142209.xml	N	
		Q663724.xml	N	
		Q529210.xml	N	
		Q1123725.xml		
		Q886729.xml		
		Q1409454.xml		
		Q365198.xml		
Rocchio		Q827393.xml	Y	bool in default value c for
KUCCIIIU		Q1185923.xml	N	other about function have
		Q565765.xml	Y	date about function have
		Q183606.xml	N	
		Q609937.xml	N	
		Q1166729.xml	N	
		Q1100729.xml Q1057724.xml	1.1	
		~		
		Q1110658.xml		
		Q913020.xml		
		Q54867.xml	). T	1-f14
Query expansion		Q620137.xml	N	default nonpayment
		Q563221.xml	N	nonremittal value
i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1	1		economic_value

Q1059630.xml	Y	time_value
Q687718.xml	N	degree_centigrade
Q1123725.xml	Y	degree_Celsius C
Q827393.xml	Y	_
Q1142209.xml		
Q1190112.xml		
Q202718.xml		
Q507971.xml		

We showed the top-10 documents retrieved to see if the document corresponding to the title used as query appears in that set, but we calculated precision (as in the previous report, we could say precision@6) and recall considering the top-6 documents.

As denominator for the recall we use "X" since we don't know the number of the relevant documents.

#### Queries:

o "What is the most efficient graph data structure in Python?"

BM25:

Precision = 2/6

Recall = 2/X

Rocchio:

Precision = 2/6

Recall = 2/X

Query expansion:

Precision = 0/6

Recall = 0/X

o "Error while inserting pointer to a vector"

BM25:

Precision = 3/6

Recall = 3/X

Rocchio:

Precision = 2/6

Recall = 2/X

Query expansion:

Precision = 1/6

Recall = 1/X

o "Any good advice on using emacs for C++ project?"

BM25:

Precision = 3/6

Recall = 3/X

Rocchio:

Precision = 4/6

Recall = 4/X

Query expansion:

```
Precision = 0/6
Recall = 0/X
```

o "How can I create a directly-executable cross-platform GUI app using Python?"

```
BM25:
```

Precision = 1/6

Recall = 1/X

Rocchio:

Precision = 2/6

Recall = 2/X

Query expansion:

Precision = 3/6

Recall = 3/X

o "Python How to calculate equal parts of two dictionaries"

```
BM25:
```

Precision = 3/6

Recall = 3/X

Rocchio:

Precision = 2/6

Recall = 2/X

Query expansion:

Precision = 3/6

Recall = 3/X

o "What is the best practice in deploying application on Windows"

#### BM25:

Precision = 2/6

Recall = 2/X

Rocchio:

Precision = 3/6

Recall = 3/X

Query expansion:

Precision = 0/6

Recall = 0/X

o "Default value for bool in C"

#### BM25:

Precision = 3/6

Recall = 3/X

Rocchio:

Precision = 2/6

Recall = 2/X

Query expansion:

Precision = 3/6Recall = 3/X

Since this approach is restrictive (we can not manually check a large number of queries), we decided to make also a larger-scale experiment, that is the same as the experiment number 2 of the report 1 (the complete description is written there).

We looked at the average position of the document that has the query as title using different techniques: normal searcher with BM25Similarity, Rocchio algorithm, query expansion.

We used 100 queries (for Rocchio and query expansion we used the updated query) and we calculated the average position on them, retrieving the top-50 documents.

BM23Similarity: average position = 15.2

Rocchio algorithm: average position = 19.6

Query expansion: average position = 35.08

### 4 Analysis of results

If we look at the manual results, we can see how relevant documents are given in greater number sometimes using Rocchio algorithm, sometimes using query expansion, but with both the techniques we lose precision, since the modified queries can bring us away from our goal. Query expansion, in particular, seems not to help so much if we use sentences as query (titles), the expansion is not so accurate and we lose important documents, as we can see in results that are zero.

If we look at the results of the second experiment, on a larger-scale, we can see how the average position of the document that has the query as title is higher if we use BM23Similarity, then there is Rocchio algorithm and finally query expansion, with a big gap between it and query expansion.

So we could say that Rocchio algorithm can increase recall, but we lose in precision, as we expected.

If we analyze query expansion, we can see how deeply it changes the query, so that we often lose a large part of documents, so, for our queries, that are long, since they are sentences and not single words, this technique is not optimal.

Also here we observed that the performance of the two techniques is dependent on the particular query we are dealing with, suggesting us an oscillating behavior, in particular this seems to be true for the query expansion, where the synonyms taken from WordNet thesaurus do not always satisfy the request of the queries, maybe with a too specific and technical language.

### 5 Conclusion

The two techniques we examined here work on modifying queries, changing and adding terms to them. This approach can increase recall, but at the same time can be dangerous, since it can bring us far from our aim, as seen for query expansion. Moreover, since we have not a robust set of relevant documents (we do not have a real feedback by users), it is not always easy to make Rocchio algorithm work at its best.

# Bibliography

- [1] https://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1617/InfoRtrv/lecture7-relevance-feedback.pdf .
- [2] https://svn.apache.org/viewvc/lucene/pylucene/trunk/samples/SearchFiles.py?view=markup.
- [3] https://github.com/siddumsp1997/Relevance-feedback-and-Query-expansion.
- [4] https://github.com/ellisa1419/Wordnet-Query-Expansion/blob/master/wordnet.py.