# Journal Pre-proof

Effects of Hydroxychloroquine Treatment on QT Interval

Matthew Hooks, MD, Bradley Bart, MD, Orly Vardeny, PharmD, MS, Anders Westanmo, PharmD, MBA, Selçuk Adabag, MD, MS

Please cite this article as: Hooks M, Bart B, Vardeny O, Westanmo A, Adabag S, Effects of Hydroxychloroquine Treatment on QT Interval, *Heart Rhythm* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.06.029.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Effects of Hydroxychloroquine Treatment on QT Interval

Matthew Hooks, MD[a], Bradley Bart, MD[a,b], Orly Vardeny, PharmD, MS[a,c], Anders Westanmo, PharmD, MBA[d], Selçuk Adabag, MD, MS[a,b]

[a]Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA; [b]Division of Cardiology, Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Minneapolis, MN, USA; [c]Center for Care Delivery & Outcomes Research, Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Minneapolis, MN, USA; [d]Department of Pharmacy, Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Minneapolis, MN, USA

**Brief Title:** Hydroxychloroquine QT

**Word Count:** 4139

**Corresponding Author:**

Selcuk Adabag, MD, MS

One Veterans Drive, Division of Cardiology (111C)

Minneapolis, MN 55417

Email: adaba001@umn.edu

1

## ABSTRACT

**Background** Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) has been promoted as a potential treatment for COVID-19 but there are safety concerns.

**Objectives** To determine the effect of HCQ treatment on QT interval

**Methods** We retrospectively studied the electrocardiograms of 819 patients treated with HCQ for rheumatologic diseases from 2000 to 2020. The primary outcome was corrected QT (QTc) interval, by Bazett formula, during HCQ therapy.

**Results** The patients were 64.0 (±10.9) years in age and 734 (90%) were men. The median dosage and duration of HCQ were 400mg daily and 1006 (471-2075) days, respectively. The mean on-treatment QTc was 430.9 (±31.8) msec. In total, 55 (7%) patients had QTc 470-500 msec and 12 (1.5%) had QTc >500msec. Chronic kidney disease (CKD), history of atrial fibrillation (AF) and heart failure were independent risk factors for prolonged QTc. In a subset of 591 patients who also had a pre-treatment ECG, the mean QTc increased from 424.4 (±29.7) msec. to 432.0 (±32.3) msec (p<0.0001) during HCQ treatment. Of these, 23 (3.9%) patients had either prolongation of QTc >15% or an on-treatment QTc >500 msec. Over 5.97 (3.33-10.11) years of follow-up, 269 (33%) patients died. QTc >470 msec during HCQ treatment was associated with a greater mortality risk of (hazard ratio 1.78, 95% confidence interval 1.16-2.71; p=0.008) in univariable but not in multivariable analysis.

**Conclusion** Hydroxychloroquine is associated with QT prolongation in a significant fraction of patients. The risk of QT prolongation is higher among patients with CKD, AF and heart failure, who may benefit from greater scrutiny.

**Keywords**: long QT syndrome; drugs; drug-induced arrhythmia; mortality; electrocardiogram

**INTRODUCTION**

Since December 2019, the novel single-stranded RNA virus known as the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has caused millions of cases of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in a global pandemic, leading to substantial morbidity and mortality[1]. Clinical trajectories of COVID-19 vary widely, with worse outcomes observed in older individuals and those with concomitant medical conditions[2]. A significant proportion of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 have cardiovascular disease. Moreover, COVID-19 has been purported to cause several types of cardiac adverse events, including myocardial injury, acute myocardial infarction, acute heart failure, myocarditis, and arrhythmias[3–5].There is no approved treatment for COVID-19, although several strategies are under investigation, such as antivirals, antiretrovirals, immune modulators and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ).

Originally used to treat malaria, HCQ was approved for the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) by the FDA in 1955[6]. It is currently the most commonly prescribed medication for SLE and is frequently used for a variety of other rheumatologic conditions. Cardiac toxicity is rare but there are reports of conduction abnormalities including bundle-branch block, atrioventricular block, QT prolongation, torsade de pointes, and sudden cardiac death[7–10]. There is in vitro evidence that chloroquine and HCQ possess antiviral properties[11]. These data have been extrapolated to hypothesize that HCQ may prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection and attenuate the course and severity of the COVID-19 disease[12]. Indeed, on March 28, 2020 the FDA issued in emergency use authorization for the use of HCQ sulfate (and chloroquine phosphate) in certain hospitalized patients being treated for COVID-19[13]. However, recent studies showing no benefit of HCQ in COVID-19 have since led the FDA to remove that emergency authorization[14–17]. Nonetheless, Case reports and case series of COVID-19 patients treated with HCQ describe significant QT prolongation and torsade de pointes which has expanded clinical concern regarding the arrhythmogenic risk of HCQ[18–21]. The purpose of this

study is to provide information about the effects of HCQ on cardiac conduction abnormalities that appear on a 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG).

**METHODS**

This study was approved by the Minneapolis VA Medical Center Institutional Review Board. Informed consent requirement was waived.

**Patient Selection**

We identified 2665 patients who received at least one prescription for HCQ dispensed at the Minneapolis Veterans Affairs (VA) Health Care System from the year 2000 to 2020. Each prescription represented the first dispense of HCQ until a break in prescriptions for at least 150 days[22,23]. We excluded patients without an ECG performed while they were receiving HCQ treatment (n=1722). After also excluding the 124 duplicate entries, we included 819 unique patients who received treatment in this analysis.

**Electrocardiogram**

All ECGs were performed with the General Electric MAC 5000 and stored in the Marquette Universal System for Electrocardiography database[24,25]. We selected the first ECG, performed at least 5 days after starting the HCQ treatment for analysis. When present, we also analyzed the last ECG performed before starting the HCQ treatment. We recorded the ventricular rate, QRS duration, QT interval and the corrected QT interval (QTc) calculated via the Bazett, Framingham and Friderica formulas. For patients with a QRS >120 msec we used the Bogossian formula[26] to calculate a modified QT interval (QTm), QTm= QT(measured) – 48.5%*QRS(measured). The QTm was used to calculate the QTc. We manually measured the QT interval, starting from the beginning of the Q wave to the end of the T wave on ECG, using

electronic calipers in 70 (8.5%) randomly selected patients in the cohort[27]. The correlation coefficient was 0.8.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcome variable was the QTc interval during HCQ therapy. We used the Bazett formula for QT correction because it is the most commonly used method to calculate QTc. QTc > 470 msec represents the 99th percentile of QTc distribution in the general population and is a predictor of symptoms in patients with long-QT syndrome[28,29]. QTc > 500 msec is associated with a higher risk of life-threatening arrhythmias[29]. In a subgroup of patients who had ECGs before and during HCQ treatment, we also assessed the change in QTc[30]. Secondary outcome variable was time to death or last follow-up from the starting date of the HCQ treatment, as recorded in the VA vital status files[24,25,31].

**Predictor variables and definitions**

We extracted patient demographics, comorbidities, and laboratory data from the VA corporate data warehouse using Structured Query Language. The VA corporate data warehouse contains extracts from VA clinical and administrative system that includes complete clinical data since October 1999[32]. Prescribing reports on HCQ dosing, start and stoppage dates and other medications were also extracted from the VHA CDW[22]. The ECG data were obtained from the Marquette Universal System for Electrocardiography database[24,25]. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) was defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 60 ml/min. The eGFR was calculated with the Cockcroft-Gault Equation ([140-age] × weight in kg)/(serum creatinine × 72; multiplied by 0.85 in women).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are presented as mean (standard deviation) or median (interquartile range) for continuous variables, and number (n) and percentage (%) for categorical variables. Comparisons of

5

characteristics among patients with vs. without QTc prolongation were made with chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and unpaired t test for continuous variables. The ECG characteristics before vs. during HCQ therapy were compared using paired t-test. Independent predictors of prolonged QTc were identified by multivariable logistic regression analysis. Survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan–Meier method and the differences of survival among patients with vs. without prolonged QTc was examined by the log-rank test. Cox proportional hazards analysis was used to assess the association between QTc prolongation and mortality, and to calculate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS (version 25; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Patient characteristics**

The baseline characteristics of the 819 study patients are shown in Table 1. The mean age was 64.0 (±10.9) years and 734 (90%) of the patients were male. Cardiovascular comorbidities included hypertension (n=532, 65%), coronary artery disease (n=234, 29%), diabetes (n=202, 25%) and heart failure (n=65, 8%). A total of 99 (12%) patients had chronic kidney disease (Table 1).

Hydroxychloroquine was prescribed to treat systemic lupus erhythematosus in 786 (96%) of the patients at a median starting dosage of 400 mg daily. The median (25th-75th percentile) duration of HCQ therapy was 1006 (471-2075) days.

**ECG characteristics during HCQ treatment**

A 12-lead ECG was performed 234 (92-586) days after starting HCQ therapy in all study patients. The characteristics of the ECG during HCQ therapy are listed in Table 2. Ventricular rate was 74.8 (±17.3)beats/min. The mean on-treatment QTc, based on Bazett formula, was

6

430.9 (±31.8) msec. A total of 55 (7%) patients had on-treatment QTc 470-500 msec. and 12 (1.5%) had QTc > 500 msec (Table 2). Compared to those with QTc ≤ 470 msec., patients with prolonged QTc were older and more likely to have CKD, history of atrial fibrillation and history of heart failure (Table 3). In multivariable regression, CKD (odds ratio [OR] 2.30; 95% CI 1.24-4.26; p=0.008), and atrial fibrillation (OR 2.64; 95% CI 1.42-4.90; p=0.002) were independent predictors of QTc >470 msec. Notably, the odds of QTc prolongation was >5 times higher (OR 5.1; 95% CI 1.23-21.1; p=0.025) when eGFR was < 30 ml/min. There was a negative correlation between eGFR and QTc (Figure 1).

**Paired ECG before and during HCQ treatment**

A total of 591 (72%) patients had ECGs performed *before* (median 345 [98-833] days) *and after* (median 204 [84-489] days) starting the HCQ treatment. On these paired ECGs, there was a modest prolongation of QTc from 424.4 (±29.7) msec. to 432.0 (±32.3) msec. (p<0.0001) during HCQ treatment (Table 4). A total of 23 (3.9%) patients had either a >15% increase in QTc or a QTc > 500 msec with HCQ treatment. These patients were more likely to have chronic kidney disease (44% vs. 14%; p=0.0001) than the rest of the cohort with paired ECGs.

**Survival in relation to QTc**

Of the 819 patients in the whole study cohort, 269 (33%) died over a median 5.97 (3.33-10.11) years of follow up. In comparison to those who survived, the patients who died were older, more likely to be men and more likely to have coronary disease, heart failure and CKD. In univariable Cox regression analysis, QTc > 470 msec. during HCQ therapy was associated with greater mortality (hazard ratio , 1.78, 95% CI 1.16-2.71, p=0.008) (Figure 2). However, after adjustment for age, sex, and comorbidities QTc > 470 msec. during HCQ treatment was no longer associated with long-term mortality. In patients with paired ECG, delta QTc was *not* associated with mortality (HR 1.001, 95% CI 0.998-1.005; p=0.51).

7

**DISCUSSION**

This investigation showed that a significant fraction (8.3%) of the patients prescribed HCQ for the treatment of SLE had QTc > 470 msec on ECG, including 1.5% who had QTc > 500 msec. Chronic kidney disease, atrial fibrillation and heart failure were associated with QTc prolongation. Among patients with ECGs performed both before and during treatment, HCQ had a modest effect on QTc (mean increase 8 msec) except in 3.4% of the patients who had > 15% increase in QTc. The QTc interval during HCQ treatment was associated with long-term survival in univariable analysis, while the change in QTc was not.

Hydroxychloroquine blocks the KCNH2-encoded hERG/Kv11.1 potassium channel and can contribute to prolongation of the QTc interval[12]. Mutations involving these potassium channels cause the long QT syndrome and their blockage by certain drugs can be associated with QT prolongation, torsade de pointes, and sudden death. While QT prolongation and ventricular arrhythmias related to HCQ are uncommon, there are published case reports and postings on the FDA Adverse Events Reporting System[7,8,10]. A retrospective study of 112 patients with SLE treated with HCQ reported that cardiac conduction abnormalities were present in 18% of the subjects. These included incomplete bundle branch blocks and second and third degree atrioventricular blocks. The presence of conduction abnormalities were not associated with mortality during the first 10 years of follow-up but thereafter, subjects with cardiac conduction abnormalities had a higher risk of death[33]. In a larger series of 453 consecutive SLE patients, 84% of whom were taking HCQ, 16% had cardiac conduction abnormalities[34]. Since some conduction abnormalities can be related to myocardial involvement of SLE, the authors were not able to attribute them directly to the use of HCQ. In fact, higher cumulative doses of HCQ were associated with fewer conduction abnormalities. QTc prolongation was rare and only noted in 0.7% of patients. In 2007, a retrospective review of 85 patients on HCQ showed that

the incidence of conduction delays and QT prolongation was similar to what is expected in the general public[35].

There are currently more than 7.15 million confirmed cased of COVID-19 world-wide. Initially, HCQ has emerged as a potential therapy for COVID-19 given in vitro evidence of antiviral properties[11,36]. Guidelines quickly emerged cautioning the use of the medication in patients with prolonged QTc. The Heart Rhythm Society published guidelines suggesting that the arrhythmic toxicity risk of HCQ is likely low given the relatively short duration of treatment for COVID-19[37]. They recommend using caution in patients with known congenital long QT syndrome, renal insufficiency, on QT prolonging medications (e.g. certain antiarrhythmics, antipsychotics, antifungals, and macrolide antibiotics such as azithromycin), or with electrolyte derangements[37–39]. Mayo clinic proceedings recently published guidelines recommending caution when using HCQ +/- azithromycin in any patient with a prolonged QTc > 470msec in males and >480 msec in females[12]. Most recently, a small randomized controlled trial and several observational studies of COVID-19 patients treated with HCQ showed no evidence of benefit and some concerning trends for harm[14–17,40]. At this moment, the FDA has removed the emergency authorization of HCQ as a therapy for COVID-19. the COVID-19 treatment guidelines put forth by the National Institutes of Health, recommend against use of HCQ in combination with azithromycin, except in the context of a clinical trial[41]. However, despite the negative trials the medication has received significant media attention and it remains possible that a significant portion of the population is exposed to the medication. The association of impaired kidney function with QTc prolongation is especially concerning since reports from China indicate that patients with COVID-19 are more likely to experience renal dysfunction[42]. Given the higher co-morbidity burden and acuity of patients hospitalized with COVID-19, it is possible that a higher percentage would exhibit QTc prolongation with HCQ treatment.

The current report provides contemporary information on the effect of HCQ on QTc to support the above recommendations. While the additional prolongation of QTc with HCQ was in

general modest, substantial prolongation occurred in 3.2% of the patients. Guidelines for performing screening ECGs and recommendations for monitoring patients started on HCQ have been proposed[18,43]. Therefore, it is prudent to check a baseline ECG prior to initiating therapy, avoid hypokalemia and monitor patients with impaired kidney function and those taking other QT prolonging medications closely[38,39]. Although this study did not include genetic data, extra precautions are also recommended in patients with congenital long QT syndrome.

This study showed that 1.5% of patients taking HCQ had QTc >500 msec. Class III antiarrhythmic medications dofetilide and sotalol, used for the treatment atrial fibrillation, can also cause QT prolongation. In the DIAMOND-CHF study, dofetilide was discontinued in 2% of the patients for QT prolongation[44]. However, more recent data from general practice showed that dofetilide was discontinued in up to 17% of patients due to QT prolongation[38]. Sotalol, on the other hand, was discontinued in 4.3% of patients due to prolonged QT interval[45]. The results of the current study should be evaluated within this context.

Limitations

We acknowledge the limitations of this study. There may be a selection bias for patients who had ECGs while on therapy. Patients with SLE are different from ill patients with COVID-19 who are likely to have a higher burden of cardiovascular comorbidities and impaired kidney function making them more vulnerable to the adverse effects of HCQ. Further, HCQ has a long half-life. Steady state is achieved after 3-4 months of therapy[46]. The average time on HCQ at the time the ECG was performed in this study was 237 (92-575) days suggesting that steady state had been achieved. However, patients treated for COVID-19 are likely to receive shorter courses of therapy and this study cannot address expected ECG changes in this timeframe. Finally, this study was retrospective, lacked genetic diagnostics and largely consisted of men.

Conclusions

Hydroxychloroquine therapy is associated with QT prolongation in a significant fraction of patients who were on chronic therapy with this medication for SLE. While recent trials have not supported the expansion of HCQ use for COVID-19, it has raised significant concerns with QT prolongation and arrhythmogenic risk. Avoiding hypokalemia and carefully monitoring of QTc are warranted; particularly in those with impaired kidney function and those receiving other QT prolonging drugs.

**REFERENCES**

1.  COVID-19 Dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU) [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 25],. Available from: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html

2.  Wu Z, McGoogan JM: Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China. JAMA [Internet] 2020; 323:1239. Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2762130

3.  Madjid M, Safavi-Naeini P, Solomon SD, Vardeny O: Potential Effects of Coronaviruses on the Cardiovascular System. JAMA Cardiol [Internet] 2020; . Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamacardiology/fullarticle/2763846

4.  Fried JA, Ramasubbu K, Bhatt R, et al.: The Variety of Cardiovascular Presentations of COVID-19. Circulation [Internet] Ovid Technologies (Wolters Kluwer Health), 2020; 141:1930–1936. Available from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.047164

5.  Vardeny O, Madjid M, Solomon SD: Applying the Lessons of Influenza to COVID-19 During a Time of Uncertainty. Circulation [Internet] 2020; 141:1667–1669. Available from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.046837

6.  U.S Food & Drug Administration [Internet]. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2007/009768s041lbl.pdf

7.  Morgan ND, Patel S V., Dvorkina O: Suspected Hydroxychloroquine-Associated QT-Interval Prolongation in a Patient With Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. J Clin Rheumatol [Internet] 2013; 19:286–288. Available from: http://content.wkhealth.com/linkback/openurl?sid=WKPTLP:landingpage&an=00124743-201308000-00013

8.  O'Laughlin JP, Mehta PH, Wong BC: Life Threatening Severe QTc Prolongation in Patient with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus due to Hydroxychloroquine. Case Reports

Cardiol [Internet] 2016; 2016:1–4. Available from:

http://www.hindawi.com/journals/cric/2016/4626279/

9.   Chen C-Y, Wang F-L, Lin C-C: Chronic Hydroxychloroquine Use Associated with QT

Prolongation and Refractory Ventricular Arrhythmia. Clin Toxicol [Internet] 2006; 44:173–

175. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15563650500514558

10.  FDA Adverse Events Reporting System (FAERS) Public Dashboard [Internet]. [cited 2020

Apr 1],. Available from: https://fis.fda.gov/sense/app/d10be6bb-494e-4cd2-82e4-

0135608ddc13/sheet/59a37af8-d2bb-4dee-90bf-6620b1d5542f/state/analysis

11.  Yao X, Ye F, Zhang M, et al.: In Vitro Antiviral Activity and Projection of Optimized Dosing

Design of Hydroxychloroquine for the Treatment of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome

Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Clin Infect Dis [Internet] 2020; . Available from:

https://academic.oup.com/cid/advance-article/doi/10.1093/cid/ciaa237/5801998

12.  Giudicessi JR, Noseworthy PA, Friedman PA, Ackerman MJ: Urgent Guidance for

Navigating and Circumventing the QTc-Prolonging and Torsadogenic Potential of

Possible Pharmacotherapies for Coronavirus Disease 19 (COVID-19). Mayo Clin Proc

[Internet] Elsevier, 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 19]; 95:1213–1221. Available from:

https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S002561962030313X

13.  Hinton D: Request for Emergency Use Authorization For Use of Chloroquine Phosphate

or Hydroxychloroquine Sulfate Supplied From the Strategic National Stockpile for

Treatment of 2019 Coronavirus Disease. 2020,.

14.  Tang W, Cao Z, Han M, et al.: Hydroxychloroquine in patients with mainly mild to

moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised controlled trial. BMJ

[Internet] 2020; 369:m1849. Available from:

http://www.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmj.m1849

15.  Mahévas M, Tran V-T, Roumier M, et al.: Clinical efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in

patients with covid-19 pneumonia who require oxygen: observational comparative study

using routine care data. BMJ [Internet] 2020; 369:m1844. Available from:
http://www.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmj.m1844

16. Geleris J, Sun Y, Platt J, Zucker J, Baldwin M, Hripcsak G, Labella A, Manson DK, Kubin
C, Barr RG, Sobieszczyk ME, Schluger NW: Observational Study of Hydroxychloroquine
in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med [Internet] 2020; 382:2411–2418.
Available from: http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa2012410

17. Magagnoli J, Narendran S, Pereira F, Cummings TH, Hardin JW, Sutton SS, Ambati J:
Outcomes of hydroxychloroquine usage in United States veterans hospitalized with
COVID-19. Med 2020; 2020.04.16.20065920. Published 2020 Apr 21.
doi:10.1101/2020.04.16.20065920.

18. Mitra RL, Greenstein SA, Epstein LM: An algorithm for managing QT prolongation in
coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients treated with either chloroquine or
hydroxychloroquine in conjunction with azithromycin: Possible benefits of intravenous
lidocaine. Hear Case Reports [Internet] 2020; 6:244–248. Available from:
https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2214027120300579

19. Szekely Y, Lichter Y, Shrkihe BA, Bruck H, Oster HS, Viskin S: Chloroquine-induced
torsades de pointes in a patient with coronavirus disease 2019. Hear Rhythm [Internet]
2020; S1547-5271(20):30420–3. Available from:
https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1547527120304203

20. Jankelson L, Karam G, Becker ML, Chinitz LA, Tsai M-C: QT prolongation, torsades de
pointes, and sudden death with short courses of chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine as
used in COVID-19: A systematic review. Hear Rhythm [Internet] 2020; S1547-
5271(20):30431–8. Available from:
https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1547527120304318

21. Chorin E, Wadhwani L, Magnani S, et al.: QT interval prolongation and torsade de
pointes in patients with COVID-19 treated with hydroxychloroquine/azithromycin. Hear

Rhythm [Internet] 2020; S1547-5271(20):30435–5. Available from:

https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1547527120304355

22. Rector TS, Adabag S, Cunningham F, Nelson D, Dieperink E: Outcomes of Citalopram

Dosage Risk Mitigation in a Veteran Population. Am J Psychiatry [Internet] 2016;

173:896–902. Available from:

http://ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/10.1176/appi.ajp.2016.15111444

23. Westermeyer J, Adabag S, Anand V, Thuras P, Yoon G, Batres-y-Carr T: Methadone

maintenance dose/weight ratio, long QTc, and EKG screening. Am J Addict [Internet]

2016; 25:499–507. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/ajad.12423

24. Moulki N, Kealhofer J V., Benditt DG, Gravely A, Vakil K, Garcia S, Adabag S:

Association of cardiac implantable electronic devices with survival in bifascicular block

and prolonged PR interval on electrocardiogram. J Interv Card Electrophysiol [Internet]

2018; 52:335–341. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10840-018-0389-0

25. Coumbe AG, Naksuk N, Newell MC, Somasundaram PE, Benditt DG, Adabag S: Long-

term follow-up of older patients with Mobitz type I second degree atrioventricular block.

Heart [Internet] 2013; 99:334–338. Available from:

http://heart.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/heartjnl-2012-302770

26. Bogossian H, Frommeyer G, Ninios I, et al.: New formula for evaluation of the QT interval

in patients with left bundle branch block. Hear Rhythm [Internet] 2014; 11:2273–2277.

Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1547527114009151

27. Al-Khatib SM, LaPointe NMA, Kramer JM, Califf RM: What Clinicians Should Know About

the QT Interval. JAMA [Internet] 2003; 289:2120–2127. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.289.16.2120

28. Mason JW, Ramseth DJ, Chanter DO, Moon TE, Goodman DB, Mendzelevski B:

Electrocardiographic reference ranges derived from 79,743 ambulatory subjects. J

Electrocardiol [Internet] Churchill Livingstone, 2007 [cited 2020 Apr 19]; 40:228-234.e8.

Available from:

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0022073606003530?via%3Dihub

29.    Issa Z, Miller J: Clinical Arrhythmology and Electrophysiology: A Companion to

Braunwald's Heart Disease. 3rd Editio. Elsevier, 2018,.

30.    Bart G, Wyman Z, Wang Q, Hodges JS, Karim R, Bart BA: Methadone and the QTc

Interval. J Addict Med [Internet] 2017; 11:489–493. Available from:

http://journals.lww.com/01271255-201712000-00013

31.    Jain R, Duval S, Adabag S: How Accurate Is the Eyeball Test?: A Comparison of

Physician's Subjective Assessment Versus Statistical Methods in Estimating Mortality

Risk After Cardiac Surgery. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes [Internet] 2014; 7:151–156.

Available from:

http://circoutcomes.ahajournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.113.000329

32.    Westanmo A, Marshall P, Jones E, Burns K, Krebs EE: Opioid Dose Reduction in a VA

Health Care System—Implementation of a Primary Care Population-Level Initiative. Pain

Med [Internet] 2015; 16:1019–1026. Available from:

https://academic.oup.com/painmedicine/article-lookup/doi/10.1111/pme.12699

33.    Godeau P, Guillevin L, Fechner J, Bletry O HG: Disorders of conduction in lupus

erythematosus: Frequency and incidence in a group of 112 patient's. Ann Med Interne

1981; 312:234–240.

34.    McGhie TK, Harvey P, Su J, Anderson N, Tomlinson G, Touma Z: Electrocardiogram

abnormalities related to anti-malarials in systemic lupus erythematosus. Clin Exp

Rheumatol 2018; 36:545–551.

35.    Costedoat-Chalumeau N, Hulot J-S, Amoura Z, Leroux G, Lechat P, Funck-Brentano C,

Piette J-C: Heart conduction disorders related to antimalarials toxicity: an analysis of

electrocardiograms in 85 patients treated with hydroxychloroquine for connective tissue

diseases. Rheumatology Oxford University Press, 2007; 46:808–810.

16

36. Liu J, Cao R, Xu M, Wang X, Zhang H, Hu H, Li Y, Hu Z, Zhong W, Wang M: Hydroxychloroquine, a less toxic derivative of chloroquine, is effective in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro. Cell Discov [Internet] Springer US, 2020; 6:16. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41421-020-0156-0

37. Lakkireddy DR, Chung MK, Gopinathannair R, et al.: Guidance for Cardiac Electrophysiology During the COVID-19 Pandemic from the Heart Rhythm Society COVID-19 Task Force; Electrophysiology Section of the American College of Cardiology; and the Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee of the Council on. Circulation [Internet] 2020; 141. Available from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.047063

38. Anand V, Vakil K, Tholakanahalli V, Li J-M, McFalls E, Adabag S: Discontinuation of Dofetilide From QT Prolongation and Ventricular Tachycardia in the Real World. JACC Clin Electrophysiol [Internet] 2016; 2:777–781. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2405500X1630175X

39. Ko B, Garcia S, Mithani S, Tholakanahalli V, Adabag S: Risk of acute kidney injury in patients who undergo coronary angiography and cardiac surgery in close succession. Eur Heart J [Internet] 2012; 33:2065–2070. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/eurheartj/ehr493

40. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al.: Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. JAMA [Internet] 2020; 323:2052. Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2765184

41. COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines [Internet]. Natl. Inst. Heal. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 25],. Available from: https://covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/

42. Li Z, Wu M, Yao J, et al.: Caution on Kidney Dysfunctions of COVID-19 Patients. SSRN Electron J [Internet] 2020; :1–25. Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3559601

43. Jain S, Workman V, Ganeshan R, Obasare ER, Burr A, DeBiasi RM, Freeman J V., Akar J, Lampert R, Rosenfeld LE: Enhanced ECG monitoring of COVID-19 patients. Hear Rhythm [Internet] 2020; S1547-5271:30421–30425. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1547527120304215

44. Torp-Pedersen C, Møller M, Bloch-Thomsen PE, Køber L, Sandøe E, Egstrup K, Agner E, Carlsen J, Videbæk J, Marchant B, Camm AJ: Dofetilide in Patients with Congestive Heart Failure and Left Ventricular Dysfunction. N Engl J Med [Internet] 1999; 341:857–865. Available from: http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJM199909163411201

45. Weeke P, Delaney J, Mosley JD, Wells Q, Van Driest S, Norris K, Kucera G, Stubblefield T, Roden DM: QT variability during initial exposure to sotalol: experience based on a large electronic medical record. EP Eur [Internet] 2013; 15:1791–1797. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/europace/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/europace/eut153

46. Jordan P, Brookes JG, Nikolic G, Le Couteur DG, Le Couteur D: Hydroxychloroquine Overdose: Toxicokinetics and Management. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol Taylor & Francis, 1999; 37:861–864.

**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1.** Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate with QTc prolongation

**Figure 2**. Survival in relation to QTc during HCQ treatment. QTc > 470 msec. during HCQ

therapy was associated with greater mortality in univariable analysis but not after adjustment for

age, sex and comorbidities.

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the 819 patients treated with hydroxychloroquine

|  | All patients (n=819) |
|---|---|
| Age, years | 64.0 (±10.9) |
| Male, n (%) | 734 (90%) |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 532 (65%) |
| Coronary artery disease, n (%) | 234 (29%) |
| Heart failure, n (%) | 65 (8%) |
| Diabetes, n (%) | 202 (25%) |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%) | 90 (11%) |
| Chronic kidney disease, n (%) | 99 (12%) |
| End-stage renal failure, n (%) | 10 (1%) |
| SLE, n (%) | 786 (96%) |
| Magnesium, mg/dl | 2.0 (±0.3) |
| Potassium, mmol/L | 4.1 (±0.4) |
| Creatinine, mg/dl | 1.0 (±0.5) |
| eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m$^2$ | 78.6 (±24.7) |
| eGFR, ml/min | 100.5 (±37.9) |

Continuous variables were represented as mean (±standard deviation)
Abbreviations: eGFR=estimated glomerular filtration rate; HCQ= hydroxychloroquine; SLE= systemic lupus erythematosus

**Table 2.** ECG characteristics of the study patients during hydroxychloroquine treatment

|  | All patients (n=819) | QTc ≤ 470 (n=752) | QTc 471-500 (n=55) | QTc > 500 (n=12) | P value* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ventricular rate, bpm | 74.8 (±17.3) | 74.1 (±17.0) | 80.9 (±16.5) | 85.4 (±25.7) | 0.001 |
| QRS duration, msec | 100.9 (±22.8) | 101.0 (±23.2) | 98.3 (±16.3) | 104.0 (±24.2) | 0.47 |
| QT interval, msec | 392.8 (±44.5) | 389.6 (±42.6) | 421.3 (±40.0) | 462.6 (±73.6) | <0.0001 |
| QTc-Bazett, msec | 430.9 (±31.8) | 425.5 (±26.2) | 481.6 (±7.9) | 535.3 (±39.0) | <0.0001 |
| QTc-Framingham, msec | 417.8 (±30.3) | 413.3 (±27.3) | 457.3 (±15.9) | 491.5 (±45.7) | <0.0001 |
| QTc-Friderica, msec | 418.1 (±30.5) | 413.4 (±27.2) | 460.2 (±13.3) | 494.2 (±49.4) | <0.0001 |

Continuous variables were represented as mean (±standard deviation)
*Comparison of QTc ≤ 470 msec vs. QTc > 470 msec

21

**Table 3.** Clinical characteristics of the patients based on QTc during hydroxychloroquine

treatment

| | QTc ≤ 470 (n=752) | QTc 471-500 (n=55) | QTc > 500 (n=12) | P value* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Age, years | 63.7 (±10.8) | 68.3 (±9.3) | 66.4 (±16.9) | 0.002 |
| Male, n (%) | 675 (90%) | 48 (87%) | 11 (92%) | 0.66 |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 487 (65%) | 37 (67%) | 8 (67%) | 0.41 |
| Coronary artery disease, n (%) | 213 (28%) | 18 (33%) | 3 (25%) | 0.60 |
| Heart failure, n (%) | 55 (7%) | 7 (13%) | 3 (25%) | 0.03 |
| Diabetes, n (%) | 179 (24%) | 20 (36%) | 3 (25%) | 0.06 |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%) | 74 (10%) | 13 (24%) | 3 (25%) | <0.0001 |
| Chronic kidney disease, n (%) | 83 (11%) | 9 (16%) | 7 (58%) | 0.002 |
| Magnesium, mg/dl | 2.03 (±0.27) | 1.96 (±0.27) | 1.97 (±0.37) | 0.054 |
| Potassium, mmol/L | 4.15 (±0.42) | 4.04 (±0.37) | 4.25 (±0.49) | 0.21 |
| Creatinine, mg/dl | 1.02 (±0.30) | 1.06 (±0.39) | 2.62 (±2.99) | 0.07 |
| eGFR,  ml/min/1.73 m$^2$ | 79.2 (±24.2) | 75.3 (±25.9) | 53.7 (±41.7) | 0.08 |
| eGFR, ml/min | 101.2 (±37.2) | 96.5 (±40.4) | 72.4 (±63.4) | 0.12 |

Continuous variables were represented as mean (±standard deviation)
Abbreviations: eGFR=estimated glomerular filtration rate; HCQ= hydroxychloroquine; SLE=
systemic lupus erythematosus
*Comparison of QTc ≤ 470 msec vs. QTc > 470 msec

**Table 4.** Characteristics of the 591 paired ECGs before and during hydroxychloroquine

treatment

|  | Pre-treatment | On treatment | P value |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Ventricular rate, bpm** | 72.3 (±14.9) | 74.9 (±17.7) | 0.001 |
| **QRS duration, msec** | 98.1 (±20.2) | 101.7 (±23.3) | <0.0001 |
| **QT interval, msec** | 392.6 (±42.8) | 393.9 (±45.2) | 0.49 |
| **QTc-Bazett, msec** | 424.4 (±29.7) | 432.0 (±32.3) | <0.0001 |
| **QTc-Framingham, msec** | 412.7 (±28.3) | 416.8 (±29.9) | 0.006 |
| **QTc-Friderica, msec** | 412.9 (±28.3) | 417.4 (±30.4) | 0.003 |

Continuous variables were represented as mean (±standard deviation)