# "Intergenerational Mobility and Preferences for Redistribution" (2018)

by Alberto Alesina, Stefanie Stantcheva, and Edoardo Teso

Reproduction Exercise by Alper Gencer 4/4/2023

#### Research Design

- 1. Observational comparison of people's perceptions of mobility on actual intergenerational mobility across the five countries to assess whether people's perceptions are realistic.
  - Secondary census and survey data
  - Authors' original survey
- 2. Original Survey Experiment to link the perceived intergenerational mobility to redistributive policies.
  - a randomized perception treatment making perceptions of mobility more pessimistic.

#### **Data Sources**

- 1. Observational comparison of people's perceptions of mobility on actual intergenerational mobility across the five countries to assess whether people's perceptions are realistic (No Primary Raw or Processed Data Provided)
  - **United States**: From Chetty et al. (2014), taking it from administrative tax records 1996-2012 (universe of taxpayers)
  - Italy: From Acciari, Polo, and Violante (2016), taking it from administrative tax records 1998-2012 (universe of taxpayers)
  - **Sweden**: From Jäntti et al. (2006), taking it from administrative tax records 1970-2000 (a random sample of male taxpayers)
  - **United Kingdom**: From British Cohort Study, taking it from administrative tax records 1980-2004 (a random sample of male taxpayers)
  - France: The survey Formation et Qualification professionnelle, incomes are not given but predicted based on education and job
  - Population characteristics for population-sample comparison from Eurostat & the Census Bureau and Current Population Survey (No Primary Raw or Processed Data Provided)

#### **Data Sources**

## 2. Original Survey Experiment to link the perceived intergenerational mobility to redistributive policies (Primary Raw Experimental Data Provided)

- A randomized perception treatment making perceptions of mobility more pessimistic
- European Countries Two Waves
  - 1<sup>st</sup> wave descriptive survey (only control)
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> wave treatment + control
    - United Kingdom: 2,148 observations,
    - France: 2,148 observations,
    - Italy: 2,143 observations, and
    - **Sweden:** 1,494 observations
- United States Three Waves:
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> wave treatment + control with geographically representative
    - **United States:** 4,705 observation

## Replication Package – Data Files

File Name	Countries	Waves	Data	Raw
Data_Experiment_Waves_BC.dta	All	В-С	Experimental	Yes
Data_Descriptive_Waves_ABC.dta	All	A-B-C	Descriptive	Yes
Data_Descriptive_Geography_US_Waves_ABC.dta	US	A-B-C	Descriptive	Yes
Data_CZ_Level_Analysis_US_Waves_BC.dta	US	В-С	Descriptive	Yes
Data_Inequality_Perceptions_US.dta	US	D	Experimental	Yes

### Replication Package – Do Files

File Name	Process Raw Data	Creates	Reproduces
Tables_Paper_AER.do	Yes	Tables	Main Paper
Figures_Paper_AER.do	Yes	Figures	Appendix
Tables_Paper_AER_Online_Appendix.do	Yes	Tables	Main Paper
Figures_Paper_AER_Online_Appendix.do	Yes	Figures	Appendix

#### Main Paper – Data Cleaning

- Raw experimental data provided
- Do files carry out the necessary cleaning procedures
- Observations omitted if
  - Spending less than 5 minutes on survey,
  - Spending less than 30 seconds on the mobility question,
  - Flagged responses for the mobility question (0 or 100)

#### Table 1: Population-Sample Comparison

	US		UK		Fran	ce	Ital	y	Sweden	
	Sample	Pop								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Male	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.49	0.50	0.48	0.50	0.48	0.47	0.50
18-29 y.o.	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.21	0.24
30-39 y.o.	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.18	0.19
40-49 y.o.	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.19	0.21
50-59 y.o.	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.18
60-69 y.o.	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.17	0.17	0.21	0.18
Income Bracket 1	0.16	0.18	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.32	0.27	0.27	0.33	0.33
Income Bracket 2	0.22	0.20	0.35	0.35	0.30	0.30	0.28	0.28	0.26	0.29
Income Bracket 3	0.23	0.22	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.19	0.22	0.22
Income Bracket 4	0.39	0.39	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.26	0.18	0.17
Married	0.51	0.49	0.47	0.41	0.44	0.46	0.55	0.46	0.41	0.33
Native	0.94	0.85	0.89	0.87	0.94	0.85	0.97	0.92	0.91	0.82
Employed	0.62	0.58	0.65	0.61	0.63	0.47	0.64	0.45	0.66	0.67
Unemployed	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.12	0.05	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.05
College	0.42	0.28	0.37	0.42	0.30	0.25	0.38	0.15	0.33	0.36

## Table 2: Equality Tests

Q1 to Q5	7.8	11.7	11.4	10.0	11.2	9.1	10.4	10.1	11.1	9.2	11.7	9.6
		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.48		0.00		0.00
Q1 to Q4	12.7	12.0	12.9	10.6	12.8	10.5	15.6	11.3	17.3	11.2	12.0	10.9
		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
Q1 to Q3	18.7	22.3	19.9	19.4	23.0	21.5	21.0	21.9	21.0	24.5	22.3	21.6
		0.00		0.13		0.00		0.03		0.00		0.06
Q1 to Q2	27.7	21.8	25.1	22.3	23.8	23.6	25.8	23.1	23.8	23.1	21.8	23.0
		0.00		0.00		0.55		0.00		0.09		0.00
Q1 to Q1	33.1	32.2	30.6	37.8	29.2	35.3	27.3	33.6	26.7	32.0	32.2	34.9
		0.07		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
Observations		2,170		1,290		1,297		1,242		881	2,170	4,710
P-value from Joint Test		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00

## Table 3: Policy Correlation

	Budget Opp. (1)	Support Estate Tax (2)	Support Equality Opp. Policies (3)	Government Interv. (4)	Unequal Opp. Very Serious Problem (5)	Budget Safety Net (6)	Tax Rate Top 1 (7)	Tax Rate Bottom 50 (8)	Govt. Tools (9)
A. Unconditional Beli	o <b>f</b> o								
Q1 to Q1	ejs 0.030	0.000	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.013	0.057	-0.035	-0.000
Q1 to Q1	(0.007)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.005)	(0.012)	(0.007)	(0.000)
Q1 to Q5	-0.044	0.000	-0.004	0.001	-0.000	-0.011	-0.041	0.060	-0.000
Q1 10 Q0	(0.011)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.007)	(0.019)	(0.011)	(0.000)
B. Unconditional Beli	efs for L	eft and Rial	nt Wing						
Q1 to Q1 $\times$ Left-Wing	0.030	0.001	0.006	0.004	0.002	0.020	0.069	-0.041	0.001
•	(0.011)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.008)	(0.020)	(0.011)	(0.000)
Q1 to Q1 $\times$ Right-Wing	0.019	-0.000	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.039	-0.033	-0.000
	(0.012)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.000)	(0.008)	(0.021)	(0.012)	(0.000)
Left-Wing	1.410	0.128	0.223	0.139	0.067	0.885	2.191	-0.652	0.034
	(0.681)	(0.029)	(0.066)	(0.092)	(0.027)	(0.469)	(1.221)	(0.689)	(0.028)
Right-Wing	-1.161	-0.051	-0.329	-0.713	-0.056	-0.769	-1.487	1.423	-0.057
	(0.664)	(0.029)	(0.065)	(0.090)	(0.026)	(0.457)	(1.201)	(0.678)	(0.028)
p-value diff.	0.506	0.026	0.082	0.659	0.024	0.140	0.288	0.598	0.172
Q1 to Q5 $\times$ Left-Wing	-0.080	-0.001	-0.006	-0.003	-0.002	-0.013	-0.054	0.060	-0.001
	(0.018)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.013)	(0.032)	(0.018)	(0.001)
Q1 to Q5 $\times$ Right-Wing	-0.009	0.001	-0.002	0.002	0.001	-0.003	-0.001	0.039	0.000
	(0.019)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.013)	(0.034)	(0.019)	(0.001)
Left-Wing	1.499	0.159	0.382	0.411	0.170	1.076	2.549	-0.868	0.089
	(0.471)	(0.020)	(0.046)	(0.064)	(0.018)	(0.324)	(0.837)	(0.471)	(0.020)
Right-Wing	-2.216	-0.095	-0.318	-0.544	-0.041	-1.300	-2.862	1.793	-0.050
	(0.484)	(0.021)	(0.047)	(0.065)	(0.019)	(0.333)	(0.860)	(0.484)	(0.020)
p-value diff.	0.007	0.094	0.153	0.142	0.003	0.582	0.258	0.418	0.141
Observations	4290	4289	4290	4290	4290	4290	3442	3442	4290

#### Table 3: Policy Correlation

	Budget Opp. (1)	Support Estate Tax (2)	Support Equality Opp. Policies (3)	Government Interv. (4)	Unequal Opp. Very Serious Problem (5)	Budget Safety Net (6)	Tax Rate Top 1 (7)	Tax Rate Bottom 50 (8)	Govt. Tools (9)
C. Beliefs Conditiona	l On Effe	ort							
Q1 to Q1	0.033 $(0.010)$	0.001 (0.000)	0.003 (0.001)	0.003 $(0.001)$	0.002 (0.000)	0.030 $(0.007)$	0.049 (0.016)	0.005 (0.010)	-0.001 (0.000)
Q1 to Q5	-0.050 (0.015)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.007 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.000 (0.001)	-0.016 (0.010)	-0.066 (0.024)	0.073 $(0.014)$	0.000 (0.001)
D. Beliefs Conditiona	l On Effe	ort for Left	and Right Wir	ng					
Q1 to Q1 $\times$ Left-Wing	0.007 $(0.016)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	0.004 (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)	0.002 $(0.001)$	0.033 $(0.011)$	0.052 $(0.026)$	-0.002 (0.016)	-0.001 (0.001)
Q1 to Q1 $\times$ Right-Wing	$0.041 \\ (0.019)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	0.005 $(0.002)$	0.006 (0.003)	$0.002 \\ (0.001)$	0.029 $(0.013)$	$0.041 \\ (0.031)$	0.007 (0.018)	-0.001 (0.001)
Left-Wing	2.344 $(0.766)$	0.148 $(0.033)$	0.259 $(0.076)$	0.265 $(0.105)$	$0.106 \\ (0.030)$	0.702 $(0.526)$	2.910 $(1.269)$	-0.386 (0.752)	0.088 $(0.032)$
Right-Wing	-2.477 $(0.738)$	-0.076 (0.032)	-0.504 (0.073)	-0.826 (0.102)	-0.048 (0.029)	-1.421 (0.507)	-1.787 (1.248)	1.867 (0.739)	-0.056 (0.031)
p-value diff.	0.165	0.608	0.711	0.520	0.396	0.818	0.781	0.714	0.651
Q1 to Q5 $\times$ Left-Wing	-0.071 (0.027)	-0.003 (0.001)	-0.010 (0.003)	-0.010 (0.004)	-0.003 (0.001)	-0.012 (0.019)	-0.083 (0.045)	0.063 (0.026)	-0.001 (0.001)
Q1 to Q5 $\times$ Right-Wing	-0.032 (0.027)	-0.000 (0.001)	-0.008 (0.003)	-0.002 (0.004)	$0.000 \\ (0.001)$	-0.036 (0.018)	-0.028 (0.045)	0.075 $(0.027)$	0.001 (0.001)
Left-Wing	1.464 $(0.640)$	0.187 $(0.028)$	0.443 $(0.063)$	0.517 $(0.088)$	0.177 $(0.025)$	1.045 $(0.441)$	3.020 (1.068)	-0.473 (0.629)	0.088 (0.027)
Right-Wing	-3.010 (0.653)	-0.082 (0.028)	-0.329 (0.064)	-0.625 (0.090)	-0.040 (0.025)	-1.060 (0.450)	-2.688 (1.095)	1.781 (0.645)	-0.084 (0.027)
p-value diff. Observations	0.306 2543	0.111 $2542$	0.664 $2543$	0.126 $2543$	0.029 $2543$	$0.366 \\ 2543$	0.382 2112	0.756 $2112$	0.197 2543

#### Table 4: First Stage Treatment Effects

	Q1 to Q1 (1)	Q1 to Q2 (2)	Q1 to Q3 (3)	Q1 to Q4 (4)	Q1 to Q5 (5)	Q1 to Q4 (Qual.) (6)	Q1 to Q5 (Qual.) (7)	American Dream Alive (8)
A. Unconditional Be	eliefs							
Treated	9.691 (0.560)	-2.123 (0.278)	-5.885 (0.304)	-1.806 (0.201)	0.123 $(0.344)$	-0.197 (0.018)	-0.212 (0.020)	-0.031 (0.009)
B. Unconditional Be	liefs for	Left and	Right W	ing				
Treated $\times$ Left-Wing	10.209 (0.980)	-2.126 (0.488)	-6.093 (0.532)	-2.053 (0.353)	0.063 $(0.603)$	-0.189 (0.032)	-0.180 (0.035)	-0.010 (0.016)
Treated $\times$ Right-Wing	11.145 $(0.979)$	-2.181 (0.487)	-6.139 (0.531)	-2.236 (0.352)	-0.589 (0.602)	-0.225 (0.032)	-0.236 (0.035)	-0.045 (0.016)
Left-Wing	$4.060 \\ (0.975)$	0.594 $(0.485)$	-1.803 (0.529)	-1.358 (0.351)	-1.494 (0.600)	-0.186 (0.032)	-0.256 (0.035)	-0.080 (0.016)
Right-Wing	-0.616 (0.978)	$0.406 \\ (0.487)$	0.654 $(0.531)$	0.085 $(0.352)$	-0.530 (0.602)	0.041 $(0.032)$	-0.003 (0.035)	0.121 (0.016)
p-value diff. Observations	0.499 8585	0.937 8585	0.951 8585	0.713 8585	0.445 8585	0.422 8585	0.248 8585	0.140 8585
C. Beliefs Condition	al On E	ffort						
Treated	8.016 (0.663)	$0.501 \\ (0.373)$	-5.434 (0.525)	-2.642 (0.307)	-0.441 $(0.417)$	-0.175 (0.027)	-0.153 (0.030)	
D. Beliefs Condition	al On E	ffort for	Left and	Right Wing				
Treated $\times$ Left-Wing	8.342 (1.191)	0.837 $(0.671)$	-5.101 (0.944)	-3.064 (0.552)	-1.013 (0.749)	-0.172 (0.049)	-0.172 (0.054)	
Treated $\times$ Right-Wing	8.816 (1.158)	0.819 $(0.653)$	-5.383 (0.918)	-3.309 (0.537)	-0.943 (0.728)	-0.209 (0.048)	-0.151 (0.052)	
Left-Wing	3.976 $(1.161)$	0.807 $(0.654)$	-2.679 (0.920)	-0.966 (0.538)	-1.138 (0.730)	-0.175 (0.048)	-0.254 (0.052)	
Right-Wing	-1.546 (1.146)	-0.469 (0.646)	0.420 (0.908)	1.329 $(0.531)$	0.265 $(0.720)$	0.128 $(0.047)$	0.065 $(0.052)$	
p-value diff. Observations	0.775 5118	0.985 5118	0.831 5118	0.751 5118	0.947 5118	$0.592 \\ 5117$	0.779 5117	

#### Table 4: First Stage Treatment Effects

	Q1 to Q1 (1)	Q1 to Q2 (2)	Q1 to Q3 (3)	Q1 to Q4 (4)	Q1 to Q5 (5)	Q1 to Q4 (Qual.) (6)	Q1 to Q5 (Qual.) (7)	American Dream Alive (8)
A. Unconditional Be	eliefs							
Treated	9.691 (0.560)	-2.123 (0.278)	-5.885 (0.304)	-1.806 (0.201)	0.123 $(0.344)$	-0.197 (0.018)	-0.212 (0.020)	-0.031 (0.009)
B. Unconditional Be	liefs for	Left and	Right W	ing				
Treated $\times$ Left-Wing	10.209 (0.980)	-2.126 (0.488)	-6.093 (0.532)	-2.053 (0.353)	0.063 $(0.603)$	-0.189 (0.032)	-0.180 (0.035)	-0.010 (0.016)
Treated $\times$ Right-Wing	11.145 $(0.979)$	-2.181 (0.487)	-6.139 (0.531)	-2.236 (0.352)	-0.589 (0.602)	-0.225 (0.032)	-0.236 (0.035)	-0.045 (0.016)
Left-Wing	$4.060 \\ (0.975)$	0.594 $(0.485)$	-1.803 (0.529)	-1.358 (0.351)	-1.494 (0.600)	-0.186 (0.032)	-0.256 (0.035)	-0.080 (0.016)
Right-Wing	-0.616 (0.978)	$0.406 \\ (0.487)$	0.654 $(0.531)$	0.085 $(0.352)$	-0.530 (0.602)	0.041 $(0.032)$	-0.003 (0.035)	0.121 (0.016)
p-value diff. Observations	0.499 8585	0.937 8585	0.951 8585	0.713 8585	0.445 8585	0.422 8585	0.248 8585	0.140 8585
C. Beliefs Condition	al On E	ffort						
Treated	8.016 (0.663)	$0.501 \\ (0.373)$	-5.434 (0.525)	-2.642 (0.307)	-0.441 $(0.417)$	-0.175 (0.027)	-0.153 (0.030)	
D. Beliefs Condition	al On E	ffort for	Left and	Right Wing				
Treated $\times$ Left-Wing	8.342 (1.191)	0.837 $(0.671)$	-5.101 (0.944)	-3.064 (0.552)	-1.013 (0.749)	-0.172 (0.049)	-0.172 (0.054)	
Treated $\times$ Right-Wing	8.816 (1.158)	0.819 $(0.653)$	-5.383 (0.918)	-3.309 (0.537)	-0.943 (0.728)	-0.209 (0.048)	-0.151 (0.052)	
Left-Wing	3.976 $(1.161)$	0.807 $(0.654)$	-2.679 (0.920)	-0.966 (0.538)	-1.138 (0.730)	-0.175 (0.048)	-0.254 (0.052)	
Right-Wing	-1.546 (1.146)	-0.469 (0.646)	0.420 (0.908)	1.329 $(0.531)$	0.265 $(0.720)$	0.128 $(0.047)$	0.065 $(0.052)$	
p-value diff. Observations	0.775 5118	0.985 5118	0.831 5118	0.751 5118	0.947 5118	$0.592 \\ 5117$	0.779 5117	

#### Table 5: Persistence of Treatment Effects

	First Survey	First Survey	Follow up
	All Respondents	Who Took Follow Up	Respondents
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Q1 to Q	1		
Treated	8.308	9.254	5.671
	(0.899)	(1.748)	(1.675)
Q1 to Q	2		
Treated	-1.731	-1.428	-0.968
	(0.444)	(0.920)	(0.943)
Q1 to Q	3		
Treated	-5.479	-6.676	-3.945
	(0.491)	(1.019)	(1.013)
Q1 to Q	4		
Treated	-1.733	-1.879	-1.417
	(0.335)	(0.642)	(0.688)
Q1 to Q	5		
Treated	0.636	0.729	0.659
	(0.582)	(1.243)	(1.069)
Q1 to Q	4 (Qual.)		
Treated	-0.230	-0.140	-0.110
	(0.030)	(0.062)	(0.066)
Q1 to Q	5 (Qual.)		
Treated	-0.245	-0.116	-0.044
	(0.034)	(0.070)	(0.071)
Obs.	3354	815	815

#### Table 6: Treatment Effects on Policy Preferences

A. Treatment Effects	Budget Opp. (1)	Support Estate Tax (2)	Support Equality Opp. Policies (3)	Government Interv. (4)	Unequal Opp. Very Serious Problem (5)	Budget Safety Net (6)	Tax Rate Top 1 (7)	Tax Rate Bottom 50 (8)	Govt. Tools (9)	Redistribution Index (10)	
Treated	0.108 $(0.227)$	0.002 (0.010)	0.010 $(0.022)$	-0.020 (0.030)	0.046 $(0.013)$	0.225 $(0.160)$	0.357 $(0.398)$	0.155 $(0.226)$	-0.017 (0.013)	0.013 (0.009)	
B. Treatment Effects for Left and Right Wing											
Treated X Left-Wing	0.823 $(0.398)$	0.032 (0.017)	0.078 $(0.039)$	0.124 $(0.053)$	0.103 $(0.022)$	0.111 $(0.281)$	0.551 $(0.686)$	0.257 $(0.389)$	-0.008 (0.023)	0.052 $(0.015)$	
Treated X Right-Wing	$0.031 \\ (0.397)$	-0.001 (0.017)	-0.025 (0.039)	-0.020 (0.053)	0.018 $(0.022)$	0.200 (0.281)	$0.661 \\ (0.691)$	-0.386 (0.392)	-0.049 (0.023)	0.006 $(0.015)$	
Left-Wing	1.159 $(0.396)$	0.147 $(0.017)$	0.352 $(0.039)$	0.327 $(0.053)$	0.110 $(0.022)$	1.099 (0.280)	2.514 $(0.696)$	-1.166 (0.395)	0.077 (0.023)	0.173 $(0.015)$	
Right-Wing	-1.834 (0.397)	-0.086 (0.017)	-0.314 (0.039)	-0.582 (0.053)	-0.054 $(0.022)$	-1.239 (0.281)	-2.428 (0.701)	1.343 (0.398)	-0.045 (0.023)	-0.171 (0.015)	
p-value diff.	0.159	0.164	0.061	0.056	0.007	0.823	0.910	0.245	0.211	0.030	
C. IV Estimates											
Q1 to Q1	0.011 $(0.023)$	0.000 (0.001)	0.001 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.003)	0.005 (0.001)	0.023 $(0.017)$	0.036 (0.040)	0.016 $(0.023)$	-0.002 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
D. IV Estimates for L	eft and l	Right Wing									
Q1 to Q1 X Left-Wing	0.082 $(0.040)$	0.003 (0.002)	0.008 (0.004)	0.012 $(0.005)$	0.011 $(0.002)$	0.011 $(0.028)$	0.052 $(0.065)$	0.024 $(0.038)$	-0.001 (0.002)	0.005 (0.001)	
Q1 to Q1 X Right-Wing	$0.003 \\ (0.036)$	-0.000 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.003)	-0.002 (0.005)	0.002 (0.002)	0.018 $(0.025)$	0.059 $(0.062)$	-0.034 (0.035)	-0.004 (0.002)	0.001 (0.001)	
Left-Wing	-3.910 (2.492)	-0.067 (0.105)	-0.030 (0.241)	-0.778 (0.337)	-0.214 (0.141)	(1.746)	0.017 $(4.238)$	0.353 $(2.443)$	0.126 (0.141)	-0.088 (0.093)	
Right-Wing	-3.953 (2.270)	-0.178 (0.095)	-0.336 (0.220)	-1.169 (0.307)	-0.027 (0.123)	-0.347 (1.591)	-4.965 (3.978)	4.889 (2.294)	0.116 $(0.124)$	-0.258 (0.085)	
p-value diff. Observations	0.143 8585	0.149 8584	0.056 8585	0.053 8585	0.004 4281	0.848 8585	0.938 $6851$	0.257 $6851$	$0.276 \\ 4281$	0.022 8585	

#### Discussion

- Covariate adjustment
  - Uncentered
  - Not interacted with treatment
- Standard errors
  - Holms-Bonferroni Adjustment
  - Eicker-Huber-White robust standard error or
  - Bootstrapping
- Heterogeneous Treatment Effects
  - Group Average Treatment Effects