

**RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY TRIBUNAL SERVICE
SOUTHERN RENT ASSESSMENT PANEL**


Certificate pursuant to regulation 10(2) of the Rent Assessment Committee (England
& Wales) Regulations 1971 (SI 1971/1065)

Re: 2 MANOR ROAD, EASTBOURNE, EAST SUSSEX, BN22 9DT

Case No: CHI/21UC/F77/2005/0150

I certify pursuant to the above-mentioned regulation that there is a clerical error in the Notice of the Rent Assessment Committee's decision in this matter dated 10th October 2005.

On page 1 of the decision under "1." the amount should read **£152 per week** and not £150 per week.


.....
Mr I Mohabir LB (Hons) Chairman

Date: 17/11/05

Rent Assessment Committee: Summary reasons for decision.
Rent Act 1977

Address of Premises

2 Manor Road
Eastbourne
East Sussex
BN22 9DT

The Committee members were

Mr I Mohabir LLB (Hons)
Mr N Cleverton FRICS

1. Background

On 10th June 2005 the landlord applied to the rent officer for registration of a fair rent of £156 per week for the above property.

The rent payable at the time of the application was £91.50 per week.

The rent was previously registered on 7th June 2004 with effect the same date at £91.50 per week following a determination by the rent officer.

On 25th July 2005 the rent officer registered a fair rent of £120.00 per week with effect from that date.

By a letter dated 22nd August 2005 the landlord objected to the rent determined by the Rent Officer and the matter was referred to the Rent Assessment Committee.

2. Inspection

The Committee inspected the property on 10th October 2005 and found it to be in good condition and as described more particularly in the Rent Officer's survey sheet which had been copied to the parties.

3. Evidence

The Committee received written representations from the landlord and these were copied to the parties/ No written representations were received from the tenant..

A hearing was held on 10th October 2005 in Eastbourne at which oral representations were made by/on behalf of the landlord ~~and tenant~~. The ~~landlord~~/tenant was not present or represented.

4. The law

When determining a fair rent the Committee, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances including the age, location and state of repair of the property. It also disregarded the effect of (a) any relevant tenant's improvements and (b) the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.

In *Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Committee* (1995) 28 HLR 107 and *Curtis v London Rent Assessment Committee* [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised

- (a) that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. that element, if any, of the market rent, that is attributable to there being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms - other than as to rent - to that of the regulated tenancy) and
- (b) that for the purposes of determining the market rent, assured tenancy (market) rents are usually appropriate comparables. (These rents may have to be adjusted where necessary to reflect any relevant differences between those comparables and the subject property).

5. Valuation

Thus in the first instance the Committee determined what rent the landlord could reasonably be expected to obtain for the property in the open market if it were let today in the condition that is considered usual for such an open market letting. It did this by having regard to the evidence supplied by the parties and the Committee's own general knowledge of market rent levels in the area of Eastbourne. Having done so it concluded that such a likely market rent would be £160.00 per week.

However, the actual property is not in the condition considered usual for a modern letting at a market rent. Therefore it was first necessary to adjust that hypothetical rent of £160.00 per week to allow for the differences between the condition considered usual for such a letting and the condition of the actual property as observed by the Committee (disregarding the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title). The Committee considered that this required a deduction of £ 8.00 per week.

The Committee did not consider that there was any substantial scarcity element and accordingly no further deduction was made for scarcity.

This leaves a net market rent for the subject property of £152.00 per week.

6. Decision

The fair rent initially determined by the Committee, for the purposes of section 70, was accordingly £152 per week.

The fair rent to be registered/~~confirmed~~ is not limited by the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 because by virtue of landlord's repairs and/or improvements since the previous registration the rent determined/~~confirmed~~ by the Committee exceeds by at least 15% the previous registered rent.

Accordingly the sum of £152 per will be registered/confirmed as the fair rent with effect from 10th October 2005 being the date of the Committee's decision.

Chairman J. Moham

Dated 10/10/05

This document contains a summary of the reasons for the Rent Assessment Committee's decision. If either party requires extended reasons to be given, they will be provided following a request to the committee clerk at the Panel Office which must be made within 21 days from the date of issue of this document.