L	ONI	ON	Rent	Assessment	Panal

File Ref No. LON/00BD/F77/2006/0676

Notice of the Rent Assessment Committee Decision

Rent Act 1977 Sc	chedule 11				
Address of Prem	nises	The Commit	tee members	were	
416A RICHMONE MIDDLESEX, TW	PROAD, TWICKENHAM, 11 2EB	Mrs F Silver Mr B Collins Mr E Goss	man		
Landlord Lor	ng Acre Securities Ltd				
Tenant Mr	L Misic				
1. The fair rent is	£ 713.00 Per month		cluding water luding any an		ouncil tax but ras 3&4)
2. The effective of	late is 19 February 2007				
3. The amount fo		applicable	Per		
4. The amount fo rent allowance is	£	eating and lighting of Per applicable	common par	ts) not count	ing for
5. The rent is not	to be registered as variable	е.			
6. The capping p calculation overl	rovisions of the Rent Acts (eaf).	Maximum Fair Rent) (Order 1999 ap	ply (please :	see
7. Details (other t	than rent) where different fr	om Rent Register ent	гу		
8. For information	n only:				
(a) The fair rent t Fair Rent) Or £713.00 per m	to be registered is the maximum der 1999. The rent that wou nonth.	mum fair rent as pres ld otherwise have bee	cribed by the en registered	Rent Acts (N was	laximum
Chairman	F Silverman	Date	of Decision	19 February	2007

MAXIMUM FAIR RENT CALCULATION

LA	TEST RPI FIGURE x 202.7
PR	EVIOUS RPI FIGURE y 189.0
X	202.7 Minus y 189.0 = (A) 13.7
(A)	13.7 divided by y 189.0 = (B) 0.072487
Firs	t application for re-registration since 1 February 1999 NO
lf y€	es (B) plus 1.075 = (C)
lf no	o (B) plus 1.05 = (C) 1.122487
	t registered rent* 635.00 Multiplied by (C) = 712.78 clusive of any variable service charge)
Rou	inded up to nearest 50 pence = 713.00
Vari	able service charge NO
If YE	ES add amount for services
MA	KIMUM FAIR RENT = 713.00 Per month
	Explanatory Note
1.	The calculation of the maximum fair rent, in accordance with the formula contained in the Order, is set out above.
2.	In summary, the formula provides for the maximum fair rent to be calculated by:
((a) increasing the previous registered rent by the percentage change in the retail price index since the date of that earlier registration and
((b) adding a further 7.5% (if the present application was the first since 1 February 1999) or 5% (if it is a second or subsequent application since that date).
	A 7.5% increase is represented, in the calculation set out above, by the addition of 1.075 to (B) and an increase of 5% is represented by the addition of 1.05 to (B).
	The result is rounded up to the nearest 50 pence.
3. I i	or the purposes of the calculation the latest RPI figure (x) is that published in the calendar month mediately before the month in which the Committee's fair rent determination was made.
t C	The process differs where the tenancy agreement contains a variable service charge and the ent is to be registered as variable under section 71(4) of the Rent Act 1977. In such a case the variable service charge is removed before applying the formula. When the amount determined by the application of the formula is ascertained the service charge is then added to that sum in order to produce the maximum fair

rent.

File Ref No.	LON/00BD/F77/2006/	0676

Rent Assessment Committee: Summary reasons for decision Rent Act 1977

Address of Premises

416a Richmon	nd Road
Twickenham	
Middlesex	
TW1 2EB	

The Committee members were

Mrs F Silverman Mr B Collins BSc FRICS Mr E Goss

1. Background

On 7 July 2006 the landlord applied to the rent officer for registration of a fair rent of £1900 per month for the above property.

The rent payable at the time of the application was £635 per month.

The rent was previously registered on 30 November 2004 with effect from the same date at £635 per month following a determination by a rent assessment committee.

On 31 October 2006 the rent officer registered a fair rent of £700 per month with effect from that date.

By a letter dated 2 November 2006 the landlord objected to the rent determined by the Rent Officer and the matter was referred to the Rent Assessment Committee.

2. Inspection

The Committee inspected the property on 12 February 2007 and found it to be in fair condition.

The following tenant's improvements had been made to the property.

3. Evidence

The Committee received written representations from the landlord and the tenant and these were copied to the parties.

Neither party requested a hearing at which oral representations could be made.

4. The law

When determining a fair rent the Committee, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances including the age, location and state of repair of the property. It also disregarded the effect of (a) any relevant tenant's improvements and (b) the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.

In Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Committee (1995) 28 HLR 107 and Curtis v London Rent Assessment Committee [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised

- that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. that element, if any, of the market rent, that is attributable to there being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms other than as to rent to that of the regulated tenancy) and
- (b) that for the purposes of determining the market rent, assured tenancy (market) rents are usually appropriate comparables. (These rents may have to be adjusted where necessary to reflect any relevant differences between those comparables and the subject property).

5. Valuation

Thus in the first instance the Committee determined what rent the landlord could reasonably be expected to obtain for the property in the open market if it were let today in the condition that is considered usual for such an open market letting. It did this by having regard to the evidence supplied by the parties and the Committee's own general knowledge of market rent levels in the area of South West London. Having done so it concluded that such a likely market rent would be £1300 per month.

However, the actual property is not in the condition considered usual for a modern letting at a market rent. Therefore it was first necessary to adjust that hypothetical rent of £1300 per month to allow for the differences between the condition considered usual for such a letting and to allow for the tenant's improvements and the condition of the actual property as observed by the Committee (disregarding the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title). The Committee considered that this required a deduction of £390 per month.

The Committee found that there was substantial scarcity in the locality of South West London and therefore made a deduction of 20% from the market rent to reflect this element.

6. Decision

The uncapped fair rent initially determined by the Committee, for the purposes of section 70, was accordingly £728 per month.

However, by virtue of the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 the maximum fair rent that can be registered in the present case is the lower sum of £713.00 per month (Details on the back of the decision form).

The section 70 fair rent determined by the Committee is below / at the same level as the maximum fair rent permitted by the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 and accordingly that rent limit has no effect. Details are provided on the back of the decision form.

	the sum of £713 per month will be registered as the fair rent with 2007 being the date of the Committee's decision.	effect	from
Chairman:	F Silverman		

19 February 2007

Dated:

This document contains a summary of the reasons for the Rent Assessment Committee's decision. If either party requires extended reasons to be given, they will be provided following a written request to the committee clerk at the Panel Office which must be made within 28 days from the date of issue of this document.

FRSUMREAS/2002