

## Notice of the Rent Assessment Committee Decision

Rent Act 1977 Schedule 11

**Address of Premises**

51 Lancaster Road  
Uxbridge  
Middlesex  
UB8 1AR

**The Committee members were**

Mr T Powell LLB (Hons)  
Mr B Collins BSc FRICS  
Mr O N Miller BSc

**Landlord** Bickenhall Investments Ltd

**Tenant** Mr A K O'Dell

1. The fair rent is £ 615.00 Per month (excluding water rates and council tax but including any amounts in paras 3&4)

2. The effective date is 9 October 2006

3. The amount for services is £ not applicable Per

4. The amount for fuel charges (excluding heating and lighting of common parts) not counting for rent allowance is

£ not applicable Per

5. The rent is not to be registered as variable.

6. The capping provisions of the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 apply (please see calculation overleaf).

7. Details (other than rent) where different from Rent Register entry

8. For information only:

(a) The fair rent to be registered is the maximum fair rent as prescribed by the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999. The rent that would otherwise have been registered was £720.00 per month

**Chairman** T Powell

**Date of Decision** 9 October 2006

# MAXIMUM FAIR RENT CALCULATION

LATEST RPI FIGURE x

PREVIOUS RPI FIGURE y

X  Minus y  = (A)

(A)  divided by y  = (B)

First application for re-registration since 1 February 1999 NO

If yes (B) plus 1.075 = (C)

If no (B) plus 1.05 = (C)

Last registered rent\*  Multiplied by (C) =   
\*(exclusive of any variable service charge)

Rounded up to nearest 50 pence =

Variable service charge NO

If YES add amount for services

MAXIMUM FAIR RENT =  Per

## Explanatory Note

1. The calculation of the maximum fair rent, in accordance with the formula contained in the Order, is set out above.
2. In summary, the formula provides for the maximum fair rent to be calculated by:
  - (a) increasing the previous registered rent by the percentage change in the retail price index (the RPI) since the date of that earlier registration and
  - (b) adding a further 7.5% (if the present application was the first since 1 February 1999) or 5% (if it is a second or subsequent application since that date).

A 7.5% increase is represented, in the calculation set out above, by the addition of 1.075 to (B) and an increase of 5% is represented by the addition of 1.05 to (B).

The result is rounded up to the nearest 50 pence.
3. For the purposes of the calculation the latest RPI figure (x) is that published in the calendar month immediately before the month in which the Committee's fair rent determination was made.
4. The process differs where the tenancy agreement contains a variable service charge and the rent is to be registered as variable under section 71(4) of the Rent Act 1977. In such a case the variable service charge is removed before applying the formula. When the amount determined by the application of the formula is ascertained the service charge is then added to that sum in order to produce the maximum fair rent.

## **Rent Assessment Committee: Summary reasons for decision.**

**Rent Act 1977**

**Address of Premises**

51 Lancaster Road  
Uxbridge  
Middlesex  
UB8 1AR

**The Committee members were**

Mr T Powell  
Mr B Collins  
Mr O N Miller BSc

### **1. Background**

On 22 June 2006 the landlord applied to the rent officer for registration of a fair rent of £1,650 per calendar month for the above property.

The rent payable at the time of the application was £552.50 per calendar month.

The rent was previously registered on 12 August 2004 with effect from the same date at £552.50 per calendar month following a determination by a rent assessment committee.

On 28 July 2006 the rent officer registered a fair rent of £610.50 per calendar month with effect from 12 August 2006.

By a letter dated 11 August 2006 the landlord objected to the rent determined by the Rent Officer and the matter was referred to the Rent Assessment Committee.

### **2. Inspection**

The Committee inspected the property on 9 October 2006 and found it to be in fair condition as described more particularly in the Rent Officer's survey sheet which had been copied to the parties.

The following tenant's improvements had been made to the property.

Refitting and retiling of bathroom.

### **3. Evidence**

The Committee received written representations from the landlord and the tenant and these were copied to the tenant. No written representations were received from the tenant.

A hearing was held on 9 October 2006 in Alfred Place at which oral representations were made by the tenant. The landlord was not present or represented.

### **4. The law**

When determining a fair rent the Committee, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances including the age, location and state of repair of the property.

It also disregarded the effect of (a) any relevant tenant's improvements and (b) the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.

In *Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Committee* (1995) 28 HLR 107 and *Curtis v London Rent Assessment Committee* [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised

- (a) that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. that element, if any, of the market rent, that is attributable to there being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms - other than as to rent - to that of the regulated tenancy) and
- (b) that for the purposes of determining the market rent, assured tenancy (market) rents are usually appropriate comparables. (These rents may have to be adjusted where necessary to reflect any relevant differences between those comparables and the subject property).

## **5. Valuation**

Thus in the first instance the Committee determined what rent the landlord could reasonably be expected to obtain for the property in the open market if it were let today in the condition that is considered usual for such an open market letting. It did this by having regard to the evidence supplied by the parties and the Committee's own general knowledge of market rent levels in the area of Uxbridge. The Committee received comparable evidence from the landlord's agents by letter dated 5 October 2006, noting properties in Ruislip, Hounslow and Twickenham. However, the Committee disregarded these comparables as being too remote from the subject property. Having done so it concluded that such a likely market rent would be £1,300 per calendar month.

However, the actual property is not in the condition considered usual for a modern letting at a market rent. Therefore it was first necessary to adjust that hypothetical rent of £1,300 per calendar month to allow for the differences between the condition considered usual for such a letting and the condition of the actual property as observed by the Committee and tenant's improvements (disregarding the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title). The Committee considered that this required a deduction of £400 per calendar month.

This leaves an adjusted market rent for the subject property of £900 per calendar month.

The Committee found that there was substantial scarcity in the locality of Greater London and therefore made a deduction of 20% from the market rent to reflect this element.

## **6. Decision**

The uncapped fair rent initially determined by the Committee, for the purposes of section 70, was accordingly £720 per calendar month.

However, by virtue of the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 the maximum fair rent that can be registered in the present case is the lower sum of £615.00 per calendar month. (Details are provided on the back of the decision form).

**Accordingly the sum of £615.00 per calendar month will be registered as the fair rent with effect from 9 October 2006 being the date of the Committee's decision.**

Chairman: T Powell

Dated: 9 October 2006

---

This document contains a summary of the reasons for the Rent Assessment Committee's decision. If either party requires extended reasons to be given, they will be provided following a written request to the committee clerk at the Panel Office which must be made within 28 days from the date of issue of this document.

FRSUMREAS/2002