Midland Rent Assessment Panel File Ref No. BIR/31UE/F77/2006/0130

Rent Assessment Committee: Summary reasons for decision. Rent Act 1977

Address of Premises	
o Occupation Road	The Con
Nalistone	Mrs F
Nuneaton	at Lav
CV13 0QG	Mr D.
1 Paul	MrJA

mmittee members were

P Dhadli BA(Hons) Law, BAR J Satchwell FRICS Arain

1. Background

On 21 June 2006 landlords J Lees and Y Camsell applied to the rent officer for registration of a fair rent of £475.00 per month for the above property.

The rent payable at the time of the application was £175.00 per calendar month.

On 1 August 2006 the rent officer registered a fair rent of £335.00 per calendar month with effect from that date.

By a letter dated 22 August 2006 the tenant objected to the rent determined by the Rent Officer and the matter was referred to the Rent Assessment

2. Inspection

The Committee inspected the property on 11 October 2006 and found it to be in fair condition as described more particularly in the Rent Officer's survey sheet which had been copied to the parties.

The following tenant's improvements had been made to the property.

Kitchen upgraded, additional power points, enlarged radiators, tiled floor to front room, built in wardrobe, fire to rear lounge and additional power points.

3. Evidence

The Committee received written representations from the landlord and tenant and these were copied to the parties.

Neither party requested a hearing at which oral representations could be 4. The law

When determining a fair rent the Committee, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances including the age,

location and state of repair of the property. It also disregarded the effect of (a) any relevant tenant's improvements and (b) the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.

In Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Committee (1995) 28 HLR 107 and Curtis v London Rent Assessment Committee [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised

- (a) that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. that element, if any, of the market rent, that is attributable to there being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms - other than as to rent - to that of the regulated tenancy) and
- (b) that for the purposes of determining the market rent, assured tenancy (market) rents are usually appropriate comparables. (These rents may have to be adjusted where necessary to reflect any relevant differences between those comparables and the subject property). 5. Valuation

Thus in the first instance the Committee determined what rent the landlord could reasonably be expected to obtain for the property in the open market if it were let today in the condition that is considered usual for such an open market letting. It did this by having regard to the evidence supplied by the parties and the Committee's own general knowledge of market rent levels in the area of north Warwickshire. Having done so, it concluded that such a likely market rent would be £500.00 per calendar month.

However, the actual property is not in the condition considered usual for a modern letting at a market rent. Therefore it was first necessary to adjust that hypothetical rent of £500.00 per calendar month to allow for the differences between the condition considered usual for such a letting and the condition of the actual property as observed by the Committee (disregarding the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title). The Committee considered that this required a deduction of £155.00 per

Furthermore, to allow for the tenant's improvements (listed above) it was necessary to make a further deduction of £50.00 per calendar month.

The Committee found that there was substantial scarcity in the locality and therefore deducted a further sum of £35.00 from the market rent to reflect this element.

This leaves a fair rent for the subject property of £260.00 per calendar

6. Decision

The fair rent initially determined by the Committee, for the purposes of section 70, was accordingly £260.00 per calendar month.

The fair rent to be registered is not limited by the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 because there was not an existing registered fair rent at the time of the application.

Accordingly the sum of £260.00 per calendar month will be registered as the fair rent with effect from 11 October 2006 being the date of the Committee's decision.

The Committee noted that there were wider issues between the parties. These wider issues are not within the consideration of the Committee in respect of this application but are matters for the parties to determine.

Chairman Mrs P Dhadli

Dated 11 October 2006

This document contains a summary of the reasons for the Rent Assessment Committee's decision. If either party requires extended reasons to be given, they will be provided following a request to the committee clerk at the Panel Office which must be made within 21 days from the date of issue of this document.