

**Rent Assessment Committee: Summary reasons for decision.
Rent Act 1977****Address of Premises**

8 Peabody Cottages
Rosendale Road
SE24 9DN

The Committee members were

Mr A J Engel MA (Hons)
Mr L Jarero BSc FRICS
Mrs A Moss

1. Background

On 9 August 2004 the landlord applied to the rent officer for registration of a fair rent of £97 per week for the above property.

The rent payable at the time of the application was £84.96 per week.

The rent was previously registered on 24 October 2002 with effect from 17 November 2002 at £88 per week following a determination by the rent officer.

On 23 September 2004 the rent officer registered a fair rent of £97 per week including £4.97 in respect of services with effect from 17 November 2004.

By a letter dated 4 October 2004 the tenant objected to the rent determined by the Rent Officer and the matter was referred to the Rent Assessment Committee.

2. Inspection

The Committee inspected the property on 9 December 2004 and found it to be in fair condition. The services provided were negligible.

3. Evidence

The Committee received written representations from the tenant and these were copied to the landlord.

Neither party requested a hearing at which oral representations could be made.

4. The law

When determining a fair rent the Committee, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances including the age, location and state of repair of the property. It also disregarded the effect of (a) any relevant tenant's improvements and (b) the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.

In *Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Committee* (1995) 28 HLR 107 and *Curtis v London Rent Assessment Committee* [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised

- (a) that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. that element, if any, of the market rent, that is attributable to there being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms - other than as to rent - to that of the regulated tenancy) and

5. Valuation

Thus in the first instance the Committee determined what rent the landlord could reasonably be expected to obtain for the property in the open market if it were let today in the condition that is considered usual for such an open market letting. It did this by having regard to the Committee's own general knowledge of market rent levels in the area of Hearne Hill. Having done so it concluded that such a likely market rent would be £150 per week.

However, the actual property is not in the condition considered usual for a modern letting at a market rent. Therefore it was first necessary to adjust that hypothetical rent of £150 per week to allow for the differences between the condition considered usual for such a letting and the condition of the actual property as observed by the Committee. The Committee considered that this required a deduction of £37.50 per week.

This leaves an adjusted market rent for the subject property of £112.50 per week.

The Committee found that there was substantial scarcity in the locality of Greater London and therefore made a deduction of 20% from the market rent to reflect this element.

6. Decision

The uncapped fair rent initially determined by the Committee, for the purposes of section 70, was accordingly £90 per week.

The section 70 fair rent determined by the Committee is below the maximum fair rent permitted by the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 and accordingly that rent limit has no effect. Details are provided on the back of the decision form.

Accordingly the sum of £90 per week will be registered as the fair rent with effect from 9 December 2004 being the date of the Committee's decision.

Chairman: A J Engel

Dated: 9 December 2004

This document contains a summary of the reasons for the Rent Assessment Committee's decision. If either party requires extended reasons to be given, they will be provided following a written request to the committee clerk at the Panel Office which must be made within 28 days from the date of issue of this document.

FRSUMREAS/2002

MM