Rent Assessment Committee: Summary reasons for decision. Rent Act 1977

Address of Premises	The Committee members were			
11 Merrimans Court	Mr W.J Martin			
Merrimans Hill Road Worcester	Mr R.E Bailey Mrs M. Duncan			
WR3 8AA	Mis W. Bulletin			
1. Background				
On 'O O3 O3 the landlord/tenant app	lied to the rent officer for registration of a			
fair rent of £120. 21 per MONTY for the above property.				
1				
Note: The period of the rental payments under the tenancy (e.g. weekly, monthly) should be				
inserted expressly above and where appropriate b	elow.			
The rent payable at the time of the application	on was £. 108 58 per 140 014			
The rent was previously registered on 26.0	4 O with effect			
from $07.06.01$ /the same date at £ k	119 50			
	•			
a determination by the rent officer/a-rent assessment committee.				
Note: (1) Insert effective date when different from	that of registration. (2) The date of			
registration is useful for capping purposes when it	is necessary to know the date of the previous			
registration. (3) The whole of the above sentence c	an be deleted in those rare cases of first			
registration.				
	(3 × 27 ×			
On 28 01.63 the rent officer regi	stered a fair rent of £.130.50 per Mon 74			
week / including £in respect of s	services/(variable) with effect from that			
date/				
Note: the alternative of a variable amount for serv	rices has been added.			

by the Rent Officer and the matter was referred to the Rent Assessment Committee.

Note: this states how the matter has come before the RAC. If the letter of objection is not provided the reference to the letter of objection and date must obviously be omitted.

2. Inspection

[Brief description of state of repair here where no RO survey sheet provided].

The following tenant's improvements had been made to the property.

DOUBLE SLAZED WINDOWS A DOOMS
HARRINGE DOORS

NEW KITCHEN

ELECTRIC RADIATUR

MODIFICATION TO CUPROARD

SASFIRE (+ JOHN COMMISSION FROM LANDLORD)

The Committee was unable to gain access at the appointed time in order to inspect the property internally and therefore made an external inspection only.

The registered rent-includes a sum for the services as listed on the rent register.

3. Evidence

The Committee received written representations from the landlord and/tenant and these were copied to the parties. No written representations were received from the landlord/tenant/either party.

Neither party requested a hearing at which oral representations could be made.

A hearing was held on 22.01.03...in. WORSESTER.....at which oral representations were made by/on behalf of the landlord and/tenant. The landlord/tenant was not present or represented.

The date and place of hearing respectively can be inserted.

A hearing was arranged foron
but neither party attended.

Note: the last option is a new alternative possibility

4. The law

When determining a fair rent the Committee, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances including the age, location and state of repair of the property. It also disregarded the effect of (a) any relevant tenant's improvements and (b) the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.

In Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Committee (1995) 28 HLR 107 and Curtis v London Rent Assessment Committee [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised

- (a) that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. that element, if any, of the market rent, that is attributable to there being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms - other than as to rent - to that of the regulated tenancy) and
- (b) that for the purposes of determining the market rent, assured tenancy (market) rents are usually appropriate comparables. (These rents may have to be adjusted where necessary to reflect any relevant differences between those comparables and the subject property).

5. Valuation

Thus in the first instance the Committee determined what rent the landlord could reasonably be expected to obtain for the property in the open market if it were let today in the condition that is considered usual for such an open market letting. It did this by having regard to the evidence supplied by the parties and/the Committee's own general knowledge of market rent levels in the area of work as the latest market rent would be £425. per . M. 2004

Furthermore, to allow for the tenant's improvements (listed above) it was necessary to make a further deduction of £.35.... per. Mon? 4 and a latter 120 per. Month for it lack of central feating and floor covering a Note: the wording has been revised to deal with the common case where the parties do not provide market comparables and also to permit the specification of sums in respect of (a)

differences between the condition of the real or hypothetical comparable(s) and the subject property and (b) the rental value of tenant's improvements, to be specified.

-The Committee decided that the relevant differences between the subject property and
the comparable properties given in evidence were so great as to make it
inappropriate to rely on the market rent less scarcity approach in this case. It
therefore relied on its knowledge and experience to assess a fair rent of
. L per
The Committee did not consider that there was any substantial scarcity element and
accordingly no further deduction was made for searcity./The Committee found that
there was substantial scarcity in the locality and therefore deducted a further sum of
£55-50from the market rent to reflect this element.
This leaves a fair rent for the subject property of assuming it was not in shared of 31450 per Manay IN 1815 Cash to Tenent owns a 50% share in the equity y to property and further adjustment were more a cornic at a fair test of 143.07. This leaves an occupational element of a fair rent for the subject property of as per its per to which the service element sum of the in respect of the subject of the su
This leaves an occupational element of a fair rent for the subject property of
£per to which the service element sum of £in respect of a tacky
services should be added; resulting in a fair rent of Lper Calcula have
6. Decision
The fair rent initially determined by the Committee, for the purposes of section 70, was accordingly £.143
in respect of services.

However, by virtue of the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 the maximum fair rent that can be registered in the present case is the lower sum of £.130.50. per/inclusive of services (Details are provided on the back of the decision form).

The section 70 fair rent determined by the Committee is below/at the same level as the maximum fair rent permitted by the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 and accordingly that rent limit has no effect. Details are provided on the back of the decision form.

The fair rent to be registered/confirmed is not limited by the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 because there was not an existing registered fair rent at the time of the application.

The fair rent to be registered/confirmed is not limited by the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 because by virtue of landlord's repairs and/or improvements since the previous registration the rent determined/confirmed by the Committee exceeds by at least 15% the previous registered rent.

The registered rent is to be entered/confirmed as variable in accordance with the terms of the tenancy (Rent Act 1977, s.71(4)).

Note: the new version of this section is an attempt to separate out the fair rent assessed in accordance with the Act from, where applicable, the maximum fair rent provided for by the 1999 Order.

Thus the section begins with the rent determined in accordance with section 70. It then permits one of four alternative paragraphs to be chosen according to whether the cap applies or not.

Having selected one the others should be deleted before the paragraph in bold type specifying the rent to be registered/confirmed is selected in all cases. The final paragraph can be included where there is a variable service charge.

- Gul

Chairman

Dated 22, 09.03

This document contains a summary of the reasons for the Rent Assessment Committee's decision. If either party requires extended reasons to be given, they will be provided following a request to the committee clerk at the Panel Office which must be made within 21 days from the date of issue of this document.

SHARED OWNERSHIP

HOUSE

			£
1.	Base Rent (FAM MEM EQUIDALENT)		
2.	Reduce for repairing liability (say 15%)	_	314.50
3.		<u></u>	267.32
	V		18 71
4.	Less management & insurance (say 7%)	-	
5.	GROSS RENT	=	248.61
6.	Reduce for tenant's equity (50%)	-	248.61 124.30
7.		=	124.30
8.	Add back management & insurance	+	18.71
9.	FAIR RENT	=	143.02
10.	CAPPED AT		143.02 130.50