# Rent Assessment Committee: Summary reasons for decision. Rent Act 1977

Address of Premises	The Committee members were
13 Marriott Road Bedworth CV12 OLL	Mr A P Bell Mr D J Satchwell
1. Background	Mrs E Everett
On 12 August 2003 the landlord/tement fair rent of £.55 per	applied to the rent officer for registration of a representation that the above property.
Note: The period of the rental payments under inserted expressly above and where appropria	r the tenancy (e.g. weekly, monthly) should be te below.
The rent payable at the time of the applic	ation was £4.9 per
The rent was previously registered on	per
Note: (1) Insert effective date when different from registration is useful for capping purposes when registration. (3) The whole of the above sentence registration.	n it is necessary to know the date of the provide
On 24 November 2005, the rent officer re week / including f. in respect of date/	gistered a fair rent of £
Note: the alternative of a variable amount for se	rvi <del>ces has been a</del> dded.
By a letter dated 4. December 2003, the lands by the Rent Officer and the matter was refe	lord/tenant objected to the rent determined tred to the Rent Assessment Committee.
Note: this states how the matter has come before	the RAC. If the letter of objection is not
provided the reference to the letter of objection a	nd date must obviously be omitted.

## 2. Inspection

The Committee inspected the property on ... 29 James 1 ... and found it to be in good/fair/poor\* condition/as described more particularly in the Rent Officer's survey sheet which had been copied to the parties.

[Brief description of state of repair here where no RO survey sheet provided].

The following tenant's improvements had been made to the property.

The Committee was unable to gain access at the appointed time in order to inspect the property internally and therefore made an external inspection only.

The registered vent includes a sum for the services as listed on the rent register.

# 3. Evidence

The Committee received written representations from the landlord and/tenant and these were copied to the parties/ N<del>o written representations were received from</del> the landlord/tenant/either party.

Neither party requested a hearing at which oral representations could be made.

A.hearing was held on .........in.....in.................at which oral representations were made by/on behalf of the landlord and/tenant. The landlord/tenant was not present or represented.

The date and place of hearing respectively can be inserted.

A hearing was arranged for.....on

.....but neither party attended.

Notor the last option is a new alternative possibility

#### 4. The law

When determining a fair rent the Committee, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances including the age, location and state of repair of the property. It also disregarded the effect of (a) any relevant tenant's improvements and (b) the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.

In Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Committee (1995) 28 HLR 107 and Curtis v London Rent Assessment Committee [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised

(a) that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. that element, if any, of the market rent, that is attributable to there

being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms - other than as to rent - to that of the regulated tenancy) and

(b) that for the purposes of determining the market rent, assured tenancy (market) rents are usually appropriate comparables. (These rents may have to be adjusted where necessary to reflect any relevant differences between those comparables and the subject property).

### 5. Valuation

However, the actual property is not in the condition considered usual for a modern letting at a market rent. Therefore it was first necessary to adjust that hypothetical rent of £. 80... per. ...... to allow for the differences between the condition considered usual for such a letting and the condition of the actual property as observed by the Committee (disregarding the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title). The Committee considered that this required a deduction of £ 2.2...80... per ......

Furthermore, to allow for the tenant's improvements (listed above) it was necessary to make a further deduction of £.2.  $\infty$ . per.  $\infty$ .

Note: the wording has been revised to deal with the common case where the parties do not provide market comparables and also to permit the specification of sums in respect of (a) differences between the condition of the real or hypothetical comparable(s) and the subject property and (b) the rental value of tenant's improvements, to be specified.

In <del>e Committee decided that the re</del>	elevant differences between the subject property and
tne comparable properties given i	n evidence were so great as to make it
inappropriate to rely on the marke	et rent less scarcity approach in this case. It
therefore relied on its knowledge o	and experience to assess a fair rent of
£per	The same to ussess a just rent of
The Committee did not consider th	at there was any substantial scarcity element and
accordingly no further deduction w	vas made for scarcity ./T <del>he Committee found</del> that
there was substantial scarcity in th	e tocality and therefore deducted a further sum of
£from the mark	set rent to reflect this element.
This leaves a fair rent for the subje	ect property of
£ SS per well	·••
This leaves an occupational atoms	
f	nt of a fair rent for the subject property of
services should be added resculsion	e element sum of £in respect of
oo waaca, resuutng	in a fair rent of £per
6. Decision	
The fair rent initially determined	·
Was accordingly f	the Committee, for the purposes of section 70,
in many of C	per inclusive of £per
in respect of serv	<del>Mees.</del>
However, by virtue of the Rent Acts ()	Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 the maximum
fair rent that can be registered in the	present case is the lower sum of £
per/inclusive of ser	rvices (Details are provided on the back of the
de <del>cision fór</del> m).	the provided on the back of the
The section 70 fair rent determined by	
The section 70 fair rent determined by maximum fair rent permitted by the Po	the Committee is below/at-the carry lavel and
maximum jair rent permitted by the Re	the Committee is below <del>/at-the sam</del> e level as the ent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1000
maximum jair rent permitted by the Re	the Committee is below/at-the carry lavel and

The fair rent to be registered/confirmed is not limited by the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 because there was not an existing registered fair rent at the time of the application.

The fair rent to be registered/confirmed is not limited by the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 because by virtue of landlord's repairs and/or improvements since the previous registration the rent determined/confirmed by the Committee exceeds by at least 15% the previous registered rent.

The registered rent is to be entered/confirmed as variable in accordance with the terms of the tenancy (Rent Act 1977, s.71(4)).

Note: the new version of this section is an attempt to separate out the fair rent assessed in accordance with the Act from, where applicable, the maximum fair rent provided for by the 1999 Order.

Thus the section begins with the rent determined in accordance with section 70. It then permits one of four alternative paragraphs to be chosen according to whether the cap applies or not.

Having selected one the others should be deleted before the paragraph in bold type specifying the rent to be registered/confirmed is selected in all cases. The final paragraph can be included where there is a variable service charge.

Chairman

Al Cu

Dated

29 Jones 2004

This document contains a summary of the reasons for the Rent Assessment Committee's decision. If either party requires extended reasons to be given, they will

be provided following a request to the committee clerk at the Panel Office which must be made within 21 days from the date of issue of this document.