# Rent Assessment Committee: Summary reasons for decision. Rent Act 1977

#### Address of Premises

43 Orchard Avenue Chichester West Sussex PO19 3BG

#### The Committee members were

Mr D Agnew LLB LLM
Mr R AWilkey FRICS FICPD
Ms J K Morris

### 1. Background

On 6<sup>th</sup> May 2005 the landlord applied to the rent officer for registration of a fair rent of £643 per month for the above property.

The rent payable at the time of the application was £429 per month.

The rent was previously registered on 18<sup>th</sup> August 2003 with effect from 5<sup>th</sup> August 2003 at £99 per week following a determination by a rent assessment committee.

On 13<sup>th</sup> June 2005 the rent officer registered a fair rent of £109.50 per week with effect from 5<sup>th</sup> August 2005.

By a letter dated 18<sup>th</sup> July 2005 the landlord objected to the rent determined by the Rent Officer and the matter was referred to the Rent Assessment Committee.

#### 2. Inspection

The Committee inspected the property on 14<sup>th</sup> October 2005 and found it to be in good condition as described more particularly in the Rent Officer's survey sheet which had been copied to the parties.

The following tenant's improvements had been made to the property.

Sink units & base units / cupboards in kitchen

New basin & shower unit in bathroom

Night storage heaters

Insulation of roof space

Patio, paths & fences in garden

## 3. Evidence

The Committee received written representations from the landlord and these were copied to the parties. No written representations were received from the tenant.

Neither party requested a hearing at which oral representations could be made.

#### 4. The law

When determining a fair rent the Committee, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances including the age, location and state of repair of the property. It also disregarded the effect of (a) any relevant tenant's improvements and (b) the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.

In Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Committee (1995) 28 HLR 107 and Curtis v London Rent Assessment Committee [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised

(a) that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. that element, if any, of the market rent, that is attributable to

there being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms - other than as to rent - to that of the regulated tenancy) and

(b) that for the purposes of determining the market rent, assured tenancy (market) rents are usually appropriate comparables. (These rents may have to be adjusted where necessary to reflect any relevant differences between those comparables and the subject property).

#### 5. Valuation

Thus in the first instance the Committee determined what rent the landlord could reasonably be expected to obtain for the property in the open market if it were let today in the condition that is considered usual for such an open market letting. It did this by having regard to the Committee's own general knowledge of market rent levels in the area of Chichester. Having done so it concluded that such a likely market rent would be £173 per week.

However, the actual property is not in the condition considered usual for a modern letting at a market rent. Therefore it was first necessary to adjust that hypothetical rent of £173 per week to allow for the differences between the condition considered usual for such a letting and the condition of the actual property as observed by the Committee (disregarding the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title). The Committee considered that this required a deduction of £26 per week.

Furthermore, to allow for the tenant's improvements (listed above) it was necessary to make a further deduction of £7 per week.

The Committee did not consider that there was any substantial scarcity element and accordingly no further deduction was made for scarcity.

This leaves a net market rent for the subject property of £140 per week.

# 6. Decision

The fair rent initially determined by the Committee, for the purposes of section 70, was accordingly £140 per week.

However, by virtue of the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 the maximum fair rent that can be registered in the present case is the lower sum of £110 per week.

Accordingly the sum of £110 per week will be registered as the fair rent with effect from 14<sup>th</sup> October 2005 being the date of the Committee's decision.

Chairman Mr D Agnew LLB LLM

Dated 14<sup>th</sup> October 2005

This document contains a summary of the reasons for the Rent Assessment Committee's decision. If either party requires extended reasons to be given, they will be provided following a request to the committee clerk at the Panel Office which must be made within 21 days from the date of issue of this document.