# **Notice of the Rent Assessment Committee Decision**

Rent Act 1977 Schedule 11

Address of Premises The Committee members were		nhers were		
3 Edna Street Mr T G Ashmore		INCI 3 MACIA		
London		Mr R A Potter FRICS		
SW11 3DP		Mr O N Miller BSc		
			· ·	
Landlord	Battersea Freehold	and Leasehold		
Tenant	Mrs E J Hart			
1. The fair rent is	£ 115.50 Per	week (excluding wa	ter rates and council tax bu amounts in paras 3 & 4)	
2. The effective da	te is 6 <sup>th</sup> Decen	nber 2004		
3. The amount for services is £ not		Per t applicable		
4. The amount for rent allowance is	fuel charges (excluding h	eating and lighting of commo	n parts) not counting for	
		applicable		
5. The rent is not to	o be registered as variabl	e.		
6. The capping pro calculation overlea	visions of the Rent Acts ( af).	(Maximum Fair Rent) Order 19	99 apply (please see	
7. Details (other the	an rent) where different fr	om Rent Register entry		
8. For information o	only:			
(a) The Registered the Rent Acts (I registered was	waxiiilulii Fali Kellt) Orge	ariable service charge) is capp er 1999.  The rent that would ot	ped under the provisions of therwise have been	
Chairman	T G Ashmore	Date of decision	6 December 2004	

### MAXIMUM FAIR RENT CALCULATION

LATEST RPI FIGURE x 188.6				
PREVIOUS RPI FIGURE y 174.0				
x 188.6 minus y 174.0 = (A) 14.6				
(A) 14.6 divided by y 174.0 = (B) $0.083908$				
First application for re-registration since 1 February 1999 YES/NO (delete as applicable)				
If yes (B) plus 1.075 = (C)				
If no (B) plus 1.05 = (C) 1.133908				
Last registered rent* £ $101.5$ Multiplied by (C) = £ $115.09$				
*(exclusive of any variable service charge)				
Rounded up to nearest 50 pence = £ 115.50				
MAXIMUM FAIR RENT = 115.50 Per week				

#### **Explanatory Note**

- 1. The calculation of the maximum fair rent, in accordance with the formula contained in the Order, is set out above.
- 2. In summary, the formula provides for the maximum fair rent to be calculated by:
  - (a) increasing the previous registered rent by the percentage change in the retail price index (the RPI) since the date of that earlier registration and
  - (b) adding a further 7.5% (if the present application was the first since 1 February 1999) or 5% (if it is a second or subsequent application since that date).

A 7.5% increase is represented, in the calculation set out above, by the addition of 1.075 to (B) and an increase of 5% is represented by the addition of 1.05 to (B).

The result is rounded up to the nearest 50 pence.

- 3. For the purposes of the calculation the latest RPI figure (x) is that published in the month before the Committee's fair rent determination.
- 4. The calculation of the maximum fair rent excludes any sum payable under a variable service charge, where the rent is registered as variable under section 71(4) of the Rent Act 1977. In such a case that sum is added to the maximum fair rent in order to produce the rent to be registered as the fair rent.

File Ref No.

LON/00BJ/F77/2004/0686

## Rent Assessment Committee: Summary reasons for decision. Rent Act 1977

	Address of Premises
3 Edna Street	
	London
	SW11 3DP

#### The Committee members were

Mr T G Ashmore Mr R A Potter FRICS Mr O N Miller BSc

#### 1. Background

On 24 June 2003 the landlord applied to the rent officer for registration of a fair rent of £1,310 per month for the above property.

The rent was previously registered on 21 August 2001 with effect from the same date at £101.50 per week following a determination by a rent assessment committee.

On 9 September 2004 the rent officer registered a fair rent of £114.50 per week with effect from that date.

By a letter dated 16 September 2004 the landlord objected to the rent determined by the Rent Officer and the matter was referred to the Rent Assessment Committee.

#### 2. Inspection

The Committee inspected the property on 6 December 2004 and found it to be in poor external condition as described more particularly in the Rent Officer's survey sheet which had been copied to the parties.

#### 3. Evidence

No written representations were received from either party.

Neither party requested a hearing at which oral representations could be made.

#### 4. The law

When determining a fair rent the Committee, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances including the age, location and state of repair of the property. It also disregarded the effect of (a) any relevant tenant's improvements and (b) the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.

In Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Committee (1995) 28 HLR 107 and Curtis v London Rent Assessment Committee [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised

- (a) that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. that element, if any, of the market rent, that is attributable to there being a significant shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms other than as to rent to that of the regulated tenancy) and
- (b) that for the purposes of determining the market rent, assured tenancy (market) rents are usually appropriate comparables. (These rents may have to be adjusted where necessary to reflect any relevant differences between those comparables and the subject property).

#### 5. Valuation

Thus in the first instance the Committee determined what rent the landlord could reasonably be expected to obtain for the property in the open market if it were let today in the condition that is considered usual for such an open market letting. It did this by having regard to the evidence supplied by the parties and the Committee's own general knowledge of market rent levels in the area of Battersea. Having done so it concluded that such a likely market rent would be £300.00 per week.

However, the actual property is not in the condition considered usual for a modern letting at a market rent. Therefore it was first necessary to adjust that hypothetical rent of £300.00 per week to allow for the differences between the condition considered usual for such a letting and the condition of the actual property as observed by the Committee (disregarding the effect of any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title). The Committee considered that this required a deduction of £75.00 per week.

This leaves an adjusted market rent for the subject property of £225.00 per week.

The Committee found that there was substantial scarcity in the locality of Greater London and therefore made a deduction of 25% from the market rent to reflect this element.

#### 6. Decision

The uncapped fair rent initially determined by the Committee, for the purposes of section 70, was accordingly £168.75 per week.

However, by virtue of the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 the maximum fair rent that can be registered in the present case is the lower sum of £115.50 per week inclusive of services (Details are provided on the back of the decision form).

Accordingly the sum of £115.50 per week will be registered/confirmed as the fair rent with effect from 6 December 2004 being the date of the Committee's decision.

Chairman: T G Ashmore

Dated: 6 December 2004

This document contains a summary of the reasons for the Rent Assessment Committee's decision. If either party requires extended reasons to be given, they will be provided following a written request to the committee clerk at the Panel Office which must be made within 28 days from the date of issue of this document.

LC FRSUMREAS/2002