File Ref No. LON/00AE/F77/2006/0653

Notice of the Rent Assessment Committee Decision

Rent Act 1977 Schedule 11

Address of Premises	The Committee members were	
GROUND FLOOR (REAR), 30 EXETER ROAD, LONDON, NW2 4SG	MR T POWELL MR L JARERO BSc FRICS MRS L WEST JP MBA	
Landlord BPT (Bradford Property Trust) Ltd		
Tenant Mr C M Jones		
1. The fair rent is £ 406.50 Per month	(excluding water rates and council tax but including any amounts in paras 3&4)	
2. The effective date is 23 January 2007		
3. The amount for services is £ 32.79	Per month	
4. The rent /is not to be registered as variable.		
5. The capping provisions of the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 apply (please see calculation overleaf).		
6. Details (other than rent) where different from Rent Register entry		
7. For information only:		
(a) The fair rent to be registered is the maximum fa Fair Rent) Order 1999. The rent that would other £425.00 per month including £32.79 per month		
Chairman T Powell	Date of Decision 23 January 2007	

MAXIMUM FAIR RENT CALCULATION

LATEST RPI FIGURE x 201.1		
PREVIOUS RPI FIGURE y 186.8		
X 201.1 Minus y 186.8 = (A) 14.3		
(A) 14.3 divided by y 186.8 = (B) 0.076552		
First application for re-registration since 1 February 1999 NO		
If yes (B) plus 1.075 = (C)		
If no (B) plus 1.05 = (C) 1.126552		
Last registered rent* 360.50 Multiplied by (C) = 406.12		
Rounded up to nearest 50 pence = 406.50		
Variable service charge NO		
If YES add amount for services		
MAXIMUM FAIR RENT = 406.50 Per month		

Explanatory Note

- The calculation of the maximum fair rent, in accordance with the formula contained in the Order, is set out above.
- 2. In summary, the formula provides for the maximum fair rent to be calculated by:
 - (a) increasing the previous registered rent by the percentage change in the retail price index (the RPI) since the date of that earlier registration and
 - (b) adding a further 7.5% (if the present application was the first since 1 February 1999) or 5% (if it is a second or subsequent application since that date).

A 7.5% increase is represented, in the calculation set out above, by the addition of 1.075 to (B) and an increase of 5% is represented by the addition of 1.05 to (B).

The result is rounded up to the nearest 50 pence.

- 3. For the purposes of the calculation the latest RPI figure (x) is that published in the calendar month immediately before the month in which the Committee's fair rent determination was made.
- 4. The process differs where the tenancy agreement contains a variable service charge and the rent is to be registered as variable under section 71(4) of the Rent Act 1977. In such a case the variable service charge is removed before applying the formula. When the amount determined by the application of the formula is ascertained the service charge is then added to that sum in order to produce the maximum fair rent.

File Ref No.	LON/00AE/F77/2006/0653

Rent Assessment Committee: Summary reasons for decision Rent Act 1977

Address of Premises 30 Exeter Road London NW2 4SG	The Committee members were Mr T Powell Mr L Jarero BSc FRICS Mrs L West JP MBA
NW2 4SG	

1. Background

On 26 June 2006 the landlord applied to the rent officer for registration of a fair rent of £493 per month for the above property.

The rent payable at the time of the application was £360.50 per month.

The rent was previously registered on 28 July 2004 with effect from 25 August 2004 at £360.50 per month following a determination by the rent officer.

On 6 September 2006 the rent officer registered a fair rent of £401.50 per month including £32.79 in respect of services with effect from that date.

By a letter dated 20 September 2006 the landlord objected to the rent determined by the Rent Officer and the matter was referred to the Rent Assessment Committee.

2. Inspection

The Committee inspected the property on 17 January 2007 and found it to be in good to fair external condition

The following tenant's improvements had been made to the property.

The tenant had renewed the bathroom suite and had fully tiled the walls.

The registered rent includes a sum for the services as listed on the rent register.

3. Evidence

The Committee received written representations from the tenant and these were copied to the landlord. No written representations were received from the landlord.

A hearing was held on 17 January 2007 in Alfred Place, London WC1 at which oral representations were made on behalf of the tenant. The landlord was not present or represented.

4. The law

When determining a fair rent the Committee, in accordance with the Rent Act 1977, section 70, had regard to all the circumstances including the age, location and state of repair of the property. It also disregarded the effect of (a) any relevant tenant's improvements and (b) the effect of any

disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title under the regulated tenancy, on the rental value of the property.

In Spath Holme Ltd v Chairman of the Greater Manchester etc. Committee (1995) 28 HLR 107 and Curtis v London Rent Assessment Committee [1999] QB 92 the Court of Appeal emphasised

- that ordinarily a fair rent is the market rent for the property discounted for 'scarcity' (i.e. shortage of similar properties in the wider locality available for letting on similar terms other than as to rent to that of the regulated tenancy) and
- (b) that for the purposes of determining the market rent, assured tenancy (market) rents are usually appropriate comparables. (These rents may have to be adjusted where necessary to reflect any relevant differences between those comparables and the subject property).

5. Valuation

Thus in the first instance the Committee determined what rent the landlord could reasonably be expected to obtain for the property in the open market if it were let today in the condition that is considered usual for such an open market letting. It did this by having regard to the Committee's own general knowledge of market rent levels in the area of Cricklewood. Having done so it concluded that such a likely market rent would be £700 per month.

However, the actual property is not in the condition considered usual for a modern letting at a market rent. Therefore it was first necessary to adjust that hypothetical rent of £700 per month to allow for the differences between the condition considered usual for such a letting and the condition of the actual property as observed by the Committee (disregarding the effect of tenant's improvements and any disrepair or other defect attributable to the tenant or any predecessor in title). The Committee considered that this required a deduction of £210 per month.

This leaves an adjusted market rent for the subject property of £490 per month to which the sum of £32.79 in respect of services should be added.

The Committee found that there was substantial scarcity in the locality of Greater London and therefore made a deduction of approximately 20% from the market rent to reflect this element.

6. Decision

The uncapped fair rent initially determined by the Committee, for the purposes of section 70, was accordingly £425.00 per month inclusive of £32.79 per month in respect of services.

However, by virtue of the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 the maximum fair rent that can be registered in the present case is the lower sum of £406.50 per month inclusive of services (Details are provided on the back of the decision form).

Accordingly the sum of £406.50 per month inclusive of services of £32.79 per month will be registered as the fair rent with effect from 23 January 2007 being the date of the Committee's decision.

Chairman:

T Powell

Dated:

23 January 2007

This document contains a summary of the reasons for the Rent Assessment Committee's decision. If either party requires extended reasons to be given, they will be provided following a written request to the committee clerk at the Panel Office which must be made within 28 days from the date of issue of this document.

FRSUMREAS/2002