

---

# Advances in Programming Languages and Neurosymbolic Systems (AIPLANS)

---

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

## Abstract

Automatic differentiation libraries and frameworks have enabled much progress in gradient-based learning over the last decade. Recent domain-specific languages for automatic programming hold the promise of unleashing similar progress in e.g., probabilistic and classical reasoning. Concurrently, machines have made steady progress in representing and synthesizing programs. Other workshops have explored these themes separately, yet few have highlighted the synergies between automatic and synthetic programming, a situation we hope to remedy.

## 1 Proposal

Neural information processing systems have benefited tremendously from the availability of programming languages and frameworks for automatic differentiation. Similar domain-specific languages have shown progress automating inference in other logical disciplines, such as belief nets, proof nets, and related message passing schemes on tree- and graph-structured data.

Not only does machine learning itself benefit from languages for programmable inference, these systems can also be seen as a kind of low-level programming language in their own right, consisting of differentiable and stochastic primitives. While currently less interpretable, thanks to recent progress in statistical language modeling, these systems are increasingly capable of generating symbolic functions resembling procedures a human programmer might plausibly write in a high-level language.

Applying techniques from programmable inference to transform and generate programs, and adapting insights gained developing those same programs to drive innovation in higher-order AD and probabilistic programming is a virtuous cycle, with a growing stream of software and academic papers. We envision cooperation between automatic and synthetic programming will continue to increase as researchers become more accustomed to outsourcing low-level reasoning tasks to these systems.




Figure 1: The ML/PL virtuous cycle.

Many ideas are being reinvented and rediscovered in this process. AD itself was invented a half dozen times over the last century and research continues to reveal unexpected connections to implicit differentiation, bilevel optimization, optimal control, stochastic processes and differential equations.

26 Semiring programming has existed in various forms for many decades and shares deep connections  
27 to reinforcement learning, structured inference and probabilistic programming. Much work remains.

28 Likewise, many recently-transplanted ideas in machine learning are catechism in the programming  
29 language literature. For example, functional and type-safe programming are lingua franca in PL  
30 circles but relatively new to Python, the primary language used in machine learning. The duality  
31 between code and data is well-known in PL under the aegis of homoiconicity. PL theory has thought  
32 deeply about categorical semantics, concurrency, process calculi, linear logic, privacy and other deeply  
33 useful concepts which remain, to this day, mostly unfamiliar in the machine learning community.

34 Other areas where the interaction could be fruitful are tools for equivalence, proof search and metrics.  
35 A deeper understanding of programming language semantics are largely missing from neural program  
36 synthesis discussions. The connection between various forms of message passing in concurrent  
37 systems and neural science merits further investigation. New language models could enable more  
38 effective tools for natural language and assistive programming. While some of these topics remain  
39 greenfield research topics, many connections are known, but yet-to-be-translated textbook knowledge.

40 As outlined above, we believe that recent advances in statistical learning and programming languages  
41 have been largely siloed, but these two communities have many ideas yet to share. In exchange,  
42 we believe a great deal of progress can be achieved, in particular, between automatic and synthetic  
43 programming. A joint workshop such as the one put forward in this proposal could help to facilitate  
44 yet-unrealized research connections among neighboring fields. Our workshop is designed to be as  
45 inclusive as possible towards researchers of various backgrounds working on programming languages  
46 and neurosymbolic systems. For illustration, we include the following incomplete list of topics:

- 47 • Differentiable programming / algorithmic differentiation
- 48 • Probabilistic programming / statistical inference
- 49 • Declarative programming / constraint programming
- 50 • Dynamic programming / reinforcement learning
- 51 • Functional programming /  $\lambda$ -calculus
- 52 • Array programming / linear algebra
- 53 • Semiring programming / message passing
- 54 • Logic programming / Relational programming
- 55 • Meta-programming / meta-learning
- 56 • Computer aided reasoning / automatic theorem proving
- 57 • Domain-specific languages and compilers
- 58 • Inductive programming / programming by example
- 59 • Genetic programming / evolutionary algorithms
- 60 • Differential privacy / algorithmic fairness

61 The workshop will be a single-day event hosted online, enabling an economically and geographically  
62 diverse audience to participate. Talks will be hosted in English, following the standard format of  
63 oral presentations and panel discussions, to be concluded with a virtual poster session. Outside of  
64 standard videoconferencing and SlidesLive assistance, we anticipate no other technical requirements.  
65 If accepted, we expect to receive an audience a hundred or so participants, including speakers and  
66 workshop submitters, based on attendance at similarly-themed workshops in prior years.

67 We would like to encourage developers of languages, frameworks and libraries to submit their work  
68 for evaluation. Those who traditionally publish in venues such as SIGPLAN and SIGSOFT are also  
69 encouraged to submit work that may be relevant to the machine learning and reasoning community,  
70 provided that effort is taken to ensure its accessibility. Special consideration will be given to didactic  
71 submissions of outstanding clarity. Further information, including evaluation criteria, examples of  
72 relevant literature, deadlines and workshop logistics will be made available in a timely manner.

73 **Tagline:** Are you curious whether machines can write programs that are both sound and interpretable?  
74 Come check out AIPLANS, a new workshop on domain-specific languages for learning and synthetic  
75 reasoning, to be hosted at NeurIPS 2021! <https://aiplans.github.io>