

# STT 3850 : Chi-Square Tests

Fall 2023

Appalachian State University

## Section 1

# Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Tests

## All Parameters Known

- Bansley et al. (1992) investigated the relationship between month of birth and achievement in sport. Birth dates were collected for players in teams competing in the 1990 World Cup soccer games.

```
Observed <- c(150, 138, 140, 100)
names(Observed) <- c("Aug-Oct", "Nov-Jan",
                     "Feb-April", "May-July")
```

Observed

Aug-Oct	Nov-Jan	Feb-April	May-July
150	138	140	100

## All Parameters Known

We wish to test whether these data are consistent with the hypothesis that birthdays of soccer players are uniformly distributed across the four quarters of the year. Let  $P_i$  denote the probability of a birth occurring in the  $i^{th}$  quarter; the hypotheses are as follows:

$H_0 : p_1 = \frac{1}{4}, p_2 = \frac{1}{4}, p_3 = \frac{1}{4}, p_4 = \frac{1}{4}$  versus  $H_A : p_i \neq \frac{1}{4}$  for at least one  $i$ .

There were a total of  $n = 528$  players considered for this study, so the expected count for each quarter is  $528/4 = 132$ .

## All Parameters Known

$$\chi_{obs}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = \frac{(150-132)^2}{132} + \frac{(138-132)^2}{132} + \frac{(140-132)^2}{132} + \frac{(100-132)^2}{132} = 10.97$$

```
(chi_obs <- sum((Observed - 132)^2/132))
```

```
[1] 10.9697
```

*# Or*

```
chisq.test(Observed, p = c(1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4))$stat
```

X-squared

10.9697

## All Parameters Known

```
chisq.test(Observed, p = c(1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4)) -> CST
CST
```

Chi-squared test for given probabilities

data: Observed

X-squared = 10.97, df = 3, p-value = 0.01189

```
CST$observed
```

Aug-Oct	Nov-Jan	Feb-April	May-July
150	138	140	100

```
CST$expected
```

Aug-Oct	Nov-Jan	Feb-April	May-July
132	132	132	132

## All Parameters Known

```
(pvalue <- pchisq(CST$stat, 3, lower = FALSE))
```

```
  X-squared  
0.01189087
```

```
# Or  
CST$p.value
```

```
[1] 0.01189087
```

## All Parameters Known - Conclusion

Given the  $p$  - *value* of 0.012 evidence suggests birthdays for World Cup soccer players are not uniformly distributed.



## All Parameters Known - Example 2

Suppose you draw 100 numbers at random from an unknown distribution. Thirty values fall in the interval  $(0, 0.25]$ , 30 fall in  $(0.25, 0.75]$ , 22 fall in  $(0.75, 1.25]$ , and the rest fall in  $(1.25, \infty]$ . Your friend claims that the distribution is exponential with parameter  $\lambda = 1$ . Do you believe her?

- A random variable  $X$  has the exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda > 0$  if its **pdf** is

$$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

## All Parameters Known - Example 2

We wish to test the following:

$H_0$  : The data are from an exponential distribution with  $\lambda = 1$ .

$H_A$  : The data are not from an exponential distribution with  $\lambda = 1$ .

## All Parameters Known - Example 2

Given  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda = 1)$ . The probabilities for each interval are as follows:

$$p_1 = P(0 \leq X \leq 0.25) = \int_0^{0.25} e^{-x} dx = 0.2211992$$

$$p_2 = P(0.25 \leq X \leq 0.75) = \int_{0.25}^{0.75} e^{-x} dx = 0.3064342$$

$$p_3 = P(0.75 \leq X \leq 1.25) = \int_{0.75}^{1.25} e^{-x} dx = 0.1858618$$

$$p_4 = P(1.25 \leq X \leq \infty) = \int_{1.25}^{\infty} e^{-x} dx = 0.2865048$$

## All Parameters Known - Example 2

```
p1 <- pexp(0.25, 1)
p2 <- pexp(0.75, 1) - pexp(0.25, 1)
p3 <- pexp(1.25, 1) - pexp(0.75, 1)
p4 <- pexp(1.25, 1, lower = FALSE)
ps <- c(p1, p2, p3, p4)
ps
```

[1] 0.2211992 0.3064342 0.1858618 0.2865048

## All Parameters Known - Example 2

```
EXP <- ps*100  
EXP
```

```
[1] 22.11992 30.64342 18.58618 28.65048
```

```
OBS <- c(30, 30, 22, 18)  
test_stat <- sum((OBS - EXP)^2/EXP)  
test_stat
```

```
[1] 7.406963
```

## All Parameters Known - Example 2

```
# Another approach  
chisq.test(OBS, p = ps)
```

Chi-squared test for given probabilities

```
data:  OBS  
X-squared = 7.407, df = 3, p-value = 0.06  
pvalue <- chisq.test(OBS, p = ps)$p.value  
pvalue  
  
[1] 0.05999777
```

## All Parameters Known - Example 2 - Conclusion

If you test using  $\alpha = 0.05$ , you will fail to reject the null hypothesis since the  $p - value = 0.0599 > \alpha = 0.05$ . There is not convincing evidence that the data do not come from an  $\text{Exp}(\lambda = 1)$ .

## Section 2

# Chi-Square Tests of Independence



## Example

```
library(PASWR2)
(xtabs(~sex + survived, data = TITANIC3) -> T1)
```

	survived	
sex	0	1
female	127	339
male	682	161

```
chisq.test(T1, correct = FALSE) -> CST
CST
```

Pearson's Chi-squared test

```
data:  T1
X-squared = 365.89, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16
```

## Example

```
(EXP <- CST$expected)
```

	survived	
sex	0	1
female	288.0015	177.9985
male	520.9985	322.0015

```
(OBS <- CST$observed)
```

	survived	
sex	0	1
female	127	339
male	682	161

```
(chi_obs <- sum((OBS - EXP)^2/EXP))
```

```
[1] 365.8869
```

## Section 3

# Chi-Square Tests of Homogeneity

## Example

- Data will often come summarized in contingency tables.

```
DP <- c(67, 76, 57, 48, 73, 79)
MDP <- matrix(data = DP, nrow = 2, byrow = TRUE)
dimnames(MDP) <- list(Pop = c("Drug", "Placebo"),
  Status = c("Improve", "No Change", "Worse"))
TDP <- as.table(MDP)
TDP
```

Pop	Status		
	Improve	No Change	Worse
Drug	67	76	57
Placebo	48	73	79

## Putting the data back in a tidy format

```
library(tidyverse)
NT <- TDP %>%
  tibble::as_tibble() %>%
  uncount(n)
head(NT, 3)
```

```
# A tibble: 3 x 2
  Pop    Status
  <chr> <chr>
1 Drug  Improve
2 Drug  Improve
3 Drug  Improve
```