

Day 10B: Logical Representation

- For a long time, first order predicate calculus was the gold standard for representing knowledge in AI.
- What is predicate calculus?
 - A language for expressing facts and rules, and a mathematics for manipulating truth values and well-formed expressions
- What is logic used for in AI?
 - Representation of problem states and rules
 - Reasoning
 - Data retrieval
 - Expert systems
 - Inferences
- Three brands of inference:
 - Abduction
 - Approximate reasoning and plausible inference
 - If P implies Q, and Q is true, abduce (infer) P is true
 - This is not logically sound, but a good heuristic
 - Induction
 - To reason from specific cases to general rules
 - Very difficult to mechanize, a major problem to be solved with machine learning
 - Ex: If you know that robins fly, sparrows fly, and hawks fly, then infer that all birds fly
 - Deduction (à la Sherlock)
 - Given a set of:

- Assumptions/Facts
 - Logical Rules
 - Universal Laws of Logic
- Find all new facts which logically follow from our assumptions
- Logical expressions are built out of primitives, according to rules of well-formedness:
 - Constants: symbols which represent specific objects in the real world (ex. `MARY`, `JOHN`)
 - Variables: indefinite/nonspecific references to objects and individuals in the real world (ex. `x`, `y`)
 - Predicates: functions that denote aspects of objects or relationships between objects (ex. `LOVES(JOHN, MARY)`, `RED(BALL)`, etc.)
 - Connectives: logical operators which compute or constrain truth values:
 - AND: `&`
 - OR: `|`
 - NOT: `~`
 - IMPLIES: `⇒`
 - Truth Table: $P \Rightarrow Q$:
 - If P is true, Q is true
 - If P is false, nothing is implied
 - If Q is true, nothing is implied (?)
 - If Q is false, P is false
 - Quantifiers: Logical meta-operators which assert across the entire population of objects
 - For all: \forall
 - There exists: \exists
- Other logical constructions:

- Literals: well-formed expressions which state facts about specific individuals (no quantifiers or variables):
 - `LOVES(MARY, JOHN) & MARRIED(JOHN)`
 - `MALE(TERRY) & ~MARRIED(TERRY)`
- Formuale: well-formed expressions which assert generalized rules about relationships between objects
- Propositional Logic vs. Predicate Logic
 - Propositional Logic works with constants, while predicate logic works with functions
- How to use formal logic?
 - Decide on objects and predicates
 - Translate facts and rules about the domain to expressions
 - Then mechanically solve it
- Old AI extremist position?
 - All human thought can be reduced to logic
 - All logic can be mechanized
 - Therefore all human thought can be mechanized through logic
 - This is completely wrong, because solving logical equations is NP-complete, and humans are somehow solving it faster.
 - (Ari's addition) Also, people are sometimes illogical
- Logic is already implicit in programs, but in AI it is made more explicit
- Problems with using logic remain:
 - Setting up rules and predicates is hard and subjective
 - Ambiguity!
 - Mechanics:
 - Combinatorial Explosion
 - Some rules are infinitely recursive

- Some mechanics of logic:
 - Double negation: $\neg\neg P = P$
 - DeMorgan's Laws: $\neg(P \ \& \ Q) = (\neg P \mid \neg Q)$, $\neg(P \mid Q) = (\neg P \ \& \ \neg Q)$
 - Also for quantification: $\neg\exists x P(x) = \forall x \neg P(x)$, $\neg\forall x Q(x) = \exists x \neg Q(x)$
 - Commutativity: $P \ \& \ Q = Q \ \& \ P$, $P \mid Q = Q \mid P$
 - Distributivity: $X \ \& \ (Y \mid Z) = (X \ \& \ Y) \ \& \ (X \ \& \ Z)$, $X \mid (Y \ \& \ Z) = (X \mid Y) \ \& \ (X \mid Z)$
 - Associativity: $(A \ \& \ B) \ \& \ C = A \ \& \ (B \ \& \ C)$
 - Modus Ponens (Forward): If $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $P = \text{true}$, then $Q = \text{true}$
 - Modus Tollens (Backward): If $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $Q = \text{false}$, then $P = \text{false}$
 - Syllogism: If $P \Rightarrow Q$ & $Q \Rightarrow R$ then $P \Rightarrow R$
 - Use existing problem-solving techniques (BFS/DFS, etc.) to search through the problem space of formal logic
- Combinatorial Explosion:
 - Some rules are infinitely recursive (ex. Every person has a parent, including that parent), and it's unclear how much depth you need
 - Lots of operators and lots of rules means there are lots of true facts, which results in too many options
 - Solved by Resolution
- Resolution: The rule to end all rules
 - One way to represent things: Conjunctive Normal Form: a series of ORs AND'd together
 - One inference rule: Disjunctive Syllogism (KNOW THIS NAME FOR THE MIDTERM)
 - Two Disjuncts yield something new, ex: $(A \mid B \mid C) \ \& \ (D \mid \neg B \mid E) = (A \mid C \mid D \mid E)$ (Bs cancel out)
 - Subsumes other laws of inference:
 - Modus Ponens: If P and $(\neg P \mid Q)$ then Q

- Modus Tollens: If $\sim Q$, and $(\sim P \mid Q)$ then $\sim P$
- Chaining