Day 6: Depth-First Search

- Searches add structure to graphs and turn them into trees. (?)
- Some vocabulary:
 - **Expanding [a node]:** applying relevant rules to produce more nodes for exploring
 - **Testing for success:** Validate that you've found a solution
 - Backtracking: Undo actions when you've reached a dead end
 - Easily done with recursive algorithms
- Two main approaches: Breadth-first and Depth-First
- Breadth-first
 - Uses a queue for to-be-explored states
 - Explores one layer of a tree before the next
 - · Always finds a solution if it exists
 - Always finds the shortest solution
- Depth-first
 - Uses a stack for to-be-explored states
 - Explores all the way down before going sideways at all
 - Will infinite loop for cyclical graphs
 - If you add a hashmap/cache, you can make it work for cyclical graphs but it might not find the shortest solution
- ProTip: use function parameters and stack for the search
- ProTip: in your state, you can store a list of the path you've taken to get there, thereby allowing you to not only know that there is a solution but what the solution is.
- DFS/BFS are weak methods. How can you make them stronger?

- Go through the tree in a specific order (ex. take away the largest possible coin first)
- Prune the tree, for example (pennies):
 - If the amount of money is greater than the number of coins, or more than 25x the number of coins, it's impossible
 - First, take away pennies till it's a multiple of 5, then do nickles/dimes/quarters
- Use heuristics to improve the search
 - Search backwards (start at goal and go to start)
 - Depending on the problem, this may be way more efficient, the same, or worse
 - · Factors to consider:
 - You want to be moving from a smaller set to a larger set
 - Is the branching factor symmetric? You want to keep the search as small as possible
 - Does the reasoning need to be human like?
- Considerations for BFS vs. DFS
 - Topology of the space?
 - cyclical vs. dissipative problems (uses up resources as they go, likely DFS is better)

•

- Do you really need to check for cycles?
 - It might be cheaper to just repeat your work
 - Some problems are so dense that you're very unlikely to hit a loop.
- Use a heuristic that can compute the "goodness" (ie. distance to solution)
 of any state to figure out which states to explore first.
 - Needs to be smooth across all states
 - Needs to be fast to measure

- This can (and almost certainly is) approximate
- In theory, this doesn't actually improve the performance that much (ie. BigO notation), but in practice it's a huge improvement.