Day 8: Intro to Natural Language Processing

- Reasons why you'd want a computer to understand English. Many of these turned out to be bad ideas or otherwise problematic:
 - Voice Control (Alexa, etc.)
 - Write programs easily
 - Automatic Translation
 - Machines can pick news for you (not actually a good idea)
- NLP is required for passing the Turing Test
 - Lots of tricks to do this:
 - Ex: ELIZA, which just used a long list of patterns to convincingly pretend to be a therapist
 - Shockingly effective
- Why isn't pattern matching a good NLP solution?
 - You can get 90% of the way there, but the last 10% requires very advanced AI
 - Also, this isn't actually understanding
 - Doesn't scale well (lots of patterns, collisions, etc.)
- Machine Translation
 - Big Cold War Project
 - The idea was to use a Russian/English dictionary and syntactic rules to reorder the words
 - Works at small scales, but not in general. Ex:
 - The box is in the pen refers to a pig pen
 - The pen is in the box refers to a writing impliment

- Natural Language DB Interface
 - Allows non-technical executives to interact with a database using a very limited language
 - Kinda worked, but learning SQL was easier
- To actually talk to a computer, you need Speech Recognition → NLP → Text to Speech

Linguistics of NLP

- Morphological: syllables, pre/suffixes, inflections, etc.
- Lexical: word meanings and word categories
- Syntax: structure of the language
- Semantics: meaning of the language
- Discourse: links multiple utterances together

Key NLP Components

- Morphological Analysis: pre/suffix segmentation and punctuation segmentation
- Lexical Analysis: categorization and meaning of words
- Syntactic Analysis: linear sequence of words to more complex structure
- Semantic Analysis: syntactic analysis to stored knowledge representation
- Discourse Integration: process and track context across multiple sentences
- Pragmatics: resolve speech and understand what to do
- Syntactic Parsing: at the hard of NLP
 - Many historic issues:
 - Algorithmic Efficiency
 - Is this plausibly the way humans do it (psychological plausibility)
 - Top down or bottom up?

- Integrating with other forms of knowledge?
- Does the parser give you all possible parsings or just the best one?
- Parsing is very interdisciplinary:
 - Linguistics
 - Theoretical Computer Science
 - Computational Linguistics (focused on parsing)
 - AI/NLP: how to use knowledge
- Grammar: the start of syntax parsing
 - Means the overall knowledge of a language (don't really understand?)
 - Chomsky's Universal Grammar: all possible constraints for a given language
 - Phase Structure Grammar: Main element of syntactic parsing, accounts for hierarchical/sequential structure
- Autonomy of Syntax: grammatical correctness can be determined independently from logical correctness.
- Generative Systems:
 - Assuming that there are infinite sentences in a language, but that cognition is finite, there must be a finite system of grammar than can generate an infinite number of meaningful utterances
 - Groundbreaking paper: Chomsky's 1957 *Three Models for Language Description*. He showed three types of systems, proving that the first two were inadequate for human language:
 - Finite State Machines
 - Phrase Structure rammars
 - Transformational Grammars
- The Chomsky Hierarchy: Languages form a hierarchy of types/computation models
 - Regular Languages/Finite State Machines: aaaaaaab, abababab

- Σ is a finite set of all possible tokens in a language. $\Sigma*$ is the infinite set of all possible combinations of tokens. A language is a subset of $\Sigma*$.
- FSMs consist of a graph, with each node being a state and each edge being a token from Σ . Valid strings are strings that can start at a start node and end at and end node, while only taking edges corresponding to the current token.
- Doesn't scale well
- Insufficient for English, because you can insert arbitrary thing between connected words, ex: Sarah called [the person [she was meeting [for lunch [at the mall]]]] up.
- Phrase Structure/Context-Free/Push Down Automata: ab , aabb , aaabbb , aaaabbbb
 - Σ is still finite set of all possible tokens in a language. $\Sigma*$ is still the infinite set of all possible combinations of tokens. A language is a subset of $\Sigma*$.
 - A set of "non-terminals" N
 - Rules in the form of $N \to N imes \Sigma$
 - A starting symbol s which is a non-terminal. The s is generally always the same, and can expand to any valid sentence.
 - A string (of terminals) is in the language if you can derive it from s
 according to the rules.
 - Still insufficient for English though:
 - Can't handle conjunctions (John likes turkey and Bill chicken)
 - Crossed serial dependencies (John and Bill like turkey and chicken, respectively)
 - Duplication phenomena (corporate interest rates? Schmorporate interest rates!)
- Context-Sensitive/Linear Bounded Automata: he shot himself (coordinated he and himself), aaaccccbbb

- Recursively Enumerable Languages/Turing Machines: strings only of prime length
- You might be asked to draw a parse tree on the midterm
- Ambiguity is a big issue, and is a fundamental limit of language
- Three main operations with a grammar:
 - Generate random/all sequences in a language
 - All will take a very long time or possibly forever
 - Recognize: determine if a sequence of symbols is a valid sentence in the language, returns true or false
 - Parse: determine one/some/all valid parse trees for a sequence of symbols
 - Depth-first search often used here (top-down parsing)
 - Eventually works, but needs a lot of pruning
 - Alternative: Chart Parser (bottom up)
 - Runs in $O(n^3)$ time, very effective in practice
 - Sometimes generates too many trees to chose the best one
 - Norvig proved that memoization makes a top-down parser equally efficient
 - A given cell is the lexical categories created by words row index through column index:

