# **Midterm Review Quiz**



This is a quiz I wrote for myself to review for the midterm

▼ What is a physical symbol system?

A machine that contains a collection of symbol structures, and a program which can change them over time (ie. a computer has memory, which it can change over time)

▼ What are the definitions of Al?

Minsky: "The engineering of intelligent artifacts"

Schank: "Artificial Intelligence is what me and my friends work on, and that's not anything written in FORTRAN, or anything that Noam Chomsky does"

Pollack: "Intelligence is the asymptotic limit to mechanisms which perceive their environment, compress history into memory, and take actions to change their environment."

▼ How do Lisp lists work?

They're a right-branching nil-terminated tree. Specifically, they're composed of "cons cells", which is a data structure that has a left value (car) and right value (cdr). They can be used for lots of things. In a list, the car is a value and the cdr is the next cons cell, or nil if it's the last one.

- ▼ What's the recursive definition for append?
  - If append\_to is empty, return it.
  - Return CONS of append\_to[0] and append(append\_to[1:], to\_append)
  - Because Recursion<sup>™</sup>, adds each element from append\_to to the front of to\_append one at a time, in the right order.

```
(defun append (list1 list2) (
  (if (null list1) list2
```

```
(cons (car list1) (append (cdr list1) list2))
)
```

▼ How to use lisp loop macros?

Basically, you can use any combination of the following:

- Iteration & Enumeration
  - for <var> in <list>
  - for <var> from <start> to <end> by <step>
  - for <var> from <start> downto <end>
- Counting
  - ... sum <var> | (expression)
  - ... count <var>
  - ... maximize <var> / ... minimize <var>
- List Creation
  - ... append (expression that produces a list)
  - ... collect <var> | (expression)
- Finding
  - ... when (predicate expression): only does iterations where predicate is T
  - ... thereis (predicate): stops at first non-NIL
  - ... always (predicate): stops at first NIL
  - ... never (predicate): stops at first non-NIL
- Exit Conditions
  - ... until (predicate expression)
  - ... while (expression)
- Code Execution
  - ... do (expression)

• ... with <var> = <value>

▼ What are the different ways to check equality in lisp?

Numbers: =

Symbols & Integers: EQ

Strings: **EQL** 

Lists (deep comparison): EQUAL

▼ What are some classic Al puzzles?

- Tower of Hanoi: 3 posts with n disks of increasing size on the first one. The goal is to get all the rings from one post to another without ever putting a bigger disk on top of a smaller one. Takes  $2^n-1$  steps, with  $n^3$  possible states.
- Water Jugs: you have an 8 quart jug full of water, along with one each of an empty three quart and five quart jar. How can they end up with 4 quarts of water exactly in both the 8 and 5 quart jars? 20 possible states.
- Coin Puzzle: Which n add up to X cents? Number of states is polynomial with n.
- N Queens: place N queens on an  $N \times N$  chessboard such that they can't attack each other. n! possible states.
- Tic Tac Toe:  $3^9$  possible states.
- $\bullet$  In general:  $Pieces^{Positions}$
- ▼ What is constraint satisfaction?
  - Common technique in perception
  - Hard to implement, but effective if done well
  - Makes inferences that constrains the possible solution set, then plays it out. Backtracks if needed.
  - This was the wireframe blocks thing.
- ▼ What is the "manhattan distance"?
  - A simple heuristic for many puzzles.

- Ex (tile puzzle): average of the geometric distance of each tile to its target destination
- ▼ Why is pattern matching a bad solution to NLP?
  It gets you 90% of the way there, but the last 10% requires an exponential leap in Al.
- ▼ What are the linguistic parts of NLP?
  - Morphological: syllables, prefixes/suffixes (anti-, -ing, -ed, etc.),
     inflections, etc. ⇒ prefix/suffix segmentation, punctation segmentation
  - Lexical: word meanings and word categories ⇒ categorization, word meaning parsing
  - Syntax: structure of language ⇒ parse a linear sequence of words to a more complex structure
  - Semantics: meaning of language ⇒ convert the output of syntactic analysis to a stored knowledge representation
  - Discourse: links multiple utterances together ⇒ track context across multiple sentences
  - Pragmatics: understand what to do based on speech
- ▼ Syntactic Parsing
  - Heart of Al
  - Issues:
    - Efficiency/Performance
    - Is this plausibly how humans do it?
    - How to handle ambiguity?
    - Top down or bottom up?
    - Integrating with other form of knowledge?
  - Very interdisciplinary topic: Linguistics, Theoretical CompSci, Comp Linguistics, AI/NLP

 Autonomy of Syntax: grammatical correctness can be determined independently from logical correctness.

## ▼ Chomsky Hierarchy

Groundbreaking idea.

Generative Principle: if cognition is finite but language is infinite, then there must be a finite set of rules that can produce all valid sentences. Conversely, a sentence is valid if it can be generated by the grammar.

Noam Chomsky defined three types of languages, and proved that the first two were insufficient for parsing English. Turing-equivalent languages were later added as the fourth.

- 1. Regular Language/Finite State Machine:
  - Can parse aaaaab, ababab
  - Conceptually, a graph where each node is a state, and each edge is a token. The system advances from one state to another by consuming the token along the edge.
  - Can't do recursion, so grows infinitely and just doesn't really work
  - Can't parse aaabbbccc
  - Doesn't work for English because you can always insert arbitrary text between things, and an FSM can't do recursion: Ex: sarah called [the person [she was meeting]] up.
- 2. Context-free languages/Phrase Structure/Transformational Language/Push Down Automata
  - Can parse aaabbbbbbbbbccc (paren balancing)
  - Insufficient because it can't handle things like: Bill and Jane like chicken and salmon, respectively
  - A grammar is defined by a set of possible intermediary states N and a set of transformations  $\Sigma$ .
  - Always starts from a starting state S ( $S \in N$ ), then applies transformations to get to the target sentence.

- Much more powerful
- 3. Context-sensitive languages/Linear Bounded Automata
  - Can do something like he shot himself (coordinated pronouns) or
     aaabbbccc

### 4. Turing machines

- Can do something like: only strings of prime-number length
- ▼ What can you do with a grammar?
  - Generate some or all of the sequences in a language
  - Determine if a sequence of symbols is valid in the language
  - Determine one/some/all valid parse trees for a sequence of symbols
    - Depth-first search is often used here with aggressive pruning
    - Alternatively, a bottom-up technique, a Chart Parser, can be used
      - Runs in  $O(n^3)$  time, but very effective in practice
      - Sometimes generates too many trees/noisy
      - Less efficient than a top-down parser with memoization
      - It's the upside-down right triangle thing

#### ▼ What is ELIZA?

- Robotherapist which just used some simple pattern matching to get people to keep talking, along with some simple state to trigger prompts
- Shockingly effective
- Doesn't scale because of, among other things, rule conflict.

#### ▼ Formal Logic

- Abduction: Approximate inferences. Not logically sound, but good huristics
- Induction: Generalize from specific cases to general cases (ex. robins fly and crows fly, therefore all birds fly)
- Deduction: Given facts, logical rules, and laws of logic, find new fact

- Important: Disjunctive Syllogism: (A | B | C) & (D | ~B | E) = (A | C | D | E)
- DeMorgan's Laws: ~(P & Q) = (~P | ~Q), ~(P | Q) = (~P & ~Q)
- Conjunctive Normal Form: (A | B | C) & (D | E) (series of OR groups AND'd together).
- ▼ How to write a parse tree in lisp?

```
(Type, ...Children)
```

```
(S
  (NP (NOM MARY))
  (VP
   (V ATE)
   (NP (N SPAGHETTI))
  )
)
```