# Overview

- 1. Features
- 2. Abstractions used.
- 3. Type inference for statements (returns).
- 4. What is not working.

#### **Features**

- Type inference
- Let polymorphism
- Let bindings allow for recursion
  - But no mutual recursion. Things can only refer to themselves and previously defined things.
- ▶ Functions are required by type system to return a value.
  - Returns automatically inserted when "missing".

# Substitution and Inference in Haskell

We have to do a lot of work with substitutions and inference for this part of the compiler, so we come up with abstractions for easing this.

- A typeclass for things we can perform substitution on
- ▶ A monad for doing inference

## Inference Monad

During type inference we need to keep track of

- A context
- The next fresh variable
- Information about returns (ignore this for now)

For this we use the following monad transformer stack:

```
data InfState = InfState {
    ctx :: [TypeContext],
    freshNum :: Int,
    rets :: [Bool]
    } deriving (Show)

data TypeError = NoUnify Type Type | NotInContext Identifier deriving (Show)

type Inference a = StateT InfState (Either TypeError) a
```

## Inference Monad

This allows us to write code like:

```
typeInferExp (Op2E o e1 e2) ctx t = do

s1 < - typeInferExp e1 ctx (opInType o)

s2 < - typeInferExp e2 (subst s1 ctx) (opInType o)

s3 < - lift $ mgu (subst (s1 <> s2) t) (opOutType o)

pure (s1 <> s2 <> s3)
```

Note: This is from an older version where contexts where not part of the state, but passed explicitly.

## Inference Monad

We also have functions for dealing with the context (stack)

```
ctxLookup :: String -> Inference TypeScheme
ctxAdd :: (String, TypeScheme) -> Inference ()
pushCtx :: Inference ()
popCtx :: Inference ()
getCtx :: Inference TypeContext
```

# Substitutions

Looking at the unification and type inference algorithms, we observe that we have to perform substitutions on many things. e.g:

$$*=\mathcal{M}(\Gamma^{*_1},e_2,\sigma^{*_1})\circ *_1$$

Writing this in a way more like the following would be cool (maybe?):

$$* = \mathcal{M}^{*_1}(\Gamma, e_2, \sigma)$$

- class Substable a where
- subst :: Substitution -> a -> a

#### Substitutions

Pretty much everything can be substituted. Uninteresting implementations omitted.

```
instance Substable Type where ...
2 instance Substable TypeContext where ...
3 instance Substable TypeScheme where ...
4
  instance Substable Substitution where
      subst s1 s2 = s1 <> s2
_{7} instance (Substable a, Substable b) => Substable (a -> b) where
      subst s f = a -  subst s (f (subst s a))
9
  instance Substable InfState where
  instance (Substable a) => Substable (Either e a) where ...
  instance (Substable a, Substable s, Functor m)
          => Substable (StateT s m a) where
13
      subst s = fmap (subst s). with StateT (subst s)
14
```

## Substitutions

Now we can write our example like this:

```
typeInferExp (Op2E o e1 e2) t = do
     s1 <- typeInferExp e1 (opInType o)
     s2 <- (subst s1 (typeInferExp e2)) (opInType o)
      lift $ (subst s2 mgu) t (opOutType o)
 With the following definition
typeInferExp' e t s = subst s (typeInferExp e) t
 We can even do this:
1 typeInferExp (Op2E o e1 e2) t =
     typeInferExp e1 (opInType o) >>=
     typeInferExp' e2 (opInType o) >>=
      lift . (mgu' t (opOutType o))
```

#### Statements

#### Example:

```
typeInferStmtList ((AssignS id fs e):ss) t = do
schm@(TypeScheme bounds _) <- ctxLookup id
vs <- replicateM (length bounds) freshVar
s <- typeInferExp e (concrete schm vs)
typeInferStmtList' ss t s
```

#### Returns

A challenge that is unique to statements, and is not easy to express in terms of type inference on a  $\lambda$ -calculus like language is return statements.

- 1. All returns must be of the same type.
- 2. Every function must return something.

1 is easy. Just let the type of return statements be the type of the expressions the return, and ignore the type of other statements.

- 2 requires something extra. If we don't make sure of this:
  - Functions with no annotations and no returns will have return type ∀a.a due to the way we handle 1. This is a runtime error.
  - Will this be a problem for functions with return type restricted by type annotations?

# Making sure functions return

Solution: Keep a stack of booleans in the state.

- ▶ Initially the stack is [False].
- ▶ When a return is encountered set the top element to True.
- When entering a branch of a control structure push False on the stack.
- ▶ When leaving *the last* branch of a control structure pop the number of branches elements from the stack. Then:
  - ▶ let *x* be the conjunction of the popped elements.
  - ▶ If one of the branches is guarenteed to be executed set the top element to be the disjunction of itself and *x*.

#### Returns

#### The Inference

 $_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$  implicitReturnNeeded :: Inference Bool

returns(infers?) true only if the stack is exactly [False]. This means we are at the top level of a function and a return is not guarenteed.

# Returns – Example 1

```
typeInferStmtList (IfS e body1 (Just body2):ss) t = do
      s1 <- typeInferExp e TBool
      enterControl
3
      pushCtx
      s2 <- typeInferStmtList' body1 t s1
5
      popCtx
6
      enterControl
7
      pushCtx
      s3 <- typeInferStmtList' body2 t s2
      popCtx
10
      leaveControl 2 True
11
      typeInferStmtList' ss t s3
12
```

# Returns – Example 2

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{1} & \mbox{typeInferStmtList} & [\mbox{]} & t = \mbox{do} \\ \mbox{2} & \mbox{b} < - \mbox{implicitReturnNeeded} \\ \mbox{3} & \mbox{if} & \mbox{b} & \mbox{then} \\ \mbox{4} & \mbox{lift} & \mbox{mgu} & \mbox{TVoid} \\ \mbox{5} & \mbox{else} \\ \mbox{6} & \mbox{pure mempty} \end{array}
```

# Things which are missing

► Type annotations