# Because "use urandom" isn't everything: a deep dive into CSPRNGs in Operating Systems & Programming Languages

Aaron Zauner azet@azet.org



lambda.co.at: Highly-Available, Scalable & Secure Distributed Systems

hack.lu 2017 - 18/10/2017

## Why do we need Random Numbers?

- ► randomize stuff in your operating system / language
- ▶ man rand
- ► Python: os.urandom
- ► TLS session cookies
- ► Key generation (e.g. RSA / Diffie-Hellman)
- ► TCP SYN cookies
- ► Bash: \${RANDOM}:)

#### CSPRNG

- "Cryptographically Secure Pseudo Random Number Generator"
- ► aka "RNG", "Random number generator"...
- ► Crypto nerds tend to call them "CSPRNGs" you may call them RNG or whatever, I don't care that much as long as it's secure!

#### CSPRNG ii

- ► Widely implemented in OS kernels
  - ► Linux: /dev/urandom
    - manpage man random has been wrong for years
  - ► FreeBSD: /dev/\*random

- 2. Replace the RC4 algorithm for generating in-kernel secure random numbers with Chacha 20. Keep the API, though, as that is what the other \*BSD's have done. Use the boot-time entropy stash (if present) to bootstrap the in-kernel entropy source. (https://synweb.freebsd.org/base?view=revision&revision=317015 - Sun Apr 16 09:11:02 2017 UTC)
- ► Windows RtlGenRandom()
- ..and in programming languages
  - ► (i.e. Python os.urandom, PHP rand()...)
  - some had really bad bugs for a long time (i.e. debian predictable SSH keys: CVE-2008-0166)
  - many use the kernel provided CSPRNG, others use OpenSSL or custom RNGs which is BAD!
  - OpenSSL provides a user-space RNG many link to or make use of (don'tl)
  - ► Whoops: CVE-2017-11671: GCC generates incorrect code for RDRAND/RDSEED

#### Some History

- ▶ the /dev/random and /dev/urandom devices used to be really old code (mid-90ties) originated from Ted Tso and a few others
- ► the manpage for them was wrong until fixed in late last december!
- you don't have to worry about kernel entropy this is a myth!
- ► HAVEGE won't save you! it can make things worse (See: https://blog.cr.yp.to/20140205-entropy.html)

#### Old Linux Kernel implementation 0.x>4.x

- mixing different pools of interrupts
- ▶ quite complicated to understand even for well versed C programmers
- ▶ it worked without larger incidents probably pure luck and researchers unable to read char device code
- ▶ old design described well here:
  - ► Blog Post: https://pthree.org/2014/07/21/the-linux-random-number-generator/
  - Academic: https://eprint.iacr.org/2012/251.pdf

#### Current implementation i

- ► after long discussions and advice by crytographers the old design in random.c was changed in 4.2
- based on the old pools, AES-NI (if available modern Intel/AMD CPUs have those), ChaCha20 XOR RdSEED (via Google's BoringSSL / Adam Langley https://marc.info/?l=linux-crypto-vger&m=146584488030185&w=2)
- ► neat design, backtracking resistant, pretty fast, too:

```
azet@nd01 ^{\sim} % dd if=/dev/urandom of=/dev/null bs=1M count=1024 1024+0 records in 1024+0 records out 1073741824 bytes (1.1 GB) copied, 11.8289 s, 90.8 MB/s
```

#### Current implementation ii

- ▶ major work overhauling crypto-code in the kernel started with Linux 4.2
- Backtracking protection (https://marc.info/?l=linux-crypto-vger&m=146583297126471&w=2)
- ▶ Ted Tso (Jun 13, 2016): With /dev/urandom we were always emitting more bytes than we had entropy available, because not blocking was considered more important. Previously we were relying on the security of SHA-1. With AES CTR-DRBG, you rely on the security with AES. (https://marc.info/?|=linux-crypto-vger&m=146584488030185&w=2)
- ▶ Doesn't track entropy anymore because the "CRNG" (terminology...) is faster (https://marc.info/?l=linux-crypto-vger&m=146458684806389&w=2)

#### Current implementation iii

- ► random: replace urandom pool with a CRNG (https://marc.info/?l=linux-crypto-vger&m=146217043829396&w=2)
- ► Nikos Mavrogiannopoulos (https://marc.info/?|=linux-crypto-vger&m=146229250001030&w=2):

I know, and I share this opinion. To their defense they will have to provide a call which doesn,t make applications fail in the following scenario:

- 1. crypto/ssl libraries are compiled to use getrandom() because it is available in libc and and in kernel
- 2. everything works fine
- 3. the administrator downgrades the kernel to a version without getrandom() because his network card works better with that version
- 4. Mayhem as applications fail

#### Current implementation iv

► random: make /dev/urandom scalable for silly userspace programs (https://marc.info/?|=|inux-crypto-vger&m=146583311726544&w=2):

On a system with a 4 socket (NUMA) system where a large number of application threads were all trying to read from /dev/urandom, this can result in the system spending 80% of its time contending on the global urandom spinlock. The application should have used its own PRNG, but let,s try to help it from running, lemming-like, straight over the locking cliff.

#### Current implementation v

- ► Myths and lies in man 4 random finally corrected: https://bugzilla.kernel.org/show\_bug.cgi?id=71211&utm\_content=buffer1d02b
  - ▶ this took years of convincing the original upstream authors etc.
  - ▶ had a huge impact on use of RNGs in programming languages etc.

#### Language issues: Ruby

- ▶ using OpenSSL RNG designed for fast TLS use, not general purpose
- multiple security engineers and cryptographers tried to convince them to switch to /dev/urandom
- ▶ took more than a year but finally they implemented a similar design to libsodium (I've made a T-Shirt!)
- SecureRandom without OpenSSL (or compatible alternatives) is nonsense.
- ▶ Please don't rude.
- ► Legendary bug: https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/9569
- ► Tony Arcieri (@bascule) wrote a wrapper for the time being: https://github.com/cryptosphere/sysrandom

#### Language issues: Node.js

- similar story to Ruby
- ► lots of input from normal users (useless)
- https://github.com/nodejs/node/issues/5798 (endless thread)
- Latest comment: 'Note that OpenSSL has just landed a commit to use DRGB with AES-CTR of NIST SP 800-90A as openssl/openssl@75e2c87. We can use it with the os-specific seeding source (e.g. /dev/urandom) by a default define flag of OPENSSL\_RAND\_SEED\_OS. I think it is best for us to wait for the next release of OpenSSL-1.1.1."

#### Language issues: Erlang

- ► same as Ruby and Node.js
- ► https://github.com/erlang/otp/blob/maint/lib/crypto/c\_src/crypto.c

# Python imrprovement

▶ warns if there're insecure values: https://bugs.python.org/issue27292

### OpenSSL

- ► Not thread safe userspace prone to bugs
- https://github.com/openssl/openssl/issues/898
- ► https://wiki.openssl.org/index.php/Random\_Numbers
- ▶ Not even recommended by OpenSSL to use it as non-TLS CSPRNG

#### HAVEGE

- ► dangerous to use!
- not maintained in more than 10yrs
- ▶ no current contacts / security audits except by the original authors
- ▶ doesn't improve security!

#### THANKS FOR YOUR PATIENCE. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

Twitter:

@a\_z\_e\_t

E-Mail:

azet@azet.org

XMPP:

azet@jabber.ccc.de

GitHub:

https://github.com/azet

GPG Fingerprint:

7CB6 197E 385A 02DC 15D8 E223 E4DB 6492 FDB9 B5D5