Table 1: Comparison results with other SOTA methods on Atlantic Forest validation dataset under evaluation metrics (%).

		V	alidation			
Labeled Data	Metric	SusOnly	CCT	PS-MT*	ST(TTA)	Semi-FCMNet
1/16(16)	Precision(NF)	91.90%	66.18%	82.86%	92.48%	90.95%
	Precision(F)	70.74%	33.81%	70.39%	74.60%	76.22%
	Recall(NF)	81.85%	74.99%	85.98%	96.89%	86.75%
	Recall(F)	85.88%	24.99%	65.21%	69.77%	83.10%
	F1-score(NF)	86.58%	70.31%	84.39%	86.29%	88.80%
	F1-score(F)	77.58%	28.74%	67.70%	78.84%	79.51%
	Precision(NF)	89.23%	66.18%	85.28%	89.92%	90.88%
	Precision(F)	75.94%	33.81%	80.23%	76.77%	77.58%
1/8(32)	Recall(NF)	87.14%	74.99%	95.23%	87.50%	87.77%
1/0(32)	Recall(F)	79.42%	24.99%	54.07%	80.82%	82.77%
	F1-score(NF)	88.17%	70.31%	87.09%	88.70%	89.30 %
	F1-score(F)	77.64%	28.74%	66.18%	78.74%	80.09%
	Precision(NF)	89.49%	66.18%	84.96	89.74%	90.34%
	Precision(F)	73.98%	33.81%	82.22%	77.82%	78.99%
1/4(63)	Recall(NF)	85.56%	74.99%	$\boldsymbol{97.00\%}$	88.31%	88.94%
1/4(00)	Recall(F)	80.34%	24.99%	58.96%	80.26%	81.39%
	F1-score(NF)	87.48%	70.31%	89.00%	89.02%	89.63%
	F1-score(F)	77.03%	28.74%	71.54%	79.58%	80.17%
1/2(125)	Precision(NF)	91.62%	66.18%	92.43%	93.42%	91.81%
	Precision(F)	72.38%	33.81%	70.83%	73.88%	76.57%
	Recall(NF)	83.41%	74.99%	99.15%	84.02%	86.73%
	Recall(F)	85.07%	24.99%	20.09%	84.62%	84.87%
	F1-score(NF)	87.32%	70.31%	82.63%	88.47%	89.20%
	F1-score(F)	78.22%	28.74%	33.01%	80.49%	80.51%

Table 2: Comparison results with other SOTA methods on Atlantic Forest test dataset under evaluation metrics (%).

			Test			
Labeled Data	Metric	SusOnly	CCT	PS-MT*	ST(TTA)	Semi-FCMNet
1/16/16)	Precision(NF)	91.81%	68.51%	83.56%	89.88%	89.81%
	Precision(F)	69.53%	31.47%	67.87%	73.10%	76.06%
	Recall(NF)	83.11%	74.99%	86.28%	86.68%	88.70%
1/16(16)	Recall(F)	83.87%	24.99%	63.07%	78.76%	78.11%
	F1-score(NF)	87.24%	71.60%	84.90%	88.25%	89.25%
	F1-score(F)	76.03%	27.85	65.39%	89.88% 73.10% 86.68% 78.76% 88.25% 75.82% 89.74% 73.57% 87.07% 78.34% 88.38% 75.88% 77.89% 89.05% 77.89% 89.40% 77.22% 91.81% 78.59% 89.66% 82.60%	77.07%
	Precision(NF)	88.34%	68.51%	87.91%	89.74%	89.77%
	Precision(F)	75.84%	31.47%	77.71%	73.57%	79.81%
1/8(32)	Recall(NF)	89.10%	74.99%	97.52%	87.07%	91.00%
1/8(32)	Recall(F)	74.41%	24.99%	39.13%	78.34%	77.44%
	F1-score(NF)	88.72%	71.60%	86.49%	88.38%	90.38%
	F1-score(F)	75.12%	27.85	54.15%	75.88%	78.61%
	Precision(NF)	89.02%	68.51%	88.79%	89.75%	89.79%
	Precision(F)	75.33%	31.47%	80.42%	76.57%	81.54%
1 /4(69)	Recall(NF)	88.52%	74.99%	97.18%	89.05%	91.96%
1/4(63)	Recall(F)	76.25%	24.99%	48.53%	77.89%	77.26%
	F1-score(NF)	88.77%	71.60%	88.01%	89.40%	90.86%
	F1-score(F)	75.79%	27.85	62.76%	77.22%	79.34%
	Precision(NF)	90.75%	68.51%	97.68	91.81%	92.15%
1/2(125)	Precision(F)	76.33%	31.47%	72.24%	78.59%	78.63%
	Recall(NF)	88.54%	74.99%	99.81%	89.66%	89.58%
	Recall(F)	80.37%	24.99%	16.53%	82.60%	83.41%
	F1-score(NF)	89.63%	71.60%	83.81%	90.72%	90.85%
	F1-score(F)	78.30%	27.85	28.27%	80.54%	80.95%

Table 3: Comparison results with other SOTA methods on the Atlantic Forest validation dataset under evaluation metrics (%).

		Va	alidation			
Labeled Data	Metric	SusOnly	CCT	PS-MT*	ST(TTA)	Semi-FCMNet
1/16(16)	Precision(NF)	92.93%	47.81%	95.08%	93.48%	94.73%
	Precision(F)	94.34%	52.19%	65.88%	93.33%	$\boldsymbol{96.84\%}$
	Recall(NF)	93.88%	75.00%	44.66%	92.67%	96.61%
	Recall(F)	93.46%	25.00%	97.88%	94.08%	95.08%
	F1-score(NF)	93.41%	58.39%	60.77%	93.07%	95.66%
	F1-score(F)	93.90%	33.80%	78.75%	93.71%	95.95%
	Precision(NF)	93.12%	47.81%	96.48%	93.86%	94.75%
	Precision(F)	94.04%	52.19%	81.74%	94.63%	$\boldsymbol{96.89\%}$
1 /0/20)	Recall(NF)	93.52%	75.00%	76.23%	94.16%	$\boldsymbol{96.67\%}$
1/8(32)	Recall(F)	93.67%	25.00%	97.45%	94.36%	95.09%
	F1-score(NF)	93.32%	58.39%	85.17%	94.01%	$\boldsymbol{95.70\%}$
	F1-score(F)	93.86%	33.80%	88.90%	94.50%	95.98%
	Precision(NF)	93.45%	47.81%	88.13%	93.51%	95.56%
	Precision(F)	96.33%	52.19%	90.16%	95.86%	$\boldsymbol{96.60\%}$
1 /4(69)	Recall(NF)	96.10%	75.00%	89.41%	95.57%	96.31%
1/4(63)	Recall(F)	93.83%	25.00%	88.97%	93.92%	95.90 %
	F1-score(NF)	94.75%	58.39%	88.77%	94.53%	95.93 %
	F1-score(F)	95.06%	33.80%	89.56%	94.88%	$\boldsymbol{96.25\%}$
1/2(125)	Precision(NF)	92.61%	47.81%	87.59%	92.38%	93.96%
	Precision(F)	97.80%	52.19%	94.54%	97.92%	97.35%
	Recall(NF)	97.72%	75.00%	94.46%	97.86%	97.20%
	Recall(F)	92.85%	25.00%	87.74%	92.60%	94.28%
	F1-score(NF)	95.10%	58.39%	90.90%	95.04%	95.56%
	F1-score(F)	95.26%	33.80%	91.01%	95.19%	95.79%

Table 4: Comparison results with other SOTA methods on the Amazon Forest test dataset under evaluation metrics (%).

			Test			
Labeled Data	Metric	SusOnly	CCT	PS-MT*	ST(TTA)	Semi-FCMNet
1/16(16)	Precision(NF)	93.19%	49.80%	96.93%	94.13%	95.61%
	Precision(F)	95.71%	50.20%	65.08%	95.16%	96.76%
	Recall(NF)	95.80%	75.00%	46.71%	95.17%	96.77%
	Recall(F)	93.05%	25.00%	98.53%	94.11%	95.59%
	F1-score(NF)	94.47%	59.86%	63.04%	94.65%	96.18%
	F1-score(F)	94.36%	33.38%	78.38%	94.63%	96.17%
	Precision(NF)	93.13%	49.80%	96.78%	94.37%	95.62%
	Precision(F)	87.62%	50.20%	78.94%	96.01%	96.94%
1 /0/20)	Recall(NF)	86.66%	75.00%	73.77%	96.05%	96.96%
1/8(32)	Recall(F)	93.66%	25.00%	97.56%	94.31%	95.59%
	F1-score(NF)	89.78%	59.86%	83.72%	95.20%	96.28%
	F1-score(F)	90.54%	33.38%	87.27%	95.15%	$\boldsymbol{96.26\%}$
	Precision(NF)	93.95%	49.80%	88.06%	94.31%	96.13%
	Precision(F)	96.70%	50.20%	93.94%	96.76%	96.62%
1 /4/69)	Recall(NF)	96.77%	75.00%	94.32%	96.82%	96.61%
1/4(63)	Recall(F)	93.81%	25.00%	87.31%	94.21%	96.15%
	F1-score(NF)	95.34%	59.86%	91.08%	95.55%	$\boldsymbol{96.37\%}$
	F1-score(F)	95.23%	33.38%	90.50%	95.47%	$\boldsymbol{96.38\%}$
	Precision(NF)	93.46%	49.80%	86.56%	92.96%	94.72%
1/2(125)	Precision(F)	97.18%	50.20%	95.97%	97.92%	97.13%
	Recall(NF)	97.28%	75.00%	96.40%	98.02%	97.18%
	Recall(F)	93.24%	25.00%	85.16%	92.64%	94.62 %
	F1-score(NF)	95.33%	59.86%	91.22%	95.42%	95.93%
	F1-score(F)	95.17%	33.38%	90.24%	95.21%	95.86%