1 Explanation Generation

```
Algorithm 1: CSP-Explain(\mathcal{T}, f [, \mathcal{I}_0])
    input
                               : \mathcal{T} set of constraints
    input
                               : f a consistent objective function
    optional input: \mathcal{I}_0 a partial interpretation
                               : Explanation sequence
 1 begin
          \mathcal{I}_{end} \leftarrow \texttt{propagate}(\mathcal{I}_0, \ \mathcal{T})
                                                                                                                                            // Goal state
 \mathbf{2}
          \mathcal{I} \leftarrow \mathcal{I}_0
                                                                                                      // Initial partial interpretation
 3
          Seq \leftarrow \emptyset
                                                                                                                         // explanation sequence
 4
          while \mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{I}_{end} do
 5
               for i \in \mathcal{I}_{end} \setminus \mathcal{I} do
 6
                     X_i \leftarrow \texttt{OMUS}(\{\neg i\} \land \mathcal{I} \land \mathcal{S})
 7
                     E_i \leftarrow \mathcal{I} \cap X_i
                                                                                                                                            // Facts used
 8
                     S_i \leftarrow \mathcal{T} \cap X_i
                                                                                                                                   // Constraint used
                     \mathcal{N}_i \leftarrow \mathtt{propagate}(E_i \wedge \mathcal{S}_i)
                                                                                                                           // Newly derived facts
10
11
                (E_{best}, S_{best}, N_{best}) \leftarrow (E_i, S_i, N_i) with lowest f(E_i, S_i, N_i)
12
                append (E_{best}, S_{best}, N_{best}) to Seq
13
               \mathcal{I} \leftarrow \mathcal{I} \cup \{N_{best}\}
          end
16 end
```

Algorithm 2: CSP-Explain-Incremental $(\mathcal{T}, f [, \mathcal{I}_0])$

```
1 \mathcal{I}_{end} \leftarrow \texttt{propagate}(\mathcal{I}_0, \ \mathcal{T})
  \mathbf{2} \ \mathcal{I} \leftarrow \mathcal{I}_0
  \mathbf{3} , \mathcal{W} \leftarrow \emptyset
                                                                                                          // Collection of MSSes generated during {\tt OMUS}
  4 Seq \leftarrow \emptyset
  5 while \mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{I}_{end} do
             for i \in \mathcal{I}_{end} \setminus \mathcal{I} do
                    X_i,~\mathcal{MSS} \leftarrow \mathtt{OMUS-DELAYED}(\{ \lnot i \} \land \mathcal{I} \land \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{M})
                     E_i \leftarrow \mathcal{I} \cap X_i
  8
                     S_i \leftarrow \mathcal{T} \cap X_i
                    \mathcal{N}_i \leftarrow \mathtt{propagate}(E_i \wedge \mathcal{S}_i)
10
                    \mathcal{M} \leftarrow \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{MSS}
11
              end
12
              (E_{best}, S_{best}, N_{best}) \leftarrow (E_i, S_i, N_i) with lowest f(E_i, S_i, N_i)
13
              append (E_{best}, S_{best}, N_{best}) to Seq
14
             \mathcal{I} \leftarrow \mathcal{I} \cup \{N_{best}\}
15
16 end
```

2 OMUS Algorithm

Note that if we assign a unit weight to every element in the subset, we reduce the problem of finding an OMUS to finding a SMUS.

Definition 1. Let Γ be a collection of sets and $HS(\Gamma)$ the set of all hitting sets on Γ and let f be an valid objective function. Then a hitting set $h \in \Gamma$ is said to be an **optimal** hitting set if $\forall h' \in HS(\Gamma)$ we have that $f(h) \leq f(h')$.

Property 1. The optimal hitting set of a collection of sets Γ is denoted by $OHS(\Gamma)$.

The algorithm is based on the following observation:

Proposition 1. A set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is an OMUS of \mathcal{F} if and only if \mathcal{U} is an optimal hitting set of $MCSes(\mathcal{F})$

Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq MCSes(\mathcal{F})$. Then a subset \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{F} is an OMUS if \mathcal{U} is a optimal hitting set on \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{U} is unsatisfiable

Algorithm 3: OMUS-Delayed($\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M}, f_{cost}$)

```
// F = unsatisfiable CNF formula; M = Collection of MSSes; f_{cost} = cost function
 1~\mathcal{K} \leftarrow \emptyset
     // grow mss from input mss
 2 foreach \mathcal{MSS} \in \mathcal{M} do
          \mathcal{MSS}' \leftarrow \mathtt{Grow}(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{MSS})
          \mathcal{K} \leftarrow \mathcal{K} \cup \{\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{MSS}'\}
 5 end
 \mathbf{6} \mod \leftarrow \mod_{\operatorname{greedy}}
    while true do
          while true do
 8
                switch nonOptLevel do
 9
                     case mode\_incr
10
                          hs \leftarrow \texttt{FindIncrementalHittingSet}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{C}, hs)
11
                     \mathbf{case}\ mode\_greedy
12
                          hs \leftarrow \texttt{FindGreedyHittingSet}(\mathcal{K})
13
                end
14
                (\text{sat?}, \kappa) \leftarrow \texttt{SatSolver}(hs)
15
                if not sat? then
16
                     \mathbf{switch}\ nonOptLevel\ \mathbf{do}
17
                           case mode\_incr
18
                                mode \leftarrow mode\_greedy
19
                           case mode_greedy
20
                                mode \leftarrow mode\_opt
21
                                break
22
                     end
23
\mathbf{24}
                else
                     MSS \leftarrow \texttt{Grow}(hs)
25
                     \mathcal{M} \leftarrow \mathcal{M} \cup \{MSS\}
26
                     \mathcal{K} \leftarrow \mathcal{K} \cup \{\mathcal{F} \setminus MSS\}
27
                     mode \leftarrow mode\_incr
28
29
          end
          hs \leftarrow \texttt{OptimalHittingSet}(\mathcal{K}, f_{cost})
30
          (\text{sat?}, \kappa) \leftarrow \texttt{SatSolver}(hs)
31
          if not sat? then
32
               return hs, \mathcal{M}
33
          end
34
          MSS \leftarrow \texttt{Grow}(hs)
35
          \mathcal{M} \leftarrow \mathcal{M} \cup \{MSS\}
36
          \mathcal{K} \leftarrow \mathcal{K} \cup \{\mathcal{F} \setminus MSS\}
37
          mode \leftarrow mode\_incr
39 end
```

$\overline{\mathbf{Algorithm}}$ 4: OMUS-Delayed($\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M}, f_{cost}$)

```
// F = unsatisfiable CNF formula; M = Collection of MSSes; f_{cost} = cost function
 1 \mathcal{K} \leftarrow \emptyset
     // grow mss from input mss
 2 foreach MSS \in M do
          \mathcal{MSS}' \leftarrow \mathtt{Grow}(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{MSS})
          \mathcal{K} \leftarrow \mathcal{K} \cup \{\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{MSS}'\}
 5 end
 \mathbf{6} \mod \leftarrow \mod_{\operatorname{greedy}}
 7 while true do
          \mathbf{switch}\ nonOptLevel\ \mathbf{do}
 9
                case mode\_incr
                     \mathcal{MCS} \leftarrow \{\mathcal{F} \setminus MSS\}
10
                     hs \leftarrow \texttt{FindIncrementalHittingSet}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{MCS}, hs)
11
                case mode\_greedy
12
                     hs \leftarrow \texttt{FindGreedyHittingSet}(\mathcal{K})
13
                \mathbf{case}\ mode\_opt
14
                     hs \leftarrow \texttt{OptimalHittingSet}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{W})
15
          end
16
          (\text{sat?}, \kappa) \leftarrow \texttt{SatSolver}(hs)
17
          if not sat? then
18
                switch nonOptLevel do
19
                     \mathbf{case}\ mode\_incr
20
                          mode \leftarrow mode\_greedy
21
                     case mode\_greedy
\mathbf{22}
                           mode \leftarrow mode\_opt
\mathbf{23}
\mathbf{24}
                           break
                     \mathbf{case}\ mode\_opt
25
                          return hs, \mathcal{M}
26
27
               end
          else
28
                MSS \leftarrow \texttt{Grow}(hs)
\mathbf{29}
                \mathcal{M} \leftarrow \mathcal{M} \cup \{MSS\}
30
                \mathcal{K} \leftarrow \mathcal{K} \cup \{\mathcal{F} \setminus MSS\}
31
                mode \leftarrow mode\_incr
32
33 end
```

3 MIP hitting set problem specification

For the set of clauses $C = \{c_1, ... c_{|C|}\}$ with weights $W = \{w_1, ... w_{|C|}\}$ in the collection of sets \mathcal{H} . For Example:

$$C = \{c_1, ... c_6\}$$

$$W = \{w_1 = 20, w_2 = 20, w_3 = 10, w_4 = 10, w_5 = 10, w_6 = 20\}$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \{c_3\}, \{c_2, c_4\}, \{c_1, c_4\}, \{c_1, c_5, c_6\}$$

$$(1)$$

The optimal hitting set can be formulated as an integer linear program.

$$\min \sum_{i \in \{1..|C|\}} w_i \cdot x_i \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{i \in \{1..|C|\}} x_i \cdot h_{ij} \ge 1, \ \forall \ j \in \{1..|\mathcal{H}|\}$$
(3)

$$x_i = \{0, 1\} \tag{4}$$

- \bullet w_i is the input cost/weight associated with clause i in
- x_i is a boolean decision variable if constraint/clause c_i is chosen or not.
- Equation 3, h_{ij} is a boolean input variable corresponding to if constraint/clause i is in set to hit j.

4 Future Work

References