

1 Explanation Generation

Algorithm 1: EXPLAINCSP(\mathcal{C}, U, f, I)

Input : \mathcal{C} , a CNF \mathcal{C} over a vocabulary V
Input : U , a user vocabulary $U \subseteq V$
Input : f , a cost function $f : 2^{lits(U)} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.
Input : I , a partial interpretation over U
Output : E , a sequence of explanation steps as implications $I_{expl} \implies N_{expl}$

```

1 SAT  $\leftarrow$  INITSAT( $\mathcal{C}$ )
2  $E \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
3  $I_{end} \leftarrow$  OPTIMALPROPAGATE( $U, I$ )
4 while  $I \neq I_{end}$  do
5    $X \leftarrow$  BESTSTEP( $\mathcal{C}, f, I_{end}, I$ )
6    $I_{best} \leftarrow I \cap X$ 
7    $N_{best} \leftarrow$  OPTIMALPROPAGATE( $U, I_{best}$ )  $\setminus I$ 
8   add  $\{I_{best} \implies N_{best}\}$  to  $E$ 
9    $I \leftarrow I \cup N_{best}$ 
10 end
11 return  $E$ 

```

Algorithm 2: OPTIMALPROPAGATE($\mathcal{U}, I, \text{SAT}$)

Input : U , a user vocabulary $U \subseteq V$
Optional: I , a partial interpretation over U .
State : SAT, a SAT solver initialised with a CNF.
Output : The projection onto U of the intersection more precise than I .

```

1  $sat?, \mu \leftarrow$  SAT.solve( $I$ )
2  $\mu \leftarrow \{l \mid \text{var}(l) \in U\}$ 
3  $b \leftarrow$  a new blocking variable
4 while true do
5   SAT.addClause( $\neg b_i \bigvee_{l \in \mu} \neg l$ )
6    $sat?, \mu' \leftarrow$  SAT.solve( $I \wedge \{b_i\}$ )
7   if not  $sat?$  then
8     SAT.addClause( $\neg b_i$ )
9     return  $\mu$ 
10  end
11   $\mu \leftarrow \mu \cap \mu'$ 
12 end

```

Algorithm 3: BESTSTEP-C-OUS($f, I_{end}, I, \text{SAT}$)

Input : f , a cost function $f : 2^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ over CNF \mathcal{G} .
Input : I_{end} , the cautious consequence, the set of literals that hold in all models.
Input : I , a partial interpretation s.t. $I \subseteq I_{end}$.
State : SAT, a SAT solver initialised with a CNF.
Output : a single best explanation step

```

1  $\mathcal{A} \leftarrow I \cup (\overline{I_{end}} \setminus \bar{I})$  // Optimal US is subset of A
2 set  $p \triangleq \sum_{l \in \overline{I_{end}}} l = 1$  i.e. exactly one of  $\overline{I_{end}}$  in the unsatisfiable subset.
3 return C-OUS( $f, p, \mathcal{A}$ )

```

Algorithm 4: c-OUS($f, p, \mathcal{A}, \text{SAT}$)

Input : f , a cost function $f : 2^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}..$
Input : p , a predicate $p : 2^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \{t, f\}..$
Input : A , a set of assumption literals, s.t. $\mathcal{C} \cup A$ is unsatisfiable.
State : SAT, a SAT solver initialised with a CNF.
Output : $(p, f) - \text{OUS}$, a subset \mathcal{A}' of \mathcal{A} that satisfies p s.t. $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{A}'$ is UNSAT and \mathcal{A}' is f -optimal.

```
1  $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2 while true do
3    $\mathcal{A}' \leftarrow \text{CONDOPTHITTINGSET}(f, p, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$ 
4    $\text{sat?}, \mathcal{A}'' \leftarrow \text{SAT}(\mathcal{A}', I_0)$ 
5    $\text{sat?}, \mathcal{A}'' \leftarrow \text{SAT}(\mathcal{A}' \mid \{\mathcal{A}'' \cap I_0\}, A)$ 
6   if  $\neg \text{SAT}(\mathcal{A}'')$  then
7     return  $\mathcal{A}''$ 
8   end
9    $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}''\}$ 
10 end
```

2 MIP model

We assume $U \subseteq V$ a set of user variables U defined over a vocabulary V of the CNF \mathcal{C} . Given an initial assignment I , where $\text{vars}(I) \subseteq U$, I_{end} is as the cautious consequence (the set of literals that hold in all models) of \mathcal{C} .

The Mixed Integer Programming model for computing c-OUSeS has many similarities with a set covering problem. The CONDOPTHITTINGSET computes the optimal hitting set over a collection of sets \mathcal{H} , where optimal means "among those satisfying p ".

In practice, to ensure that MIP model takes advantage of the incrementality of the problem, namely across different c-OUS calls, the specification is defined on the full set of literals of I_{end} . The constrained optimal hitting set is described by

- $x_l = \{0, 1\}$ is a boolean decision variable if the literal is selected or not.
- $w_l = f(l)$ is the cost assigned to having the literal in the hitting set (∞ otherwise).
- $c_{lj} = \{0, 1\}$ is 1 (0) if the literal l is (not) present in hitting set j .

$$\min_x \sum_{l \in I_{\text{end}} \cup \overline{I_{\text{end}}}} w_l \cdot x_l \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{l \in I_{\text{end}} \cup \overline{I_{\text{end}}}} x_l \cdot c_{lj} \geq 1, \forall j \in \{1..|hs|\} \quad (2)$$

The basic specification finds the optimal hitting set

$$\sum_{l \in \overline{I_{\text{end}} \setminus I}} x_l \geq 1, \forall j \in \{1..|hs|\} \quad (3)$$

2.1 Optimal Unsatisfiable Subsets

Algorithm 5: OUS($f, \mathcal{A}, \text{SAT}$)

Input : f , a cost function $f : 2^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}..$
Input : A , a set of assumption literals, s.t. $C \cup A$ is unsatisfiable.
State : SAT, a SAT solver initialised with a CNF.
Output : $f - \text{OUS}$, A subset \mathcal{A}' of \mathcal{A} s.t. $C \cup \mathcal{A}'$ is UNSAT and \mathcal{A}' is f -optimal.

```

1  $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2 while true do
3    $\mathcal{A}' \leftarrow \text{OPTHITTINGSET}(f, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$ 
4   if  $\neg \text{SAT}(\mathcal{A}')$  then
5     return  $\mathcal{A}'$ 
6   end
7    $\mathcal{A}'' \leftarrow \text{GROW}(f, \mathcal{A}', A)$ 
8    $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}''\}$ 
9 end
  
```

Algorithm 6: Postponing hitting set optimization for OUS

```

1 while true do
2   while  $|\mathcal{H}| > 0$  do
3      $\mathcal{A}' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}' + \min_f$  element of last MCS in  $\mathcal{H}$ ;
4     if  $\neg \text{SAT}(\mathcal{A}')$  then
5       break
6     end
7      $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathcal{F} \setminus \text{GROW}(f, \mathcal{A}', A)\}$  ;
8   end
9    $\mathcal{A}' \leftarrow \text{GREEDYHITTINGSET}(\mathcal{H}, f)$ ;
10  if  $\neg \text{SAT}(\mathcal{A}')$  then
11    break
12  end
13   $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathcal{F} \setminus \text{GROW}(f, \mathcal{A}', A)\}$  ;
14 end
  
```
