1 Explanation Generation

```
Algorithm 1: EXPLAINCSP(\mathcal{C}, U, f, I)
               : C a CNF C over a vocabulary V
    input
                : U a user vocabulary U \subseteq V
   input
               : f, a cost function f: 2^{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathbb{N} over CNF \mathcal{C}
   input
               : I, a partial interpretation over U
    output: E, a sequence of explanation steps as tuples I_{expl} \implies N_{expl}
 1 E \leftarrow \langle \rangle
 2 I_{end} \leftarrow \text{OptimalPropagate}(\mathcal{C} \cup I, U)
                                                                                              // assignment on variables of U
 з while I \neq I_{end} do
        X \leftarrow \text{BESTSTEP}(\mathcal{C}, f, I_{end}, I)
         I_{best} \leftarrow I \cap X
 5
        N_{best} \leftarrow \text{OptimalPropagate}(C \cup I_{best}, U) \setminus I
 6
        add \{I_{best} \implies N_{best}\} to E
        I \leftarrow I \cup N_{best}
 9 end
10 return E
```

```
Algorithm 2: OptimalPropagate(sat, \mathcal{U}[,I])
```

```
input : SAT, a SAT solver bootstrapped with a CNF.
               : U, a set of variables.
    input
    optional: I, a set of assumption literals.
    output: The projection onto U of the intersection of all models of U
 1 sat?, \mu \leftarrow SAT(I)
 \mu \leftarrow \{x \mid x \in \mu : \operatorname{var}(x) \in U\}
 3 b_i \leftarrow a new blocking variable
 4 while true do
         \mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{C} \wedge (\neg b_i \bigvee_{x \in \mu} \neg x)
 5
         sat?, \mu' \leftarrow SAT(I \land \{b_i\})
 6
         if \neg sat? then
 7
              add clause (\neg b_i) to SAT solver
              return \mu
 9
10
         \mu \leftarrow \mu \cap \{x' \mid x' \in \mu' : \operatorname{var}(x') \in U\}
11
12 end
```

```
Algorithm 3: BESTSTEP-C-OUS(C, f, I_{end}, I)
```

$\overline{\mathbf{Algorithm} \ \mathbf{4:} \ \mathrm{C-OUS}(\mathcal{C}, f, p, A)}$ $: \mathcal{C}, \ a \ \mathit{CNF}.$ input : f, a cost function $f: 2^{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathbb{N}$ over CNF \mathcal{C} . : p, a predicate $p: 2^{\mathcal{G}} \to \{t, f\}$. input input : A, a set of assumption literals, s.t. $C \cup A$ is unsatisfiable. input **output**: a p-constrained f-optimal unsatisfiable subset (p, f) - OUS. 1 $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \emptyset$ ${f 2}$ while $true\ {f do}$ $A' \leftarrow \text{CONDOPTHITTINGSET}(f, p, A, \mathcal{H})$ 3 if $\neg SAT(\mathcal{C} \cup A')$ then 4 return A'5 $\quad \mathbf{end} \quad$ 6 $A'' \leftarrow \text{Grow}(C, f, p, A', A)$ Optional Grow, if the sat solver can provide a provide a good model, we can skip the expensive call to the grow procedure. Needs to be checked experimentally! // We can reuse the H across diff call to alg 1 was $H \cup \{F \setminus F''\}$ $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \cup \{A \setminus A''\}$ 9 $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \cup \{A \setminus A'\}$ 10 11 end