# VanillaICE: Hidden Markov Models for the Assessment of Chromosomal Alterations using High-throughput SNP Arrays

## Robert Scharpf

September 30, 2008

#### 1 Introduction

Chromosomal DNA is characterized by variation between individuals at the level of entire chromosomes (e.g. aneuploidy in which the chromosome copy number is altered), segmental changes (including insertions, deletions, inversions, and translocations), and changes to small genomic regions (including single nucleotide polymorphisms). A variety of alterations that occur in chromosomal DNA, many of which can be detected using high density single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) microarrays, are linked to normal variation as well as disease and therefore of particular interest. These include changes in copy number (deletions and duplications) and genotype (e.g. the occurrence of regions of homozygosity). Hidden Markov models (HMM) are particularly useful for detecting such abnormalities, modeling the spatial dependence between neighboring SNPs. Here, we extend previous approaches that utilize HMM frameworks for inference in high throughput SNP arrays by integrating copy number, genotype calls, and the corresponding measures of uncertainty when available. Using simulated and real data, we demonstrate how confidence scores control smoothing in a probabilistic framework. The goal of this vignette is to provide a simple interface for fitting HMMs and plotting functions to help visualize the predicted states alongside the experimental data.

## 2 Simple Usage

#### 2.1 Locus-level estimates of copy number

To do: describe how to obtain locus-level estimates of copy number.

#### 2.2 Hidden Markov model to smooth locus-level estimates

- > library(VanillaICE)
- > data(chromosome1)
- > annotation(chromosome1)
- [1] "pd.mapping50k.hind240,pd.mapping50k.xba240"
- > chromosome1

oligoSnpSet (storageMode: lockedEnvironment)

assayData: 9165 features, 1 samples

element names: calls, callsConfidence, cnConfidence, copyNumber

experimentData: use 'experimentData(object)'

Annotation: pd.mapping50k.hind240,pd.mapping50k.xba240

```
phenoData
An object of class "AnnotatedDataFrame"
  sampleNames: NAO6993
  varLabels and varMetadata description:
    family: trio variable
    upd: uniparental isodisomy indicator
featureData
An object of class "AnnotatedDataFrame"
  rowNames: SNP_A-1677174, SNP_A-1718890, ..., SNP_A-1677548 (9165 total)
  varLabels and varMetadata description:
    dbsnp_rs_id: dbsnp_rs_id
    chromosome: chrom
    . . . :   . . .
    enzyme: enzyme
    (8 total)
Annotation [1] "pd.mapping50k.hind240,pd.mapping50k.xba240"
   A visualization of the data:
> gp <- plot(chromosome1)</pre>
> show(gp)
```

The HMM for total copy number assumes that the copy number estimates, conditional on the hidden state, are approximately Gaussian. Fitting a HMM requires the following components:

- the hidden states
- the emission probabilities
- transition probabilities

**Hidden states** For the hidden Markov model, it is important that the SNPs (within a chromosome) are ordered by physical position.

```
> ann <- fData(chromosome1)[, c("chromosome", "position")]
> ann[, "chromosome"] <- chromosome2integer(ann[, "chromosome"])
> chromosome1 <- chromosome1[order(ann[, "chromosome"],
+ ann[, "position"]), ]</pre>
```

Next we specify the hidden states and the corresponding number for each state.

```
> states <- c("homozygousDeletion", "hemizygousDeletion", + "normal", "LOH", "3copyAmp", "4copyAmp") > mu <- c(0.05, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4)
```

SNP-specific estimates of the uncertainty of the total copy number will be available from methods in Section 2.1 shortly. Below, we simply obtain a robust estimate of the copy number standard deviation across SNPs and use this estimate for all of the SNPs. Because we the log-transformed copy number estimates are more nearly Gaussian, we calculate a robust estimate of the standard deviation on the log scale, and use this estimate for all of the SNPs. This section will be updated.

```
> library(genefilter)
> CT <- copyNumber(chromosome1)
> sample.sd <- matrix(rowSds(t(log2(CT))), nrow(CT), ncol(CT))</pre>
```

**Emission probabilities** Locus-level estimates of copy number, when suitably transformed, are assumed to be approximately Gaussian-distributed. We may obtain emission probabilities as follows:

```
> logCT <- array(log2(CT), dim = c(nrow(CT), ncol(CT),
+    length(states)))
> dimnames(logCT) <- list(rownames(CT), colnames(CT),
+    states)
> logMu <- aperm(array(log2(mu), dim = c(length(states),
+    ncol(CT), nrow(CT))))
> logSd <- aperm(array(sample.sd, dim = c(length(states),
+    ncol(CT), nrow(CT))))
> dimnames(logMu) <- dimnames(logSd) <- dimnames(logCT)
> k <- which(!is.na(as.vector(logCT)))
> emission.logCT <- dnorm(as.vector(logCT) [k], as.vector(logMu)[k],
+    as.vector(logSd)[k])
> emission.logCT <- array(emission.logCT, dim = dim(logCT))
> logemission.logCT <- log(emission.logCT)</pre>
```

Alternatively, one may use the function copynumberEmission (does nearly the same as above, but with a few additional checks).

Adding a small positive value to the log emission probabilities prevents breaks in the predicted states due to extreme values. This step is less important if SNP-specific estimates of uncertainty are available.

```
> logemission.logCT[logemission.logCT < -10] <- -10
```

Uncertainty estimates for the genotype calls can also be integrated. The genotype calls must be represented as integers (1 = AA, 2 = AB, 3 = BB, 4 = missing). We recommend using CRLMM to genotype – CRLMM provides genotypes for all SNPs on the array (no missing values) and confidence estimates for the call that takes into consideration the signal to noise ratio of the sample as well as a SNP-specific estimate of the uncertainty. Di-allelic genotype calls from CRLMM are useful, though incorrect for CNV. In particular, di-allelic genotype calls can help pinpoint hemizygous deletions (genotype calls of AA or BB correspond to 'A' and 'B') and copy-neutral regions of loss of heterozygosity (LOH). For the HMM, one must specify the probability of a homozygous genotype call (probHomCall) for each of the hidden states. The goal, then, is to have genotype emission probabilities that are informative for distinguishing the normal state versus hemizygous deletions or LOH, and somewhat agnostic for distinguishing between homozygous deletions/amplifications from normal regions.

To illustrate this idea, consider two scenarios where the true state is amplification of copy number (e.g., 3 copies) over a regions spanning 10 SNPs. Scenario 1: 7 of the 10 di-allelic genotype calls are

homozygous. Scenario 2: all 10 of the di-allelic genotype calls are homozygous. For the hidden Markov model, we assume that the probability of a homozygous genotype call is 0.99 for normal, homozygous deletion and amplification. For hemizygous deletion and copy-neutral LOH, we specify a probability of 0.9999. Note the following:

- The emission probabilities for the di-allelic genotype calls in scenarios 1 and 2 will be the same for normal, homozygous deletion, and amplification. The copy number emission probabilities will discriminate between these states.
- Hemizygous deletion and copy-neutral LOH are penalized severely in scenario 1. This penalization reflects our belief that the probability of observing 3 genotype errors (AB must be a genotype error for these states) in a small region.
- In scenario 2, hemizgyous deletion and copy-neutral LOH have a higher emission probability for the genotypes. However, because the probability of observing homozygous genotype calls for amplification and normal states is also high, most of the information for discriminating between and amplification containing 10 homozygous genotype and a copy-neutral region of LOH will be driven by the emission probability for copy number.

In summary, specifying high probabilities for observing a homozygous genotype call in normal and copy number alterered states (as indicated below) allows fairly long stretches of homozygous genotype calls to occur by chance in any of the states. In our experience, sequences of 70 - 100 homozygous genotypes are fairly common and likely represent normal regions of the genome with fairly uniform haplotype structure. If any of the genotype calls are missing and missingness is not independent of the underlying hidden state, one may specify the probability of a missing genotype calls for each hidden state (probMissing). By default, the HMM will assume that missing genotype calls are independent of the underlying hidden state.

```
> probs <- c(0.99, 0.9999, 0.99, 0.999, 0.99, 0.99)
> probMissing < c(0.999, rep(0.01, 5))
> names(probs) <- states</pre>
> GT <- calls(chromosome1)
> genotypeEmission <- function(genotypes, states, probHomCall,
      probMissing, verbose = TRUE) {
+
      if (!is.numeric(genotypes))
+
          stop("genotypes must be integers (1=AA, 2=AB, 3=BB, 4=missing")
      emissionForGenotypes <- function(probHomGenotype,</pre>
          genotypes) {
          isHom <- which(as.vector(genotypes) == 1 | as.vector(genotypes) ==</pre>
          isHet <- which(as.vector(genotypes) == 2)</pre>
          isMissing <- which(as.vector(genotypes) == 4 |</pre>
               is.na(as.vector(genotypes)))
          emission.gt <- rep(NA, length(genotypes))</pre>
          emission.gt[isHom] <- probHomGenotype</pre>
+
          emission.gt[isHet] <- 1 - probHomGenotype</pre>
          emission.gt[isMissing] <- NA
+
          emission.gt
      emission.gt \leftarrow array(NA, dim = c(nrow(GT), ncol(GT),
          length(states)))
+
      for (j in 1:ncol(GT)) {
          emission.gt[, j, ] <- sapply(probs, emissionForGenotypes,</pre>
```

```
genotypes = GT[, j])
          if (any(is.na(emission.gt[, j, 1]))) {
              missing <- is.na(emission.gt[, j, 1])</pre>
               if (!missing(probMissing)) {
                   if (length(probMissing) != length(states))
                     stop("probMissing must be a numeric vector equal to the number of states")
                   emission.gt[missing, j, ] <- matrix(probMissing,</pre>
                     sum(missing), length(states), byrow = TRUE)
              }
              else {
                   if (verbose)
                     message("Argument probMissing is not specified. Assume that missing genotype calls
                   emission.gt[missing, j, ] <- 1</pre>
              }
          }
+
+
      dimnames(emission.gt) <- list(rownames(genotypes),</pre>
          colnames(genotypes), states)
      return(suppressWarnings(log(emission.gt)))
+ }
> logemission.gt <- genotypeEmission(genotypes = calls(chromosome1),</pre>
      states = states, probHomCall = probs)
```

Conditional on the hidden state, we assume that the copy number and genotype are independent. Therefore, the emission probabilities for an HMM that models the copy number and genotypes jointly are computed by adding the emission probabilities (log-scale) for copy number and genotype:

```
> logemission <- logemission.gt + logemission.logCT
```

**Transition probabilities** We transform the physical distance between adjacent loci to an estimate of the genomic distance.

```
> tau <- exp(-2 * diff(ann[, "position"])/(100 * 1e+06))</pre>
```

Note that the above transition probabilities can be scaled by specifying a matrix of dimension S x S, where S is the number of hidden states. For instance, we define loss of heterozgosity in a single sample as a sequence of homozygous genotypes that is longer than what one would expect to observe by chance. One way to control the size (and number) of LOH regions detected is to scale the probability of transitioning to and from this state. A transition scale matrix of 1's would not modify the probability of transitioning between states.

Example with the first SNP.

```
> epsilon <- 1 - tau[1]
> M <- matrix(epsilon/(length(states) - 1), length(states),
+ length(states))
> dimnames(M) <- list(states, states)
> diag(M) <- tau[1]
> all(rowSums(M) == 1)

[1] TRUE
```

Note that by default, the transition probability matrix distributes the epsilon equally to the remaining states. We may alter how the epsilon is distributed by scaling this matrix. For instance,

```
> tau.scale <- matrix(1, length(states), length(states))
> dimnames(tau.scale) <- list(states, states)</pre>
> tau.scale["normal", "LOH"] <- 1e-04</pre>
   The other states must be rescaled (here, we rescale the other states by a factor scale)
> S <- length(states)
> scale <- (S - 1)/(S - 2 + 1e-04)
> tau.scale["normal", c("homozygousDeletion", "hemizygousDeletion",
      "3copyAmp", "4copyAmp")] <- scale
> all(round(rowSums(M * tau.scale), 5) == 1)
[1] TRUE
Initial state probabilities
```

```
> initialStateProb <- rep(1e-04, length(states))</pre>
> initialStateProb[states == "normal"] <- 1 - (length(states) -</pre>
      1) * 1e-04
```

Fitting the HMM We use the Viterbi algorithm to find the sequence of hidden states that maximizes the probability of the observed data.

```
> fit <- viterbi(initialStateProbs = log(initialStateProb),
      emission = logemission[, 1, ], tau = tau)
> fit2 <- viterbi(initialStateProbs = log(initialStateProb),
      emission = logemission[, 1, ], tau = tau, tau.scale = tau.scale)
```

Note that in the above example, scaling the transition probability matrix did not affect the predicted sequence of hidden states.


```
> table(fit)
fit.
        3
             5
 197 8764 204
> results <- findBreaks(x = fit, states = states, position = ann[,</pre>
      "position"], chromosome = ann[, "chromosome"], sample = colnames(CT))
> results[results$state != "normal", ]
   sample chr
                  start
                              end nbases nprobes
                                                                state
2 NA06993
           1 69854466 73174389 3319923
                                                             3copyAmp
4 NA06993 1 174815096 176704067 1888971
                                                98 hemizygousDeletion
6 NA06993
            1 216286002 217872810 1586808
                                                99 hemizygousDeletion
```

#### 3 Using S4 classes/methods

The objective of developing classes is to facilitate the process of fitting an HMM and to more effectively keep the assaydata and metadata together in one object. The following code uses classes to do pretty much the same as above. We begin by reproducing the chromosome1 object, an object of class oligoSnpSet, from scratch.

```
> ann[, "chromosome"] <- integer2chromosome(ann[, "chromosome"])</pre>
> fD <- new("AnnotatedDataFrame", data = ann, varMetadata = data.frame(labelDescription = colnames(ann
> pD <- annotatedDataFrameFrom(CT, byrow = FALSE)
> GT <- matrix(as.integer(GT), nrow(GT), ncol(GT))</pre>
> dimnames(GT) <- dimnames(CT)</pre>
> chromosome1 <- new("oligoSnpSet", copyNumber = CT, calls = GT,
      featureData = fD, phenoData = pD, annotation = "pd.mapping50kHind.240,pd.mapping50kXba.240")
> validObject(chromosome1)
[1] TRUE
> options <- new("HmmOptions", snpset = chromosome1, states = states,
      copyNumber.location = mu, copyNumber.scale = sample.sd[1],
      probHomCall = c(0.5, 0.999, 0.7, 0.999, 0.7, 0.7))
> params <- new("HmmParameter", states = states(options),
      initialStateProbability = 0.999)
> cn.emission <- copyNumber.emission(options)</pre>
[1] "Calculating emission probabilities on the log(copy number)"
[1] "User-supplied copyNumber.scale should be a standard deviation of the log2 CN"
> gt.emission <- calls.emission(options)</pre>
> emission(params) <- cn.emission + gt.emission
> genomicDistance(params) <- exp(-2 * diff(position(chromosome1))/(100 *
> transitionScale(params) <- matrix(1, length(states),</pre>
      length(states))
> class(params)
[1] "HmmParameter"
attr(, "package")
[1] "VanillaICE"
> hmmpredict <- hmm(options, params)</pre>
[1] "Transforming copy number to log2 scale."
[1] "Fitting HMM to sample 1"
> class(hmmpredict)
[1] "HmmPredict"
attr(, "package")
[1] "SNPchip"
> breaks <- findBreaks(predictions(hmmpredict), states = states,</pre>
      position = ann[, "position"], chromosome = ann[,
          "chromosome"], sample = colnames(CT))
   See [2] for a more complete description of the simulated dataset and the features detected by this
HMM. We may plot the data along with the predictions as follows:
> gp <- plot(snpset(options), hmmpredict)</pre>
[1] "col.predict not specified in list of graphical parameters. Using the following colors:"
[1] "#8C510A" "#D8B365" "#F6E8C3" "#C7EAE5" "#5AB4AC" "#01665E"
```

```
> gp$abline.v <- TRUE
> allParameters <- unlist(snpPar(gp))
> gp$col.predict[3] <- "white"
> gp$hmm.ycoords <- c(0.7, 0.9)
> show(gp)
```



## 3.1 Integrating Confidence Estimates (ICE)

FIXME: integrating confidence estimates of the genotype calls, the probability of a missing genotype, epsilon probability for extreme observations

In this section, we illustrate how one may fit an HMM that incorporates confidence esimates of the SNP-level summaries for genotype calls and copy number. Confidence scores (inverse of standard errors) are available for this object (see Section 3.2 for how confidence scores were derived).


This information is incorporated into the HMM emission probabilities. Probably the easiest way to do that is recreate the options object, and then recalculate the emission probabilities.

```
> gt.emission <- calls.emission(options)</pre>
> emission(params) <- cn.emission + gt.emission
 genomicDistance(params) <- exp(-2 * diff(position(chromosome1))/(100 *</pre>
      1e+06))
> transitionScale(params) <- matrix(1, length(states(options)),</pre>
      length(states(options)))
> fit.ice <- hmm(options, params)</pre>
[1] "Transforming copy number to log2 scale."
[1] "Fitting HMM to sample 1"
> calculateBreakpoints(fit.ice)
    sample chr
                    start
                                        nbases nprobes state
                                 end
1 NA06993
             1
                   836727
                           49545039
                                      48708312
                                                    997
                                                            N
2 NA06993
                49597810
                           54409755
                                       4811945
                                                    102
                                                            L
3 NA06993
             1
                54498950
                           69838068
                                      15339118
                                                    902
                                                            N
4 NA06993
                69854466
                           71342708
                                       1488242
                                                     97
                                                            Α
5 NA06993
                                                      4
                71406383
                           71474047
                                         67664
                                                            M
             1
6 NA06993
                          73174389
                                                    103
                71826917
                                       1347472
                                                            Α
7 NA06993
                73577300 174815096 101237796
                                                   3796
             1
                                                            N
  NA06993
             1 174828535 175630040
8
                                        801505
                                                     46
                                                            D
9 NA06993
             1 175683520 175700199
                                         16679
                                                      4
                                                            N
10 NA06993
             1 175726310 176704067
                                        977757
                                                     47
11 NA06993
             1 176800399 216239917
                                      39439518
                                                   1902
                                                            N
12 NA06993
             1 216286002 217872810
                                       1586808
                                                     99
                                                            D
13 NA06993
             1 217892177 238302668
                                      20410491
                                                    901
                                                            N
14 NA06993
             1 238319943 238417864
                                         97921
                                                      5
                                                            D
15 NA06993
              1 238429864 241076215
                                       2646351
                                                     92
                                                            N
16 NA06993
             1 241483148 242295322
                                        812174
                                                      7
                                                            Α
17 NA06993
              1 242374397 246860994
                                       4486597
                                                     61
                                                            N
```

We may also incorporate the confidence scores for the genotype calls by specifying calls.ICE=TRUE (FIX ME). The slot probHomCall stores user-specified probabilities of P(call is AA or BB | state is LOH) and P(call is AA or BB | state is normal). These probabilities must be specified in this order. The emission probabilities for the genotype calls will only be calculated for the states LOH (LOH is defined as a stretch of homozygous genotype calls longer than what one would expect by chance) and Normal refers to typical ratios of heterozygous to homozygous genotype calls. The slot term5 contains user-specified probabilities for the P(true genotype is HET | genotype call is AB, hidden state is Normal) and P(true genotype is HET | genotype call is AA or BB, hidden state is Normal), respectively. Default values are provided when not specified, as the following example illustrates.

```
> options <- new("HmmOptions", snpset = chromosome1, states = c("D",
+ "N", "L", "A"), copyNumber.location = c(1, 2, 2,
+ 3), copyNumber.ICE = TRUE, calls.ICE = TRUE, probHomCall = c(0.99,
+ 0.75))
> params <- new("HmmParameter", states = states(options),
+ initialStateProbability = 0.99)
> cn.emission <- copyNumber.emission(options)
> genomicDistance(params) <- exp(-2 * physicalDistance(options)/(100 *
+ 1e+06))
> transitionScale(params) <- scaleTransitionProbability(states(options))</pre>
```

```
> gt.emit <- calls.emission(options)
> gt.emission <- array(NA, dim(cn.emission))</pre>
> gt.emission[, , 1:2] <- gt.emit
> gt.emission[, , 3:4] <- gt.emit</pre>
> emission(params) <- cn.emission + gt.emission
> fit.ice <- hmm(options, params)</pre>
> gp <- plot(snpset(options), fit.ice)
[1] "col.predict not specified in list of graphical parameters. Using the following colors:"
[1] "#A6611A" "white"
                         "#80CDC1" "#018571"
> gp$abline.v <- TRUE
> gp$col.predict <- c("darkblue", "white", "yellow", "orange")
> gp$hmm.coords
NULL
> show(gp)
> legend(-0.05, 10, fill = gp$col.predict[c(1, 3, 4)],
      legend = c("< 2 copies", "copy-neutral LOH", "> 2 copies"),
      bty = "n", title = "predicted states")
 legend(0, 0.8, legend = "predictions", bty = "n", cex = 0.8,
      adj = 0
```



Note that the ICE HMM correctly identifies the simulated normal segments in features B and C (the normal segments were simulated to have high confidence scores). Additionally, the ICE HMM detects the micro-amplification in region E (also simulated to have high confidence scores).

#### 3.2 Confidence scores

Confidence scores for genotype calls We suggest using the CRLMM algorithm [1] for genotype calls. CRLMM (in the R package oligo) provides confidence scores ( $S_{\widehat{\text{GT}}}$ ) of the genotype estimates ( $\widehat{\text{GT}}$ ). From 269 HapMap samples assayed on the Affymetrix 50k Xba and Hind chips, we have a gold standard

of the true genotype defined by the consensus of the HapMap centers. We use kernal based density estimates to obtain

$$f\left\{ \text{ S}_{\widehat{\text{HOM}}} \mid \widehat{\text{HOM}}, \text{HOM } \right\}, \ f\left\{ \text{ S}_{\widehat{\text{HOM}}} \mid \widehat{\text{HOM}}, \text{HET } \right\}, \ f\left\{ \text{ S}_{\widehat{\text{HET}}} \mid \widehat{\text{HET}}, \text{HOM } \right\}, \quad \text{and } f\left\{ \text{ S}_{\widehat{\text{HET}}} \mid \widehat{\text{HET}}, \text{HET } \right\}$$

separately for the Xba and Hind 50k chips. The first term in (1), for example, denotes the density of the scores when the genotype is correctly called homozygous (HOM) and the true genotype is homozygous (HOM). See [2] for a more complete description of the methods. The data needed to estimate these densities is stored in the experiment data package callsConfidence. callsConfidence is available from the author's website.

Confidence scores for copy number estimates To illustrate how standard errors of the copy number estimate could be integrated in the HMM, the R object chromosome1 contains standard errors simulated from a shifted Gamma: Gamma(1,2) + 0.3, where 1 is the shape parameter and 2 is the rate parameter. To ascertain the effect of qualitatively high confidence scores on the ICE HMM, we scaled a robust estimate of the copy number standard deviation by  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Similarly, to simulate less precise  $\widehat{\text{CN}}$  we scaled  $\epsilon$  by 2. For more detailed information about how the data in the chromosome1 was generated, see the documentation for this object in the R package VanillaICE.

## 4 The HmmParameter class

An instance of the class is created by the method new:

```
> new("HmmParameter")
```

The object params contains all of the parameters needed for fitting the HMM, including an estimate of the genomic distance between SNPs (used for calculating SNP-specific transition probabilities), emission probabilities (slot: emission), and initial state probabilities.

**Emission probabilities.** The emission probabilities are stored as an array in the params object. The emission probability array has dimension  $R \times C \times S$ , where S is the number of hidden states, R is the number of rows (SNPs), and C is the number of samples. One may use [ to subset object of class HmmParameter.

```
> params[5, 1, ]
```

```
Formal class 'HmmParameter' [package "VanillaICE"] with 5 slots
..@ states : chr [1:4] "D" "N" "L" "A"
..@ initialStateProbability: num [1:4] 0.000333 0.999 0.000333 0.000333
..@ emission : num [1, 1, 1:4] -1.2 -1.47 -1.19 -1.79
... - attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 3
... ... $ : chr "SNP_A-1662392"
... ... $ : chr "NA06993"
... ... $ : chr [1:4] "D" "N" "L" "A"
..@ genomicDistance : num 1
..@ transitionScale : num [1:4, 1:4] 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
```

**Transition probabilities.** The probability of remaining in the same state,  $P(S_t = S_{t+1})$  (the diagonal of the transition probability matrix) is a function of the distance (d) between SNPs:  $e^{-2d(100*1e6)}$ . This value is stored in the slot tau of the params object. The probability of leaving a state is  $\epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon = 1 - P(S_t = S_{t+1})$ . The  $\epsilon$  is split among S - 1 states. The SNP-specific transition probabilities can be scaled by specifying a matrix. No scaling of the transition probabilities between states, the default, occurs when the scaling matrix is all 1's:

#### > transitionScale(params)

```
[,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
[1,] 1 1 1 1
[2,] 1 1 1 1
[3,] 1 1 1 1
[4,] 1 1 1
```

For illustration, one could make the probability of transitioning from an altered state to a normal state 10 times as likely as the probability of transitioning between two altered states by the following command:

```
> transitionScale(params) <- scaleTransitionProbability(states(params),
+ SCALE = 10)
> transitionScale(params)
```

## 5 The HmmOptions Class

To be completed ...

#### 6 The HmmPredict Class

The output from the HMM is an instance of the HmmPredict class and contains the predicted states as well as the breakpoints for the different states.

```
> fit.ice
```

```
HmmPredict (storageMode: lockedEnvironment)
assayData: 9165 features, 1 samples
  element names: predictions
phenoData
  sampleNames: NAO6993
  varLabels and varMetadata description:
    family: trio variable
    upd: uniparental isodisomy indicator
featureData
  featureNames: SNP_A-1677174, SNP_A-1718890, ..., SNP_A-1677548 (9165 total)
  fvarLabels and fvarMetadata description:
    dbsnp_rs_id: dbsnp_rs_id
    chromosome: chrom
    . . . : . . .
    arm: NA
    (9 total)
experimentData: use 'experimentData(object)'
```

```
Annotation: pd.mapping50k.hind240,pd.mapping50k.xba240
hidden states: D N L A
breakpoints:
'data.frame':
                     17 obs. of 7 variables:
 $ sample : chr "NA06993" "NA06993" "NA06993" "NA06993" ...
                 "1" "1" "1" "1" ...
          : chr
 $ start : int 836727 49597810 54498950 69854466 71406383 71826917 73577300 174828535 175683520 1757
          : int 49545039 54409755 69838068 71342708 71474047 73174389 174815096 175630040 175700199 1
 $ nbases : int 48708312 4811945 15339118 1488242 67664 1347472 101237796 801505 16679 977757 ...
                 997 102 902 97 4 103 3796 46 4 47 ...
 $ nprobes: int
                "N" "L" "N" "A" ...
 $ state : chr
   The breakpoints are stored in slot breakpoints of an object of class HmmPredict. These functions
do roughly the same thing:
> breaks <- breakpoints(fit.ice)</pre>
> predict <- predictions(fit.ice)
> breaks <- findBreaks(x = predict, states = states(fit.ice),
      position = position(fit.ice), chromosome = chromosome(fit.ice),
```

#### 7 HMMs for different classes of data

+ sample = sampleNames(fit.ice))
> breaks <- calculateBreakpoints(fit.ice)</pre>


## 7.1 Copy number

The method hmm has a different set of underlying hidden states depending on whether copy number estimates, genotype calls, or both are available. When only copy number estimates are available, the hidden states (for autosomes) are hemizygous or homozygous deletion (one or fewer copies), normal (two copies), and amplification (three or more copies). The corresponding data class is SnpCopyNumberSet. To illustrate, we convert the chromosome1 example to an object of this class and fit the HMM.

```
sample chr
                          end
                                 nbases nprobes state
               start
1 NAO6993 1
              836727 44702452 43865725
                                            877
                                                   N
2 NA06993 1 44722116 44762242
                                            2
                                   40126
                                                   D
3 NA06993 1 44779351 69838068 25058717
                                           1122
                                                   N
4 NA06993 1 69854466 73153900 3299434
                                           202
                                                   Α
5 NA06993
          1 73174070 174814292 101640222
                                          3797
                                                   N
6 NA06993
          1 174815096 176800844
                                1985748
                                           101
                                                   D
7 NA06993
          1 176926556 216239917 39313361
                                         1899
                                                   N
8 NA06993
           1 216286002 217872810
                                           99
                                1586808
                                                   D
9 NA06993
           1 217892177 238302668 20410491
                                            901
                                                   N
10 NA06993
           1 238319943 238417864
                                   97921
                                            5
                                                   D
11 NA06993
           1 238429864 246860994 8431130
                                            160
                                                   N
```

- [1] "col.predict not specified in list of graphical parameters. Using the following colors:"
- [1] "#D8B365" "white" "#5AB4AC"
- > graph.par\$abline.v <- FALSE
- > print(graph.par)
- > legend(0, 0.8, fill = graph.par\$col.predict[c(1, 3)],
- + legend = c("< 2 copes", "> 2 copies"), bty = "n",
- + cex = 0.8)

<sup>&</sup>gt; graph.par <- plot(snpset(options), fit.cn)</pre>



## 7.2 Genotype calls

When only genotype calls are available, the hidden states are loss and retention (ret) of heterozygosity. We define *loss* to be a sequence of homozygous SNPs longer than what we would expect to observe by chance. Note that many long stretches of homozygosity may occur as a result of a population sharing a common underlying haplotype structure; loss predictions from an HMM fit to an indvidual do not necessarily reflect the 'loss' of an allele in that individual. For illustration, we convert the <code>chromosome1</code> example to an object of class <code>HmmSnpCallSet</code> and refit the HMM.


#### > breakpoints(fit.calls)

```
sample chr
             end nbases nprobes state
         start
1 NA06993 1
        836727 49545039 48708312
                        997
102
                            L
3 NA06993 1 54498950 174309008 119810058
                       4890
109
                            L
1902
                            N
1485826
                        97
                            L
7 NA06993 1 217872013 246860994 28988981
                        1068
                            N
```

- > gp <- plot(snpset(options.calls), fit.calls)</pre>
- [1] "col.predict not specified in list of graphical parameters. Using the following colors:"
- [1] "black" "white"

bty = "o", cex = 0.8)

```
> gp$col.predict <- c("black", "white")
> gp$ylim <- c(-0.5, 1)
> gp$add.centromere <- FALSE
> gp$abline.v <- TRUE
> gp$cytoband.ycoords <- c(-0.45, -0.4)
> gp$hmm.ycoords <- c(-0.2, -0.05)
> print(gp)
> legend(0, -0.1, legend = "LOH", fill = "black", title = "predictions",
```



## 7.3 Genotype calls and copy number

Section 2 illustrates how one may fit the HMM to objects of class oligoSnpSet.

More documentation about the classes can be found in the documentation for the R package  $\mathit{Vanil-laICE}$ .

## 8 Session Information

The version number of R and packages loaded for generating the vignette were:

- R version 2.8.0 Under development (unstable) (2008-06-18 r45949), powerpc-apple-darwin8.11.0
- Locale: C
- Base packages: base, datasets, grDevices, graphics, methods, splines, stats, tools, utils
- Other packages: Biobase 2.1.0, RColorBrewer 1.0-1, SNPchip 1.5.2, VanillaICE 1.3.7, genefilter 1.15.10, oligoClasses 1.1.22, survival 2.32
- Loaded via a namespace (and not attached): AnnotationDbi 1.3.8, DBI 0.2-4, RSQLite 0.6-4, annotate 1.15.6

## References

- [1] Benilton Carvalho, Henrik Bengtsson, Terence P Speed, and Rafael A Irizarry. Exploration, normalization, and genotype calls of high-density oligonucleotide SNP array data. *Biostatistics*, 8(2):485–499, Apr 2007.
- [2] Robert B Scharpf, Giovanni Parmigiani, Jonathan Pevsner, and Ingo Ruczinski. A hidden Markov model for joint estimation of genotype and copy number in high-throughput SNP chips. Technical Report Working Paper 136, Johns Hopkins University, February 2007.