

## Should you start from 0 or 1?

Kaminski / cs3310

This concept is important to computer people since we sometimes start with 0, sometimes 1, depending on. . . physical reality at times (arrays start at [0] in C#) and “logical” convention (assign InvoiceNumber starting at 1). The reason this is an issue in this course is because it’s an easy place to make a mistake in programming – i.e., an edge case or off-by-one case or inconsistency-between-modules case. Most of the following are things we all know, but a few issues and cautions are noted, particularly when using a new programming language, when working with users/clients/programmers from other countries, when you’re working on a team project where consistency is needed.

- Counters are initialized to 0, then incremented for every item -- obviously! There are 3 A's in KALAMAZOO. There are 0 A's in WESTERN. But always check your output for the correct result since it's not uncommon for a programmer to put the `count++` in the wrong spot.
- Position (ordinal) numbering generally starts with 1, not 0 – i.e., 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, . . . (Zeroth is in the dictionary, but 0<sup>th</sup> isn't commonly used by humans). For example, “Stadium Dr. is the 2<sup>nd</sup> right turn after leaving Parkview BTR”. Parkview Ave. is the 1<sup>st</sup> street, Stadium Dr. is the 2<sup>nd</sup> street, there is no 0<sup>th</sup> street.
- Numbering schemes start with 1, not 0, in the real world, generally. Does anyone have a room# of 0, or a WIN or SSN of 000-00-000, license plate number of WMU 0000, a phone number of 000-0000, an area code of 000, a house number of 0, . . . Imagine the “suppress-leading-zeroes” problem in programs if these were stored as integers. [Programmers should always consider the “suppress-leading-zeroes” problem and avoid it with proper formatting codes – e.g., when WIN's were first used at WMU, some students appeared to have 8-digits WIN's].
- Numbering schemes sometimes don't even start at 1 – e.g., SSN of 000-00-0001 (even before computer processing in 1936). Numbers sometimes have internal meanings for sub-groups of digits – e.g., SSNs are of the form AAA-GG-SSSS (Area issued, Group, Serial#) and none of these 3 sub-groups could be all 0's.
- Not all position numbering starts at 1 – as for example with floor numbering in a building. Typically in the USA (and some other countries), the floor you walk in on from the outside front entrance is the 1<sup>st</sup> floor – e.g., CEAS Building at Parkview has room C-123 on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor, and D-201 on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor. However, much of Europe starts numbering at 0, in effect, calling the main entrance floor the ground floor, and the next level up the 1<sup>st</sup> floor – so they'd probably label things as: room C-023 on the ground floor, and D-101 on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor. [See “Storey” in Wikipedia]. (So, if you're booked into a room on the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor of a hotel in London, how many flights of stairs do you climb? 3, not 2 like you would in Chicago).
- So, is numbering scheme a somewhat arbitrary concept? In the normal everyday world, no, it's context-specific and we use the “everybody knows” rule. But in programming, the numbering scheme starting point IS often arbitrary – it's a “logical” concept (a human-imposed conceptual idea, a pretense). But of course, everyone on the project must agree to use the same convention. So, it's the system designer who gets to decide (based on either client/user needs/wishes or on the designer's judgment), and of course, it's clearly spell it out in the requirements specifications (which the programmers all follow).

- Array subscripts (indexes) in C# start at 0. So . . .
  - An array of size N has N elements which are referred to as element[0] to element[N-1].
  - `for` loops which handle arrays go from `i = 0` to `i < N` (not to `i = N` or to `i <= N`) -- this is already a habit for most programmers, so it's probably not a common mistake to make.
  - The 3rd element in an array is element[2] -- which takes an "extra cpu cycle of human thought" (like Ada writing the first computer program in the mid- 19th century, which was in 1843). So be careful when referring to a particular element in an array (including the last one). . .
  - This is a PHYSICAL ("really real") concept, so you can't just arbitrarily change it -- but if necessary, in order to please the user, for example, who may want a list of songs to be printed out as 1,2,3,...N, you could easily print `i+1` for the songNumber to correspond to `song[i]` in a loop.
  - In order to better map the data storage to the real-world problem (for clarity, to reduce the likelihood of programmer error) one could set up an array of size N+1, and then just not use location 0 -- as for example, in storing the average monthly sales totals, where "everybody knows" that 1 is January. . . 12 is December.
- Array subscripts don't start at 0 in all programming languages. COBOL (and other older languages), for example, starts at 1, and so elements go from [1] to [N]. This is a caution when using a unfamiliar language or when converting a program from one language to another. This is particularly important if the "bounds checking" switch is not enabled (which it SHOULD be) -- and hence locations outside 1 to N in COBOL or outside 0 to N-1 in 0-starting languages contain data. It's just that the data is whatever happens to be stored in memory immediately before or after (respectively) the array -- it's garbage, perhaps "good looking garbage".
- The auto-increment feature in database management systems generally starts with 1, by default -- for example, in generating unique primary keys for a table's rows, e.g., for InvoiceNumber in the Invoice table. This is an arbitrary starting point, conceptually, but it's part of the "everybody knows" rule
- What about random access files ( a focus in this course) -- do the relative record numbers (RRN's) start with 0 or 1? Such files are implemented using the concept of a "relative file" -- i.e., relative to the start of the file, which record is being referred to.
  - In C/C++/C#/..., a relative file is just a logical concept -- a human (programmer) conceptualization of the file which is really (physically) just a stream of bytes. (Even the concept of a file being a collection of records is just a logical view imposed on the file by the programmer, in C/C++/C#/...). Since it's just a logical concept, the designer could decide to start with 0 or 1. The argument for staring at 1 is that RRN is a positional concept, so one is likely to refer to "the 1<sup>st</sup> record is in the 1<sup>st</sup> location, which is RRN 1" rather than "the 1<sup>st</sup> record is in the 1<sup>st</sup> location which is RRN 0". (The argument for starting at 0 is that programmers are used to array subscripts staring at 0, and so will be less error-prone).
  - In COBOL (and other languages), a relative file is a physical concept (like arrays), and so the 1<sup>st</sup> location in the file is specified as Relative Key (i.e., RRN) 1. There IS no Relative Key 0.
  - In C/C++/C#/... RRN is not specifically used by the language's built-in I/O methods for reading and writing. Nor is RRN directly used for positioning the file-position-pointer before a subsequent read or write -- that's done by the `seek` in C# `FileStream` (or `seekp` and `seekg` in C++, or `seek` in C). A `seek` uses the byte-offset relative to the start of the file, where the 1<sup>st</sup> byte of the file is byte 0. So if the designer specifies that:
    - RRNs start at 1, then `offset = (RRN - 1) * sizeofRecord;`
    - RRNs start at 0, then `offset = RRN * sizeofRecord;`