

1 pgf@stop

Learning to Search for Code

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

Abstract

Semantic information plays a key role in the code search and synthesis settings. In this work, we propose a graph-based representation for source code and natural language which incorporates semantic and relational features from each domain. We apply this graph to parsing a corpus of Java code and developer docs, and demonstrate the effectiveness of a common graph-based representation on three downstream tasks: code search, document recommendation and entity link prediction.

1 Introduction

In addition to its syntactic structure, source code contains a rich denotational and operational semantics [Henkel et al., 2018]. To effectively reason about code in semantically similar but syntactically diverse settings requires models which incorporate features from the call graph [Gu et al., 2016, Liu et al., 2019] and surrounding typing context [Allamanis et al., 2017]. Many semantic features, such as data and control flow [Si et al., 2018] can be represented as a directed acyclic graph (DAG), which admits linear-time solutions to a number of graph problems, including topological sorting, single-source shortest path and reachability queries.

Natural language also contains semantic information which can be represented using graphs. The NLP community has a rich set of graph-based representations, including Reddy et al. [2016]’s and other typed attribute grammars which can be used to reason about syntactic and semantic relations. The pointer network architecture [Vinyals et al., 2015b,a] can be used to construct permutation-invariant semantic relations between natural language entities, and has important applications in dependency parsing [Ma et al., 2018], named-entity recognition [Lample et al., 2016], and other semantic parsing tasks where sequence-based representations fall short. Li et al. [2017] extend pointer networks with a copy-mechanism to handle out-of-vocabulary code tokens.

Content recommendation for doc-to-doc (D2D) and code-to-code (C2C) can be solved with existing link prediction [Zhang and Chen, 2018] and code embedding [Gu et al., 2018] techniques, but cross-domain transfer remains challenging. Robillard and Chhetri [2015], Robillard et al. [2017] first explore the task of predicting reference API docs from source code using manual annotation. Prior work also studies the association between comments and code entities [??] using machine learning, but only within source code.

Maintainers of widely-used software projects often publish web-based developer docs, typically stored in markup languages like HTML or Markdown. These files contain a collection of natural language sentences, markup, and hyperlinks to other documents. Both the link graph and the document tree contain important semantic information: the markup describes the text in relation to the other entities in the document hierarchy [Yang et al., 2016], while the link graph describes the relationship between the parent document and related docu-

39 ments or source code entities. Documents occasionally contain hyperlinks to source code,
40 but source code rarely contains links to developer documents.

41 Some programming languages allow users to specify which type of values will inhabit a
42 given variable at runtime. Types allow the compiler to reason about certain properties like
43 nullity [Ekman and Hedin, 2007] and shape [Considine et al., 2019]. While types many not
44 appear explicitly in source code, they can often be inferred from the surrounding context
45 using a dataflow graph (DFG). For example, the Java language recently introduced local
46 variable type inference Liddell and Kim [2019], which allows variable types to be omitted,
47 and later inferred by the compiler.

48 Given a single token in either source code or developer documentation and its surrounding
49 context, what are the most relevant source code or documentation entities related to the
50 token? We would like to infer which entities are related to a given token, based on the
51 surrounding context. Learning relationships between these two domains usually requires
52 language-specific parsers. Prior work has explored incorporating dataflow and type infor-
53 mation Si et al. [2018], Gu et al. [2018], Liu et al. [2019], as well as the markup language
54 and link graph Yang et al. [2016], Zhang and Chen [2018] to construct an embedding for
55 code-like tokens used within documentation.

56 When linking from code to documentation, or documentation to code, the sparsity of cross-
57 domain links presents a significant challenge. To compensate for the sparsity of hyperlinks
58 between code and documentation, we require a mapping between the documentation graph
59 and source code entities. One heuristic which developers often use to discover relevant
60 documents is text search. These tokens are often written in a domain specific language
61 (DSL), either explicitly or implicitly takes the form of a regular expression (regex), which
62 most search engines are capable of recognizing. Skilled users are able to rapidly construct a
63 sequence of search tokens which retrieve the document with high probability while omitting
64 irrelevant results.

65 Unlike natural language where entities are often ambiguous strings, source code often has
66 a canonical representation which unambiguously identifies the entity in question. In most
67 cases, entity linking in this setting consists of a simple set of pattern matching rules, which
68 a skilled developer can recognize. Given a string, `AbstractSingletonProxyFactoryBean`, we observe it
69 has the following properties:

- 70 1. The string is camelcase, indicating it refers to an entity in a camel-case language.
- 71 2. The string contains the substring `Bean`, a common token in the Java language.
- 72 3. The string begins with a capital letter, indicating it refers to a `class`.

73 To locate the entity in question we can simply execute the following command on a shell:

```
$ grep -r --include *.java "class AbstractSingletonProxyFactoryBean" .
```

74 We hypothesize that for most programming languages, there is a short query which unam-
75 biguously identifies the canonical entity and furthermore, it is possible to learn a program
76 which synthesizes this query in a data-driven manner.

77 2 Background

78 Let $\Sigma = \{A, a, \dots, Z, z, 0, 1, \dots, 9\}$. Our language $J_{<}$ has the following productions:

$$\langle exp \rangle ::= \langle exp \rangle \langle exp \rangle \mid \langle exp \rangle | \langle exp \rangle \mid \langle \langle exp \rangle \rangle \mid \alpha \in \Sigma \mid \langle exp \rangle^* \mid \cdot \quad (1)$$

79 An expression in this language corresponds to a regular expression, which is translatable
80 to a non-deterministic finite automata (NFA) using the Glushkov construction [Glushkov,
81 1961], as shown in Figure 1. The NFA can be translated to a deterministic finite automata
82 (DFA) using the Rabin-Scott powerset construction [Rabin and Scott, 1959]. Our goal is
83 to synthesize a regular expression which retrieves a document based on the surrounding
84 context.





Figure 1: NFA corresponding to the regular expression $(a(ab)^*)^*(ba)^*$.

3 Data availability

Java, one of the most prolific programming languages on GitHub, is a statically typed language with a high volume of API documentation. Offering a variety of tools for source code [Parr, 2013, Hosseini and Brusilovsky, 2013, Kovalenko et al., 2019] and natural language [Manning et al., 2014, Grella and Cangialosi, 2018] parsing, it is a convenient language for both analysis and implementation. Our dataset consists of Java repositories on GitHub, and their accompanying developer documents. All projects in our dataset have a collection of source code files and multiple related repositories on GitHub.

We construct two datasets consisting of naturally-occurring links between developer docs and source code, and a surrogate set of links constructed by matching lexical tokens available in both domains. Our target is recovery of ground truth links in the test set and surrogate links in the lexical matching graph. We first add weighted edges between code and docs, then evaluate our approach by predicting synthetic links between tokens contained in code fragments and markup entities which refer to the selected token. In addition, we evaluate our approach on both D2D and C2C link retrieval, as well as precision and recall on the surrogate link relations.

Our data consists of two complementary datasets: abstract syntax trees collected from Java source code and developer documentation. We use the astminer [Kovalenko et al., 2019] library to parse Java code, jsoup [Hedley, 2009] to parse HTML and Stanford’s CoreNLP [Manning et al., 2014] library to parse dependency graphs from developer docs. Consider the following AST, parsed from the Eclipse Collections Java project:



The AST depicted above was generated by parsing the following code snippet:

```

public void lastKey_throws() {
    new ImmutableTreeMap<>(new TreeSortedMap<>()).LastKey();
}

```

1
2
3

Now consider the following dependency graph, taken from a Javadoc in the same project:




Figure 2: This graph was parsed from the following comment: “The advantages to using this container over a `Map<K, Collection<V>>` is that all of the handling of the value collection can be done automatically. It also allows implementations to further specialize in how duplicate values will be handled. Value collections with list semantics would allow duplicate values for a key, while those implementing set semantics would not. The value collections can never be empty.”

Our goal is to connect these two graphs using a common representation for source code and natural language. Absent any explicit `@link` or `@see` annotations, in order to relate these two graphs, we must somehow infer the shared semantic entities, which we can do for a subset using a simple lexical matching procedure.

References

- Miltiadis Allamanis, Marc Brockschmidt, and Mahmoud Khademi. Learning to represent programs with graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.00740*, 2017. URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1711.00740.pdf>.
- Breandan Considine, Michalis Famelis, and Liam Paull. Kotlin ∇ : A shape-safe eDSL for differentiable programming. <https://github.com/breandan/kotlingrad>, 2019.
- Torbjörn Ekman and Görel Hedin. Pluggable checking and inferencing of nonnull types for Java. *Journal of Object Technology*, 6(9):455–475, 2007. URL http://www.jot.fm/issues/issue_2007_10/paper23.pdf.
- Victor Mikhaylovich Glushkov. The abstract theory of automata. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 16(5):1, 1961.
- Matteo Grella and Simone Cangialosi. Non-projective dependency parsing via latent heads representation LHR. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.02116*, 2018. URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.02116.pdf>.
- Xiaodong Gu, Hongyu Zhang, Dongmei Zhang, and Sunghun Kim. Deep API learning. In *Proceedings of the 2016 24th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*, pages 631–642, 2016. URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1605.08535.pdf>.
- Xiaodong Gu, Hongyu Zhang, and Sunghun Kim. Deep code search. In *2018 IEEE/ACM 40th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE)*, pages 933–944. IEEE, 2018. URL <https://guxd.github.io/papers/deepcs.pdf>.
- Jonathan Hedley. jsoup: Java HTML parser. 2009. URL <https://jsoup.org>.
- Jordan Henkel, Shuvendu K Lahiri, Ben Liblit, and Thomas Reps. Code vectors: Understanding programs through embedded abstracted symbolic traces. In *Proceedings of the 2018 26th ACM Joint Meeting on European Software Engineering Conference and Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering*, pages 163–174, 2018. URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.06686.pdf>.
- Roya Hosseini and Peter Brusilovsky. JavaParser: A fine-grain concept indexing tool for Java problems. In *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, volume 1009, pages 60–63. University of Pittsburgh, 2013.
- Vladimir Kovalenko, Egor Bogomolov, Timofey Bryksin, and Alberto Bacchelli. PathMiner: a library for mining of path-based representations of code. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Mining Software Repositories*, pages 13–17. IEEE Press, 2019. URL <https://github.com/JetBrains-Research/astminer>.
- Guillaume Lample, Miguel Ballesteros, Sandeep Subramanian, Kazuya Kawakami, and Chris Dyer. Neural architectures for named entity recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.01360*, 2016. URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1603.01360.pdf>.
- Jian Li, Yue Wang, Michael R Lyu, and Irwin King. Code completion with neural attention and pointer networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.09573*, 2017. URL <https://www.ijcai.org/Proceedings/2018/0578.pdf>.
- Clayton Liddell and Donghoon Kim. Analyzing the adoption rate of local variable type inference in open-source Java 10 projects. *Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science*, 73(1):51–54, 2019. URL <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3346&context=jaas>.
- Bohong Liu, Tao Wang, Xunhui Zhang, Qiang Fan, Gang Yin, and Jinsheng Deng. A neural-network based code summarization approach by using source code and its call dependencies. In *Proceedings of the 11th Asia-Pacific Symposium on Internetwork, Internetwork '19*, New York, NY, USA, 2019. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450377010. doi: 10.1145/3361242.3362774. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3361242.3362774>.

- 163 Xuezhe Ma, Zecong Hu, Jingzhou Liu, Nanyun Peng, Graham Neubig, and Eduard Hovy.
164 Stack-pointer networks for dependency parsing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.01087*, 2018.
165 URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1805.01087.pdf>.
- 166 Christopher D Manning, Mihai Surdeanu, John Bauer, Jenny Rose Finkel, Steven Bethard,
167 and David McClosky. The stanford coreNLP natural language processing toolkit. In
168 *Proceedings of 52nd annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics: sys-*
169 *tem demonstrations*, pages 55–60, 2014. URL [https://nlp.stanford.edu/pubs/](https://nlp.stanford.edu/pubs/StanfordCoreNlp2014.pdf)
170 [StanfordCoreNlp2014.pdf](https://nlp.stanford.edu/pubs/StanfordCoreNlp2014.pdf).
- 171 Terence Parr. *The definitive ANTLR 4 reference*. Pragmatic Bookshelf, 2013.
- 172 Michael O Rabin and Dana Scott. Finite automata and their decision problems. *IBM*
173 *journal of research and development*, 3(2):114–125, 1959.
- 174 Siva Reddy, Oscar Täckström, Michael Collins, Tom Kwiatkowski, Dipanjan Das, Mark
175 Steedman, and Mirella Lapata. Transforming dependency structures to logical forms
176 for semantic parsing. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 4:
177 127–140, 2016. URL [https://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1162/](https://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1162/tac1_a_00088)
178 [tac1_a_00088](https://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1162/tac1_a_00088).
- 179 Martin P Robillard and Yam B Chhetri. Recommending reference API documentation. *Em-*
180 *pirical Software Engineering*, 20(6):1558–1586, 2015. URL [https://www.cs.mcgill.](https://www.cs.mcgill.ca/~martin/papers/cr2014a.pdf)
181 [ca/~martin/papers/cr2014a.pdf](https://www.cs.mcgill.ca/~martin/papers/cr2014a.pdf).
- 182 Martin P Robillard, Andrian Marcus, Christoph Treude, Gabriele Bavota, Oscar Cha-
183 parro, Neil Ernst, Marco Aurélio Gerosa, Michael Godfrey, Michele Lanza, Mario Linares-
184 Vásquez, et al. On-demand developer documentation. In *2017 IEEE International Con-*
185 *ference on Software Maintenance and Evolution (ICSME)*, pages 479–483. IEEE, 2017.
- 186 Xujie Si, Hanjun Dai, Mukund Raghothaman, Mayur Naik, and Le Song. Learning
187 loop invariants for program verification. In *Advances in Neural Information Pro-*
188 *cessing Systems*, pages 7751–7762, 2018. URL [https://papers.nips.cc/paper/](https://papers.nips.cc/paper/8001-learning-loop-invariants-for-program-verification.pdf)
189 [8001-learning-loop-invariants-for-program-verification.pdf](https://papers.nips.cc/paper/8001-learning-loop-invariants-for-program-verification.pdf).
- 190 Oriol Vinyals, Samy Bengio, and Manjunath Kudlur. Order matters: Sequence to sequence
191 for sets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06391*, 2015a. URL [https://arxiv.org/pdf/](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1511.06391.pdf)
192 [1511.06391.pdf](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1511.06391.pdf).
- 193 Oriol Vinyals, Meire Fortunato, and Navdeep Jaitly. Pointer networks. In *Advances in*
194 *neural information processing systems*, pages 2692–2700, 2015b. URL [https://arxiv.](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.03134.pdf)
195 [org/pdf/1506.03134.pdf](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.03134.pdf).
- 196 Zichao Yang, Diyi Yang, Chris Dyer, Xiaodong He, Alex Smola, and Eduard Hovy. Hierarchi-
197 cal attention networks for document classification. In *Proceedings of the 2016 conference*
198 *of the North American chapter of the association for computational linguistics: human*
199 *language technologies*, pages 1480–1489, 2016. URL [https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~](https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~hovy/papers/16HLT-hierarchical-attention-networks.pdf)
200 [hovy/papers/16HLT-hierarchical-attention-networks.pdf](https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~hovy/papers/16HLT-hierarchical-attention-networks.pdf).
- 201 Muhan Zhang and Yixin Chen. Link prediction based on graph neu-
202 ral networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*,
203 pages 5165–5175, 2018. URL [https://papers.nips.cc/paper/](https://papers.nips.cc/paper/7763-link-prediction-based-on-graph-neural-networks.pdf)
204 [7763-link-prediction-based-on-graph-neural-networks.pdf](https://papers.nips.cc/paper/7763-link-prediction-based-on-graph-neural-networks.pdf).