
A Common Graph Representation for Source Code and Developer Documentation

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

Abstract

1 Semantic information plays a key role in the code search and synthesis settings. In
2 this work, we propose a graph-based representation for source code and natural
3 language which incorporates semantic and relational features from both domains.
4 We apply this graph to a parsing a corpus of code and developer documents, and
5 demonstrate the effectiveness of a common graph-based representation on three
6 downstream tasks: code search, document recommendation and link prediction.

7 1 Background and motivation

8 In addition to its syntactic structure, source code contains a rich denotational and operational
9 semantics [Henkel et al., 2018]. To effectively reason about code in semantically similar but
10 syntactically diverse settings requires models which incorporate features from the call graph [Gu
11 et al., 2016, Liu et al., 2019] and surrounding typing context [Allamanis et al., 2017]. Many semantic
12 features, such as data and control flow [Si et al., 2018] can be represented as a directed acyclic graph
13 (DAG), which admits linear-time solutions to a number of graph problems, including topological
14 sorting, single-source shortest path and reachability queries.

15 DAGs also have important applications in natural language parsing [Sagae and Tsujii, 2008, Quern-
16 heim and Knight, 2012]. Various attempts to build semantic representations for natural language have
17 been proposed, notably the pointer network architecture [Vinyals et al., 2015b,a]. Pointer networks
18 help to capture permutation-invariant semantic relations between natural language entities, and have
19 important applications in dependency parsing [Ma et al., 2018], named-entity recognition [Lample
20 et al., 2016], and other tasks where sequence representations fall short. Li et al. [2017] extend pointer
21 networks with a copy-mechanism to handle out-of-vocabulary code tokens.

22 Content recommendation doc-to-doc (D2D) and code-to-code (C2C) is a straightforward application
23 of link prediction [Zhang and Chen, 2018] and code embedding [Gu et al., 2018] techniques, but
24 cross-domain transfer largely remains unsolved. Robillard and Chhetri [2015] first explore the task
25 of predicting reference API documentation from source code using manual annotation. Prior work
26 also studies the association between comments and code entities [Panthaplackel et al., 2020] using
27 machine learning, but only within source code.

28 Maintainers of widely-used software projects often publish web-based documentation, typically stored
29 in markup languages like HTML or Markdown. These files contain a collection of natural language
30 sentences, markup, and hyperlinks to other documents. Both the link graph and the document AST
31 contain important semantic information: the markup describes the text in relation to the other entities
32 in the document hierarchy [Yang et al., 2016], while the link graph describes the relationship between
33 the parent document and related documents or source code entities. Documents occasionally contains
34 hyperlinks to source code, but source code rarely contains links to developer documents.

Some programming languages allow users to specify which type of values will inhabit a given variable at runtime. Types allow the compiler to reason about certain properties like nullity [Ekman and Hedin, 2007] and shape [Considine et al., 2019]. While types may not appear explicitly in source code, they can often be inferred from the surrounding context using a dataflow graph (DFG). The Java language recently introduced local variable type inference Liddell and Kim [2019], which allows variable types to be omitted, and later inferred by the compiler.

2 Proposed approach

Given a single token in either source code or developer documentation and its semantic context, what are the most relevant source code or documentation entities? We would like to infer which documents are relevant to a particular token, based on the documentation or code context. To infer links between these two domains requires building a multi-relational graph, using features extracted from both natural language and code. Following Si et al. [2018], Gu et al. [2018], Liu et al. [2019], we use the dataflow graph and type environment as features for code, and following Yang et al. [2016], Zhang and Chen [2018], use the markdown hierarchy and link graph as the documentation graph.

Due to the sparsity of hyperlinks between code and documentation, we need a heuristic to relate the document graph to source code entities. We observe that in practice rare tokens which co-occur in unrelated documents often refer to a common entity, a heuristic which developers often exploit when searching for errors and code tokens. Co-occurrence of a salient lexical token in both the document and source code is a strong indicator the two are related, even though they may not be linked. If we can recover this relationship without seeing the lexical token itself, but only using dataflow and type-related information, this indicates our representation is learning a useful feature.

3 Data availability and computational requirements

Java, one of the most popular programming languages on GitHub, is a statically typed language with a large amount of API documentation on the web. It has a variety of tools for parsing and analyzing both code [Kovalenko et al., 2019] and natural language [Manning et al., 2014, Grella and Cangialosi, 2018], and an extensive set of documentation. Our dataset consists of Java repositories on GitHub, and their accompanying docs collected from the Zeal software documentation aggregator. All projects in our dataset have a collection of source code files and multiple related repositories on GitHub.

We construct two datasets consisting of naturally occurring links between developer documentation and source code, and a surrogate set of links constructed by lexical tokens available in both projects. Our target is recovery of ground truth links in the test set and surrogate links in the lexical matching graph. By identifying tokens using, e.g. a pointer network architecture, and adding weighted edges between source code and documentation, we evaluate which tokens refer code-like fragment or other entity which refers to the selected token. We evaluate our approach on D2D and C2C link retrieval, as well as precision and recall on the surrogate link graph.

To perform our experiments, require a large number of CPUs for semantic parsing, link extraction and graph preprocessing, and a single P100 GPU for training a graph neural network. We have applied and received access to the Niagra CC cluster.

References

- Miltiadis Allamanis, Marc Brockschmidt, and Mahmoud Khademi. Learning to represent programs with graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.00740*, 2017. URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1711.00740.pdf>.
- Breandan Considine, Michalis Famelis, and Liam Paull. Kotlin ∇ : A shape-safe eDSL for differentiable programming. <https://github.com/breandan/kotlingrad>, 2019.
- Torbjörn Ekman and Görel Hedin. Pluggable checking and inferencing of nonnull types for Java. *Journal of Object Technology*, 6(9):455–475, 2007. URL http://www.jot.fm/issues/issue_2007_10/paper23.pdf.

- 82 Matteo Grella and Simone Cangialosi. Non-projective dependency parsing via latent heads repre-
83 sentation LHR. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.02116*, 2018. URL [https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.02116.pdf)
84 [02116.pdf](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.02116.pdf).
- 85 Xiaodong Gu, Hongyu Zhang, Dongmei Zhang, and Sunghun Kim. Deep API learning. In *Pro-*
86 *ceedings of the 2016 24th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software*
87 *Engineering*, pages 631–642, 2016. URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1605.08535.pdf>.
- 88 Xiaodong Gu, Hongyu Zhang, and Sunghun Kim. Deep code search. In *2018 IEEE/ACM 40th*
89 *International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE)*, pages 933–944. IEEE, 2018. URL
90 <https://guxd.github.io/papers/deepcs.pdf>.
- 91 Jordan Henkel, Shuvendu K Lahiri, Ben Liblit, and Thomas Reps. Code vectors: Understanding
92 programs through embedded abstracted symbolic traces. In *Proceedings of the 2018 26th ACM*
93 *Joint Meeting on European Software Engineering Conference and Symposium on the Foundations*
94 *of Software Engineering*, pages 163–174, 2018. URL [https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.06686.](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.06686.pdf)
95 [pdf](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.06686.pdf).
- 96 Vladimir Kovalenko, Egor Bogomolov, Timofey Bryksin, and Alberto Bacchelli. PathMiner: a
97 library for mining of path-based representations of code. In *Proceedings of the 16th International*
98 *Conference on Mining Software Repositories*, pages 13–17. IEEE Press, 2019. URL [https:](https://github.com/JetBrains-Research/astminer)
99 [/github.com/JetBrains-Research/astminer](https://github.com/JetBrains-Research/astminer).
- 100 Guillaume Lample, Miguel Ballesteros, Sandeep Subramanian, Kazuya Kawakami, and Chris Dyer.
101 Neural architectures for named entity recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.01360*, 2016. URL
102 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1603.01360.pdf>.
- 103 Jian Li, Yue Wang, Michael R Lyu, and Irwin King. Code completion with neural attention and
104 pointer networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.09573*, 2017. URL [https://www.ijcai.org/](https://www.ijcai.org/Proceedings/2018/0578.pdf)
105 [Proceedings/2018/0578.pdf](https://www.ijcai.org/Proceedings/2018/0578.pdf).
- 106 Clayton Liddell and Donghoon Kim. Analyzing the adoption rate of local variable type infer-
107 ence in open-source Java 10 projects. *Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science*, 73(1):
108 51–54, 2019. URL [https://scholarworks.uark.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=](https://scholarworks.uark.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3346&context=jaas)
109 [3346&context=jaas](https://scholarworks.uark.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3346&context=jaas).
- 110 Bohong Liu, Tao Wang, Xunhui Zhang, Qiang Fan, Gang Yin, and Jinsheng Deng. A neural-network
111 based code summarization approach by using source code and its call dependencies. In *Proceedings*
112 *of the 11th Asia-Pacific Symposium on Internetware*, Internetware ’19, New York, NY, USA, 2019.
113 Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450377010. doi: 10.1145/3361242.3362774.
114 URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3361242.3362774>.
- 115 Xuezhe Ma, Zecong Hu, Jingzhou Liu, Nanyun Peng, Graham Neubig, and Eduard Hovy. Stack-
116 pointer networks for dependency parsing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.01087*, 2018. URL [https:](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1805.01087.pdf)
117 [/arxiv.org/pdf/1805.01087.pdf](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1805.01087.pdf).
- 118 Christopher D Manning, Mihai Surdeanu, John Bauer, Jenny Rose Finkel, Steven Bethard, and David
119 McClosky. The stanford coreNLP natural language processing toolkit. In *Proceedings of 52nd*
120 *annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics: system demonstrations*, pages
121 55–60, 2014. URL <https://nlp.stanford.edu/pubs/StanfordCoreNlp2014.pdf>.
- 122 Sheena Panthaplackel, Milos Gligoric, Raymond J. Mooney, and Junyi Jessy Li. Associating natural
123 language comment and source code entities. In *AAAI*, 2020. URL [https://arxiv.org/pdf/](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1912.06728.pdf)
124 [1912.06728.pdf](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1912.06728.pdf).
- 125 Daniel Quernheim and Kevin Knight. Dagger: A toolkit for automata on directed acyclic graphs. In
126 *Proceedings of the 10th International Workshop on Finite State Methods and Natural Language*
127 *Processing*, pages 40–44, 2012. URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W12-6207.pdf>.
- 128 Martin P Robillard and Yam B Chhetri. Recommending reference API documentation. *Empirical*
129 *Software Engineering*, 20(6):1558–1586, 2015. URL [https://www.cs.mcgill.ca/~martin/](https://www.cs.mcgill.ca/~martin/papers/cr2014a.pdf)
130 [papers/cr2014a.pdf](https://www.cs.mcgill.ca/~martin/papers/cr2014a.pdf).

- 131 Kenji Sagae and Jun'ichi Tsujii. Shift-reduce dependency DAG parsing. In *Proceedings of the 22nd*
132 *International Conference on Computational Linguistics-Volume 1*, pages 753–760. Association
133 for Computational Linguistics, 2008. URL [https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/C08-1095.](https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/C08-1095.pdf)
134 pdf.
- 135 Xujie Si, Hanjun Dai, Mukund Raghothaman, Mayur Naik, and Le Song. Learn-
136 ing loop invariants for program verification. In *Advances in Neural Information Pro-*
137 *cessing Systems*, pages 7751–7762, 2018. URL [https://papers.nips.cc/paper/](https://papers.nips.cc/paper/8001-learning-loop-invariants-for-program-verification.pdf)
138 8001-learning-loop-invariants-for-program-verification.pdf.
- 139 Oriol Vinyals, Samy Bengio, and Manjunath Kudlur. Order matters: Sequence to sequence for sets.
140 *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06391*, 2015a. URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1511.06391.pdf>.
- 141 Oriol Vinyals, Meire Fortunato, and Navdeep Jaitly. Pointer networks. In *Advances in neural*
142 *information processing systems*, pages 2692–2700, 2015b. URL [https://arxiv.org/pdf/](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.03134.pdf)
143 1506.03134.pdf.
- 144 Zichao Yang, Diyi Yang, Chris Dyer, Xiaodong He, Alex Smola, and Eduard Hovy. Hierarchi-
145 cal attention networks for document classification. In *Proceedings of the 2016 conference of*
146 *the North American chapter of the association for computational linguistics: human language*
147 *technologies*, pages 1480–1489, 2016. URL [https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~hovy/papers/](https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~hovy/papers/16HLT-hierarchical-attention-networks.pdf)
148 16HLT-hierarchical-attention-networks.pdf.
- 149 Muhan Zhang and Yixin Chen. Link prediction based on graph neural networks. In *Advances in*
150 *Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 5165–5175, 2018. URL [https://papers.nips.](https://papers.nips.cc/paper/7763-link-prediction-based-on-graph-neural-networks.pdf)
151 cc/paper/7763-link-prediction-based-on-graph-neural-networks.pdf.