## Notes on 10d Super Yang-Mills

Chris Elliott, Pavel Safronov and Brian Williams

March 1, 2019

## 1 Minimal Super Yang-Mills

Our starting point will be the theory complexifying the usual 10d super Yang-Mills theory. Fix a complex reductive gauge group G with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The ordinary fields of super Yang-Mills theory on  $\mathbb{R}^{10}$  consist of a boson: a connection A on the trivial G-bundle, and a fermion: a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued section  $\lambda$  of the Weyl spinor bundle associated to the spinor representation  $S_+^{-1}$ . These fields are acted upon by the group of gauge transformations – G-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{10}$ .

We can model the stack of fields modulo gauge transformations infinitesimally near the point 0 by the corresponding BRST complex. This is the local super Lie algebra

$$L_{\text{BRST}} = \Omega^0(\mathbb{R}^{10};\mathfrak{g}) \to \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^{10};\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \Omega^0(\mathbb{R}^{10};\Pi S_+ \otimes \mathfrak{g})$$

with the de Rham differential, placed in cohomological degrees 0 and 1, with bracket induced from the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The action functional in 10d super Yang-Mills is given by

$$S(A,\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{10}} \langle F_A \wedge *F_A + (\lambda, \mathcal{D}_A \lambda) \rangle,$$

where  $\langle - \rangle$  denotes an invariant pairing on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and (,) denotes a scalar-valued pairing  $S_+ \otimes S_- \to \mathbb{C}$  (there will be a unique such pairing, up to rescaling, characterized by the condition that  $(\rho(v)\lambda_1, \rho(v)\lambda_2) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  for each  $v \in \mathbb{C}^{10}$ , where  $\rho$  denotes Clifford multiplication).

We can re-encode this data in terms of the classical BV complex (Phil and I wrote this down in [EY18, Section 3.1]). This is the  $L_{\infty}$ -algebra whose underlying cochain complex takes the form

$$\Omega^0(\mathbb{R}^{10};\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\quad d\quad } \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^{10};\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\quad d*d\quad } \Omega^9(\mathbb{R}^{10};\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\quad d\quad } \Omega^{10}(\mathbb{R}^{10};\mathfrak{g})$$

$$\Omega^0(\mathbb{R}^{10};\Pi S_-\otimes \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\quad *\not d} \Omega^{10}(\mathbb{R}^{10};\Pi S_-\otimes \mathfrak{g}),$$

with degree -3 invariant pairing induced by the invariant pairing on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the pairing (, ) between  $S_+$  and  $S_-$ , and

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ If we didn't complexify we would instead consider  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  a compact connected Lie group, and a section of the Majorana-Weyl spinor bundle, which necessitates working in Lorentzian signature. I think for our purposes it's interesting enough to just consider the complexified theory and avoid signature issues. The complexified theory twists to holomorphic Chern-Simons theory with complex gauge group.

with degree 2 and 3 brackets given by the action of  $\Omega^0(\mathbb{R}^{10};\mathfrak{g})$  on everything along with

$$\ell_{2}^{\mathrm{Bos}} \colon \Omega^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{10}; \mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{10}; \mathfrak{g}) \to \Omega^{9}(\mathbb{R}^{10}; \mathfrak{g})$$

$$(A \otimes B) \mapsto [A \wedge *\mathrm{d}B] + [*\mathrm{d}A \wedge B] + \mathrm{d} * [A \wedge B]$$

$$\ell_{2}^{\mathrm{Fer}} \colon \Omega^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{10}; \mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{10}; S_{+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \to \Omega^{10}(\mathbb{R}^{10}; S_{-} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$$

$$(A \otimes \lambda) \mapsto *A\lambda$$

in degree 2, and the map

$$\ell_3 \colon \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^{10}; \mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^{10}; \mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^{10}; \mathfrak{g}) \to \Omega^9(\mathbb{R}^{10}; \mathfrak{g})$$

$$(A \otimes B \otimes C) \mapsto [A \wedge *[B \wedge C]] + [B \wedge *[C \wedge A]] + [C \wedge *[A \wedge B]]$$

in degree 3.

## 1.1 On-Shell Supersymmetry Action

We can define an action of the 10d  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supersymmetry algebra on the complex of BRST fields in this minimal super Yang-Mills theory. The Poincaré action is clear, and the action of the supersymmetry Q is generated – in the usual Physics notation – by the transformation [BSS77]

$$\begin{split} \delta_Q A &= \Gamma(Q,\lambda) \\ \delta_Q \lambda &= {I\!\!\!/}_A Q, \end{split}$$

where the notation  $F_A$  stands for the iterated Clifford multiplication  $F_A = F_{ij}\gamma^i\gamma^j$ . To check that this defines a supersymmetry action we need to check it's compatible with the brackets in the supersymmetry algebra. So, we calculate

$$\begin{split} [\delta_{Q_1}, \delta_{Q_2}] A &= (\Gamma(Q_2, I\!\!\!/_A Q_1) - \Gamma(Q_1, I\!\!\!/_A Q_2)) \\ &= F_{ij} (Q_2 \gamma^k \gamma^i \gamma^j Q_1 - Q_1 \gamma^k \gamma^i \gamma^j Q_2) \\ &= F_{ij} (Q_2 \gamma^k \gamma^i \gamma^j Q_1 - Q_2 \gamma^j \gamma^i \gamma^k Q_1) \\ &= F_{ij} (Q_2 \gamma^k \gamma^j \gamma^i Q_1 - Q_2 \gamma^j \gamma^k \gamma^i Q_1) \\ &= F_{ij} \delta^{kj} (Q_2 \gamma^i Q_1) \\ &= \delta_{[Q_1,Q_2]} A, \end{split}$$

where on the third line we used the fact that the pairing  $\Gamma(-,-)$  is symmetric – i.e. that  $\lambda_1 \gamma^i \lambda_2 = \lambda_2 \gamma^i \lambda_1$  – three times, and on the fourth and fifth lines we used the Clifford relations. Similarly we can calculate

$$\begin{split} [\delta_{Q_1}, \delta_{Q_2}] \lambda &= (\rlap{/}F_{\Gamma(Q_2, \lambda)}Q_1 - \rlap{/}F_{\Gamma(Q_1, \lambda)}Q_2) \\ &= (Q_2 \gamma_j \partial_i \lambda + [Q_2 \gamma_i \lambda, Q_2 \gamma_j \lambda]) (\gamma^i \gamma^j Q_1) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \\ &= \end{split}$$

**Remark 1.1.** I'm part way through trying to do these calculations myself, but for reference I found these calculations in the master's thesis [Gui16] we've discussed before. For instance for the commutator of two supersymmetries acting on a spinor see equation 2.1.13.

3 References

- 2 Baulieu's 10 Model
- 2.1 Off-Shell Action of a Scalar Supersymmetry
- 3 Homotopy Data
- 3.1 Homotopy Transfer of the Scalar Supersymmetry

## References

- [BSS77] L. Brink, J. Schwarz, and J. Scherk. "Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories". Nuclear Phys. B 121.1 (1977), pp. 77–92. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(77)90328-5.
- [EY18] C. Elliott and P. Yoo. "Geometric Langlands Twists of N=4 Gauge Theory from Derived Algebraic Geometry". Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics 22.3 (2018). arXiv: 1507.03048 [math-ph].
- [Gui16] M. Guillen. "D=10 Super Yang-Mills, D=11 Supergravity and the Pure Spinor Superfield Formalism". MA thesis. Instituto de Física Teórica Universidade Estadual Paulista, 2016.