Assignment #7

Due Wednesday, 9 November 2022, at the start of class

Please read sections 11.3, 11.4, 11.5, 12.1, and 12.2 from the textbook.¹ Please also read the online slides *Steepest descent needs help* at

bueler.github.io/opt/assets/slides/sd.pdf

DO THE FOLLOWING EXERCISES from section 11.3, pages xx:

- Exercise 3.2
- Exercise 3.3
- Exercise 3.4
- Exercise 3.6

Problem P13. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and suppose $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Consider this quadratic function on $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx - c^{\mathsf{T}}x.$$

- a) Show that $\nabla f(x) = Qx c$.
- **b)** Show that f is strictly convex. (*Hint. Use facts from section* 2.3.)
- c) Suppose p is a descent direction at x, so that $p^{\top} \nabla f(x) < 0$. Prove that the exact solution of the line search problem $\min_{\alpha>0} f(x+\alpha p)$ is

$$\alpha = \frac{-p^{\top} \nabla f(x)}{p^{\top} Q p}.$$

(Hint. Define $g(\alpha) = f(x + \alpha p)$, expand it, and compute $g'(\alpha)$. Explain why is it important that p is a descent direction.)

Problem P14. In the slides I show a MATLAB implementation of steepest descent using back-tracking line search, something we will cover carefully in section 11.5. If we restrict the objective function f(x) to being quadratic then we can use the result in **P13 c)** to choose the step size.

a) Implement steepest descent with optimal step size for quadratic functions (1): function z = sdquad(x0, Q, c, tol)

As before, stop when $\|\nabla f(x_k)\| < \text{tol.}$ (Hint. Only small modifications of code from the slides is needed. Replace evaluations of f and ∇f by formulas for the quadratic case. Replace back-tracking by the result from **P13 c)**.)

¹Griva, Nash, and Sofer, *Linear and Nonlinear Optimization*, 2nd ed., SIAM Press 2009.

- **b)** Use sdquad() to reproduce the result of Example 12.1 on pages 404–405 of the textbook. Specifically, you should get k = 216 iterations using tol = 10^{-8} .
- c) Now change Q to

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 2.3 & 0.19 & -0.89 \\ 0.19 & 1.84 & 0.32 \\ -0.89 & 0.32 & 1.86 \end{pmatrix}$$

but keep the same c, x_0 , and tol as in part **b**). What is x^* ? How many iterations does sdquad() need? Why is this problem easier than part (b)? (*Hint. What does* eig(Q) *tell you?*)

Problem P15. (*This problem extends Exercise 3.8 in section 11.3.*)

Though section 2.5 fails to make this very clear, the actual definition of *superlinear* convergence is that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|e_{k+1}\|}{\|e_k\|} = 0$$

for sequences $\{x_k\}$ which converge to x_* , and of course using $e_k = x_k - x_*$.

a) Let $\{x_k\}$ be a sequence which converges super-linearly to x_* . Show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|}{\|x_k - x_*\|} = 1.$$

b) Explain why a super-linearly converging iterative algorithm for approximating some unknown number x_* , which stops according to the criterion $||x_{k+1} - x_k|| < \text{tol}$, for some user-supplied tolerance tol > 0, is probably going to work acceptably. Does the same apply to iterations which converge only linearly?