

# Handout 21: Predicate Calculus

## 1. Orientation


- a. The next step is **interpretation**: mapping sentences to representations of meaning (via parse trees)
- b. Meaning-representation language: first-order predicate calculus (FOPC)
- c. We will then add **semantic translations** to the grammar to translate the parse tree to FOPC

“Fido chases every cat”  $\Rightarrow$   
 $\text{all } x. ( \text{CAT}(x) \rightarrow \text{CHASES}(\text{FIDO}, x) )$

- d. But what is the meaning of an FOPC formula? Our representation of the world is a **model**.

## 2. A little world

- a. “Everything in the world” is the **domain**
- b. The domain is a set, and its elements are **individuals**

1     $D = \text{set}(['i1', 'i2', 'i3', 'i4'])$

## 3. Suppose:

- a.  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  are dogs,  $i_3$  is a cat, and  $i_4$  is a seal.
- b.  $i_1$  and  $i_3$  are red, and  $i_2$  is blue.
- c.  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$ , and  $i_4$  bark.
- d.  $i_1$  is named “Fido,”  $i_2$  is named “Spot,” and  $i_3$  is named “Max.”
- e.  $i_1$  chases  $i_3$  and  $i_3$  chases  $i_2$

## 4. Logical constants

- a. First step in defining a **language** to talk about our world.
- b. **Constants** name individuals: FIDO, SPOT, MAX
- c. **Predicates** name “detector functions” that take an individual as input and return true or false: RED, DOG, BARKER
- d. A detector function is equivalent to a set. (It is the **characteristic function** of the set.)
- e. **Relation symbols** name relations, i.e., sets of tuples: CHASES

## 5. Models

- a. A **valuation** associates constants with their meanings. File `m1.val`:

```
1 FIDO => i1
2 SPOT => i2
3 MAX => i3
4 DOG => {i1, i2}
5 CAT => {i3}
6 SEAL => {i4}
7 RED => {i1, i3}
8 BLUE => {i2}
9 BARKER => {i1, i2, i4}
10 CHASES => {(i1, i3), (i3, i2)}
```

- b. Loading it:

```
1 >>> from nltk import Valuation
2 >>> F = Valuation.fromstring(open('m1.val').read())
```

- c. Getting the value of a constant:

```
1 >>> F['FIDO']
2 'i1'
```

- d. A **model** pairs a domain with a valuation.

```
1 >>> from nltk import Model
2 >>> M = Model(D,F)
```

## 6. Variables

- a. The model contains meanings for *constants*. An **Assignment** contains meanings (values) for variables.

- b. Let  $x = i_1$  and  $y = i_3$

```
1 >>> from nltk import Assignment
2 >>> g = Assignment(D)
3 >>> g['x'] = 'i1'
4 >>> g['y'] = 'i3'
```

- c. Getting the value of a variable:

```
1 >>> g['y']
2 'i3'
```

## 7. Evaluating expressions

- a. Function application: `RED(FIDO)`

- b. Using the model and assignment to evaluate an expression:

```
1 >>> M.evaluate('RED(FIDO)', g)
2 True
3 >>> M.evaluate('RED(SPOT)', g)
```

```

4      False
5      >>> M.evaluate('RED(y)', g)
6      True

```

c. Can evaluate simple expressions, too:

```

1      >>> M.evaluate('MAX', g)
2      'i3'

```

d. And relations applied to arguments:

```

1      >>> M.evaluate('CHASES(FIDO, MAX)', g)
2      True

```

8. To save some typing:

```

1      >>> class Evaluator (object):
2      ...     def __init__ (self, model, asst):
3      ...         self.model = model
4      ...         self.assignment = asst
5      ...     def __call__ (self, s):
6      ...         return self.model.evaluate(s, self.assignment)
7      ...
8      >>> v = Evaluator(M,g)

```

9. Some more expression types

```

1      >>> v('RED(FIDO)')
2      True
3      >>> v('BLUE(MAX)')
4      False
5      >>> v('RED(FIDO) & BLUE(MAX)')
6      False
7      >>> v('RED(FIDO) | BLUE(MAX)')
8      True
9      >>> v('-( RED(FIDO) & BLUE(MAX) )')
10     True

```

10. Precedence

a. Negation binds tightly

```

1      >>> v('-BLUE(FIDO) & DOG(MAX)')
2      False
3      >>> v('-( BLUE(FIDO) & DOG(MAX) )')
4      True

```

b. Conjunction binds more tightly than disjunction

```

1      >>> v('DOG(SPOT) | BLUE(FIDO) & DOG(MAX)')
2      True
3      >>> v('( DOG(SPOT) | BLUE(FIDO) ) & DOG(MAX)')
4      False

```

11. The material conditional

- a. If the condition is satisfied, we evaluate the body

```

1 >>> v('BARKER(FIDO) -> CAT(MAX)')
2 True
3 >>> v('BARKER(FIDO) -> DOG(MAX)')
4 False

```

- b. If the condition is *not* satisfied, the statement is vacuously true

```

1 >>> v('BLUE(FIDO) -> CAT(MAX)')
2 True
3 >>> v('BLUE(FIDO) -> DOG(MAX)')
4 True

```

12. Tables for the operators:

Inputs		Output	Inputs		Output	Inputs		Output
T	&	T	T		T	-	T	F
T	&	F	T		F	-	F	T
F	&	T	F		T			
F	&	F	F		F			

Inputs		Output	Inputs		Output
T	->	T	T	<->	T
T	->	F	T	<->	F
F	->	T	F	<->	T
F	->	F	F	<->	F

13. Variables

- a. Recall that  $x$  is  $i_1$  (Fido) and  $y$  is  $i_3$  (Max).

```

1 >>> v('CHASES(FIDO,y)')
2 True
3 >>> v('CHASES(y,FIDO)')
4 False
5 >>> v('CHASES(x,y)')
6 True

```

- b. Unbound variables evaluate as **Undefined**

```

1 >>> v('BARKER(c)')
2 'Undefined'

```

14. How do we say “all dogs are barkers”?

```

a. >>> v('all x.( DOG(x) -> BARKER(x) )')
2 True
3 >>> v('all x.( DOG(x) -> RED(x) )')
4 False

```

- b. Don't omit the parentheses, or things go wrong in mysterious ways!

c. Computation:

$x$	$\text{DOG}(x)$	$\text{BARKER}(x)$	$\text{DOG}(x) \rightarrow \text{BARKER}(x)$
$i_1$	T	T	T
$i_2$	F	F	T
$i_3$	T	T	T
$i_4$	F	T	T

d. E.g., for the last line:

```

1 >>> v.assignment['x'] = 'i4'
2 >>> v('DOG(x)')
3 False
4 >>> v('BARKER(x)')
5 True
6 >>> v('DOG(x) -> BARKER(x)')
7 True

```

15. Versus “all barkers are dogs”

```

a. >>> v('all x.( BARKER(x) -> DOG(x) )')
2 False

```

b. Why is it false?

```

1 >>> from nltk import Expression
2 >>> E = Expression.fromstring
3 >>> M.satisfiers(E('BARKER(x) -> DOG(x)'), 'x', g)
4 {'i1', 'i3', 'i2'}

```

c. Computation:

$x$	$\text{BARKER}(x)$	$\text{DOG}(x)$	$\text{BARKER}(x) \rightarrow \text{DOG}(x)$
$i_1$	T	T	T
$i_2$	F	F	T
$i_3$	T	T	T
$i_4$	T	F	F

16. Existential

a. “there is a red dog”

```

1 >>> v('exists x.( RED(x) & DOG(x) )')
2 True

```

b. Computation

$x$	$\text{RED}(x)$	$\text{DOG}(x)$	$\text{RED}(x) \& \text{DOG}(x)$
$i_1$	T	T	T
$i_2$	F	T	F
$i_3$	T	F	F
$i_4$	F	F	F

c. Contrast with

```
1 >>> v('exists x.( BLUE(x) & CAT(x) )')
2 False
```

d. Computation

$x$	BLUE( $x$ )	CAT( $x$ )	BLUE( $x$ ) & CAT( $x$ )
$f$	F	F	F
$s$	T	F	F
$m$	F	T	F
$c$	F	F	F

## 17. CAUTION

a. Not this way!

```
1 >>> v('exists x.( BLUE(x) -> CAT(x) )')
2 True
```

b. Computation

$x$	BLUE( $x$ )	CAT( $x$ )	BLUE( $x$ ) $\rightarrow$ CAT( $x$ )
$f$	F	F	T
$s$	T	F	F
$m$	F	T	T
$c$	F	F	T

## 18. Set abstraction (actually, function abstraction)

a. How do we say “RED\_DOG(FIDO)”?

b. “RED\_DOG” =  $\lambda x. ( \text{RED}(x) \ \& \ \text{DOG}(x) )$

c. It is a function that maps individuals to true or false

```
1 >>> v('\x.( RED(x) & DOG(x) )')
2 {'i1': True, 'i3': False, 'i2': False, 'i4': False}
```

d. Apply it to Fido:

```
1 >>> v('\x.( RED(x) & DOG(x) ) (FIDO)')
2 True
3 >>> v('\x.( RED(x) & DOG(x) ) (SPOT)')
4 False
```

e. Same as replacing  $x$  with FIDO:

```
1 >>> v('RED(FIDO) & DOG(FIDO)')
2 True
```

## 19. Write lambda expressions:

a. “blue cat”

b. “something that is blue or a cat”

c. “non-barking dog”

- d. “cat that is neither a barker nor red”
  - e. “individuals that like Spot”
  - f. “dogs that like Spot”
  - g. “dogs that do not like Max”
  - h. “individuals that like every cat”
  - i. “non-self-liking individuals”
20. Write predicate calculus expressions for each of the following. If the sentence is ambiguous, write an expression for each reading.
- a. every red dog barks
  - b. Fido chases a big cat
  - c. there’s a dog that chases every orange cat
  - d. an orange cat chases each dog
  - e. a dog in every household likes Lassie
  - f. every dog that is in some household likes Lassie
  - g. there is an orange cat such that every dog that chases it gets scratched