Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS)



Combining YRBS Data Across Years and Sites

August 2020

Where can I get more information? Visit www.cdc.gov/yrbss or call 800-CDC-INFO (800-232-4636).



Introduction

Purpose

"Combining YRBS Data Across Years and Sites" describes best practices for combining data from multiple Youth Risk Behavior Surveys (YRBSs).

Contents

Introduction

Purpose

Contents

Background

General Guidance

Combining Data from the Same Site for Multiple Years

Combining Data from Multiple Sites from the Same Year

Combining Data from Multiple Sites for Multiple Years

Background

Reasons to combine YRBS datasets include:

- to increase analytic sample size,
- to increase the represented area or population (i.e., a region of the country), and
- to allow for analysis of changes in prevalence of a behavior across time (i.e., trend analysis).

YRBS data from the national, state, territorial, tribal, and large urban school district (district) surveys can be combined in three ways:

- 1. from the same site for multiple years,
- 2. from multiple sites for the same year, and
- 3. from multiple sites for multiple years.

This document is not intended to teach statistical methods or inference techniques and assumes the reader is familiar with the concepts referenced, for example, weighting methods, analysis of complex survey data, and statistical software and programming for analyzing YRBS data.

Readers unfamiliar with YRBS data should review:

- the latest national data documentation,
- Methodology of the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System—2013,
- Software for Analysis of YRBS Data,
- Interpretation of YRBS Trend Data,
- Conducting Trend Analyses of YRBS Data, and
- the <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u> page on the YRBS website.

General Guidance

<u>Do not</u> combine unweighted data with weighted data. The discussion in this document applies only to weighted YRBS data.

<u>Do not</u> combine *national* YRBS data with state, territorial, tribal, or district data.

Align data across and within years and sites prior to beginning analysis. Because each YRBS questionnaire is unique by year and site, review all related individual year and site documentation. Variable names and numbers may not be compatible, formats may not be compatible, and questions will be different across and within years and sites. Questions and their response options may differ across and within years and sites.

Use caution when combining data across different types of sites, for example, combining state data with district data (e.g., combining Massachusetts and Boston data). Doing so may introduce some overlap in the population overall and among some students specifically that may be difficult to interpret.

Finally, account for the combination of YRBS datasets in the design/nesting statement of your complex survey design software. PSUs or stratum values may be the same for multiple sites and/or years of data, so the design/nesting statements should account for this. In SUDAAN, it is possible to add another level to the NEST statement such as:

NEST sitenumber stratum psu / PSULEV=3;

or

NEST year stratum psu / PSULEV=3;

or

NEST year sitenumber stratum psu / PSULEV=4;

SUDAAN requires variables in the NEST statement to be numeric. The variable "sitenumber" is not provided but can be created by converting the variable "sitecode" to numeric values as needed. Sites need not be numbered

consecutively, but the number must be unique for each site. For the year variable, either "year" or "survyear" may be used even if the same variable is also used later in the same SUDAAN procedure. Consult your survey software package documentation for the correct syntax.

Combining Data from the Same Site for Multiple Years

Appropriate YRBSs

Combining data from the same site for multiple years is appropriate for national, state, territorial, tribal, and district YRBSs.

Examples:

- National YRBS 1991 through 2019
- Vermont YRBS 2001 through 2011
- Boston YRBS 2015 and 2017

Weighting Implications and Adjustments

The implications for survey weights are different when combining national data sets versus combining state, territorial, tribal, or district data sets. Additionally, for state, territorial, tribal, and district data sets, the implications are different depending on the type of analysis.

<u>Combining national YRBS data</u>: The national YRBS data are weighted to the sample size; that is, the weighted count of respondents is equal to the unweighted count of respondents. Therefore, there is no need to adjust the weights when combining multiple national YRBS datasets.

Combining state, territorial, tribal, or district YRBS data: State, territorial, tribal, and district YRBS data are weighted to represent the number of high school students in the jurisdiction; for example, the weighted sample size for the Vermont 2011 YRBS is approximately equal to the number of high school students in Vermont in 2011.

Weights will need to be adjusted depending on the analysis planned for state, territorial, tribal, and district data.

- *For trend analysis*: If the combined dataset is to be analyzed by year, there is no need to adjust the survey weights in the datasets.
- For an increase in sample size: If the data sets are combined to increase the sample size, then the weights for each year of data should be divided by the number of data sets combined. For example, for a combination of Boston 2015 and 2017, divide the student weights from each year by two to get the average population of students across years 2015 and 2017. For Boston 2013, 2015, and 2017, divide the student weights by three to get the average population of Boston students across years 2013, 2015, and 2017.
- In all examples above, be sure to add a year variable to the NEST statement in SUDAAN.

Combining Data from Multiple Sites for the Same Year

Appropriate YRBSs

Combining data from multiple sites for the same year is appropriate for state, territorial, tribal, and district YRBSs. It is never appropriate to combine national data with state, territorial, tribal, and/or district data.

Examples:

- Kentucky 2017, Illinois 2017, Michigan 2017, Indiana 2017.
- Boston 2015, Washington, D.C. 2015, New York City 2015.

Weighting Implications and Adjustments

There is no need to adjust the weights. Each state, territory, tribal, and/or district YRBS is weighted to be representative of its jurisdiction. Thus, the combined dataset is representative of students in the combined jurisdictions. Be sure to add a numerical variable to the NEST statement in SUDAAN that uniquely identifies each site.

Combining Data from Multiple Sites for Multiple Years

Appropriate YRBSs

Combining data from multiple sites for multiple years is appropriate for state, territorial, tribal, and district YRBSs. It is never appropriate to combine national data with state, territory, tribal, and/or district data.

Examples:

- Kentucky 2015 and 2017, Illinois 2015 and 2017, Michigan 2015 and 2017, Indiana 2015 and 2017.
- Boston 2015 and 2017, Washington, D.C. 2015 and 2017, New York City 2017.

Weighting Implications

- For trend analysis: If the data are to be analyzed by year, there is no need to adjust the survey weights in the combined data set.
- For an increase in sample size: The weights for each site should be divided by the number of years of data being used from that site. In the example above, for Boston and Washington DC 2015 and 2017, divide the student weights in each data set by two to get the average population of Boston and Washington DC students across years 2015 and 2017.
- Because only one year of New York City data is being used, there is no need to adjust the survey weights for students in the New York City data set.
- In the SUDAAN NEST statement, be sure to add a year variable and a numerical variable that uniquely identifies each site.