

A Domain Specific Language for Usage Management

Christopher C. Lamb, Pramod A. Jamkhedkar, Mathew P. Bohnsack,
Viswanath Nandina, Gregory L. Heileman

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
University of New Mexico

October 21, 2011



THE UNIVERSITY *of*
NEW MEXICO

Outline

Introduction

What motivated us to do this DSL?

- Easier domain representation
- Internal v. External DSL


What is motivating our work?

- Applying policy-centric usage management dynamically, incorporating into network fabrics
- Providing attribution and query capabilities to policies and licensure
- Creating dynamic flexible policy environments

We think this DSL will help is in our longer term goals.

Design — Notional Use

Notional Use:




- *DSL* — Domain specific language
- *Policy Language* — Language elements specific to *policy*

- *Context Language* — Language elements specific to *context*
- *Policy Specification* — Actual specification of policy
- *Context Specification* — Specification of context requirements
- *Policy Object* — An object embodying policy created from the DSL
- *Context Object* — An object containing context

Design — Use Cases


Use Cases:



- *Create Context* — Prior to creating a policy, the context in which that policy will be evaluated must be defined.
- *Create Policy* — A designer creates a new type of policy, embodied by specific extension elements or semantic constraints over existing elements. An author will use these to create an instance of a policy.
- *Evaluate Policy* — The policy is evaluated with a context.

Design — Domain Model

Domain Model:




The *Runtime* accesses and activates a *policy* and manages a *context* to which the policy is given a reference.

The *context* has access to information about the *environment*, *resource* managed, and the *subject* using the *resource*.

Interactions are described by specific *usage semantics* embodied in the *policy*.

Design — Usage Semantics

Usage Semantics:




A *policy evaluator* examines and rectifies both *permissions* and *Obligations*.

A *restricted activity* is a specialization of either a *permission* or *obligation*, and is associated with a specific *activity*.

The association between an *activity* and a *restricted activity* is embodied by a *constraint*, which is evaluated by a *constraint evaluator*.

Implementation — Lifecycle

Typical DSL Lifecycle:



Implementation — Attributes

Entity	Property (p)	Context	
		Domain (D_p)	Functions (F_p)
Environment (E)	OperatingSystem	{Windows, OSX, SELinux}	equatable
	Device	{Workstation, Handheld, Blackberry, Terminal}	equatable
	SecurityDomain	{ABNet, SECNet, TELNet, OMNINet}	comparable
Subject (S)	SecurityClearance	{Top Secret, Secret, Confidential}	comparable
	Project	{Zebra, Yuma, Lion}	equatable
	Role	{Alpha, Beta, Delta}	equatable
Resource(R)	SecurityClassification	{Top Secret, Secret, Confidential, Unclassified}	comparable

Environment (E):

Operating System \rightarrow {Windows, OSX, SELinux} \rightarrow equatable

Device \rightarrow {Workstation, Handheld, Blackberry, Terminal} \rightarrow equatable

Security Domain \rightarrow {ABNet, SECNet, TELNet, OMNINet} \rightarrow comparable

Subject(S):

SecurityClearance \rightarrow {Top Secret, Secret, Confidential} \rightarrow comparable

Project \rightarrow {Zebra, Yuma, Lion} \rightarrow equatable

Role \rightarrow {Alpha, Beta, Delta} \rightarrow equatable

Resource(S):

Classification \rightarrow {TopSecret, Secret, Confidential, Unclassified} \rightarrow comparable

Implementation — Properties

```
property :OperatingSystem do
  values :windows, :osx, :selinux
  functions :set, :get, :equatable
end

property :device do
  values :workstation, :handheld, :blackberry, :terminal
  functions :set, :get, :equatable
end

property :project do
  values :zebra, :yuma, :lion
  functions :set, :get, :equatable
end

property :role do
  values :alpha, :beta, :delta
  functions :set, :get, :equatable
end
```

Implementation — Properties

```
property :securitydomain do
  values :abnet, :secnet, :telnet, :omninet
  functions :set, :get, :comparable
  order :abnet, :secnet, :telnet, :omninet
end

property :securityclearance do
  values :topsecret, :secret, :confidential
  functions :set, :get, :comparable
  order :topsecret, :secret, :confidential
end

property :securityclassification do
  values :topsecret, :secret, :confidential,
        :unclassified
  functions :set, :get, :comparable
  order :topsecret, :secret, :confidential,
        :unclassified
end
```

Implementation — Entity, Context

```
entity :subject do
  contains :project, :role, :securityclearance
end

entity :environment do
  contains :device, :operatingsystem, :securitydomain
end

entity :resource do
  contains :securityclassification
end
```

```
context :multilevelsecurity do
  contains :subject, :resource, :environment
end
```

Implementation — Activities, Constraints

```
view = activity :view do
  # Some activity to enable viewing
end

c1 = constraint do
  securityclassification >= :secret
  && project == :yuma
  && securityclearance >= :secret
  && device == :blackberry
  && securitydomain >= :secret
end

restricted_view = restrict view do
  with c1
end
```

```
authorization = activity :project_authorization do
  is_authorized? :yuma
end
```

Implementation — Policies

```
pol = policy do
  policy_evaluators :standard
  constraint_evaluators :propositional
  permit restricted_view do
    when authorization
  end
end
```

```
pol = policy do
  policy_evaluators :standard
  constraint_evaluators :propositional
  permit restricted_view do
    when authorization
      count_limit restricted_view, 5
    end
  end
end
```

Implementation - Interface

- **permissions?()**. Returns the set of permissions for a given policy.
- **obligations?(a)**. Returns the set of all obligations associated with a given permission.
- **remaining_obligations(a)**. Returns the set of remaining obligations for a given permission.
- **remaining_count(a)**. Returns the set of remaining count for a given permission.
- **allowed?(a, ctx)**. A boolean function that returns *true/false* whether a given activity can be carried out under a given context.
- **reset()**. Resets the policy by resetting its state.

Application - CC REL

- The Creative Commons Rights Expression Language
- RDFa (Resource Description Framework in attributes) for HTML Web pages and resources referenced therein
- XMP (Extensible Metadata Platform) for stand-alone media
- http://wiki.creativecommons.org/CC_REL

Application - RDFa in HTML

- Can simply associate web content with a CC license:

```
<div about="" instanceof="cc:Work" xmlns:cc="http://creativecommons.org/ns#"
  xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/" align="center">

  <a rel="license" href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/">
  </a><br />

  <span property="dc:title">The Lessig Blog</span>,
  a <span rel="dc:type" href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Text">
  collection of texts</span>

  by <a property="cc:attributionName" rel="cc:attributionURL"
    href="http://lessig.org/"> Lawrence Lessig </a>,<br />


  is licensed under a
  <a rel="license" href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/">
  Creative Commons Attribution License</a>.<br />

  There are
  <a rel="cc:morePermissions" href="http://lessig.org/blog/other-license">
  alternative licensing options</a>
</div>
```

- But what are the semantics of
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>?

Application - License Deed Webpage


- Can a machine derive this page's semantics?


Attribution 3.0 Unported (CC BY 3.0)


This is a human-readable summary of the [Legal Code \(the full license\)](#)
[Disclaimer](#)

You are free:

- to Share** — to copy, distribute and transmit the work
- to Remix** — to adapt the work
- to make commercial use of the work



Under the following conditions:

-  **Attribution** — You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work).

With the understanding that:

- Waiver** — Any of the above conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.
- Public Domain** — Where the work or any of its elements is in the public domain under applicable law, that status is in no way affected by the license.
- Other Rights** — In no way are any of the following rights affected by the license:
 - Your fair dealing or fair use rights, or other applicable copyright exceptions and limitations;
 - The author's moral rights;
 - Rights other persons may have either in the work itself or in how the work is used, such as publicity or privacy rights.
- Notice** — For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work. The best way to do this is with a link to this web page.

Application - RDF Embedded


- The previous webpage contains the following embedded RDF:

```
<!-- RDF code here for backwards compatibility. Please use the
      license's RDFa instead. -->
<!-- <rdf:RDF xmlns="http://creativecommons.org/ns#" xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf
      -syntax-ns#">
<License rdf:about="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/">
  <permits rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#DerivativeWorks"/>
  <permits rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Distribution"/>
  <permits rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Reproduction"/>
  <requires rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Attribution"/>
  <requires rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Notice"/>
</License>
</rdf:RDF> -->
```

- From this, a machine can determine that this license:
 - Permits:
 - #DerivativeWorks, #Distribution, #Reproduction
 - Requires:
 - #Attribution, #Notice
- However, what do these things mean? How are they implemented?

Application - RDFa Embedded

- In addition to the RDF shown on previous slide, CC License Deeds also have embedded RDFa
- You can see that a machine can parse this data with something like the RDFa Distiller and Parser Tool:



The screenshot shows a web browser window with the address bar displaying `www.w3.org/2007/08/pyRdfa/`. The page features the W3C and Semantic Web logos at the top. Below the logos, the title "RDFa Distiller and Parser" is displayed. A paragraph of text explains that for regular use on a large scale, users should consider downloading the package and using it locally, rather than relying on the live service to avoid overloading the server. The interface includes three tabs: "Distill by URI", "Distill by File Upload", and "Distill by Direct Text Input". The "Distill by URI" tab is active, showing a form with a text input field for the "URI of HTML or SVG File" containing the URL `http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/`. Below this, there is a dropdown menu for "Output Format" set to "RDF/XML". A link for "More Options" is visible, and a "Go!" button is at the bottom of the form.

Application - RDFa Distiller

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<rdf:RDF
  xmlns:cc="http://creativecommons.org/ns#"
  xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
  xmlns:dct="http://purl.org/dc/terms/"
  xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
  xmlns:xml="http://www.w3.org/XML/1998/namespace"
>
  <rdf:Description rdf:about="http://creativecommons.org/">
    <dct:title xml:lang="en">Creative Commons</dct:title>
    <dc:title xml:lang="en">Creative Commons</dc:title>
  </rdf:Description>

  <cc:License rdf:about="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/">
    ...
    <dct:creator rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/">
    <dc:creator rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/">
    <cc:requires rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Attribution"/>
    <cc:requires rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Notice"/>
    <cc:permits rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#DerivativeWorks"/>
    <cc:permits rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Reproduction"/>
    <cc:permits rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Distribution"/>
    <dct:identifier xml:lang="en">CC BY 3.0</dct:identifier>
    <dct:title xml:lang="en">Attribution 3.0 Unported</dct:title>
    <dc:identifier xml:lang="en">CC BY 3.0</dc:identifier>
  </cc:License>
</rdf:RDF>
```

Application - CC RDF Schema

- License RDF(a) references `#DerivativeWorks`, etc., in the CC namespace that's defined by a schema that's human-readable and machine-readable RDF.
- But... how immediately machine actionable is this schema?
- Partial screenshot below:



- We would like to investigate replacing or augmenting RDF(a) in the license deed with a license that's described with our DSL

Application - DSL

- By investigating replacing the contents of a license like <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/> with something that expresses the license in terms our DSL, we hope to:
 - Maintain equivalent license semantics
 - Express the semantics in a form that is easier for humans to read and write
 - Enable a machine to more directly execute the license and reason over it

Conclusions

- Internal DSLs are convenient, but probably not appropriate for real systems
- Overall we like the DSL but could do without some of the Ruby cruft (e.g. **do...end**, etc.)
- Application and Optimization

Questions?

Chris Lamb: cclamb@ece.unm.edu

Pramod Jamkhedkar: pramod54@ece.unm.edu

Greg Heileman: heileman@ece.unm.edu

Mathew P. Bohnsack: bohnsack@gmail.com

Viswanath Nandina: vishu@ece.unm.edu