A Domain Specific Language for Usage Management

Christopher C. Lamb, Pramod A. Jamkhedkar, Mathew P. Bohnsack, Viswanath Nandina, Gregory L. Heileman

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of New Mexico

October 21, 2011



Outline

1 Introduction

2 Design

3 Implementation

4 Application

Introduction

Intro content



Notional Use: | DSL | | design | Context | Langauge | Langauge | designers | Langauge | designers | Context | designers | Context | Specification | Specifica

interpretation

generate

Context

Object

• *DSL* — Domain specific language

policy


specifiers

generate

Policy Object


Policy Language — Language elements specific to policy

- Context Language Language elements specific to context
- Policy Specification Actual specification of policy
- Context Specification Specification of context requirements
- Policy Object An object embodying policy created from the DSL
- Context Object An object containing context



- *DSL* Domain specific language
- Policy Language Language elements specific to policy


- Context Language Language elements specific to context
- Policy Specification Actual specification of policy
- Context Specification Specification of context requirements
- Policy Object An object embodying policy created from the DSL
- Context Object An object containing context



- *DSL* Domain specific language
- Policy Language Language elements specific to policy

- Context Language Language elements specific to context
- Policy Specification Actual specification of policy
- Context Specification Specification of context requirements
- Policy Object An object embodying policy created from the DSL
- Context Object An object containing context






- *DSL* Domain specific language
- Policy Language Language elements specific to policy

- Context Language Language elements specific to context
- Policy Specification Actual specification of policy
- Context Specification Specification of context requirements
- Policy Object An object embodying policy created from the DSL
- Context Object An object containing context






- *DSL* Domain specific language
- Policy Language Language elements specific to policy

- Context Language Language elements specific to context
- Policy Specification Actual specification of policy
- Context Specification Specification of context requirements
- Policy Object An object embodying policy created from the DSL
- Context Object An object containing context






- *DSL* Domain specific language
- Policy Language Language elements specific to policy

- Context Language Language elements specific to context
- Policy Specification Actual specification of policy
- Context Specification Specification of context requirements
- Policy Object An object embodying policy created from the DSL
- Context Object An object containing context






- *DSL* Domain specific language
- Policy Language Language elements specific to policy

- Context Language Language elements specific to context
- Policy Specification Actual specification of policy
- Context Specification Specification of context requirements
- Policy Object An object embodying policy created from the DSL
- Context Object An object containing context









- *DSL* Domain specific language
- Policy Language Language elements specific to policy

- Context Language Language elements specific to context
- Policy Specification Actual specification of policy
- Context Specification Specification of context requirements
- Policy Object An object embodying policy created from the DSL
- Context Object An object containing context



- Create Context Prior to creating a policy, the context in which that policy will be evaluated must be defined.
- Create Policy A designer creates a new type of policy, embodied by specific extension elements or semantic constraints over existing elements. An author will use these to create an instance of a policy.
- Evaluate Policy The policy is evaluated with a context






- Create Context Prior to creating a policy, the context in which that policy will be evaluated must be defined.
- Create Policy A designer creates a new type of policy, embodied by specific extension elements or semantic constraints over existing elements. An author will use these to create an instance of a policy.
- Evaluate Policy The policy is evaluated with a context








- Create Context Prior to creating a policy, the context in which that policy will be evaluated must be defined.
- Create Policy A designer creates a new type of policy, embodied by specific extension elements or semantic constraints over existing elements. An author will use these to create an instance of a policy.
- Evaluate Policy The policy is evaluated with a context








- Create Context Prior to creating a policy, the context in which that policy will be evaluated must be defined.
- Create Policy A designer creates a new type of policy, embodied by specific extension elements or semantic constraints over existing elements. An author will use these to create an instance of a policy.
- Evaluate Policy The policy is evaluated with a context.









The *Runtime* accesses and activates a *policy* and manages a *context* to which the policy is given a reference

The context has access to information about the environment, resource managed, and the subject using the resource.




The *Runtime* accesses and activates a *policy* and manages a *context* to which the policy is given a reference.

The context has access to information about the environment, resource managed, and the subject using the resource.



The *Runtime* accesses and activates a *policy* and manages a *context* to which the policy is given a reference.


The *context* has access to information about the *environment*, *resource* managed, and the *subject* using the *resource*.



The *Runtime* accesses and activates a *policy* and manages a *context* to which the policy is given a reference.

The *context* has access to information about the *environment*, *resource* managed, and the *subject* using the *resource*.


Usage Semantics:



A policy evaluator examines and rectifies both permissions and Obligations.

A restricted activity is a specialization of either a permission or obligation, and is associated with a specific activity.


Usage Semantics:



A policy evaluator examines and rectifies both permissions and Obligations.

A restricted activity is a specialization of either a permission or obligation, and is associated with a specific activity.


Usage Semantics:



A policy evaluator examines and rectifies both permissions and Obligations.

A restricted activity is a specialization of either a permission or obligation, and is associated with a specific activity.

Usage Semantics:




A policy evaluator examines and rectifies both permissions and Obligations.

A restricted activity is a specialization of either a permission or obligation, and is associated with a specific activity.

Implementation — Lifecycle

Typical DSL Lifecycle:



Implementation — Attributes

Context			
Entity	Property (p)	Domain (D _p)	Functions (F_p)
Environment (E)	OperatingSystem	{Windows, OSX, SELinux}	equatable
	Device	{Workstation, Handheld, Blackberry, Terminal}	equatable
	SecurityDomain	{ ABNet, SECNet, TELNet, OMNINet}	comparable
Subject (S)	SecurityClearance	{Top Secret, Secret, Confidential}	comparable
	Project	{Zebra, Yuma, Lion}	equatable
	Role	{Alpha, Beta, Delta}	equatable
Resource(R)	SecurityClassification	{ Top Secret, Secret, Confidential, Unclassified }	comparable

Environment (E):

Operating System \rightarrow {Windows, OSX, SELinux} \rightarrow equatable Device \rightarrow {Workstation, Handheld, Blackberry, Terminal} \rightarrow equatable Security Domain \rightarrow {ABNet, SECNet, TELNet, OMNINet} \rightarrow comparable Subject(S):

SecurityClearance \rightarrow {Top Secret, Secret, Confidential} \rightarrow comparable Project \rightarrow {Zebra, Yuma, Lion} \rightarrow equatable Role \rightarrow {Alpha, Beta, Delta} \rightarrow equatable

Resource(S):

Classification \rightarrow {TopSecret, Secret, Confidential, Unclassified} \rightarrow comparable



Implementation — Properties

```
property : OperatingSystem do
 values :windows, :osx, :selinux
 functions :set. :get. :equatable
end
property : device do
 values :workstation, :handheld, :blackberry, :terminal
 functions :set. :get. :equatable
end
property : project do
 values :zebra. :vuma. :lion
 functions :set, :get, :equatable
end
property :role do
 values :alpha, :beta, :delta
 functions :set, :get, :equatable
end
```

Implementation — Properties

```
property : securitydomain do
 values :abnet, :secnet, :telnet, :omninet
 functions :set. :get. :comparable
 order :abnet. :secnet. :telnet. :omninet
end
property : securityclearance do
 values :topsecret. :secret. :confidential
 functions :set, :get, :comparable
 order :topsecret. :secret. :confidential
end
property : securityclassification do
 values :topsecret, :secret, :confidential,
    :unclassified
 functions :set, :get, :comparable
 order :topsecret. :secret. :confidential.
    ·unclassified
end
```

Implementation — Entity, Context

```
entity :subject do
    contains :project, :role, :securityclearance
end

entity :environment do
    contains :device, :operatingsystem, :securitydomain
end

entity :resource do
    contains :securityclassification
end
```

```
context :multilevelsecurity do contains :subject, :resource, :environment end
```

Implementation — Entity, Context

```
entity :subject do
    contains :project, :role, :securityclearance
end

entity :environment do
    contains :device, :operatingsystem, :securitydomain
end

entity :resource do
    contains :securityclassification
end
```

```
context :multilevelsecurity do
    contains :subject, :resource, :environment
end
```

Implementation — Activities, Constraints

```
view = activity :view do
    # Some activity to enable viewing
end

c1 = constraint do
    securityclassification >= :secret
    && project == :yuna
    && securityclearance >= :secret
    && device == :blackberry
    && securitydomain >= :secnet
end

restricted_view = restrict view do
    with c1
end
```

```
authorization = activity :project_authorization do is_authorized? :yuma end
```

Implementation — Activities, Constraints

```
view = activity :view do
    # Some activity to enable viewing
end

c1 = constraint do
    securityclassification >= :secret
    && project == :yuna
    && securityclearance >= :secret
    && device == :blackberry
    && securitydomain >= :secnet
end

restricted_view = restrict view do
    with c1
end
```

```
authorization = activity :project_authorization do is_authorized? :yuma end
```

Implementation — Policies

```
pol = policy_do
policy_evaluators :standard
constraint_evauators :propositional
permit restricted_view do
when authorization
end
end
```

```
pol = policy do
  policy_evaluators :standard
  constraint_evauators :propositional
  permit restricted_view do
   when authorization
   count_limit restricted_view, 5
end
```

Implementation — Policies

```
pol = policy do
    policy_evaluators :standard
    constraint_evauators :propositional
    permit restricted_view do
    when authorization
    end
end
```

```
pol = policy do
  policy_evaluators :standard
  constraint_evauators :propositional
  permit restricted_view do
   when authorization
    count_limit restricted_view, 5
  end
end
```

Application - CC REL

- The Creative Commons Rights Expression Language
- RDFa (Resource Description Framework in attributes) for HTML
 Web pages and resources referenced therein
- XMP (Extensible Metadata Platform) for stand-alone media
- http://wiki.creativecommons.org/CC_REL

Application - RDFa in HTML

Can simply associate web content with a CC license:

```
<div about="" instanceof="cc:Work" xmlns:cc="http://creativecommons.org/ns#"</pre>
     xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/" align="center">
    <a rel="license" href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/">
    <img alt="Creative Commons License"</pre>
         src="http://i.creativecommons.org/l/by/3.0/us/88x31.png" /></a><br/>br />
    <span property="dc:title">The Lessig Blog</span>,
    a <span rel="dc:type" href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Text">
    collection of texts</span>
   by <a property="cc:attributionName" rel="cc:attributionURL"
        href="http://lessig.org/"> Lawrence Lessig </a>, <br />
    is licensed under a
    <a rel="license" href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/">
    Creative Commons Attribution License</a>.<br />
    There are
   <a rel="cc:morePermissions" href="http://lessig.org/blog/other-license">
   alternative licensing options </a>
</div>
```

 But what are the semantics of http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/?



Application - License Deed Webpage

• Can a machine derive this page's semantics?





Application - RDF Embedded

The previous webpage contains the following embedded RDF:

- From this, a machine can determine that this license:
 - Permits:
 - #DerivativeWorks, #Distribution, #Reproduction
 - Requires:
 - #Attribution. #Notice
- However, what do these things mean? How are they implemented?

Application - RDFa Embedded

- In addition to the RDF shown on previous slide, CC License Deeds also have embedded RDFa
- You can see that a machine can parse this data with something like the RDFa Distiller and Parser Tool:



Application - RDFa Distiller

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<rdf:RDF
  xmlns:cc="http://creativecommons.org/ns#"
  xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
  xmlns:dct="http://purl.org/dc/terms/"
  xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-svntax-ns#"
  xmlns:xml="http://www.w3.org/XML/1998/namespace"
  <rdf:Description rdf:about="http://creativecommons.org/">
    <dct:title xml:lang="en">Creative Commons</dct:title>
    <dc:title xml:lang="en">Creative Commons</dc:title>
  </rdf:Description>
  <cc:License rdf:about="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bv/3.0/">
    <dct:creator rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/"/>
    <dc:creator rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/"/>
    <cc:requires rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Attribution"/>
    <cc:requires rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Notice"/>
    <cc:permits rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#DerivativeWorks"/>
    <cc:permits rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Reproduction"/>
    <cc:permits rdf:resource="http://creativecommons.org/ns#Distribution"/>
    <dct:identifier xml:lang="en">CC BY 3.0</dct:identifier>
    <dct:title xml:lang="en">Attribution 3.0 Unported</dct:title>
    <dc:identifier xml:lang="en">CC BY 3.0</dc:identifier>
  </cc:License>
</rdf:RDF>
```

Application - CC RDF Schema

- License RDF(a) references #DerivativeWorks, etc., in the CC namespace that's defined by a schema that's human-readable and machine-readable RDF.
- But... how immediately machine actionable is this schema?
- Partial screenshot below:



• We would like to investigate replacing or augmenting RDF(a) in the license deed with a license that's described with our DSL



Application - DSL

- By investigating replacing the contents of a license like http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/ with something that expresses the license in terms our DSL, we hope to:
 - Maintain equivalent license semantics
 - Express the semantics in a form that is easier for humans to read and write
 - Enable a machine to more directly execute the license and reason over it

Sample — Thing