# Linear in the parameters models and GP

Carl Edward Rasmussen

October 13th, 2016

### Key concepts

- We give an interpretation of the marginal likelihood in terms of
  - · a data fit
  - a complexity penalty
- covariance functions can be parameterized using hyperparameters
- hyperparameters can be fit by optimizing the marginal likelihood
  - this is a form of model selection
- Occam's razor is automatic and avoids overfitting

#### From random functions to covariance functions

Consider the class of linear functions:

$$f(x) = ax + b$$
, where  $a \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \alpha)$ , and  $b \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta)$ .

We can compute the mean function:

$$\mu(x) = E[f(x)] = \iint f(x)p(a)p(b)dadb = \int axp(a)da + \int bp(b)db = 0,$$

and covariance function:

$$\begin{split} k(x,x') &= \mathsf{E}[(f(x)-0)(f(x')-0)] \\ &= \int\!\!\!\int (ax+b)(ax'+b)p(a)p(b)dadb \\ &= \int\!\!\!a^2xx'p(a)da + \int\!\!\!b^2p(b)db + (x+x')\int\!\!\!\!abp(a)p(b)dadb \\ &= \alpha xx' + \beta x + \beta$$

## From finite linear models to Gaussian processes (1)

Finite linear model with Gaussian priors on the weights:

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m \, \phi_m(x) \qquad p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; \, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A})$$

The joint distribution of any  $\mathbf{f} = [f(x_1), \dots, f(x_N)]^{\top}$  is a multivariate Gaussian – this looks like a Gaussian Process!

The prior p(f) is fully characterized by the *mean* and *covariance* functions.

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathsf{f}(\mathbf{x})) = \int \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} w_k \phi_m(\mathbf{x})\right) p(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m(\mathbf{x}) \int w_m p(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w}$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m(\mathbf{x}) \int w_m p(w_m) dw_m = 0$$

The mean function is zero.

# From finite linear models to Gaussian processes (2)

#### Covariance function of a finite linear model

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(x) \ = \ \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m \, \varphi_m(x) \ = \ \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x) \\ p(\mathbf{w}) \ = \ \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; \ \mathbf{0}, A) \end{array} \qquad \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x) = [\varphi_1(x), \ldots, \varphi_M(x)]^\top_{(M \times 1)}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \mathbf{Cov_w} \big( \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_j) \big) = \mathbf{E_w} \big( \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_j) \big) - \underbrace{\mathbf{E_w} \big( \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i) \big) \mathbf{E_w} \big( \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_j) \big)}_{0} \\ &= \int ... \int \Big( \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{l=1}^{M} w_k w_l \varphi_k(\mathbf{x}_i) \varphi_l(\mathbf{x}_j) \Big) p(\mathbf{w}) \, d\mathbf{w} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{l=1}^{M} \varphi_k(\mathbf{x}_i) \varphi_l(\mathbf{x}_j) \underbrace{\iint w_k w_l p(w_k, w_l) dw_k dw_l}_{A_{kl}} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{l=1}^{M} A_{kl} \varphi_k(\mathbf{x}_i) \varphi_l(\mathbf{x}_j) \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}) = \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_{i})^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_{j})$$

Note: If  $A = \sigma_w^2 I$  then  $k(x_i, x_j) = \sigma_w^2 \sum_{k=1}^M \varphi_k(x_i) \varphi_k(x_j) = \sigma_w^2 \varphi(x_i)^\top \varphi(x_j)$ 

# GPs and Linear in the parameters models are equivalent

We've seen that a Linear in the parameters model, with a Gaussian prior on the weights is also a GP.

Note the different computational complexity: GP:  $O(N^3)$ , linear model  $O(NM^2)$  where M is the number of basis functions and N the number of training cases. So, which representation is most efficient?

Might it also be the case that every GP corresponds to a Linear in the parameters model? (Mercer's theorem.)