It is important to clarify that a poor score for an article does not imply its exclusion; the score obtained for each of the articles allows us to order them and to know their relevance to the research topic.

Table. Quality assessment criteria.

#	Question	Score assigned to the possible answers		
		+1	0	-1
1	The study proposes a model or technique described in detail to specify agile functional requirements in software projects.	Yes	Partially	No
2	The study contemplates the use of GORE models in the specification of functional requirements in agile projects.	Yes	Partially	No
3	The study validates the proposal to specify agile functional requirements.	Through a case study.	Through a focus group.	Not validated.
4	The study sets out in a clear and detailed manner the results obtained after applying the proposed agile functional requirements specification.	Yes	Partially	No
5	The study contains detailed guidelines for the transformation of the requirements specification to its documentation.	Yes	Partially	No
6	The study has been published in a relevant journal, conference or congress.	Very relevant (JCR greater than 2.0). Relevant (JCR between 1.0 and 2.0). Not relevant (JCR less than 1.0 or not listed).	Very relevant (JCR greater than 2.0).Relevant (JCR between 1.0 and 2.0). Not relevant (JCR less than 1.0 or not listed).	Very relevant (JCR greater than 2.0).Relevant (JCR between 1.0 and 2.0).Not relevant (JRC is less than 1.0 or not listed).
7	The study has been cited by other authors (according to the Google Scholar citation index).	By more than five authors.	Between one and five authors.	He has not been cited.
8	The ideas put forward are new and interesting and may provide a new approach to dealing with functional requirements specification.	Yes	Partially	No