Charles Christopher Hyland

Semester 1 2019

Abstract

Thank you for stopping by to read this. These are notes collated from lectures and tutorials as I took this course.

Contents

1.1 E	xamples of control and game problems
1.1.1	Introduction
1.1.2	Examples of control and game problems
2.1.3	Further examples of control and game problems
3.2 M	ath Revision
3.2.1	Linear Algebra Review
3.2.2	Analysis Review
4.3 C	onvex Sets
4.3.1	Hyperplanes
5.3.2	Projection Theorem
5.3.3	Separation Theorem
6.4 C	onvex Functions
6.4.1	Convex Functions
6.4.2	Jensen's Inequality
6.4.3	Legendre-Fenchel Transform
	tatic Optimisation
7.5.1	Infima and suprema and differentiable functions
7.5.2	Minimisation problem
8.5.3	Necessary Conditions for Optimality
8.5.4	Sufficient Conditions for Optimality
9.5.5	Optimisation over constraints
	uality
10.6.1	Primary Problem Optimisation
11.7 R	eview of ODEs
11.7.1	Absolutely Continuous Functions
11.7.2	Existence and uniqueness of solutions
	Linear Equations
11.7.4	Time-inhomogenous linear system
11.7.5	Linear Time Invariant System
12.8 C	ontrollability of Linear Systems
	Controllability of Linear Systems
	Observability of Linear Systems
13.9 K	alman Rank Condition
	Kalman Rank Condition
	ontrollability of nonlinear systems
	Controllability of nonlinear systems
14.10.2	Lie Algebraic Conditions
	eview of ODEs: Dependence of Initial Conditions
	Flow Property
15.11.2	Dependence on initial conditions

16.12 Necessary Conditions of Optimality	1
16.12.1 The control problem without constraints	1
17.12.2 Maximum principle	1
17.12.3 Maximum principle for unconstrained problems	1
18.13 Linear Quadratic Regulator	1
18.13.1 Linear Quadratic Regulator	1
19.14 Time Optimal Control	1
19.14.1 Time Optimal Control	1
19.14.2 General Result of PMP	1
20.15 Dynamic Programming Principle	1
20.15.1 Dynamic Programming Principle	1
21.15.2 HJB Equations	1
22.16 Discounted Cost Control Problem	1
22.16.1 Problem Formulation	1
22.16.2 Dynamic Programming Principle	1
22.16.3 HJB Equation in Discounted Cost Control Problem	1
23.16.4 Problem Formulation	1
23.16.5 Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation	1
24.17 Probability Theory	1
24.17.1 Introduction to Probability Theory	1
25.17.2 Conditional Expectation	1
26.17.3 Introduction to Martingales	1
26.17.4 Properties of Martingales	2
27.17.5 Markov Chains	1
28.18 Stochastic Control Theory	1
28.18.1 Introduction to Stochastic Control	1
30.19 Bellman Theorem for finite horizon	1
30.19.1 Bellman Theorem for finite horizon	1
31.19.2 Dynamic Programming Principle	1
31.19.3 Time-Homogenous Markov Chain	1
33.20 Bellman theorem for infinite horizon	1
33.20.1 Bellman theorem for infinite horizon	1
34.21 Stochastic Linear Quadratic Regulator	1
34.21.1 Stochastic Linear Quadratic Regulator	1
35.22 Optimal Stopping	1
35.22.1 Finite Horizon Problem	1
36.22.2 Infinite Horizon Problem	1

1. Examples of control and game problems

1.1 Examples of control and game problems

1.1.1 Introduction

Control theory is the field that deals with the control of continuously operating dynamical systems. The objective is to develop a control model for controlling such systems using a control action in an optimal manner to ensure we do not delay or overshoot the solution whilst ensuring control stability.

Here, we have a system of ordinary differential equations depending on some parameters $u \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and the function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)).$$

 \dot{x} describes how the system evolves over time whilst $\dot{x}(t)$ looks at how the system evolves at time t.

Generally, control problem separate variables into two classes: the state (phase) variables and the control variables.

Definition 1.1 (Control). A control is a bounded measurable function

$$u(.):[a,b]\to U.$$

Definition 1.2 (Trajectory). A trajectory of a control u is a map $\gamma(.):[a,b] \to M$ where γ is **Lipschitz continuous** on every chart such that the differential equation $\dot{x} = f(x,u)$ is satisfied.

Remark 1.3 Corresponding to each control, the trajectory X(.) is also known as the response of the system.

For each initial point x_0 , there many trajectories depending on the choice of the control parameters u. There are 2 types of control:

- 1. **Open loop.** Choose u as a function of time t. The control is independent of the state variable.
- 2. Closed loop. Choose u as a function of state variable x.

Definition 1.4 (Piecewise Continuous Function). A real valued function $u(t), t_0 \le t \le t_1$ is said to be piecewise continuous, denoted $u \in \hat{C}[t_0, t_1]$ if there is a finite partition $t_0 = \theta_0 < \theta_1 < ... < \theta_{N+1} = t_1$ such that the function u is continuous on the interval $[\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}]$ for each k = 0, 1, ..., n.

Definition 1.5 (Admissible control). A piecewise continuous control u(.), defined on some time interval $t_0 \le t \le t_1$, with a range in the control region U

$$u(t) \in U$$

for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$.

Corollary 1.6 Every admissible control is bounded.

We want to evaluate the performance of the system quantitatively.

Definition 1.7 (Cost functional). A cost functional is a function of the state and control variables.

We will revise all this in more detail later on.

1.1.2 Examples of control and game problems

Definition 1.8 (Goddard problem). The Goddard problem is to optimize the altitude of a rocket, ascending vertically, and taking into account atmospheric drag and the gravitational field.

Definition 1.9 (Mayer problem). Optimising a cost functional which depends only on the terminal state.

$$J_T(\hat{U}) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}_t} h^u(T).$$

Definition 1.10 (Bolza problem). Optimising a cost functional which depends on the lagrangian and the terminal state.

Definition 1.11 (Minimal energy problem). Optimising to find a solution u which is a solution to the differential equation and uses the least energy, defined as

$$||u||^2 = ||u||_T^2 = \int_0^T |u(t)|^2 dt.$$

Definition 1.12 (Minimal time problem). We look for the control that will take us from the initial state to the terminal state in the minimal time. We require that the control is in L^2 to prevent arbitrarily large controls. We define the **exit time**

$$T^u = \inf\{t \ge 0 : we \ reach \ final \ state\}$$

and set $T^u = \infty$ if we cannot reach the final state. The cost functional to minimise is

$$J(u) = \int_0^{T^u} 1dt.$$

2. Further examples of control and game problems

2.1.3 Further examples of control and game problems

Definition 2.13 (Optimal Stopping Problem). Optimal stopping problem is concerned with the problem of choosing a time to take a particular action, in order to maximise an expected reward. An example would be the house selling problem.

Definition 2.14 (Optimal control with incomplete information). The states X_t evolve randomly and hence we attempt to minimise the cost functional as a result of this.

3. Math Revision

3.2 Math Revision

3.2.1 Linear Algebra Review

Remark 3.15 A d-dimensional vector x will always be a column vector. Sometimes, we write $x = (x_i)$.

Definition 3.16 (Dot product). For two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we write the dot product as

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i y_i.$$

Lemma 3.17 We have the following properties of the dot product.

- 1. $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$,
- 2. $\langle ax + by, z \rangle = a \langle x, z \rangle + b \langle y, z \rangle$.

Lemma 3.18 We say that the vectors $a_1,...,a_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is linearly independent if for some real numbers $\alpha_1,...,\alpha_k$ we have that for

$$\alpha_1 a_1 + \alpha_2 a_2 + \ldots + \alpha_k a_k$$

then $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0$.

Definition 3.19 (Euclidean norm). The length or Euclidean norm of a vector x is given by

$$|x| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i^2}.$$

Theorem 3.20 (Cauchy-Schwartz inequality). Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \le |x| \circ |y|$$
.

Moreover, equality holds if and only if x and y are linearly independent.

Lemma 3.21 Consider a matrix A with n rows and m columns. Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we have $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and we can identify A with a linear mapping $A : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 3.22 We define the set of all linear mappings from $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ as $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 3.23 (Hilbert-Schmidt Matrix Norm). We define the norm of a matrix as

$$||A|| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Definition 3.24 (Orthogonal). Let (V, \langle, \rangle) be a Hilbert space. Two vectors u and v are said to be orthogonal if

$$\langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

Definition 3.25 (Adjoint). Let (U, \langle , \rangle_U) and $(V, \langle . \rangle_V)$ be Hilbert spaces with their respective inner products and let $A: U \to V$. Let A be continuous and linear. Then the adjoint of A is the continuous linear operator

$$A^*: V \to U$$

such that

$$\langle v, Au \rangle_V = \langle A^*v, u \rangle_U$$

for $u \in U$ and $v \in V$.

We can extend on the ideas of adjoints by looking at self-adjoint maps.

Definition 3.26 (Self-adjoint/Hermitian map). Let (H, \langle, \rangle) and let A be linear continuous function such that $A: H \to H$ and from the previous part, its adjoint is defined to be $A^*: H \to H$. A is self-adjoint if $A = A^*$ or equivalently

$$\langle x, Ay \rangle = \langle Ax, y \rangle.$$

Definition 3.27 (Hermitian matrices). Let A be a self-adjoint mapping and let A be a matrix such that $A \sim A$. The map A is self-adjoint if and only if the matrix A is Hermitian i.e.

$$A = A^*$$
.

In other words, the matrix is equal to its complex conjugate transpose $(a_{ij} = \bar{a_{ji}})$.

Remark 3.28 In \mathbb{R}^n , a matrix is Hermitian if it is equal to its transpose.

Definition 3.29 (Unitary matrix). A square matrix U is unitary if and only if

$$UU^* = U^*U = I.$$

Definition 3.30 (Nonnegative definite). A matrix A is nonnegative definite if

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We say a matrix is positive definite if

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

3.2.2 Analysis Review

Definition 3.31 (Open ball). An open ball with center at x_0 with radius r is defined to be

$$B_r(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - x_0| < r\}.$$

Remark 3.32 We define a closed ball as

$$B_r(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - x_0| \le r\}.$$

Definition 3.33 (Cone). A set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a cone with vertex at 0 if for $x \in C$, then $ax \in C$ for all a > 0.

Remark 3.34 For any arbitrary $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the set $x_0 + C$ is called the cone with vertex at x_0 .

Definition 3.35 (Closed set). A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is closed if it contains all its limiting points i.e. for a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1} \in A$, such that $x_n \to x$, then $x \in A$.

Definition 3.36 (Bounded). A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded if it is contained in a certain centered ball of sufficiently large radius r.

Definition 3.37 (Compact). A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is compact if it is closed and bounded.

Definition 3.38 (Heine-Borel). Let A be compact and let $(x_n) \subset A$. Then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k}$ and a point $x \in A$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} = x.$$

4. Hyperplanes

4.3 Convex Sets

4.3.1 Hyperplanes

Definition 4.39 (Affine set). A set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is affine if for any $x_1, x_2 \in C$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in C.$$

i.e. The line passing through any two points in C lies in C.

Remark 4.40 We can generalise this to an **affine combination** with $\theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + ... + \theta_n x_n$ and $\theta_1 + ... + \theta_n = 1$.

Definition 4.41 (Convex set). A set C is convex if for any $x_1, x_2 \in C$ and any θ with $0 \le \theta \le 1$, we have

$$\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in C.$$

i.e. The line segment between any two points in C lies in C.

Lemma 4.42 Every affine set is a convex set.

Definition 4.43 (Hyperplane). Let $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. Let

$$A(h,\alpha) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, h \rangle = \alpha\}.$$

Then the set A is affine and also called a hyperplane.

Remark 4.44 If we let $\alpha = 0$ and construct the hyperplane A(h,0), this is a vector space of dimension (d - 1) consisting of all vectors x perpendicular to h.

Remark 4.45 You can think of the hyperplane as the set of vectors that are orthogonal to the vector h. The α constant determines how far away the hyperplane is from the origin.

Lemma 4.46 A hyperplane is a convex set.

Lemma 4.47 A hyperplane cuts the space into two open convex half-spaces

$$A_{\leq} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, h \rangle < \alpha \}.$$

$$A_{>} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, h \rangle > \alpha \}.$$

 $\mathbf{Lemma}\ \mathbf{4.48}\ A\ hyperplane\ H\ contains\ a\ set\ C\ in\ one\ of\ its\ halfspaces\ when$

$$\langle x, h \rangle = \alpha$$

for all $x \in C$.

Lemma 4.49 Any ball $B(x_0, r)$ is convex.

Lemma 4.50 Let $\{C_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a family of convex sets $C_j\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$C = \bigcap_{j \in J} C_j$$

is convex.

5. Projection and separation theorems

5.3.2 Projection Theorem

Definition 5.51 (Distance). Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The distance from x_0 to A is defined as

$$dist(x_0, A) = \inf\{||x_0 - a||: a \in A\}.$$

Remark 5.52 The point $z \in A$ such that $||x_0 - z||$ is the infimum is referred to as the **projection** of x_0 onto A.

Remark 5.53 Note that being a closed set is a sufficient condition for a set to be **proximal** in a finite-dimensional space. In order to upgrade the set to be a Chebychev set, we require the set to also be conve.

Theorem 5.54 (Projection Theorem). Let $C \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be closed and convex set. Let $x \notin C$. Then there exists a unique point $P_C(x) \in C$ such that

$$|x - P_C(x)| = \inf_{c \in C} |x = c|.$$

 $P_C(x)$ is called the **projection** of x onto c.

Theorem 5.55 (Properties of projections). Let C be closed and convex. From the projection theorem, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a unique projection $P_C(x)$ onto the set C. We have the following properties that

- 1. $P_c(P_c(x)) = P_c(x)$,
- 2. $\langle x P_c(x), c P_c(x) \leq 0 \text{ for all } c \in C$,
- 3. (Non-expansive) $|P(x) P(y)| \le |x y|$,
- 4. The distance function $x \to d(x,c)$ is a convex function.

Remark 5.56 As \mathbb{R}^d with the usual inner product is a Hilbert space, then a closed and convex set is a Chebychev set and hence the reason the above theorem holds.

5.3.3 Separation Theorem

Definition 5.57 (Boundary of a set). Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. A point $x \in C$ is said to be a **boundary point** of C if $x \in \overline{C} \setminus int(C)$. The set of all boundary points of C is called the **boundary** of C and denoted by $\partial C = \overline{C} \setminus int(C)$.

Definition 5.58 (Supporting Hyperplane). Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and x_0 is a point in the boundary of C i.e. $x_0 \in \partial C$. If there exists a $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $h \neq 0$ and satisfies

$$\langle h, x \rangle \le \langle h, x_0 \rangle$$

for all $x \in C$, then the hyperplane $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle h, x \rangle = \langle h, x_0 \rangle \}$ is called a **supporting hyperplane** to C at the point x_0 .

Theorem 5.59 (Supporing Hyperplane Theorem). Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be nonempty and convex and let $x \notin int(C)$. Then there exists $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $h \neq 0$ and the hyperlane defined by $\langle h, x \rangle = \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ contains a closed half space that entirely contains S where $\langle h, c \rangle \leq \alpha$ for all $c \in C$. In other words,

$$\langle h, c \rangle \le \alpha = \langle h, x \rangle$$

for all $c \in C$. Moreover, if x is not in the closure of C, then

$$h = \frac{x - P(x)}{|x - P(x)|}.$$

Theorem 5.60 (Separating Hyperplane Theorem). Let C_1, C_2 be two disjoint, closed, and convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d . Then, there exists $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $h \neq 0$ and

$$\langle h, c \rangle \le \langle h, x \rangle$$

for all $h \in C_1$ and all $x \in C_2$.

6. Convex Functions

6.4 Convex Functions

6.4.1 Convex Functions

Definition 6.61 (Convex function). Let the $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be convex. A function $f: C \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is said to be convex if for any $x, y \in C$ and any $\lambda \in [0,1]$,

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y).$$

We say that a function is strictly convex if we have a strict equality.

Definition 6.62 (Concave function). A function f is concave if -f is convex.

Definition 6.63 (Graph). The graph of a function f is the set of all ordered pairs (x, f(x)) such that x is in the domain of the function f.

Definition 6.64 (Epigraph). Let f be a convex function $f: C \to \mathbb{R}$. We define the epigraph of f as

$$epi(f) = \{(x, r) : x \in C, r \in \mathbb{R}, r \ge f(x)\}.$$

Hence, it is the set of all points lying on or above the graph of a function.

Proposition 6.65 Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be convex. Then $f: C \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if and only if $epi(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is convex.

Definition 6.66 (Supporting hyperplane). Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex set and f is convex function. The hyperplane defined by $y = f(x_0) + \langle h, x - x_0 \rangle$ for $x \in C$ is the supporting hyperplane at x_0 .

Proposition 6.67 Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be convex. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- 1. $f: C \to \mathbb{R}^d \cup \{\infty\}$ is convex.
- 2. For every $x,y \in C$, we have $f(\frac{x+y}{2}) \leq \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}$.
- 3. For any $n \geq 1$, the epigraph $\{(x,r): x \in C, r \geq f(x)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ is convex.
- 4. For every point $x_0 \in C$, such that $f(x_0) < \infty$, there exists $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$f(x) \ge f(x_0) + \langle h, x - x_0 \rangle$$

for $x \in C$.

Proposition 6.68 Assume $f, g, \{f_i\}_{i \in I} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ are convex. Then the following hold.

- 1. The function f + g is convex.
- 2. If a > 0, then af is convex.
- 3. If $A: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear, then the mapping h(x) = f(Ax) is convex.
- 4. If $\phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and increasing, then the mapping $v(x) = \phi(f(x))$ is convex.
- 5. The function $u(x) = \sup_{i \in I} f_i(x)$ is convex.
- 6. Assume that $v(x) = \lim_{i \to \infty} f_i(x)$ exists for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $v(x) > -\infty$ for every x. Then v is convex.

Lemma 6.69 (First order conditions). Suppose f is differentiable (i.e. its gradient exists at each point in dom f). Then f is convex if and only if dom f is convex and

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x)$$

holds for all $x, y \in dom f$. If strict inequality holds, then we say that f is **strictly convex**.

Remark 6.70 The above inequality states that the first-order Taylor approximation of f near x is a global underestimator pf the function. We can derive global information about the function using local information.

Theorem 6.71 (Second order conditions). If f is twice differentiable at every point $x \in C$, where $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is convex, then f is convex if and only if $D^2 f \geq 0$.

Theorem 6.72 (Alexandrov Theorem). If U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a convex function, then f has a second derivative almost everywhere.

6.4.2 Jensen's Inequality

Theorem 6.73 Let C be a convex set. Define $f: C \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and let f be convex. Take the subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and consider $\phi: U \to C$. Let ϕ and U be measurable. Then

1.

$$\frac{1}{Vol(U)}\int_{U}\phi(x)dx\in C$$

2.

$$f(\frac{1}{Vol(U)}\int_{U}\phi(x)dx)\leq \frac{1}{Vol(U)}\int_{U}f(\phi(x))dx$$

Theorem 6.74 (Jensen's Inequality for random variables). Let X be a random variable taking values in C, that is, $P(X \in C) = 1$ and such that $X \in L^1(X)$. Then, $\mathbb{E}(X) \in C$ and

$$f(\mathbb{E}(X)) < \mathbb{E}f(X)$$
.

Theorem 6.75 If a function f is convex, every local optimizer is a global optimizer.

6.4.3 Legendre-Fenchel Transform

Definition 6.76 (Legendre-Fenchel Transform). Let C be a convex set. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a convex function. Then the Legendre-Fenchel transform/convex conjugate is defined as

$$f^*(y) \coloneqq \sup_{x \in C} (\langle x, y \rangle - f(x))$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The Legendre-Fenchel transformation transforms an optimization problem into its corresponding dual problem which may be easier to solve.

Lemma 6.77 If f is convex, then f^* is convex.

Theorem 6.78 (Fenchel-Young Inequality). For any function f and its convex conjugate f^* , we have that

$$\langle x, y \rangle \le f(x) + f^*(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof: The proof is trivial from the definition of the convex conjugate

$$f^*(y) := \sup_{x \in C} (\langle x, y \rangle - f(x)).$$

7. Static Optimisation

7.5 Static Optimisation

7.5.1 Infima and suprema and differentiable functions

We look at optimization problems at a fixed points in time.

Lemma 7.79 Let $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: U \to \mathbb{R}$ be two functions. Then

$$\sup_{u \in U} (f(u) + g(u)) \le \sup_{u \in U} f(u) + \sup_{u \in U} g(u).$$

If a,b are real numbers with $a \geq 0$, then

$$\sup_{u \in U} (af(u) + b) = a \sup_{u \in U} f(u) + b.$$

Lemma 7.80 Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then $m = \inf\{a : a \in A\}$ if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $a_{\epsilon} \in A$ such that $a_{\epsilon} < a + \epsilon$.

7.5.2 Minimisation problem

Our goal is to formulate and solve the minimisation problem of finding a point \hat{x} that minimises our function of interest f. We also want to characterise the minimiser and check for uniqueness.

Theorem 7.81 (Extreme Value Theorem). Assume $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is compact and $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Then there exists $\hat{x} \in U$ such that

$$f(\hat{x}) = \inf_{x \in U} f(x).$$

Definition 7.82 Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We define the function

$$I_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad x \in C \\ +\infty & if \quad x \in C. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 7.83 Assume $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is closed and $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Assume that

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \left[f(x) + I_c(x) \right] = \infty.$$

Then there exists $\hat{x} \in U$ such that

$$f(\hat{x}) = \inf_{x \in U} f(x).$$

Theorem 7.84 Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and convex. Let $f: C \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a nontrivial convex function. Then, the set

$$\mathcal{M} = \{\hat{x} : f(\hat{x}) = \inf_{x \in C} f(x)\}.$$

is a convex set. Moreover, if f is strictly convex, then f has at most one minimiser.

8. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Optimality

8.5.3 Necessary Conditions for Optimality

Definition 8.85 (Cone/Nonnegative homogenous). A set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a cone with vertex at 0 if for a vector $h \in C$, then we have that

$$\alpha.h \in C$$

for every $\alpha > 0$.

Remark 8.86 If C is a cone, then x + C, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is a cone with vertex at x. So we have a set C and for every $x \in C$, we have that αx is in the cone.

Definition 8.87 (Tangent Cone). Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in C$. The vector $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is tangent to the set C at the point x if there exists a sequence of vectors $\{h_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and sequence of non-negative scalar $\{\lambda_n\} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

- 1. $h_n \to h$;
- 2. $\lambda_n \to 0$;
- 3. $x + \lambda_n h_n \in C$.

The set of vectors tangent to C at x is called the **cone tangent to C at x** and denoted by T(x,C).

Lemma 8.88 The tangent cone T(x,c) is closed, convex, and is a cone with vertex at x.

Claim 8.89 If $y \in TC(x,C)$, then $\langle Db(x), y \rangle < 0$.

Theorem 8.90 (Necessary conditions of optimiality by Euler). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $\hat{x} \in C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a minimizer where $f(\hat{x}) = \inf_{x \in C} f(x)$. Assume that $Df(\hat{x})$ exists. Then, a necessary condition for optimality is

$$\langle Df(\hat{x}), y \rangle \geq 0$$

for every $y \in T(\hat{x}, C)$.

Corollary 8.91 Assume that $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is open and \hat{x} is a minimiser of the function $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^d$. If f is differentiable at \hat{x} then

$$Df(\hat{x}) = 0.$$

8.5.4 Sufficient Conditions for Optimality

Definition 8.92 (Local minimizer). Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and let $f: C \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, \hat{x} is a local minimizer if

$$f(\hat{x}) = min\{f(x) : x \in C \cap B_d(\hat{x}, r)\}\$$

for r > 0.

Definition 8.93 (Regular). We say that a point $x \in C$ is regular if f is twice differentiable at x.

Definition 8.94 (Hessian). Let $x \in C$ be a regular point. We can define the Hessian as

$$D^2 f(x) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right).$$

Theorem 8.95 Assume that \hat{x} is a regular point of $f: C \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume that

$$\begin{cases} \langle Df(\hat{x}),h\rangle \geq 0 & \textit{for all } y \in T(\hat{x},C) \\ \langle D^2f(\hat{x})h,h\rangle > 0 & \textit{for all } y \in T(\hat{x},C) \end{cases}$$

where $h \neq 0$. Then, \hat{x} is a local minimum of f.

Lemma 8.96 Assume that $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is compact and $g: C \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and moreover, g(x) > 0 for $x \in C$. Then, $\inf_{x \in C} g(x) > 0$.

Recall **Alexandrov theorem** that if a real-valued function is a convex function, then the second derivative exists and the Hessian is non-negative.

Corollary 8.97 Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex set and let $f: C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Then, for every point $\hat{x} \in C$ such that f is differentiable at \hat{x} and satisfies the Euler condition

$$\langle Df(\hat{x}), y \rangle \ge 0$$

for $y \in T(\hat{x}, C)$, then \hat{x} is a global minimizer of f.

9. Optimisation over constraints

9.5.5 Optimisation over constraints

We now define the set C subjected to inequality constraints

$$C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : b_j(x) \le 0, j = 1, ..., n\}.$$

Definition 9.98 We are interested for when we are in the boundary of the set, so we introduce

$$J(x) = \{ j \le n : b_j(x) = 0 \}.$$

Definition 9.99 We define a smaller subset of locally concave constraints as

 $y^{conc}(x) = \{j \in J(x) : b_j \text{ is a concave function in a small ball around } x\}.$

Proposition 9.100 Let all functions b_j be continuous at x and differentiable at x for $j \in J(x)$, so it is differentiable at points on the boundary. Assume there exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\langle Db_j(x), y \rangle \leq 0$$
 for all $j \in J^{conc}(x)$

and

$$\langle Db_j(x), y \rangle < 0$$

for all $j \in J(x) \setminus J^{conc}(x)$.

Then, we have

$$T(x,C) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle Db_j(x), y \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } j \in J(x). \}$$

Definition 9.101 (Qualified). Let $x \in C$. We say that x is qualified if Db_i exists for every i = 1, ..., n and

$$T(x,C) = \bigcap_{j \in J(x)} \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle Db_j(x), y \rangle \le 0 \}.$$

Proposition 9.102 Assume that $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : g_1(x) = ... = g_n(x) = 0\}$ and the family of vectors $\{Dg_i(x_0) : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ is linearly independent at the point $x_0 \in C$. Then x_0 is qualified.

Definition 9.103 (Lagrangian). We define the Lagrangian $L: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i b_i(x)$$

where $\lambda = {\lambda_i}$. Each λ_i is called a Lagrange multiplier.

Theorem 9.104 (Karoush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions). Let \hat{x} be a minimiser of the function $f: C \to \mathbb{R}$ where C is defined by $C = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : b_i(x) \leq 0\}$. Assume that $\hat{x} \in C$ is qualified and f is differentiable at \hat{x} . Then, there exists $\hat{\lambda}_1 \geq 0, ..., \hat{\lambda}_n \geq 0$ such that

$$DL(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda}) = 0$$
, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\lambda}_i b_i(\hat{x}) = 0$.

Corollary 9.105 Assume that f and all g_i are convex and differentiable. If $(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda})$ satisfies the KKT conditions, then \hat{x} is the minimiser of f. Moreover, if f is strictly convex, then the minimiser \hat{x} is unique.

 ${\bf Corollary~9.106~\it Assume~that}$

$$C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : g_1(x) = \dots = g_n(x) = 0\}$$

and $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ where $U \supset C$ is open. Assume that $\hat{x} \in C$ is a minimiser of f and f is differentiable at \hat{x} . If \hat{x} is qualified, then there exists $\hat{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$DL(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda}) = 0.$$

10. Duality and optimisation

10.6 Duality

10.6.1 Primary Problem Optimisation

Definition 10.107 (Primary Problem). We define the primary problem

$$(P) = \begin{cases} Minimise \ f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \\ Subject \ to \\ g_i(x) \le 0, \ for \ i = 1, ..., n, \\ h_j(x) = 0, \ for \ j = 1, ..., m. \end{cases}$$

For $\lambda = \{\lambda_i\}$ and $\mu = \{\mu_j\}$, we define the Lagrangian as

$$L(y, \lambda, \mu) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i g_i(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_j h_j(x)$$

and define the dual function as

$$F(\lambda, \mu) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} L(x, \lambda \mu).$$

Definition 10.108 (Dual Problem). We define the dual problem

$$(D) = \begin{cases} Maximise \ F : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \to \mathbb{R} \\ Subject \ to \ \lambda_i \ge 0, i = 1, ..., n. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 10.109 Assume that for every (λ, μ) with $\lambda \geq 0$, there exists a minimiser $\hat{x} = (\hat{\lambda}, \mu)$ of F, that is,

$$F(\lambda,\mu) = L(\hat{x},\lambda,\mu).$$

Then, we have that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_i}(\lambda, \mu) = g_i(\hat{x}(\hat{x}(\lambda, \mu))) \\ \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu_j}(\lambda, \mu) = h_j(\hat{x}(\lambda, \mu)). \end{cases}$$

Definition 10.110 (Duality gap). The quantity

$$\inf\{f(x): g_i(x) \le 0, h_i(x) = 0\} - \sup\{F(\lambda, \mu): \lambda_i \ge 0, \mu_i \in \mathbb{R}\}\$$

is known as the duality gap.

Proposition 10.111 If there exists $\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}$, and \hat{x} such that

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\lambda} \ge 0 \\ g_i(\hat{x}) \le 0 \\ h_j(\hat{x}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

then \hat{x} is optimal for (P) and $(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu})$ is optimal for (D).

Theorem 10.112 Assume that f and g_i are convex and differentiable and h_j are affine. Additionally, assume that for every $\lambda \geq 0$ and μ , there exists $\hat{x}(\lambda,\mu)$ so that $F(\lambda,\mu) = L(\hat{x}(\lambda,\mu),\lambda,\mu)$. Then, the Primary Problem (P) has a solution if and only if the Dual Problem (D) has a solution, and the duality gap is zero.

11. Review of ODEs

11.7 Review of ODEs

11.7.1 Absolutely Continuous Functions

We have the ordinary differential equation in \mathbb{R}^d

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = F(t, X(t)) \\ X(s) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$

where $t \in (s, T]$.

Definition 11.113 (Initial Value Problem). An IVP is an ODE with an initial value. We want to find a function whose derivative matches our system and starts off at the same initial value.

Definition 11.114 (Absolutely continuous). A function F is absolutely continuous on $(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ if there exists a function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ and constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F(t) = c + \int_{a}^{t} f(s)ds$$

for all $t \in (a, b)$ where $\int_a^b |f(s)| ds < \infty$.

Remark 11.115 Absolute continuity is a strengthening of uniform continuity that provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the fundamental theorem of calculus to hold.

Definition 11.116 (Space of absolutely continuous functions). For $(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, we define the space of absolutely continuous functions as AC(a,b).

Definition 11.117 (Almost Everywhere). Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space. A property P is said to hold almost everywhere if for a set $N \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu(N) = 0$, we have that $X \setminus N$ has the property P.

Theorem 11.118 (Lebesgue's Theorem). Assume that $f:[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$ is integrable. Then the following holds

1. The function $F(t) = c + \int_0^t f(s)ds$ is continuous since for almost every $t \in [0,T]$

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \int_{t-h}^{t+h} |f(t) - f(s)| ds = 0.$$

2. For almost every $t \in [a, b]$, the derivative F'(t) exists and F'(t) = f(t). Moreover, if f is continuous, then F is differentiable for every t.

Definition 11.119 (Locally absolutely continuous). A function $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is in AC(a,b) on any finite interval [a,b], then F is locally absolutely continuous.

Lemma 11.120 For a vector of functions $F \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have that $F \in AC(a,b)$ if and only if $F_i \in AC(a,b)$ for all i = 1, ..., d.

Definition 11.121 (Lipschitz Continuous). A function $F: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is Lipschitz continuous if

$$|F(x) - F(y)|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \le \mathcal{L}|x - y|_{\mathbb{R}^d}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{R}$.

The smallest \mathcal{L} is called the **Lipschitz constant** of F.

Definition 11.122 (Locally Lipschitz). A function $F: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is locally Lipschitz if there exists a sequence of real constant L_n such that

$$|F(x) - F(y)| \le L_n|x - y|$$

if $|x| \le n$ and $|y| \le n$.

Theorem 11.123 (Rademacher's Theorem). Let $F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $|F(x) - F(y)| \le \mathcal{L}|x - y|$. Let F be locally Lipschitz. Then F has a derivative DF(x) for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Moreover, if DF(x) exists, then it is uniformly bounded by the Lipschitz constant $|DF(x)| \leq \mathcal{L}$.

Remark 11.124 *Note that* DF(x) *has d functions with m coordinates.*

11.7.2 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

We assume $F:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$ is a measurable function.

Definition 11.125 (Slope fields). The slope of a solution at (x,y) is f(x,y). We draw the x-y plane and then for a particular point (x_0,y_0) , we draw a tiny line with a gradient of $\frac{dx}{dy}(x_0,y_0)$. This gives us an idea of what the solution looks like without knowing the explicit formula.

Definition 11.126 We define the notation for an ODE as

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = F(t, x(t))$$

with $x(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 11.127 (Existence of solution). We say that an absolutely continuous function $X : [s,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a solution to the equation if

1.
$$\int_{s}^{T} |F(r,X(R))| dr < \infty$$

2.
$$X(t) = x + \int_{s}^{t} F(r, X(r)) dr \text{ for } t \in [s, T].$$

Theorem 11.128 (Uniqueness of solution). Assume that there exists $\mathcal{L} > 0$ such that for all $s \leq t \leq T$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$|F(t,x) - F(t,y)| \le \mathcal{L}|x-y|.$$

Then there exists a **unique** solution to (ODE). Then for every $s \in [0,T)$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique solution X to equation to the ODE.

11.7.3 Linear Equations

Define the linear differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = AX(t) + b(t) \\ X(s) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$

for $t \in (s,T]$. We have that $A: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ as it looks at the evolution from one state to another, hence A must be a square matrix.

Proposition 11.129 Let $b \in L^1$. Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the unique solution of the aforementioned differential equation is given by

$$X(t; s, x) = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_{s}^{t} e^{(t-r)A}b(r)dr$$

for $t \in [S, \infty)$.

11.7.4 Time-inhomogenous linear system

For a **general** linear equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = A(t)X(t) + b(t) \\ X(s) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$

for $t \in (s,T]$. where $A, b \in L^1$.

The function F(t,x) = A(t)x + b(t) satisfies the conditions for an existence and uniqueness of a solution.

Theorem 11.130 Assume that b and A is integrable. Then there exists exactly one function

$$G:[0,T]\to\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

such that each G_{ij} is absolutely continuous and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{G}(t) = A(t)G(t) \\ G(0) = I \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases}$$

for almost all $t \in [0,T]$. Moreover, G(t) is invertible for any $t \in [0,T]$.

Definition 11.131 (The Green function). The unique solution of a time-inhomogenous linear system is

$$X(t; s, x) = G(t)G^{-1}(s)x + \int_{s}^{t} G(t)G^{-1}(r)b(r)dr \quad t \in [s, T]$$

where G is known as the Green function.

11.7.5 Linear Time Invariant System

Definition 11.132 (Linear Time Invariant System). A linear time invariant system is a system

$$\dot{X}(t) = AX(t) + Bu(t)$$

where A and B are static.

In terms of control theory, the solution to $\dot{X} = AX(t) + Bu(t)$ will be

$$X(t;s,x) = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A}Bu(\tau)d\tau$$

where the first time refers to the natural response of the system evolving from the initial state x whilst the second terms is known as the forced response and that looks at how all known controls $u(\tau)$ for $\tau \in [0, t]$ affect our state over time.

12. Controllability of Linear Systems

12.8 Controllability of Linear Systems

12.8.1 Controllability of Linear Systems

We have the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = AX(t) + Bu(t) \\ X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$

where $t \in (0,T]$, $B: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a linear mapping, and $u \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$. Here, we have a state X and we want to see how does the state evolve over time based off the current state X and the control u.

Recall that the unique solution to the equation is

$$X^{u}(t,x) = e^{TA}x + \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A}Bu(s)ds$$

and the set of admissible controls is

$$\mathcal{U}_t(a,b) = \{ u \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m) : X^u(0) = a, X^u(T) = b \}.$$

We define the cost functional as

$$J_T(u) = \int_0^T |u(t)|^2 dt$$

for $u \in \mathcal{U}_T(a,b)$ and the value function is defined to be

$$V(T) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_T(a,b)} J_T(u).$$

We have 2 aims:

- 1. When is $\mathcal{U}_T(a,b) \neq \emptyset$;
- 2. Identify the optimal control $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{U}_T(a, b)$.

Definition 12.133 (Reachable). A state b is reachable from the state a time T if $U_t(a,b)$ is not empty.

Definition 12.134 (Controllable system). Let $\mathcal{R}_T(a)$ be the set of all points reachable from state a at time T. We say that a system is controllable if $\mathcal{R}_T(a) = \mathbb{R}^d$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and every T > 0. In other words, any state can be reached in time T.

Definition 12.135 (Controllability Gramian). We define the Controllability Gramian as

$$Q_T = \int_0^T e^{tA} B B^* e^{tA^*} dt.$$

More precisely, it is the formula $Q_T : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ where

$$Q_T x = \int_0^T (e^{tA} B B^* e^{tA^* x}) dt$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 12.136 Let $f:[0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a function such that

$$\int_0^T |f(t)|dt < \infty$$

and let $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a fixed vector. Then

$$\int_0^T \langle f(t), h \rangle dt = \langle \int_0^T f(t) dt, h \rangle.$$

Proposition 12.137 (Properties of controllability matrix). Let us define the controllability matrix as

$$Q_T = \int_0^T e^{tA} B B^* e^{tA^*} dt.$$

Then,

- 1. $Q_T \geq 0$;
- 2. $Q_T^* = Q_T$.

Theorem 12.138 Assume that for a certain T > 0 we have $det(Q_t) > 0$ and for given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$\hat{u}(s) = -B^* e^{(T-s)A^*} Q_T^{-1} (e^{TA}a - b)$$

for $s \in [0,T]$. Then $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{U}_T(a,b)$ is optimal, that is

$$V(T) = J_T(\hat{u}) < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{R}_T(a) = \mathbb{R}^d$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover,

$$V(T) = \langle Q_T^{-1}(e^{TA}a - b), e^{TA}a - b \rangle.$$

12.8.2 Observability of Linear Systems

We look at the systems

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = AX(t) + Bu(t) \\ Y(t) = CX(t) + Du(t). \end{cases}$$

Here, we cannot fully observe the state X and instead observe Y, which is a function of the state X. This is common in numerous real life examples where there are too many variables in the state X to observe and we can only observe them through mediums such as sensors.

Definition 12.139 (Observability). A system is observable if there exists a finite time t > 0 such that if we know the controls u(t) and observations y(t) for all $t \in [0,T]$, then we can figure out what the initial state X(0) = x was.

Remark 12.140 If we know what X(0) = x is, then we can know the value of the states X(t) for all $t \in [0,T]$ through the formula $X(t) = e^{At}X(0) + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A}Bu(\tau)d\tau$.

Lemma 12.141 Let $f:[0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a function such that $f \in L^1$ and let $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a fixed vector. Then we can interchange the integral with inner product

$$\int_0^T \langle f(t), h \rangle dt = \langle \int_0^T f(t) dt, h \rangle.$$

Theorem 12.142 Assume that for a certain T > 0, we have that $det(Q_t) > 0$ and for given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$\hat{u}(s) = -B^* e^{(T-s)A^*} Q_T^{-1} (e^{TA} a - b)$$

for $s \in [0, T]$.

Hence, applying the optimal control $\hat{u}(s)$, we have that $X^{\hat{u}}(T,a) = b$.

13. Kalman Rank Condition

13.9 Kalman Rank Condition

13.9.1 Kalman Rank Condition

We want to now eventually impose more constraints on the system such as nonlinearity or that the magnitude of the control u cannot be too big.

Lemma 13.143 Fix $h, k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and T > 0. Assume that

$$\langle e^{tA}h, k \rangle = 0$$

for all $t \leq T$. Then we have that

$$\langle e^{tA}h, k \rangle = 0$$

for all t > 0.

We work with the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = AX(t) + Bu(t) \\ X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$

for $t \in (0,T]$ and where $B: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u \in L^2$.

Definition 13.144 We define the linear operator $\mathcal{L}_T : \mathcal{U}_T \to \mathbb{R}^d$ to be

$$\mathcal{L}_T u = \int_0^T e^{(T-s)A} Bu(s) ds.$$

We can now express the solution to the equation $X^u(t,x)$ as

$$X^{u}(t,x) = e^{TAx} + \mathcal{L}_{T}u.$$

Lemma 13.145 Assume that for every $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we can find T > 0 such that $\mathcal{U}_T(0,b) \neq \emptyset$. Then $det(Q_T) \neq 0$ for every T > 0.

Corollary 13.146 The following conditions are equivalent.

- 1. The system $\dot{X} = AX(t) + Bu(t)$ and $X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is controllable,
- 2. $det(Q_T) \neq 0$,
- 3. For every $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists T > 0 such that $\mathcal{U}_T(0,b) \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 13.147 (Kalman Rank Matrix). We define the Kalman rank matrix as

$$C(A, B) = [B, AB, ..., A^{d-1}B].$$

Theorem 13.148 The system is controllable if and only if rank C(A,B) = d.

Proof:(Intuition sketch). If we want to able to steer a system to any direction we want, there must be an interaction between the matrices A and B. Recall that the solution to the system is

$$X(t) = e^{tA}x + \int_0^T e^{(T-s)A}Bu(s)ds$$

where we require that X(0) = x and X(T) = b. By equation the above equation to b, rearranging, expressing terms in matrix exponential, we arrive at

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n B U_n = Y$$

where U_n is a sequence of vectors and $Y = b - e^{TA}x$. So the issue of controllability is that can we a sequence of vectors $\{U_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ such that the equation above holds for any vectors Y.

Using Cayley-Hamiltonian theorem, we can take the characteristic equation of the equation above where each matrix A^d , A^{d-1} , ... can respectively be expressed as a linear combination/polynomials of A^{d-1} , A^{d-2} , ..., I. In particular, for a square nonzero determinant matrix A, the Cayley-Hamiltonian states that

$$p(A) = A^{n} + a_{n-1}A^{n-1} + \dots + a_{1}A + (-1)^{n}det(A)I_{n} = 0.$$

Hence using this on our earlier expression, we arrive at

$$\sum_{n=0}^{d-1} a_n A^n B U_n = Y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Now we consider the matrix $[B, AB, A^2B, ..., A^{d-1}B]$ which must be non-degenerate in order to solve the above.

14. Controllability of nonlinear systems

14.10 Controllability of nonlinear systems

14.10.1 Controllability of nonlinear systems

We work with the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = F(X(t), u(t)), & t > 0 \\ X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

We assume the controls take values in a fixed subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ so that $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times U \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 14.149 (Local Controllability). We say that the nonlinear system is locally controllable at x_0 and at time T if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta \in (0, \epsilon)$ such that for any $a, b \in B(x_0, \delta)$, there exists a control u defined on $[0, t] \subset [0, T]$ for which

$$X^{u}(t;a) = b$$
$$X^{u}(s;a) \in B(x_0, \epsilon)$$

for all $s \in [0, t]$.

Remark 14.150 This states that we can find a local area for which we can go from state A to state B if we don't go too far away.

Definition 14.151 (Linearize Fixed Point). Given a nonlinear system $\dot{X}(t) = F(X(t), u(t))$ and $X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we fix $(x_0, u_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m$ where the control u_0 is now a constant. We define the linear mappings:

$$\begin{cases} A_0 = D_x F(x_0, u_0) \\ B_0 = D_u F(x_0, u_0). \end{cases}$$

Then, the linearized version of the nonlinear system at the fixed point x_0 is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dZ}{dt}(t) = A_0 Z(t) + B_0 u(t) & t > 0 \\ Z(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 14.152 Let $F(x_0, u_0) = 0$ and let F be continuously differentiable in a certain neighbourhood of (x_0, u_0) . If the linearized system $\dot{Z}(t) = A_0 Z(t) + B_0 u(t)$ and $Z(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is controllable, then the nonlinear system $\dot{X}(t) = F(X(t), u(t))$ and $X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is locally controllable at x_0 for arbitrary time T > 0.

Remark 14.153 We can compute the rank of the Kalman matrix of this linearised system in order to determine whether it is controllable or not.

Theorem 14.154 (Local controllability of linear system). Define the linear system $\dot{X}(t) = AX(t) + Bu(t)$ and $X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We say that the linear system is locally controllable at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if and only

- 1. If it is controllable,
- 2. There exists a constant control $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $Ax_0 + Bu_0 = 0$.

Remark 14.155 Local controllability implies controllability. That is, we can now control ensure that the system is evolving around a certain region and not straying too far from the target state.

We are interested in the idea that for small time intervals, we can consider our controls as constant. From that, we can approximate our optimal control by a sequence of constant controls.

Definition 14.156 (Piecewise constant controls). We define \mathcal{U}_p where $u \in \mathcal{U}_p$ if

- 1. $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$,
- 2. $u_n = \{u_0, u_1, ..., u_{n-1}\}$

where $u(t) = u_k$ for $t_k \le t < t_{k+1}$ for k = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1. We call \mathcal{U}_p piecewise constant controls.

What we are interested now is that if we select control u_0 and then control u_1 , will we reach the same state if we selected control u_1 followed by u_0 ? We explore this in the next section.

14.10.2 Lie Algebraic Conditions

The aim of this section is to examine whether does selecting controls in a different manner effect the final state of our trajectory? As an intuitive example, we define 2 systems based off 2 different controls u_1, u_2 by

- 1. $\frac{dX}{dt} = F(x) = F(x, u_1)$
- 2. $\frac{dY}{dt} = G(x) = F(x, u_2)$

We will use one control up to a certain time period and then switch over to the other control. Skipping the maths, we can take 2nd order Taylor approximations of the state X(t) and Y(t) around a small time interval t. Then, we can arrive at Y(t, X(t)) and X(t, Y(t)) where the first equation refers to the evolution of the system if we started off with the system $\frac{dX}{dt}$ whilst X(t, Y(t)) refers to the evolution of the system if we started off with $\frac{dY}{dt}$ instead. We are now interested in seeing whether is the final state the same if we use u_1 and then u_2 versus using u_2 and then u_1 . Taking the difference, we arrive at the Poisson bracket to be explored later.

$$Y \circ X - X \circ Y = t^2 [DG(x)F(x) - DF(x)G(x)].$$

We will now explore for when does the Poisson bracket equal to 0 or equivalently, when are we indifferent to starting off with different controls.

Definition 14.157 (Vector field). Given an open subset $O \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, a vector field is represented by a vector-valued function V where

$$V: O \to \mathbb{R}^d$$

in standard Cartesian coordinates $(x_1, ..., x_n)$.

Remark 14.158 Intuitively, a vector field is a function F that assigns to each point (x,y), a vector. A point is different to a vector as the latter has algebraic properties. For example, a vector tells you about the distance from the origin to a point.

Definition 14.159 (Smooth vector field). If each component of a vector field V is k times continuously differentiable, then V is a C^k vector field. We denote each coordinate mapping $f_i: O \to \mathbb{R}$. Hence, we express

 $Df(x) = \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}(x)\right]$

for $x \in O$.

Definition 14.160 (Lie bracket). Let f, g be two C^k -vector fields defined on $O \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The Lie bracket is an operator between vector fields defined as

$$[f,g](x) = Df(x)g(x) - Dg(x)f(x)$$

for $x \in O$.

Explicitly, if $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^d$ and $g = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^d$, then

$$[f,g]_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{x_j}(x)g_j(x) - \frac{\partial g_i}{x_j}(x)f_j(x)\right).$$

Remark 14.161 [f, g] is another vector field on $O \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of class C^{k-1} .

Lemma 14.162 The following properties hold for Lie brackets.

- 1. |f, f| = 0;
- 2. |f, g| = -|g, f|.

We go back to the nonlinear system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt}(t) = F(X(t), u(t)), & t > 0 \\ X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Definition 14.163 We define a family of vector fields associated to the nonlinear system above.

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \{F(., u) : u \text{ is constant and } u \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{L}_{j-1} \cup \{ [f, g] : f \in \mathcal{L}_0 \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{L}_{j-1} \text{ OR } f \in \mathcal{L}_{j-1} \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{L}_0 \}.$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$\mathcal{L}_i(x) = \{ f(x) : f \in \mathcal{L}_i \}.$$

We are interested in the number of linearly independent vectors in the set $\mathcal{L}_j(x)$ which means with our controls, we can reach any state.

Theorem 14.164 (Reachability criterion). Assume that for every control $u \in \mathcal{U}$, the vector field F(.,u) is C^k for $k \geq 2$. Assume that there exists a state $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $j \leq k$ such that $\dim \mathcal{L}_j(x) = d$ where we have d linearly independent vectors in \mathcal{L}_j . Let O_x be the open neighbourhood of our point x. Then for every $y \in O_x$, there exists a piecewise constant control u_0 given by

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = F(x, u_0)$$

where X(0) = x and X(T) = y. That is, there exists a small neighbourhood around our starting point for which local controllability is achieved using piecewise constant controls between our fixed point x and arbitrary state y.

Denote the set of all points y that is reachable by x in the neighbourhood O_x as $\mathcal{R}_{elem}(O_x)$. Then, the interior of $\mathcal{R}_{elem}(O_x)$ is nonempty.

Definition 14.165 (Symmetric). A system is symmetric if for every $u \in U$, there exists $v \in U$ such that

$$F(x, u) = -F(x, v)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 14.166 Assume that the nonlinear system is symmetric and satisfies the assumptions of the reachability criterion, then the nonlinear system is locally controllable at x.

15. Review of ODEs: Dependence of Initial Conditions

15.11 Review of ODEs: Dependence of Initial Conditions

15.11.1 Flow Property

We look at the nonlinear system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt}(t) = F(X(t), u(t)), & t \in (s, T] \\ X(s) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

A solution starting at time s_1 to state x_1 will be different to a solution starting at time s_2 to state x_2 . We need better notation.

Definition 15.167 (Solution depending on initial conditions). The solution $X : [s,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ starting at time s from the point X(s) = x will be denoted as X(.,s,x) and its value at time $t \in [s,T]$ will be X(t;s,x). Hence, the solution to the system is now

$$X(t; s, x) = x + \int_{s}^{t} F(r, X(r; s, x)) dr \quad t \in [s, T].$$

Lemma 15.168 Let $0 \le s \le t \le u \le T$. Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have that

$$X(u; s, x) = X(u; t, X(t; s, x)).$$

15.11.2 Dependence on initial conditions

Lemma 15.169 (Gronwall lemma). Let $g:[s,T]\to[0,\infty)$ be a measurable function, such that

1.
$$q \in L^1$$

2.
$$g(t) \le a + \int_s^t \phi(r)g(r)dr$$
, $t \in [s, T]$

where ϕ is nonnegative measurable function and $a \geq 0$ is constant. Then,

$$g(t) \le a \exp(\int_s^t \phi(r)) dr, \quad t \in [s, T].$$

Proposition 15.170 There exists a constant C = C(T) such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $s \in [0, T)$ and $t \in [s, T]$, we have that

$$|X(t;s,x)| \le C(1+|x|).$$

Proposition 15.171 (a) There exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that for any two solution X(.;s,x) and X(.;s,y) of the nonlinear IVP starting from points x and y respectively, we have

$$|X(t;s,y)-X(t;s,x)| \le C_T|x-y|$$

for all $t \in [s, T]$.

(b) Moreover, there exists a constant $C_T(|x|)$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 \le s \le u < T$ and $t \in [u, T]$, we have

$$|X(t; u, x) - X(t; s, x)| \le C_T(x)(u - s).$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we consider the linear equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\psi^x}{dt}(t) = DF(t, X(t))\psi^x(t) & t \in (s, T) \\ \psi^x(s) = h. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 15.172 Assume that for every $t \in (0,T]$, the function $x \to F(t,x)$ has continuous derivative DF(t,x). Then the following holds

- 1. For every $s \in [0,T)$ and $x,h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a unique solution $\psi^x(.,s,h)$ of the aforementioned system.
- 2. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 \le s < t \le T$, the mapping $h \to \psi^x(., s, h)$ is linear and

$$|\psi^x(t;s,h)| \le |h|e^{L(t-s)}.$$

Theorem 15.173 Assume that for every $t \in (0,T]$, the function $x \to F(t,x)$ has continuous derivative DF(t,x) and the function $(t,x) \to DF(t,x)$ is bounded. Then for every $t \in [s,T]$, the mapping for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$x \to X(t; s, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

is continuously differentiable and $D_xX(t;s,x)h = \psi^x(t;s,h)$.

16. Necessary Conditions of Optimality

16.12 Necessary Conditions of Optimality

16.12.1 The control problem without constraints

We look at a nonlinear control problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = F(X(t), u(t)) \\ X(s) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$

where $t \in (s, T]$.

The solution at time t will be denoted by $X^u(t; s, x)$. We assume that for every $s \in [0, T)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the set of admissible controls is given by a set \mathcal{U} of functions $u : [0, T] \to U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Furthermore, $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuous with continuous derivatives $D_x F$ and D_u . Moreover,

$$\sup_{x,u} |D_x F(x,u)| + \sup_{x,u} |D_u F(x,u)| < \infty.$$

We define a family of cost functionals,

$$J_T(s, x, u) = \int_s^T c(X^u(r; s, x), u(r)) dr + g(X^u(T; s, x)).$$

The value function is therefore

$$V(s,x) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} J_T(s,x,u), \quad s \in [0,T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We want to determine the optimal control $\hat{u}:[0,T]\to U$ and optimal state \hat{X} such that

$$V(s,x) = J_T(s,x,\hat{u}_s)$$

where \hat{u}_s stands for the control \hat{u} restricted to the time interval [s,T], where

$$\frac{d\hat{X}}{dt}(t) = F(\hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t))$$

where $\hat{X}(t) = X^{\hat{u}}(t; 0, x)$.

The optimal solution where $\tilde{X}^u(.;s,\tilde{x})$ with the cost functional

$$\tilde{J}_T(s,x,u) = \tilde{q}(\tilde{X}^u(T;s,\tilde{x})).$$

17. Maximum principle

17.12.2 Maximum principle

17.12.3 Maximum principle for unconstrained problems

We aim to find, for every $s \in [0,T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, an optimal control $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$V_T(s,x) = J_T(s,x,\hat{u}), \quad s \in [0,T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

In particular, $V_T(0, x) = J_T(0, x, \hat{u})$, that is the control \hat{u} optimal on the time interval [0,T] and the optimal process \hat{X} is given by the equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\hat{X}}{dt}(t) = F(\hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t)), & t \in (0, T) \\ \hat{X}(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Definition 17.174 (Hamiltonian). We define the Hamiltonian to be

$$H(x, p, u) = \langle p, F(x, u) \rangle + c(x, u)$$

for $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u \in U$.

Theorem 17.175 Assume that F satisfies the conditions given above and additionally the functions $D_x c, D_u c$ and Dg exists and are continuous. Let $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{U}$ be a bounded optimal control. Let $p:[0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a solution to the adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dp}{dt} = -[D_x F(\hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t))]^* p(t) - D_x c(\hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t)) & t \in [0, T) \\ p(T) = Dg(\hat{X}(T)). \end{cases}$$

Then for almost every $t \in [0, T]$

$$\langle p(t), F(\hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t)) \rangle + c(\hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t)) = \min_{v \in U} [\langle p(t), F(\hat{X}(t), y) \rangle + c(\hat{X}(t), v)].$$

Remark 17.176 p is a vector of costate variables with the same dimensions as the state variables x.

Theorem 17.177 (Pontriagin Maximum Principle). Let $H(\hat{X}, \hat{p}, \hat{u}) = \max_{v \in U} H(\hat{X}, \hat{p}, v)$. If we have the optimal pair (\hat{X}, \hat{u}) , then, the necessary conditions for the optimal system is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\hat{X}}{dt} = D_p H(\hat{X}, \hat{p}, \hat{u}) \\ \frac{d\hat{p}}{dt} = -D_x H(\hat{X}, \hat{p}, \hat{u}) \\ D_u H(\hat{X}, \hat{p}, \hat{u}) = 0 \\ \hat{p}(T) = Dg(\hat{X}(T)) \\ \hat{X}(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

Proof:(Sketch). We can use needle variation to construct a trajectory using a suboptimal control as a function of how much we diverge by and see how the payoff differs to the optimal state. Taking the limit of the difference parameter, we see that the solutions will coincide.

18. Linear Quadratic Regulator

18.13 Linear Quadratic Regulator

18.13.1 Linear Quadratic Regulator

We consider linear controlled system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt} = AX + Bu, & \text{if } t \in (0, T] \\ X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

We assume that $u \in \mathcal{U} = L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $B : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a linear mapping. We want to minimize the cost functional for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$J_T(x,u) = \int_0^T [\langle QX^u(t;x), X^u(t;x)\rangle] + \langle Ru(t), u(t)\rangle]dt + \langle GX^u(T;x), X^u(T;x)\rangle$$

over all admissible controls $u \in \mathcal{U}$. The system is linear whilst the cost functional is quadratic and we want to regulate the system to 0. More precisely, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we want to find $\hat{u} = \hat{u}(x) \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$V_T(x) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} J(T(x, u)) = J_T(x, \hat{u}),$$

where $Q: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $R: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$, and $G: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ are linear.

Theorem 18.178 (Pontriagin Maximum Principle for LQR). The Hamiltonian of the LRQ problem is

$$H(x, p, u) = \langle p, Ax + Bu \rangle + \langle Qx, x \rangle + \langle Ru, u \rangle.$$

The solution \hat{u} is

$$\hat{u} = -\frac{1}{2}R^{-1}B^*p.$$

Remark 18.179 First, we solve to find \hat{U} by taking the derivative of the Hamiltonian with respect to u and setting it equal to 0. Solve for \hat{u} and then plug this back into the equation to the find P and \hat{X} .

Theorem 18.180 Let P be the unique solution to the matrix Riccati equation. Then the optimal control for the linear-quadratic control problem is given in the feedback form

$$\hat{u}(t) = -R^{-1}B^*P(T-t)\hat{X}(t)$$

and the optimal state follows the ODE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\hat{X}}{dt} = (A - BR^{-1}B^*P(T-t))\hat{X}(t) \\ \hat{X}(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

The optimal cost is given by

$$V_T(s,x) = \langle P(T-s)x, x \rangle.$$

19. Time Optimal Control

19.14 Time Optimal Control

19.14.1 Time Optimal Control

We apply the LQR problem to time optimal control problems where we want to reach a state in the shortest time possible. We look at the controlled linear system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt} = AX + Bu, & if \quad t \in (0,T) \\ X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Assume admissble controls take values in a convex and compact set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a fixed state and define

$$T(x, y, u) = \inf\{t \ge 0 : X^{u}(t; 0, x) = y\}.$$

We define the optimal time as $\hat{T} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{t \geq 0 : X^u(t; 0, x) = y\}$. and we want to find the optimal control \hat{u} that achieves this. In particular, we are now interested in finding the optimal **pair** of (\hat{u}, \hat{T}) , rather than the actual state trajectory.

Theorem 19.181 (Pontriagin Maximum Principle for time optimal control). Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be fixed. Assume there exists a control $u \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $T(x, y, u) < \infty$, which implies that the optimal control \hat{u} exists. Then, assume that (\hat{u}, \hat{T}) is an optimal pair. Then, there exists $0 < \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\langle B^* p(t), \hat{u}(t) \rangle = \max_{u \in U} \langle B^* p(t), u \rangle$$

for all t and where p solves the equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dp}{dt}(t) = -A^*p(t) \\ p(\hat{T}) = \lambda \\ \hat{T} = T(x, y, \hat{u}). \end{cases}$$

19.14.2 General Result of PMP

We now look at a generalised formulation of the Pontriagin Maximum Principle. We are interested in finding the triple $(\hat{X}, \hat{u}, \hat{T})$ as we now wish to maximise a cost functional (hence why we require \hat{X}) but we don't have a terminal time specified. We also imposed an arbitrary number of constraints in our problem formulation.

Let the system be

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt} = F(t,X(t),u(t)) \\ X(0) = x \end{cases}$$

where $u \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. We have that the terminal state $X^u(T;x)$ and terminal time T must satisfy the constraints $g_i(T, X^u(T;x)) = 0$ for i=1,...,k and $\hat{u} : [0,\hat{T}] \to U$. We have the cost functional

$$J(x,u) = g_0(T, X^u(T;x))$$

where for a different terminal time, we have an associated terminal state. So the optimal solution is the pair (\hat{T}, \hat{u}) where $\hat{u} : [0, \hat{T}] \to U$.

Theorem 19.182 (Generalised Pontriagin Maximum Principle). Let $(\hat{X}, \hat{u}, \hat{T})$ be optimal. Assume that the function $F: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times U \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuous and continuously differentiable in (t, x) for any $u \in U$. Let $\hat{u}: [0, \hat{T}] \to U$ be a bounded optimal control and let \hat{X} be the corresponding optimal trajectory. Assume that the constraint gradient vectors $Dg_i(\hat{T}, \hat{X}(\hat{T}))$ are linearly independent for i=1,...,k. Then, there exists a nontrivial absolutely continuous function $p: [0, \hat{T}] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\frac{dp}{dt}(t) = -\langle p(t), D_x F(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t)) \rangle$$

$$\langle p(t), F(t, \hat{X}, \hat{u}(t)) \rangle = \sup_{y \in U} \langle p(t), D_x F(t, \hat{X}(t), y) \rangle$$

$$p(\hat{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i D_x g_i(\hat{T}, \hat{X}(\hat{T})) \neq 0$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\langle p(t), F(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t))\rangle) = \langle p(t), D_t F(t, \hat{X}(t), \hat{u}(t))\rangle$$

for $t \in [0, \hat{T}]$ and $\lambda_0, ..., \lambda_k \geq 0$.

20. Dynamic Programming Principle

20.15 Dynamic Programming Principle

20.15.1 Dynamic Programming Principle

We look at the nonlinear control problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt}(t) = F(t, X(t), u(t)) & t \in (s, T) \\ X(s) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

We define \mathcal{U}_s to be the set of admissible controls u from [s,T] where $s \in [0,T]$.

The family of control sets $\{\mathcal{U}_s : s \in [0,T]\}$ satisfy the following conditions.

Definition 20.183 (Concatenation property). Let $u \in \mathcal{U}_s$ and $v \in \mathcal{U}_t$ where s < t. Then the control

$$w^{u,v}(r) = \begin{cases} u(r) & \text{if } r \in [s,t] \\ v(r) & \text{if } r \in (t,T] \end{cases}$$

is an element of \mathcal{U}_s .

Definition 20.184 (Restriction property). Let $u \in \mathcal{U}_s$. For $t \in (s,T]$, we define the control u' as a restriction of u to the interval [t,T]. Then $u^t \in \mathcal{U}_t$.

We define the optimal trajectory as

$$J_T(s,x,u) = \int_s^T c(r,X^u(r;s,x),u(r))dr + g(T,X^u(T;s,x)) \quad u \in \mathcal{U}_s.$$

We define the value function as

$$V_T(s,x) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_s} J_T(s,x,u) \quad V_T(T,x) = g(x)$$

and require that

$$V_T(s,x) > -\infty \quad s \in [0,T]$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 20.185 (Dynamic Programming Principle). Assume that the functions $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and $c: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times U \to U$ are continuous and bounded from below. Let $0 \le s < t \le T$. Then,

$$V_T(s,x) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_s} \left(\int_s^t c(r, X^u(r; s, x), u(r)) dr + V_T(t, X^u(t; s, x)) \right).$$

Corollary 20.186 Let $u \in \mathcal{U}_s$ and let $X^u(.;s,x)$ be the corresponding solution. Define a function

$$M_T^u(t; s, x) = \int_s^t c(r, X^u(r; s, x), u(r)) dr + V_T(t, X^u(t; s, x)), \quad t \in [s, T].$$

Then M is nondecreasing. Moreover, if $u = \hat{u}$ is optimal on [s,T], then the function $M^{\hat{u}}$ is constant on [s,T].

21. HJB Equations

21.15.2 HJB Equations

We look at the control problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt} = F(t, X^u(t), u) \\ X(s) = x. \end{cases}$$

We define the cost functional as

$$J(s, x, u) = \int_{s}^{T} c(r, X^{u}(r, s, x)) dr + g(T, X^{u}(T; s, x)).$$

We also introduce constraints where we now minimise J(s,x,u) over the set of controls such that

$$(T, X^u(T; s, x)) \in \mathcal{F} \subset (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

where \mathcal{F} is the target set of both the constraint on the terminal time and final state of the system at time T.

We define the value function as

$$V(s,x) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} J(s,x,u).$$

Definition 21.187 (Hamiltonian). We define the Hamiltonian as

$$H(t,x,p) = \sup_{v \in U} \left(-\langle p, F(t,x,v) \rangle - c(t,x,v) \right) \quad (t,x,p) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Theorem 21.188 Assume that the value function $V:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ has continuous derivatives $\frac{\partial V}{\partial s}(s,x)$ and $D_xV(s,x)$ on a certain set $\mathcal{A}\subset[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d$ such that \mathcal{A} does not intersect the target set \mathcal{F} . Then, the HJB equations are

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{\partial V}{\partial s}(s,x) + H(s,x,D_xV(s,x)) = 0, & (s,x) \in (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ V(T,x) = g(T,x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Here, the first equation is our adjoint equation whilst the second is our terminal time constraint.

Remark 21.189 We do not need differentiability after reaching the target set.

Remark 21.190 More explicity, the HJB equation is of the form

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}(s,x) + \sup_{v \in U} \left(\langle D_x V(s,x), F(s,x,v) \rangle + c(s,x,v) \right) = 0.$$

Notice the Hamiltonian was negative so that the HJB equation would be positive.

The steps to use the HJB equations are:

- 1. Maximise v over H(x,p,v) through calculus techniques to derive a formula for \hat{u}
- 2. Compute $D_xV(s,x)$
- 3. Plug \hat{u} into your Hamiltonian and solve the PDE $\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}(s,x) = H(s,x,D_xV(s,x))$ to figure out the value function V(s,x)
- 4. Solve for your lagrange multipliers via $P = D_x V(s,x)$
- 5. Get the final form of your optimal control \hat{u} and optimal trajectories \hat{X} .

22. Discounted Cost Control Problem

22.16 Discounted Cost Control Problem

22.16.1 Problem Formulation

We look at the controlled system

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = F(X, u) \quad X(0) = x \in \mathcal{O}$$

where $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open set.

22.16.2 Dynamic Programming Principle

Theorem 22.191 For every $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and t > 0, putting for simplicity $\tau = T^u(x)$, we have

$$V(x) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}(x)} \Big(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} e^{-\beta s} c(X^u(s, x), u(s)) ds + e^{-\beta s} V(X^u(\tau; x)) I_{[0, \tau]}(t) + e^{-\beta \tau} g(X^u(\tau, x)) I_{\tau, \infty}(t) \Big).$$

23. Discounted Cost Control Problem

23.16.3 HJB Equation in Discounted Cost Control Problem

We define the Hamiltonian where we do not include the discounted cost.

$$H(x,p) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (-\langle F(x,v), p \rangle - c(x,v)).$$

Theorem 23.192 (Verification Theorem). Let W be a continuously differentiable solution to the equation

$$\begin{cases} \beta W + H(x, -D_x W(x)) = 0, & x \in \mathcal{O} \quad (Stationary \ HJB \ Equation) \\ W(x) \leq g(x) & x \in \partial \mathcal{O}. \end{cases}$$

Then, for every $x \in \mathcal{O}$ and every admissible control u such that $T^u(x) = \infty$, we have that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (e^{-\beta t} W(X^u(t, x))) = 0$$

then $W(x) \leq V(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

Moreover, assume that there exists $u^* \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ and the corresponding $X^*(.) = X^{u^*}(.,x)$ such that

$$c(X^*(t), u^*(t)) + \langle F(X^*(t), u^*(t)), D_x W(X^*(t)) \rangle = -H(X^*(t), -D_x W(X^*(t)))$$

for almost every $t \in [0, T^*)$ and if $T^* < \infty$, then $W(X^*(T^*)) = g(X^*(T^*))$. Then W = V and T^* is optimal for the initial condition x.

24. Probability Theory

24.17 Probability Theory

24.17.1 Introduction to Probability Theory

Definition 24.193 (Distribution Function/ CDF). Let X be a random variable and x a realised value of the random variable. We define the distribution $F(x) = P(X \le x)$ where $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Furthermore,

 $\begin{cases} Continuous: \ F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(y) dy \\ Discrete: \ F(x) = \sum_{x_k \le x} P(X = x_k) \ for \ a \ sequence \ of \ points \ x_1, x_2, \dots \ such \ that \ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(X = x_k) = 1. \end{cases}$

Proposition 24.194 (Independence). Let $X_1,...X_n$ be independent random variables. We have that

$$P(X_1 \le x_1, ..., X_n \le x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \le x_i).$$

If X_i has the same distribution for $1 \le i \le n$, then we call the sequence an independent and identically distributed sequence.

Definition 24.195 (Expectation). Let $X \in \ell_1$. Then the expectation is defined as

$$E(X) \begin{cases} Continuous: & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) dx \\ Discrete: & \sum_{k} x_{k} P(X = x_{k}). \end{cases}$$

25. Conditional Expectation

25.17.2 Conditional Expectation

We have 3 types of conditional expectation.

- 1. Condition on event
- 2. Condition on random variable
- 3. Condition on sigma algebra.

Theorem 25.196 (Conditional expectation with respect to event). Let X be a discrete random variable and A be an event. Then,

$$E(X|A) = \sum_{x} xP(X = x|A)$$

where the sum is over the support of X.

If X is a continuous random variable with PDE f, then

$$E(X|A) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x|A) dx$$

where f(x||A) is just a formulation of Bayes rule

$$f(x|A) = \frac{P(A|X=x)f(x)}{P(A)}.$$

Theorem 25.197 (Conditional expectation with respect to a random variable). Let X and Y be on the same probability space. Define $g(x) = E(Y|X=x) = \sum_y P(Y=y|X=x)$. Then the conditional expectation of Y given X, denoted by E(Y|X) is defined to be the random variable g(X).

Remark 25.198 Since E(Y|X) is a function of X, this means that E(Y|X) is a random variable. Hence it makes sense to compute quantities such as E(E(Y|X)) and Var(E(Y|X)).

Definition 25.199 (Conditional expectation with respect to sigma algebra). Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be sigma-algebras and X a random variable on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . Assume that $E(X^2) < \infty$. Then, there exists a \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable Y such that

$$E[(X - Y)^2] = \inf_{z \in \mathcal{F}} E(X - Z)^2$$

over all \mathcal{F} -measurable random variables. We denote the minimizing Y by $E(X|\mathcal{F})$.

Theorem 25.200 Let X, Y be random variables and $X \in L^1$. Then there exists a unique random variable E(X|Y) such that

$$E(h(Y)E(X|Y)) = E(h(Y)X)$$

for every bounded and measurable function h. Let $h(x) = 1_B(x)$ and hence

$$E(1_B(Y)(X)) = E[1_B E(Y|X)].$$

Proposition 25.201 (Linearity of expectation). If we have a sequence of random variables $\{X_1,...,X_n\}$, then

$$E(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) + \dots + E(X_n).$$

Theorem 25.202 (Law of total expectation). Let X and Y be random variables on the same probability space.

$$E(X) = E(E(X|Y)).$$

Furthermore, if $\{Y_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a countable partition of the sample space, then

$$E(X) = \sum_{i \in I} E(X|Y_i)P(Y_i).$$

Lemma 25.203 If X and Y are independent, then

$$E(X|Y) = E(X).$$

Theorem 25.204 (Tower property). If the sigma algebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$, then

$$E(E(X|\mathcal{F})|\mathcal{G}) = E(X|\mathcal{G}).$$

Theorem 25.205 If Z is adapted to sigma algebra \mathcal{F} , then

$$E(XZ|\mathcal{F}) = ZE(X|\mathcal{F}).$$

Theorem 25.206 (Jensen's Inequality). Let F be a convex function. Let X and Y be random variables. Then

$$F(E(X|Y)) \le E(F(X)|Y).$$

26. Martingale Theory

26.17.3 Introduction to Martingales

Definition 26.207 (Stochastic Process). A family of random variables $\{X_t : t \in T\}$.

Definition 26.208 (Measurable Function). Let (X, A) and (Y, Σ) be measurable spaces. A function $f: X \to Y$ is measurable if the preimage of E under f is in A for every $E \in \Sigma$; i.e.

$$f^{-1}[E] := \{x \in X : f(x) \in E\} \in \mathcal{A}$$

for all $E \in \Sigma$.

Definition 26.209 (Filtration). Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space and let I be an index set with a total order. For every $i \in I$, let \mathcal{F}_i be a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{A} . Then

$$\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i\in I}$$

is called a filtration.

Remark 26.210 Intuitively, this says that an adapted process is one that cannot "see into the future. We will contrast this later with a predictable process.

Definition 26.211 (Filtered probability space). We call $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n\geq 1}, P)$ a filtered probability space.

Definition 26.212 (Adapted process). Let

- 1. (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space;
- 2. Let I be an index set with a total order;
- 3. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a filtration of the σ -algebra \mathcal{A} ;
- 4. Let (S, Σ) be a measurable space (state space);
- 5. Let $X: I \times \Omega \to S$ be a stochastic process.

The process X is said to be adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i\in I}$ if the random variable $X_i:\Omega\to S$ is a (\mathcal{F}_i,Σ) -measurable function for each $i\in I$.

Definition 26.213 (Martingales). Let $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a discrete-time stochastic process. We say that $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a Martingale with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ if

- 1. $\{M_n\}$ is **adapted** to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$;
- 2. $E(|M_n|) < \infty$ for all n;

3. $E(M_n|\mathcal{F}_n) = M_n$ for all n.

Definition 26.214 (Submartingales and Supermartingales). A submartingale/supermartingale satisfies the same conditions except for the 3rd condition where instead

- 1. (Supermartingales) $E(M_n|\mathcal{F}_n) \leq M_n$ for all n.
- 2. (Submartingale) $E(M_n|\mathcal{F}_n) \geq M_n$ for all n.

26.17.4 Properties of Martingales

Theorem 26.215 If $\{M_n\}$ and $\{N_n\}$ are two martingales with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$, then for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that

$$\{aM_n + bN_n\}$$

is a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$.

Theorem 26.216 If $\{M_n\}$ is a martingale, then $E(M_n) = E(M_0)$.

Remark 26.217 We have that for a supermartingale (submartingale) that $E(M_n) \leq E(M_0)$ ($E(M_n) \geq E(M_0)$).

Theorem 26.218 (Law of Iterated Expectations). Let X be a random variable such that $X \in L^1(X)$ and Y be a random variable defined on the same probability space. Then

$$E(X) = E(E(X|Y)).$$

Theorem 26.219 Let $\{M_n\}$ be a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$. Then

$$E(M_{n+k}|\mathcal{F}_n) = M_n$$

for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 26.220 Note that this is a generalisation of condition three for a stochastic process to be a martingale.

Theorem 26.221 Let $\{M_n\}$ be a martingale. Let f be an increasing convex function such that $E(|f(M_n)|) < \infty$. Then $\{f(M_n)\}_n$ is a submartingale.

Theorem 26.222 Let $\{M_n\}$ be a **submartingale**. Let f be an increasing convex function such that $E(|f(M_n)|) < \infty$. Then $\{f(M_n)\}_n$ is a submartingale.

Definition 26.223 (Predictable Process). Given a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n\geq 1}, P)$, we say that the stochastic process $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is predictable if $X_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ for n=1,2,...

Remark 26.224 If we know what \mathcal{F}_{n-1} , we can predict X_n .

Theorem 26.225 (Martingale transform). Let $\{S_n\}$ be a martingale such that $E(S_n^2) < \infty$. Let $\{H_n\}$ be a predictable process such that $E(H_n^2) < \infty$. Then the martingale transform of Y of S by H is

1.
$$Y_0 = 0$$

2.
$$Y_n := \sum_{i=1}^n H_i(S_i - S_{i-1}) \text{ for } n=1,2,...$$

Then $\{Y_n\}$ is a martingale.

Theorem 26.226 (Orthogonality of martingale increments). Let $\{M_n\}$ be a martingale. Then for $0 \le i \le j \le k \le n$, we have that

$$E[(M_n - M_k)M_j] = 0$$

which implies that

$$E[(M_n - M_k)(M_j - M_i)] = 0.$$

Remark 26.227 In other words, as long as we don't have time interval overlaps, then the time intervals are orthogonal increments.

27. Markov Chains

27.17.5 Markov Chains

Definition 27.228 (Markov property). Let $\{X_n\}$ be a stochastic process and $\mathcal{F}_n = \gamma(X_0, ..., X_n)$ be a filtration. Then $\{X_n\}$ is said to have the Markov property if

$$E[f(X_{n+k})|\mathcal{F}_n] = E(f(X_{n+k})|X_n)$$

for f that is bounded and measurable. Equivalently, we have that

$$P(X_{n+1} \in A | X_n = i, X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, ..., X_0 = i_0) = P(X_{n+1} \in A | X_n = i).$$

Definition 27.229 (Time homogenous). Suppose the stochastic process $\{X_n\}$ takes values in the finite state space S. Then $\{X_n\}$ is time homogenous if for any state $i_0, i_1, ..., i_{n-1}$ for $i, j \in S$, we have that

$$P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i, X_{n+1} = i - 1, ..., X_0 = i_0) = P(i.j).$$

Definition 27.230 (Transition matrix). The matrix $P = (P(i,j))_{i,j \in S}$ is called the transition matrix of the Markov chain where $P(i,j) = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i)$.

Theorem 27.231 Suppose X is a Markov chain. Then for all $n_1 < n_2 < ... < n_k < n$ and for all $i_1, i_2, ..., i_k$, we have that

$$P(X_m = j | X_{m_k} = i_k, ..., X_{n_1} = i_i) = P(X_m = j | X_{n_k} = i_k)$$

whereby the multistep only depends on the last step.

Theorem 27.232 Let $\{X_n\}$ be a M.C. with state space S and transition matrix $P = (P(i.j))_{i,j \in S}$. Then, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$P^{(m+n)} = P^{(m)}P^{(n)}.$$

Definition 27.233 We denote P_{yy} as the probability for the chain to return to state y. That is, there exists a moment in time where we return to state y.

Definition 27.234 (Transient state). A state $y \in S$ is said to be transient if $P_{yy} < 1$.

Definition 27.235 (Recurrent state). A state $y \in S$ is said to be Recurrent if $P_{yy} = 1$.

Remark 27.236 So a transient state captures the idea that the process have some probability that it never returns to that state whilst a recurrent state guarantees the process will return to the state.

Theorem 27.237 If $Y \in S$ is a transient state, then starting from any state, we will visit y **finitely** many times with probability of 1.

If $Y \in S$ is a recurrent state, then starting from any state, we will visit y **infinitely** many times with probability of 1.

Definition 27.238 A state $X \in S$ communicates with another state $Y \in S$ if for $X \to Y$ if $P_{XY} > 0$ i.e. it is possible to transition from state X to Y.

Theorem 27.239 If $X \to Y$ and $Y \to Z$, then $X \to Z$.

Theorem 27.240 If X is recurrent and $X \to Y$, then $P_{YX} = 1$.

Definition 27.241 A nonempty set $A \subset S$ is said to be closed if it is impossible to exit, i.e. for all $i \in A$ and $j \notin A$, we have that

$$P(i, j) = 0.$$

Definition 27.242 (Irreducible). A closed set $B \subset S$ is said to be irreducible if for all $i, j \in B$, we have that state i communicates with state j $i \to j$. So B has no proper closed set.

Lemma 27.243 Every state S is a closed set.

Theorem 27.244 If $C \subset S$ is finite and irreducible, then all states in C are recurrent.

Theorem 27.245 Assume state space S is finite. Then we can do a disjoint decomposition of S

$$S = T\dot{\cup}R_1\dot{\cup}R_2\dot{\cup}...\dot{\cup}R_k$$

where T is a set of transient state and R_i are irreducible sets for i = 1, ..., k.

Theorem 27.246 (Stationary distribution). Let $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a Markov Chain with transition matrix P. Supose X_0 is a random variable with distribution $\pi = \{\pi_i\}_{i\in S}$. In other words, π is a row vector whose entries are probabilities summing up to 1. The distribution of X_0 is $\pi.P$. A distribution π is said to be stationary if

$$\pi . P = \pi .$$

Theorem 27.247 (Existence and uniqueness of stationary distributions). Let $\{X_n\}$ be a Markov chain. Let S be a state space that is finite and irreducible. Then there exists a unique stationary distribution π . Furthermore, $\pi_i > 0$ for all $i \in S$.

Theorem 27.248 (Ergodicity). Suppose the state space S is irreducible and π is a stationary distribution. Let $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_k) \to \sum_{x \in S} f(x) \pi_x.$$

28. Stochastic Control Theory

28.18 Stochastic Control Theory

28.18.1 Introduction to Stochastic Control

Recall 2 important properties of conditional expectation.

$$E(X) = E(E(X|\mathcal{F}_n)).$$

Also let $X = g(X_1, ..., X_n)$ and let Y be independent of $X_1, ..., X_n$. Then

$$E[F(X,Y)|X_1,...,X_n] = H(X)$$

where we can now denote H(x) = EF(x, Y).

We work with Markov chains from now on so we will be working in discrete time.

Definition 28.249 (Markov chain). Let $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset ...$ be events observable at t=1,2,... Then (X_t) is a Markov chain with the transition operators P_t if

- 1. X_t is observable at time t (X_t is \mathcal{F}_{t} -adapted)
- 2. If $E(\phi(X_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t) = (P_t\psi)(X_t)$. i.e. We only need to know the value X_t rather than the whole past.

We now look at the setup. Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ...$ be independent noise. Denote the **nonlinear Markov chain** as

$$X_{t+1} = F(t, X_t, \epsilon_{t+1}).$$

We define the **transition operator** as

$$P_t \psi(x) = E(F(t, x, \epsilon_{t+1}))$$

which tells us about transitioning from state t to state t+1. So then note that

$$E(\psi(X_{t+1})|\mathcal{F}_t) = E(\psi(F(t, X_t, \epsilon_{t+1})|\mathcal{F}_t)) = (P_t\psi)(X_t).$$

So we have a finite time horizon T. We consider nonlinear Markov chain

$$X_{t+1} = F(t, X_t, u_t, \epsilon_{t+1})$$

where ϵ_t is independent for t=0,...,T-1. We have that the control is a function of time and previous realised states

$$u_t = u(t, x_0, x_1, ..., x_t).$$

Furthermore, $u_t \in U(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ as the admissible control now depends on where we are now where $x = (x_0, x_1, ..., x_t)$. Setting the initial state $X_0 = x$.

We define the payoff functional

$$J(x,u) = E[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} q(t,X(t),u(t)) + r(T,X(T))]$$

which is now the expected value of the running cost and terminal cost. We are looking for the optimal control \hat{u} such that

$$V_T(x) = \sup_{u} J_T(x, u) = J_T(x, \hat{u}).$$

30. Bellman Theorem for finite horizon

30.19 Bellman Theorem for finite horizon

30.19.1 Bellman Theorem for finite horizon

We now look at Dynamic programming for the stochastic discrete case.

Definition 30.250 (Controlled transition operator). We define the transition operator which now depends on the control in order to determine the Markov chain.

$$P^{u}h(x) = E(h(F_{t}(x, u, \epsilon))).$$

where $u \in U(x)$ is a fixed vector.

Definition 30.251 (Controlled Markov Chain). We define the nonlinear Markov chain which now depends on the control u.

$$X_{t+1} = F_t(X_t, u_t, \epsilon_{t+1}).$$

So now, combining both the controlled transition operator and controlled Markov chain, we arrive at

$$P^{u}h(x) = E[h(X_{t+1}^{u})|X_{t} = x, u(t) = u].$$

To interpret this, at time t, we are at state x and used control u. What does the probability distribution look like for the next step?

Lemma 30.252 Combining the controlled transition operator and controlled Markov chain, we have that

$$E[P^{u}h(X_{t}^{u}) = E(h(X^{u}(t+1))).$$

We summarise what we have done so far. We have the system $X(t+1) = F(t, X(t), u(t), \epsilon_{t+1})$ where ϵ_t are independent random variables. We assume that u(t) is a random variable that is determined by X i.e. u(t) = u(t, X(0), X(1), ..., X(t)).

The transition operator depends on the control now, which is $P_t^u \phi(x) = E(\phi[F(t, x, u, \epsilon_{t+1}))) = E[\phi_t^u(X^u(t+1))|X_t = x, u(t) = u]$. We can re-express this as

$$E[\phi(X^u(t+1))|\mathcal{F}_t] = E(P_t^u\phi)(X^u(t)).$$

So we take function ϕ and compute $P_t^u\phi(x)$ and then plug in the state $X^u(t)$ and then take conditional expectation.

Theorem 30.253 (Bellman Theorem). Define the payoff functional as

$$J_T(x, u) = E[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} q(t, X_t, u_t) + r(T, X^u(T))].$$

Define the endpoint constraint $V_T(x) = r(T,x)$. Here, at time T, we don't have any options except to take the terminal cost. Then, we proceed by induction backwards

$$V_t(x) = \sup_{u \in U(x)} [q(t, x, u) + P^u V_{t+1}(x)].$$

That is, at every point in time t, we find the supremum control to maximimise the Bellman equation of what we can get at time t (q(t,x,u)) and the average maximal payoff we cann receive from t+1 (denoted by $P^uV_{t+1}(x)$).

Then for any control u, we have the upper bound inequality

$$J_T(x,u) \leq V_0(x)$$
.

Moreover, if there exists optimal maximizers $\hat{u}(0), \hat{u}(1), ..., \hat{u}(T-1)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \hat{u}(t,x) \in U(x) \text{ where each control is admissible} \\ V(t,x) = q(t,x,\hat{u}(t,x)) + P^{\hat{u}(t,x)}V_{t+1}(x). \end{cases}$$

Then, the $\hat{u}(t)$ is the optimal control, i.e.

$$J(x, \hat{u}) = \sup_{u \in U} J_T(x, u) = V_0(x).$$

Hence, the optimal control is only dependent on the current state

$$\hat{u}(t, x_0, x_1, ..., x_t) = \hat{v}(t, x_t).$$

Remark 30.254 The final section of the Bellman theorem is similar to the Pontriagin Maximum Principle, whereby if we find the maximisers, we can derive the optimal value function.

31. Dynamic Programming Principle in stochastic setting

31.19.2 Dynamic Programming Principle

Let 0 < t < T. Then we define

$$V(0,x) = \sup_{u} J_T(x,u) = \sup_{u} E(\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} q(s, X^u, u(s)) + V(t, X^u(t))).$$

For a fixed control u, we define

$$M_t^u = \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} q(s, X^u(s), u(s) + V(t, X^u(t))).$$

Theorem 31.255 For any control u, the process M^u is a supermartingale. That is,

$$E(M_{t+1}^u|\mathcal{F}_t) \leq M_t^u$$
.

If \hat{u} is the optimal control, then the process $M^{\hat{u}}$ is a martingale.

$$E(M_{t+1}^{\hat{u}}|\mathcal{F}_t) = M_t^{\hat{u}}.$$

Remark 31.256 Recall in the deterministic DPP setup, we had that M_t was constant under the optimal control. This theorem defines something similar but for a stochastic sequence.

- 1. If the control is arbitrary, then the sequence $\{M_t^u\}$ is a super martingale, i.e. stochastically decreasing sequence.
- 2. If the control is the optimal control, then the sequence $\{M^u_t\}$ is a martingale, i.e. stochastically constant sequence.

31. Time-Homogenous Markov Chain

31.19.3 Time-Homogenous Markov Chain

We now consider a system that does not depend on time. We have the set up

$$F = F(x, u, \epsilon)$$

where ϵ_t is i.i.d. We have that the running cost q does not depend on time. Our cost functional is now

$$J_T(x, u) = E\Big(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma^t q(X^u(t), u(t)) + \gamma^T r(X^u(T))\Big).$$

We define a new operator acting on bounded function $A\phi(x) = \sup_{u \in U(x)} (q(x, u) + \gamma P^u \phi(x))$. The solution to this control problem is expressed in terms of this nonlinear operator we defined. We define iterates of A as

$$\begin{cases} A^{t+1}(\phi)(x) = A(A^{t-1}\phi)(x) & t \ge 0 \\ A^0(\phi) = \phi. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 31.257 We have

$$V(t,x) = \gamma^T q(x, \hat{u}(t,x)) + P^{\hat{u}}V(t+1,x) = \gamma^t A^{T-t}r(x)$$
 $t = 0, ..., T$.

Moreover, if $\hat{u}(t) \in U(x)$ and

$$A^{T-t}r(x) = q(x, \hat{u}(t, x)) + \gamma P^{\hat{t}, x} A^{T-t-1}r(x)$$

then the sequence $\hat{u}(t,x) = \hat{v}(t,X^{\hat{v}}(t))$ is optimal.

33. Bellman theorem for infinite horizon

33.20 Bellman theorem for infinite horizon

33.20.1 Bellman theorem for infinite horizon

Interestingly, infinite horizons has easier solutions. We define the state as

$$X(t+1) = F(X(t), u(t)).$$

We define the payoff functional as

$$J_{\infty}(x,u) = E(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} q(X(t), u(t))).$$

Controls are random through the state process u(t) = u(t, X(0), X(1), ..., X(t)). The solution to this control problem will be express as the nonlinear operator

$$A\phi(x) = \sup_{u \in U(x)} [q(x, u) + \gamma P^u \phi(x)].$$

The optimal payoff is $V_{\infty}(x) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}(x)} J_{\infty}(x, u)$.

Lemma 33.258 The operator A is monotone if $\phi_1(x) \leq \phi_2(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then

$$A(\phi_1)(x) \le A(\phi_2)(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 33.259 (Blackwell Conditions). The Blackwell condition gives us sufficient conditions to identify a contraction mapping. The operator is required to be monotonic and discounts constant terms. If this holds, the operator is a contraction.

Definition 33.260 We define the value of the operator A on the zero function (i.e. $\phi = 0$) for the value x.

$$A(0)(x) = \sup_{u \in U(x)} [q(x, u)].$$

From this, $q(x,u) \geq 0$ and hence using monotonicity, we can compute the iterate

$$A^{s}(0) = A^{A^{s-1}(0)}(x).$$

Lemma 33.261 For the iterates of A, we have that

$$A^s(0)(x) \le A^t(0)(x)$$

for $s \leq t$.

If we have an increasing sequence, then we must have a limit.

Theorem 33.262 The limit exists for

$$V_{\infty}(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} A^t(0)(x) \le \infty$$

Theorem 33.263 (Optimal Solution for infinite time horizon). For every x and every $u(x) \in \mathcal{U}(x)$, we have that

$$V_{\infty}(x) = AV_{\infty}(x)$$

where $V_{\infty}(x)$ is a fixed point for the operator A. Additionally,

$$J_{\infty}(x,u) \leq V_{\infty}(x)$$
.

If we take supremum over all possible controls, we get $V_{\infty}(x)$. However, it may not be the case that taking the supremum over $J_{\infty}(x,u)$ will give us equality.

If for every x, there exists a function $u_{\infty} \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ such that

$$V_{\infty}(x) = AV_{\infty}(x) = q(x, u_{\infty}(x)) + \gamma P^{u_{\infty}(x)} V_{\infty}(x)$$

then $\hat{u}(t) = u_{\infty}(X^{u_{\infty}}(t))$ is the optimal control provided that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \left[\gamma^T V_{\infty}(X^{u_{\infty}}(T)) \right] = 0.$$

This is a similar condition for control of deterministic ODEs.

34. Stochastic Linear Quadratic Regulator

34.21 Stochastic Linear Quadratic Regulator

34.21.1 Stochastic Linear Quadratic Regulator

We recall some facts from linear algebra.

Lemma 34.264 Let \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} be square dxd matrices. Assume that $(I + \tilde{A}\tilde{B})$ is invertible. Then $(I + \tilde{B}\tilde{A})$ is also invertible.

Furthermore, $(I + \tilde{B}\tilde{A})^{-1} = I - \tilde{B}(I + \tilde{A}\tilde{B})^{-1}\tilde{A}$.

Lemma 34.265 Let A and B be nonnegative and symmetric matrices. Then

- 1) (I + AB) is invertible.
- 2) $B(I + AB)^{-1} \ge 0$ and $B(I + AB)^{-1}$ is symmetric.

We define some notation for later on.

Definition 34.266 Let

- 1. $\tilde{B} = K$ for any square matrix.
- 2. $\tilde{A} = AR^{-1}A^*$.
- 3. $A(K) = Q + A*K(I + BR^{-1}B*K)^{-1}A$.
- 4. $B(K) = -(R + B^*KB)^{-1}B^*KA$ for a nonnegative symmetric K.

We assume that K and Q are nonnegative and symmetric matrices. Furthermore, $\mathcal{A}(K)$ is a nonnegative and symmetric matrix that takes in symmetric nonnegative matrices.

We set up the system for LQR. Recall that for the continuous time LQR proble, the solution required the Ricatti differential equation of higher dimension which has no analytical solution. Hence we work with the discrete time LQR as the Ricatti equation now has a recursive solution.

Definition 34.267 (Discrete time Stochastic LQR). We define state of the system to be

$$\begin{cases} X(t+1) = AX(t) + Bu(t) + C\epsilon_{t+1} \\ X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d, u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m, \epsilon_t \in \mathbb{R}^k. \end{cases}$$

We define the cost functional to be

$$J(x,u) = E\left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \langle QX(t), X(t) \rangle + \langle Ru(t), u(t) \rangle\right] + \langle K_0X(T), X(T) \rangle.$$

We assume that ϵ_t is an independent random vector with zero mean and identity covariance matrix.

Remark 34.268 1. The first two terms in the cost functional is the running cost and the third term is the terminal cost.

2. The name Linear Quadratic Regulator gets its name from the fact that the state is Linear, the cost functional is Quadratic, and we seek to regularise (minimise) the cost functional.

Theorem 34.269 *Let*

$$V(0,x) = \inf_{u} J(x,u) = \inf_{u} E\left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \langle QX(t), X(t) \rangle + \langle Ru(t), u(t) \rangle + \langle K_0X(T), X(T) \rangle\right].$$

We can find an explicit formula for the optimal cost, the optimal control, and optimal state.

- 1. $V(0,x) = \langle K_T x, x \rangle + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} Trace(c^* K_t c)$.
- 2. $\hat{u}(t) = B(K_{T-t-1})X(t)$.
- 3. $\hat{X}(t+1) = A\hat{X}(t) + B(K_{T-t-1}\hat{X}(t) + C\epsilon_{t+1})$.

where $K_t = \mathcal{A}^t(K_0)$ is the iteration policy.

Remark 34.270 We note a few things.

- 1. We pay a price for uncertainty in V(0,x).
- 2. We control the system without using ϵ_t . Hence, controlling the LQR problem in the deterministic and stochastic setting is identical.
- 3. The optimal control only depends on the current state of the system, so we do not need to look back.

Lemma 34.271 Let R be a nonnegative symmetric matrix and $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We then have that

$$\langle Ru, u \rangle + \langle a, u \rangle \ge -\frac{1}{4} \langle R^{-1}a, a \rangle.$$

$$\hat{u} = \frac{1}{2} R^{-1}a.$$

Lemma 34.272 Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a random vector. Assume that $E(\epsilon) = 0$ and $S = E(\epsilon \epsilon^*) = (E(\epsilon_i \epsilon_j))$. Let K be any nxn matrix. Then

$$E(\langle K\epsilon, \epsilon \rangle) = Trace(KS).$$

35. Optimal Stopping: Finite Horizon

35.22 Optimal Stopping

35.22.1 Finite Horizon Problem

In this section, we have our states evolving over time as a Markov chain which does not depend on our control. In fact, the control in this setup is deciding when to stop the state process. We denote P for the transition operator and \mathbb{P} for probability.

Let us denote the finite terminal time as T and only look at time $t \leq T$. Let $\{X\}$ be a Markov chain where each state $X(t) \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We denote τ as the stopping time, which is a random variable. We then define $\{\tau = t\} \in \sigma(X_0, ..., X_t)$ on when we decided to stop at time t based on the previous states.

$$\begin{cases} X(t+1) = F(X(t), \epsilon_{t+1}) & \text{state process} \\ P\phi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\phi(F(x, \epsilon_0))] & \text{time homogenous transition operator} \\ S_T & \text{set of all stopping times where } \tau \text{ denotes stopping time and } P(\tau \leq T) = 1 \\ J(x, \tau) = E[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau-1} \gamma^t q(X(t)) + \gamma^\tau r(X(\tau))] & \text{payoff functional} \end{cases}$$

where $r, q \ge 0$ are nonnegative functions. Intuitively, r is the payoff you get from stopping at time τ and q is the running reward. Our aim is to look for the optimal $\tau \in S_T$ to maximise the payoff functional.

2 points to note,

- 1. If $\tau > t$, it means that we decided not to stop and we take q(X(t));
- 2. If $\tau = t$, it means we have decided to stop and take $r(X(\tau))$.

We define the value function as

$$V(x) = \sup_{\tau \in S_T} J(x, \tau) = J(x, \hat{\tau})$$

where τ is the time that maximises the payoff functional.

Definition 35.273 (Nonlinear operator). We define the nonlinear operator as

$$Q_{max}(\phi)(x) = max[q(x) + \gamma P\phi(x), r(x)].$$

Remark 35.274 If the problem was a minimisation problem, we would instead have

$$Q_{min}(\phi)(x) = min[q(x) + \gamma P\phi(x), r(x)].$$

We come to the main theorem of optimal stopping, note that we distinguish between the cases of whether the objective function J is a payoff function or cost function.

Theorem 35.275 (a) If J is a payoff function, then the stopping time

$$\begin{split} \hat{\tau} &= \inf\{t \leq T: Q_{max}^{T-t}(r)(X(t)) \leq r(X(t))\} \\ &= \inf\{t \leq T: q(X(t)) + \gamma P Q^{T-t-1}(r)(X(t)) \leq r(X(t))\} \end{split}$$

is the optimal stopping time.

(b) If J is a cost function, then the stopping time

$$\begin{split} \hat{\tau} &= \inf\{t \leq T: Q_{max}^{T-t}(r)(X(t)) \geq r(X(t))\} \\ &= \inf\{t \leq T: q(X(t)) + \gamma P Q^{T-t-1}(r)(X(t)) \geq r(X(t))\} \end{split}$$

 $is\ the\ optimal\ stopping\ time.$

(c) In both scenarios, the optimal solution for the finite horizon problem is

$$J(X(0), \hat{\tau}) = E[Q^T(r)(X(0))].$$

Remark 35.276 (Intuition). We look at the intuition for the case that J is a payoff functional. At t = 0, then we can immediately stop and take the reward r(x). Alternatively, we can decide to continue until t=1, then the horizon will be T-1, and the payoff will be $Q^T(r)(x)$. We keep going until our expected future payoff $Q^T(x)$ is less than the reward we can get immediately r(x). When this is the case, we should decide to stop. Hence, the optimal time to stop is when the expected payoff in the future is less than the immediate payoff we can receive.

Proof:(Sketch). We talk about the key points behind this theorem. We will turn the optimal stopping problem into a controlled markov chain control problem which we are familiar with and use the Bellman theorem to solve it.

We define control $u \in \{0,1\}$ where 0 means we continue and 1 means we stop. We want our Markov chain to terminate once we go pass the finite horizon time T so we introduce the cemetary state δ such that if our MC enters this state, it terminates the process. Hence the state space is $E = \mathbb{R}^d \cup \{\delta\}$. We now have a controlled MC $\tilde{X}(t) \in E$ for all t.

So, denoting q(x,0) as the payoff for not stopping and q(x,1) for stopping, we have the set up

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{q}(x,0) = q(x) & \text{reward we get for not stopping} \\ \tilde{q}(x,1) = r(x) & \text{terminal payoff since we stopped} \\ \tilde{r}(x) = r(x) & \\ \tilde{\phi}: E \to \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have

 $\begin{cases} \tilde{X}^u(t) & \text{the controlled Markov chain} \\ \tilde{J}(\tilde{x},u) = \mathbb{E}[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \tilde{q}(\tilde{X}(t,u(t)))\gamma^t + \gamma^T \tilde{r}(\tilde{X}(T))] & \text{payoff functional incorporating both running payoff and terminal payoff.} \end{cases}$

We can apply the Bellman theorem to this in the classical stochastic control problem setting.

Lemma 35.277 (Prophet Inequality). Let $X_0, ..., X_T$ be a sequence of random variables where we are trying to decide the optimal time to stop in finite horizon T. Then,

$$\sup_{\tau \in S_T} E(X_{\tau}) \ge \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(\max\{X_0, ..., X_T\}).$$

36. Optimal Stopping: Infinite Horizon

36.22.2 Infinite Horizon Problem

We look at the setup for when we only have a terminal state payoff and no running payoff. We have the setup of

$$\begin{cases} X(t+1) = F(X(t), \epsilon_{t+1}) \\ \epsilon_t & \text{is i.i.d} \\ \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq \infty) = 1 \\ S_{\infty} & \text{is the family of all stopping times where } (0 \leq \tau \leq \infty) \\ J(x,\tau) = \mathbb{E}[\gamma^{\tau} r(X(\tau)) I_{\tau < \infty}] & \text{is the payoff functional for when stopping occurs} \\ V(x) = \sup_{\tau \in S_{\infty}} J(x,\tau) & \text{is the value function} \end{cases}$$

where γ is a nonnegative scalar and r is a nonnegative function. Furthermore, $\hat{\tau}$ may not exist as we never stop.

The aim is to find both the value function V(x) and optimal stopping time $\hat{\tau}$ such that $V(x) = J(x, \hat{\tau})$. An issue is that $\hat{\tau}$ may not exist and hence we need to impose a condition (transversality condition).

Definition 36.278 (Operators). We define the transition operator as

$$P\phi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(t+1))|X(t) = x] = \mathbb{E}[\phi(F(x,\epsilon_0))].$$

We then introduce the nonlinear operator

$$Q_{max}(\phi)(x) = max[q(x) + \gamma P\phi(x), r(x)].$$

where at any time t, we can either stop and take r(x) or continue and expect to receive $\gamma P\phi(x)$. Futhermore, note that this is the same for all points in time due to time homogeneity.

We note that for the iterate of Q()

$$\begin{cases} V_t(x) = Q^t(r)(x) \\ V_0(x) = r(x) \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, by the monotonicity of Q, we have that

$$V_t(x) \le V_{t+1}(x)$$
 for all $x \in E$ and $t = 0,1,2,...$

which implies that

$$V_{\infty}(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} V_t \le \infty.$$

Theorem 36.279 (1) The function V_{∞} is the unique non-negative minimal solution to the equation

$$Q(v)(x) = v(x)$$

for all $x \in E$.

(2) Let τ be a stopping time such that

$$\tau = \inf\{t \ge 0 : V_{\infty}(X(t)) = r(X(t))\}.$$

If we assume that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} [\gamma^T \mathbb{E}[V_{\infty}(X(T)I_{\hat{t}>T})] = 0,$$

then $\hat{\tau}$ is optimal and

$$V_{\infty}(x) = J(x, \hat{\tau}) = \sup_{\tau \in S_{\infty}} J(x, \tau).$$

The intuition is that V_{∞} is the optimal cost, so if at time \tilde{t} we are at the state $X(\tilde{t})$, then if the payoff at time \tilde{t} for $V_{\infty}(X(\tilde{t}))$ is the same as if we decide to stop at time \tilde{t} and take $r(X(\tilde{t}))$, then we have found the optimal stopping time and should stop. Notice that we are looking for the fixed point of the functional Q, which is the function v(x).

So if we know the distribution of the random variables $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, ...$ we can compute $P\phi(x)$ and then compute $Q(\phi)$ to then solve the equation V(x) = Q(v)(x).

Remark 36.280 Issue with this theorem is that we need to compute $V_{\infty}(x)$ which is difficult. We formulate the optimal stopping rule in a different manner.

Theorem 36.281 Let $r \geq 0$ be a nonnegative and bounded function. We define the hitting set

$$C = \{x \in E; Pr(x) \le r(x)\}\$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}((X(t+1) \in C | X(t) = x) = 1$$

for $x \in C$.

We define the hitting time as

$$\tau_C = \inf\{t \ge 0 : X(t) \in C\}.$$

Then, we have that the hitting time is the optimal time

$$\tau_C = \hat{\tau}$$
.

Remark 36.282 So we have a state X(t) evolving over time and at the first moment that our state ever enters the hitting set C, that is the optimal time to stop.