Natural Language Models and Interfaces

BSc Artificial Intelligence

Lecturer: Wilker Aziz

Institute for Logic, Language, and Computation

2020, week 1, lecture a

NLMI

Course organisation

Why NLP?

Why is NLP hard?

An overview of problems

An overview of the statistical method

Language data: first contact

Course

Topic: Statistical Natural Language Processing

Team
- ▶ Instructors: Wilker Aziz and Lieuwe Rekker
- ▶ Assistants: Daniel, Mitchell, Putri, Puck, Tim, Zarah

Attendance
- ▶ lectures: not monitored, but encouraged
- ▶ laptopcollege and werkcollege: highly encouraged! develop homework (lab assignments and written report)

Course information

Canvas
- ▶ course manual
- ▶ weekly materials: readings, slides, exercises
- ▶ assignments
- ▶ notifications

Textbook
 Jurafsky & Martin, *Speech and Language Processing* (3rd edition)

Any additional material will be announced in class and on canvas

Assessment

Exams

- ► Mid-term (individual): 30%
- ► Final (individual): 30%

Assessment

Exams

- ▶ Mid-term (individual): 30%
- ▶ Final (individual): 30%

Assignments

- ▶ 5 homework assignments:
  - ▶ one week per assignment
  - ▶ except the last assignment which spans over 2 weeks
- ▶ jupyter notebook exercises   25%
  - ▶ to be done in pairs (obligatory)
  - ▶ change your partner during the midterm week (obligatory)
- ▶ individual: academic writing skills   15%

Assessment

Exams

- ▶ Mid-term (individual): 30%
- ▶ Final (individual): 30%

Assignments

- ▶ 5 homework assignments:
  - ▶ one week per assignment
  - ▶ except the last assignment which spans over 2 weeks
- ▶ jupyter notebook exercises   25%
  - ▶ to be done in pairs (obligatory)
  - ▶ change your partner during the midterm week (obligatory)
- ▶ individual: academic writing skills   15%

Ungraded quizzes and lists of exercises

Final grade

Weighted average

$$\text{final grade} = 1 + \frac{9}{10} \left(\underbrace{0.3 \times \text{midterm} + 0.3 \times \text{final exam}}_{\text{exam component}} \right.$$

$$\left. + \underbrace{0.25 \times \text{notebooks} + 0.15 \times \text{report}}_{\text{assignment component}} \right)$$

where

▶ your exam component must be ≥ 5

▶ your assignments component must be ≥ 5

Rounding

▶ We round components to the closest half point.

▶ The *final grade* is rounded to the closest half point, or to the closest point if it falls between 5 and 6.

Deadlines

Assignments become available on Tuesday morning
and are due by Friday 6 PM.

- ▶ submission through canvas only
  assignments submitted by any other form will be ignored
- ▶ these are hard deadlines
- ▶ late submissions are not graded and thus score 0
- ▶ exceptions to this rule may be warranted on a per case basis
  condition on a valid reason: if necessary, reach out to your TA
  — though note TAs will not decide, instead they will make a
  case on your behalf, ultimately Lieuwe and I will decide.

Quizzes and exercises

Exam-type questions

- ▶ Quizzes (in class)
  prepare your phone to scan QR codes
  or use the link on the slides
- ▶ Lists of exercises (after class)

NLMI

What about processing language?

It's everywhere!

- ▶ We talk about things
  I love Paris! All those bridges, the cathedral, the Louvre, oh and of course, the tower!

What about processing language?

It's everywhere!

- ▶ We talk about things
  I love Paris! All those bridges, the cathedral, the Louvre, oh and of course, the tower!
- ▶ We give instructions
  From Dam square you head north on Damrak till you see it, really, you can't miss it.

What about processing language?

It's everywhere!

- ▶ We talk about things
  I love Paris! All those bridges, the cathedral, the Louvre, oh and of course, the tower!

- ▶ We give instructions
  From Dam square you head north on Damrak till you see it, really, you can't miss it.

- ▶ We entertain ourselves

Eleanor Ribgy
. . . picks up the rice
In the church where a wedding has been
Lives in a dream
Waits at the window, wearing the face
That she keeps in a jar by the door
Who is it for

People infer stuff from text and speech

I've had a wonderful weekend! I always wanted to buy a melodica. On Saturday, I finally went to that fancy music store in Haarlem. The rest of the weekend, I practised some of my favourite songs on it.

People infer stuff from text and speech

I've had a wonderful weekend! I always wanted to buy a melodica. On Saturday, I finally went to that fancy music store in Haarlem. The rest of the weekend, I practised some of my favourite songs on it.

▶ meaning of words, phrases, and sentences
  A melodica is a musical instrument, Haarlem is a place

People infer stuff from text and speech

I've had a wonderful weekend! I always wanted to buy a melodica. On Saturday, I finally went to that fancy music store in Haarlem. The rest of the weekend, I practised some of my favourite songs on it.

- ▶ meaning of words, phrases, and sentences
  A melodica is a musical instrument, Haarlem is a place
- ▶ relationships between sentences
  I went *because* I wanted to buy a melodica

People infer stuff from text and speech

I've had a wonderful weekend! I always wanted to buy a melodica. On Saturday, I finally went to that fancy music store in Haarlem. The rest of the weekend, I practised some of my favourite songs on it.

- ▶ meaning of words, phrases, and sentences
  A melodica is a musical instrument, Haarlem is a place
- ▶ relationships between sentences
  I went *because* I wanted to buy a melodica
- ▶ implications
  The melodica was bought at that store in Haarlem

People infer stuff from text and speech

I've had a wonderful weekend! I always wanted to buy a melodica. On Saturday, I finally went to that fancy music store in Haarlem. The rest of the weekend, I practised some of my favourite songs on it.

- ▶ meaning of words, phrases, and sentences
  A melodica is a musical instrument, Haarlem is a place

- ▶ relationships between sentences
  I went *because* I wanted to buy a melodica

- ▶ implications
  The melodica was bought at that store in Haarlem

- ▶ impressions about speaker/writer style
  The writing is boring or funny or engaging

All of this understanding plays a role when we

- ▶ Make conversations with other
- ▶ Translate from one language to another
- ▶ Create a summary of a document
- ▶ Find an answer to a question from a text

NLP then is about enabling computers to do some of these tasks

- ▶ How to study/analyse language in computational terms?
- ▶ How to build applications that will do these tasks automatically?

Goals of NLP

Scientific
- ▶ Build models of the human use of language

Engineering
- ▶ Build models that serve in technological applications
  - ▶ machine translation
  - ▶ speech systems
  - ▶ information extraction, etc.

Goals of NLP

Scientific
- ▶ Build models of the human use of language

Engineering
- ▶ Build models that serve in technological applications
  - ▶ machine translation
  - ▶ speech systems
  - ▶ information extraction, etc.

In this course we
- ▶ draw insights from scientific knowledge
- ▶ but mostly focus on engineering aspects
- ▶ and rely on language data in the form of digital text

NLP Applications

- Information retrieval: Google
- Summarisation: Google News
- Speech recognition: Siri, Alexa, Google Home
- Dialogue systems: Amazon chatbot
- Machine translation: Google translate
- Image captioning: Microsoft, Facebook
- Recommendation systems: Amazon reviews
- Social network analysis: Facebook, Twitter

NLMI

Basic levels of structure

Why is NLP hard?

Ambiguity at many levels

- ▶ Word senses: bank (finance or river?)
- ▶ Part of speech: chair (noun or verb?)
- ▶ Syntactic structure: I saw a man with a telescope
- ▶ Quantifier scope: Every child loves some movie
- ▶ Multiple: I saw her duck

and ambiguity typically grows with sentence length

Why is NLP hard?

Ambiguity at many levels

- ▶ Word senses: bank (finance or river?)
- ▶ Part of speech: chair (noun or verb?)
- ▶ Syntactic structure: I saw a man with a telescope
- ▶ Quantifier scope: Every child loves some movie
- ▶ Multiple: I saw her duck

and ambiguity typically grows with sentence length

Examples from newspaper headlines

Iraqi head seeks arms
Stolen painting found by tree
Teacher strikes idle kids

Why is NLP hard?

Variability (paraphrasing)

▶ *Emma burst into tears and he tried to comfort her, saying things to make her smile.*

▶ *Emma cried, and he tried to console her, adorning his words with puns.*

Example from Barzilay and McKeown (2001)

Why is NLP hard?

Different genres

- ▶ Suppose we train a part of speech tagger on the Wall Street Journal

Mr./NNP Vinken/NNP is/VBZ chairman/NN of/IN Elsevier/NNP N.V./NNP ,/, the/DT Dutch/NNP publishing/VBG group/NN ./.

- ▶ What will happen if we try to use this tagger for social media??

ikr smh he asked fir yo last name

Why is NLP hard?

Languages are different

▶ Chinese sentences do not have delimiters between words

(a) Raw data:

他还提出一系列具体措施和政策要点。

(b) Segmented:

他	还	提出	一	系列	具体	措施	和	政策	要点	。
He	also	propose	one	series	concrete	measure	and	policy	essential	.

(He also proposed a series of concrete measures and essentials on policy.)

Example from Xue et al. (2005)

Why is NLP hard?

Languages have different word orders

The	long	lasting	Dutch	winter	bothers	me	sometimes
O	longo	inverno	Holandês	às	vezes	me	incomoda

Myself (2018)

Why is NLP hard?

Context dependence

▶ correct interpretation typically requires context
   and often requires world knowledge
   Paris is so beautiful,   the city or the celebrity?

Why is NLP hard?

Context dependence

▶ correct interpretation typically requires context
and often requires world knowledge
Paris is so beautiful,   the city or the celebrity?
  especially when seen from the tower

Why is NLP hard?

Context dependence

▶ correct interpretation typically requires context
and often requires world knowledge
Paris is so beautiful,   the city or the celebrity?
especially when seen from the tower

Unknown representation

▶ we don't know how humans represent knowledge

NLMI

Sequence prediction

What is the next word? <kbd>▸ quiz</kbd>

Sequence prediction

What is the next word? `▸ quiz`

Not every word is equally likely to continue a certain prefix
▶ we typically make meaningful and grammatical sentences

Sequence segmentation

Some languages are based on *continuous scripts*

▶ for example Chinese and Thai

In English, words are generally clearly delimited

▶ but we still care about tokenisation

    ▶ input: I am not missing it, neither should ya!

    ▶ output: I am not missing it , neither should ya !

Sequence segmentation

Some languages are based on *continuous scripts* Wiki

- ▶ for example Chinese and Thai

In English, words are generally clearly delimited

- ▶ but we still care about tokenisation
    - ▶ input: I am not missing it, neither should ya!
    - ▶ output: I am not missing it , neither should ya !

▸ quiz

It is not necessarily clear what it means to find a segmentation

- ▶ we are either looking for meaning carrying parts
- ▶ or trying to minimise the cost of representation

Sequence labelling

We are often interested in analysing sentences

- ▶ we can classify words with respect to parts of speech
  apple is a noun
- ▶ and context usually plays a role
  I chair$_{verb}$ debates all the time, and usually I do not have a chair$_{noun}$ to sit on
- ▶ some words may refer to an entity
  Leibniz ▸ Wiki *was a German mathematician*

It's similar to sequence prediction, but with additional context
▸ quiz

- ▶ it may require far more knowledge of the world

Morphological disambiguation

Words have meaning carrying and functional parts

- ▶ English -ly usually *derives* an adverb from an adjective
- ▶ less often English can use *agglutination* or *compounding* to make new words
  wrongdoing is wrong + doing
- ▶ there are ambiguities
  - ▶ s marks plural in *cat*s, third person in *it mark*s, nothing in *new*s
  - ▶ with a verb un means "reversal", e.g. un*tie*
    with an adjective un means "not", e.g. un*wise*
- ▶ other languages are far more complex ● ▸ Wiki

Syntactic parsing

We can take the idea of sequence labelling and push it a bit farther

- ▶ label every "coherent" substring in a sentence
 a constituent ▸
- ▶ and we can do so recursively

which one has a funny interpretation?

Syntactic parsing

We can take the idea of sequence labelling and push it a bit farther

- ▶ label every "coherent" substring in a sentence
  a constituent ▸
- ▶ and we can do so recursively

which one has a funny interpretation?

nesting tells us about syntactic dependencies

Semantic parsing

We may be interested in the semantic role of constituents
with respect to a predicate `▸ Wiki`
rather than their syntactic function

Answer questions such as

▸ *who* did *what* to *whom*, *when* and *why*?

| I	will	**give**	you	the	**book**		if	you	**give**	me	the	**pen**
		GIVING			PART_ORDERED_SEGMENTS				GIVING			TEXT_CREATION
					Part							
Donor			Recipient	Theme			Donor			Recipient	Theme	

Text-to-text transformation

We can combine sequence prediction with sequence labelling   and
a few more things to translate ▸ seq2seq

▸ quiz

or summarise

Google seq2seq

Much more

- coreference resolution
- discourse analysis
- question answering
- paraphrasing
- translation equivalence
- word alignment

NLMI

But how can we do that?

Statistical approach

▶ or the "probabilistic pipeline"

Image by David Blei

Pipeline

We have knowledge about the world and we have questions we want to answer

▶ so we can design a model: encodes our knowledge and assumptions

Pipeline

We have knowledge about the world and we have questions we want to answer

- ▶ so we can design a model: encodes our knowledge and assumptions

We have data that by assumption somewhat comply with our assumptions

- ▶ so we can use statistics to discover patterns in data

Pipeline

We have knowledge about the world and we have questions we want to answer

- ▶ so we can design a model: encodes our knowledge and assumptions

We have data that by assumption somewhat comply with our assumptions

- ▶ so we can use statistics to discover patterns in data

We typically want to predict things or explore things

- ▶ again statistics can help us make decisions
- ▶ predict future outcomes
- ▶ organise unstructured data in some structured way

What do people talk about in the Wall Street Journal?

❶	❷	❸	❹	❺
Game	Life	Film	Book	Wine
Season	Know	Movie	Life	Street
Team	School	Show	Books	Hotel
Coach	Street	Life	Novel	House
Play	Man	Television	Story	Room
Points	Family	Films	Man	Night
Games	Says	Director	Author	Place
Giants	House	Man	House	Restaurant
Second	Children	Story	War	Park
Players	Night	Says	Children	Garden

❻	❼	❽	❾	❿
Bush	Building	Won	Yankees	Government
Campaign	Street	Team	Game	War
Clinton	Square	Second	Mets	Military
Republican	Housing	Race	Season	Officials
House	House	Round	Run	Iraq
Party	Buildings	Cup	League	Forces
Democratic	Development	Open	Baseball	Iraqi
Political	Space	Game	Team	Army
Democrats	Percent	Play	Games	Troops
Senator	Real	Win	Hit	Soldiers

⓫	⓮	⓭	⓯	⓯
Children	Stock	Church	Art	Police
School	Percent	War	Museum	Yesterday
Women	Companies	Women	Show	Man
Family	Fund	Life	Gallery	Officer
Parents	Market	Black	Works	Officers
Child	Bank	Political	Artists	Case
Life	Investors	Catholic	Street	Found
Says	Funds	Government	Artist	Charged
Help	Financial	Jewish	Paintings	Street
Mother	Business	Pope	Exhibition	Shot

Topics found in 1.8M articles from the New York Times

[Hoffman+ JMLR 2013]

NLMI

Let's start with the frequency of words

There are always phenomena which are important but have rare evidence in data: Zipf's Law ▸Wiki .

Let's start with the frequency of words

There are always phenomena which are important but have rare evidence in data: Zipf's Law ▸Wiki.

the frequency of any word is inversely proportional to its rank in the frequency table. Thus the most frequent word will occur approximately twice as often as the second most frequent word, three times as often as the third most frequent word, etc.

Let's start with the frequency of words

There are always phenomena which are important but have rare evidence in data: Zipf's Law 〔▸ Wiki〕.

the frequency of any word is inversely proportional to its rank in the frequency table. Thus the most frequent word will occur approximately twice as often as the second most frequent word, three times as often as the third most frequent word, etc.

► To illustrate, let's look at the frequencies of different words in a large text corpus.

► Assume a "word" is a string of letters separated by spaces (a great oversimplification as we know by now)

Word Counts

Most frequent words in the English Europarl corpus
out of 24 million tokens

any word			**nouns**	
Frequency	Token		Frequency	Token
1,698,599	the		124,598	European
849,256	of		104,325	Mr
793,731	to		92,195	Commission
640,257	and		66,781	President
508,560	in		62,867	Parliament
407,638	that		57,804	Union
400,467	is		53,683	report
394,778	a		53,547	Council
263,040	I		45,842	States

Word Counts

Out of 93638 distinct words (word types), 36231 occur only once!

Examples:

- cornflakes, mathematicians, fuzziness, jumbling
- pseudo-rapporteur, lobby-ridden, perfunctorily,
- Lycketoft, UNCITRAL, H-0695
- policyfor, Commissioneris, 145.95, 27a

Plotting word frequencies

If we order words by frequency,
what is the frequency of nth ranked word?

Rescaling the axes

To really see what's going on, use logarithmic axes:

Word frequency vs. rank, log axes

Zipf's law

Summarises the behaviour we just saw:

$$f \times r \approx k$$

- ▶ f = frequency of a word
- ▶ r = rank of a word (if sorted by frequency)
- ▶ k = a constant

Why a line in log-scales?

- ▶ $fr = k \Rightarrow f = \frac{k}{r} \Rightarrow \log f = \log k - \log r$

What about other languages?

Implications of Zipf's Law

▶ Regardless of how large our corpus is, there will be a lot of infrequent (and zero-frequency!) words.

▶ In fact, the same holds for many other levels of linguistic structure (e.g., syntactic rules).

▶ This means we need to find clever ways to estimate probabilities for things we have rarely or never seen.

Scope of the course

In this course you will learn about

- ▶ probabilistic modelling
- ▶ statistical inference and estimation
- ▶ how to represent language data
- ▶ discovering patterns in text collections

Topics

- Markov models: including language models and sequence prediction
- Mixture models: sequence labelling and PCFGs
- Models of distributional semantics: word representation
- Translation equivalence: learning dictionaries

Topics

- Markov models: including language models and sequence prediction
- Mixture models: sequence labelling and PCFGs
- Models of distributional semantics: word representation
- Translation equivalence: learning dictionaries

See you next time for

- a review of probabilities and parameter estimation

References I

Regina Barzilay and Kathleen R. McKeown. Extracting paraphrases
from a parallel corpus. In *Proceedings of 39th Annual Meeting
of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 50–57,
Toulouse, France, July 2001. Association for Computational
Linguistics. doi: 10.3115/1073012.1073020. URL
http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P01-1008.

Naiwen Xue, Fei Xia, Fu-dong Chiou, and Marta Palmer. The
penn chinese treebank: Phrase structure annotation of a large
corpus. *Nat. Lang. Eng.*, 11(2):207–238, June 2005. ISSN
1351-3249. doi: 10.1017/S135132490400364X. URL
https://doi.org/10.1017/S135132490400364X.