ESO207 Programming Assignment-1

-by Akhil Agrawal (200076) and Aditya Tanwar (200057)

Q1

Polynomials may be represented as linked lists. Consider a polynomial p(x), with n non-zero terms,

$$p(x) = a_1 x^{e_1} + a_2 x^{e_2} + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{e_{n-1}} + a_n x^{e_n}$$

where $0 \le e_1 < e_2 < ... < e_{n-1} < e_n$ are (non-negative) integers. We assume that coefficients a_1, \ldots, a_n are non-zero integers.

Polynomial p(x) can be represented as a linked list of nodes. Each node has three fields: coefficient, exponent and link to the next node. Let us assume that list is a doubly linked list, with a sentinel node, sorted in ascending order of exponents.

(a) (marks 5+15) Write pseudo-code to add two polynomials p(x) and q(x) in this representation. Your algorithm should take O(n + m) time, where n, m are the number of terms in p(x), q(x) respectively. Implement your pseudo-code as an actual program.

Pseudo-code:

Add (P, Q):

p , q = P.head , Q.head //iterators of polynomials P and Q
R = Polynomial //resultant polynomial
t = node //temporary node

while $(p \neq P.end)$ and $(q \neq Q.end)$ do:

// append nodes to r in order of increasing exponents //if p or q has reached the end, simply append others' node to R if (p == P.end)

```
t.coef = q.coef
     t.expo = q.expo
     q = q.next
else if (q == Q.end)
     t.coef = p.coef
     t.expo = p.expo
     p = p.next
else
     if (p.expo > q.expo)
           t.coef = q.coef
           t.expo = q.expo
           q = q.next
     else if (p.expo < q.expo)
           t.coef = p.coef
           t.expo = p.expo
           p = p.next
     else
           t.coef = p.coef + q.coef
           t.expo = p.expo
           p = p.next
           q = q.next
           if (t.coef == 0) //if sum is zero, then node
                 continue //need not be appended
```

append t at the end of R

(b) (marks 10+20) Write pseudo-code to multiply two polynomials p(x) and q(x) in this representation. Do runtime complexity analysis of your algorithm in terms of n, m, the number of terms in p(x), q(x) respectively. State this complexity in 'O' notation. Implement your pseudo-code as an actual program.

Pseudo-code:

```
Insert (i, t): //insert t just after i (i, t are nodes)
     t.next = i.next
     t.prev = i
     i.next.prev = t
     i.next = t
Delete-Zeroes (R): //deletes all nodes with co-efficient zero in R
     i = R.head
     While (i \neq R.end) do:
           temp = i.next
           if (i.coef == 0)
                i.next.prev = i.prev
                i.prev.next = i.next
           i = temp
Multiply (P, Q):
     R, t = polynomial, node
     i = R.head //node that iterates over resultant polynomial
     p = P.head
     while (p \neq P.end) do:
           q = Q.head
           while (q \neq Q.end) do:
                t.coef = p.coef * q.coef
                t.expo = p.expo + q.expo
                //find the node (in r) whose exponent
```

```
//is just smaller or equal to t.expo
           if (q == Q.head)
                 //for the first product of a node in p, it might
                 //be needed to travel backwards to find the node
                 while (i.expo > t.expo) do:
                       i = i.prev
           else
                 //in case the required node is
                 //ahead of the current node
                 while true do:
                       if (i.next == R.end)
                             break
                       if (i.next.expo \leq t.expo)
                             i = i.next
                       else
                             break
           if (t.expo == i.expo)
                 i.coef = i.coef + t.coef
           else
                 Insert (i, t) //insert t just after i
                 i = t
           q = q.next
     p = p.next
Delete-Zeroes (R)
```

Complexity Analysis:

Each node in P is accessed once and multiplied with each node in Q only once. Without loss of generality, we assume that P is the polynomial with lesser size, i.e., $n \le m$. After all the multiplications of a node in P are completed, the iterator in R ends up at the end of the linked list⁽⁰⁾.

During the multiplication of the first node in P with the nodes in Q, each new node in R is appended in O(1) time since both multiplication⁽¹⁾ and insertion⁽²⁾ at the end are completed in constant time. After all the multiplications of the first node in P, the iterator in R is at the end. As there are m multiplications, the total time taken will be $O(m)^{(3)}$ for the first node.

For each subsequent i^{th} node in P ($i \ge 2$), the iterator node of R has to travel at most to the (i-1)th node⁽⁴⁾ in R i.e. (m-1)*(i-1) traversals⁽⁵⁾ backwards to find a node in R that has the maximum exponent \le exponent of the resultant node.

After this, the iterator can travel at most (m-1)*i times forward⁽⁶⁾. It is guaranteed that the iterator lands at the end of R again⁽⁷⁾ after the multiplications of this node in P are completed.

So for the i^{th} node in P the runtime complexity for the node is in $O(m*i)^{(8)}$.

As, for each node in P, the time complexity is O(m*i), and there are n nodes in P, the time complexity for multiplying all the terms is $O(m*n^2)^{(9)}$.

Further, Delete-Zeroes(R) accesses each node in R only once and either traverses onto the next node, or deletes the node, both of which are O(1) operations. As there can be at most n*m nodes in R, the overall time complexity for Delete-Zeroes is O(m*n).

The runtime complexity is therefore $O(m^*n^2)+O(m^*n)=O(m^*n^2)$. We had assumed initially that $n \le m$, so the overall complexity can actually be simplified to $O(max(m, n)^*min(m, n)^2) = O(m^*n^*min(m, n))$.

Loop Invariants:

- Before each insertion, $i.expo \le t.expo$, where i is the iterator node in R and t is the resultant node to be inserted. After the insertion, i is updated to t.
 - This ensures that after t is inserted, the polynomial R calculated until now, remains sorted in order of increasing exponents. Since an empty polynomial is trivially sorted, and R remains sorted after each insertion, the polynomial after Multiply(R) is completed, has all the nodes sorted in the order of increasing exponents.
- After a node in P has been multiplied with all the nodes in Q, the iterator *i* lands at the end of polynomial R. This is useful in calculation of maximum backward traversals.

 $^{^{(0),(7)}}$ Using the loop invariant, at all times, R is sorted with respect to exponents. Now since P is also sorted with respect to exponents, product with the last node of Q (which is also sorted), will lead to an exponent that is greatest amongst the exponents calculated in R up until that point and will be inserted in the end.

^(I) Multiplication of two nodes in P and Q includes calculation of t.coef which is a simple multiplication of two integers and can be upper bounded by a constant, say c_m , and addition of two integers for t.expo which can be upper bounded by a constant, say c_a . So, multiplication of two nodes

can itself be bounded by a constant $c_m = c_m' + c_a$ which is in O(1).

- ⁽²⁾ Insertion of a node t in front of node i involves simple assignment of *four* addresses. Each assignment can be denoted by a time c_i , so insertion of a node can be bounded by a constant c_i =4 c_i ' which is in O(1).
- ⁽³⁾ There are m multiplications of nodes involved since there are m nodes in Q, with each node multiplication involving calculation of t.coef and t.expo, and insertion of the resultant node t. All of these operations are constant time (using ⁽¹⁾ and ⁽²⁾), so time taken for a particular resultant node is a constant, say, c_r =O(1). As there are m nodes in Q, the total time taken will be $m*c_r$ =O(m).
- ⁽⁴⁾ The exponent of product of i^{th} node of P by the first node of Q is at least greater than the exponents of products of first (i-1) nodes of P with the first node of Q.
- ⁽⁵⁾ There will be at most m*(i-1) nodes in R, when i^{th} node in P is reached. And using ⁽⁴⁾ we need to reach the $(i-1)^{th}$ node. Hence number of backward traversals required are (m*(i-1) (i-1)) = (m-1)*(i-1).
- ⁽⁶⁾ Using ⁽⁵⁾ we are at (m-1)*(i-1) nodes back from the end of R, and we need to insert at most (m-1) distinct nodes, after insertion of the first node. Hence number of forward traversals required are ((m-1)*(i-1) + (m-1)) = (m-1)*i.
- ⁽⁸⁾ Traversing a node takes a constant time, say c_t . Using ⁽⁵⁾ number of backward traversals are (m-1)*(i-1). Therefore time taken to travel backwards is $c_t*(m-1)*(i-1) \le c_t*m*i$. Using ⁽⁶⁾ number of forward traversals are (m-1)*i. Therefore time taken to travel forwards is $c_t*(m-1)*i \le c_t*m*i$. Hence overall runtime complexity is $\le (2c_t)*m*i$ which is O(m*i).
- (9) Total time complexity $T \le \Sigma c^*m^*i$ where $(1 \le i \le n)$ for some c, which gives $T \le c^*m^*n^*(n-1)/2$. Hence overall time complexity is $T \le c^*m^*n^*(n-1)/2 \le c^*m^*n^*n = O(m^*n^2)$.