## Proof by Reduction #1

## coinstudent2048

## August 23, 2021

## 1 The Thing

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a cyclic group of prime order l > 3 and  $\mathbb{F}$  be its scalar field. Let  $Z \in \mathbb{G}$  be the identity element.

**Definition 1.1** (Discrete Logarithm (DL) Assumption). Let  $G, H \in \mathbb{G}$ . Then finding (the unique)  $x \in \mathbb{F}$  such that xG = H is "hard".

**Definition 1.2.** A function  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  is **negligible** if for every polynomial  $p(\cdot)$  there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all integers n > N it holds that  $f(n) < \frac{1}{p(n)}$ .

Definition 1.2 is copied from Katz & Lindell. We first prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.1.** If  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  is non-negligible, then  $g(n) = f(n)^m$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and m > 1 is non-negligible.

Proof. The non-negligibility of f means that there exists a polynomial  $p(\cdot)$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an n > N such that  $f(n) \geq \frac{1}{p(n)}$ . Let  $p_f(\cdot)$  be such polynomial and  $n_f$  be such n > N. Then setting  $p_g(\cdot) = p_f(\cdot)^m$  and  $n_g = n_f$  suffices for non-negligibility of g because  $f(n_f) \geq \frac{1}{p_f(n)} \Rightarrow f(n_f)^m \geq \left(\frac{1}{p_f(n)}\right)^m$ .

Lemma 1.1 justifies the usage of finite number of breaks in proof by reduction.

**Definition 1.3** ("One-time Address" (OTA) Assumption). Let  $U, G \in \mathbb{G}$  whose DL relationship to each other is unknown. Let  $f : \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}$  be the following:

$$(k_a, k_b) \mapsto (k_a U + k_b G, (1/k_a)G)$$

Then given  $(K, L) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}$ , finding (the unique)  $f^{-1}(K, L)$  is "hard".

**Theorem 1.2.** OTA assumption holds if and only if DL assumption holds.

*Proof.* The proof consists of 2 parts:

- DL is easy  $\Rightarrow$  OTA is easy: Applying the first DL break on L base G will give  $1/k_a$ , which will trivially give  $k_a$ . Then applying the second DL break on  $K k_a U$  base G will give  $k_b$ .
- OTA is easy  $\Rightarrow$  DL is easy: Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{G}$  be the group elements to find DL for (without loss of generality,  $x \in \mathbb{F}$  such that xA = B). Then perform the following procedure on A:
  - 1. Applying an OTA break on (U, A) will give  $k_a, k_b \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $U = k_a U + k_b G$  and  $A = (1/k_a)G$ . Hence,  $k_a A = G \Rightarrow U = k_a U + k_b (k_a A)$ .
  - 2. Let  $y_1 \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $U = y_1 A$ . Now  $U = k_a U + k_b k_a A$  becomes  $y_1 A = k_a (y_1 A) + k_b k_a A \Rightarrow y_1 = k_a y_1 + k_b k_a \Rightarrow y_1 k_a y_1 = k_b k_a$ . Therefore,

$$y_1 = k_b k_a (1/(1 - k_a)).$$

Then perform the same procedure to B (hence another OTA break). Now we have  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $U = y_1 A$  and  $U = y_2 B$ . Hence,  $y_1 A = y_2 B \Rightarrow y_1 (1/y_2) A = B$ .

**Definition 1.4** (DL "Vector" Assumption). Let  $G_1, \ldots, G_n \in \mathbb{G}$  (with n > 1) whose DL relationship to each other is unknown. Also let  $H \in \mathbb{G}$ . Then finding  $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\exists z_i (z_i \neq 0)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i G_i = H$  is "hard".

**Theorem 1.3.** OTA assumption holds if and only if DL Vector assumption holds.

*Proof.* The proof consists of 2 parts:

- DL is easy  $\Rightarrow$  DL Vector is easy: Set random scalars on  $z_2, \ldots, z_n$  so that at least one of them is not zero. Then applying DL break on  $H \sum_{i=2}^{n} x_i G_i$  base  $G_1$  will give  $z_1$ .
- DL Vector is easy  $\Rightarrow$  DL is easy: Set n=2. Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{G}$  be the group elements to find DL for (without loss of generality,  $x \in \mathbb{F}$  such that xA=B). Then applying DL Vector break on  $G_1=A, G_2=B, H=Z$  will give  $z_1, z_2$  such that  $z_1A+z_2B=Z$ . Now we have  $z_1A+z_2(xA)=Z\Rightarrow z_1+z_2x=0\Rightarrow x=(-z_1)(1/z_2)$ .

In fact, both  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  will never be zero, because if one of them is, then the other should also be zero, contradicting the requirement  $\exists z_i (z_i \neq 0)$ .