

VE281

Data Structures and Algorithms

Linear List Example and Operator
Overloading

Announcement

- Written Homework One will be posted on Sakai today.
- Due at 11:40 am next Thursday (Sep. 27, 2012).

Review

- Pointers and Arrays
 - Out-of-bound access error
- Dynamic Memory Management
 - Memory leak error
- Example: A Linear List Class
 - Constructor
 - Initialization syntax
 - Destructor
 - Shallow copy versus deep copy
 - Copy constructor
 - Assignment operator

Outline

- Operational Methods of Linear List
- Operator Overloading
- Overloading **Operator[]** and **Operator<<** for Linear List

Implementation of Linear List Class

- So far, we have

```
class List {  
    int *elts;    // pointer to dynamic array  
    int sizeElts; // capacity of array  
    int numElts;  // current occupancy  
public:  
    List(int size = MAXELTS);  
    // Constructor with default arguments.  
    ~List(); // Destructor  
    List(const List &l); // copy constructor  
    List &operator= (const List &l);  
    // assignment operator  
};
```

Maintenance
methods

Operational Methods

```
void insert(int i, int v); // MODIFIES: this
// EFFECTS: If capacity is full, throws
// FullError; else if  $0 \leq i \leq \text{numElts}$ 
// inserts v at position i;
// else throws BoundsError.
```

```
void remove(int i); // MODIFIES: this
// EFFECTS: removes the i-th element if  $0 \leq i$ 
//  $< \text{numElts}$ ; throws BoundsError otherwise.
```

```
bool query(int v) const; // EFFECTS: returns true
// if v is in this, false otherwise.
```

```
int size() const; // EFFECTS: returns |this|.
```

Const Member Functions

```
int size() const;
```

- Each member function of a class has an extra, implicit parameter named **this**.
 - “**this**” is a pointer to the current instance on which the function is invoked.
- **const** keyword modifies the implicit **this** pointer: **this** is now a pointer to a **const instance**.
 - The member function **size()** cannot change the object on which **size()** is called.
 - By its definition, **size()** shouldn't change the object! Adding **const** keyword prevents any

Const Member Functions

- Implement **size()**

```
int List::size() const {  
    return numElts;  
}
```

- A **const** object can only call its **const** member functions!
- If a const member function calls other **member** functions, they must be **const** too!

```
void A::f() const { f(); }
```

```
void A::f() {}
```

✗

```
void A::f() const {}
```

✓

Operational Methods: query

```
bool List::query(int v) const
// EFFECTS: returns true
// if v is in this, false otherwise.
{
    for (int i = 0; i < numElts; i++)
    {
        if (elts[i] == v)
            return true;
    }
    return false;
}
```

Operation Methods: insert

```
class FullError {};  
class BoundsError {};  
  
void List::insert(int i, int v) {  
    if (numElts == sizeElts) throw FullError();  
    if(i >= 0 && i <= numElts) {  
        for(int k = numElts-1; k >= i; k--)  
            elts[k+1] = elts[k]; //shift right  
        elts[i] = v;  
        numElts++; // fix numElts invariant  
    }  
    else throw BoundsError();  
}
```

Exercise: Complexity of insert

```
void List::insert(int i, int v) {  
    if (numElts == sizeElts) throw FullError();  
    if (i >= 0 && i <= numElts) {  
        for (int k = numElts-1; k >= i; k--)  
            elts[k+1] = elts[k];  
        elts[i] = v;  
        numElts++;  
    }  
    else throw BoundsError();  
}
```

What is the best case, worst case, and average case?

What are their complexities?

Operation Methods: remove

```
void List::remove(int i) {  
    if(i >= 0 && i < numElts) {  
        for(int k = i; k <= numElts-2; k++)  
            elts[k] = elts[k+1]; //shift left  
        numElts--;  
    }  
    else throw BoundsError();  
}
```

Complexity?

Outline

- Operational Methods of Linear List
- Operator Overloading
- Overloading **Operator[]** and **Operator<<** for Linear List

Operator Overloading

Introduction

- C++ lets us **redefine** the meaning of the operators when applied to objects of **class type**.
- This is known as **operator overloading**.
- We have already seen the overloading of the assignment operator.

- Operator overloading makes programs much easier to write and read:

```
List l;  
int x = l[5]; // overload [] operator  
           // access the list element by index  
cout << l << endl; // overload << operator  
           // print all the list elements
```

Operator Overloading

Basics

- Overloaded operators are functions with special names: the keyword **operator** followed by the symbol (e.g., +, -, etc.) of the operator being redefined.
- Like any other function, an overloaded operator has a return type and a parameter list.

A operator+(const A &l, const A &r);

Operator Overloading

Basics

- Most overloaded operators may be defined as ordinary **nonmember** functions or as class **member** functions.
- Overloaded functions that are members of a class may appear to have one less parameter than the number of operands.
 - Operators that are member functions have an implicit **this** parameter that is bound to the **first operand**.

Operator Overloading

Basics

- An overloaded **unary** operator has **no** (explicit) parameter if it is a member function and **one** parameter if it is a nonmember function.
- An overloaded **binary** operator would have **one** parameter when defined as a member and **two** parameters when defined as a nonmember function.

```
A operator+(const A &l, const A &r);  
// returns l "+" r
```

```
A A::operator+(const A &r);  
// returns *this "+" r
```

Example

- Overload **operator+=** for a class of complex number.

```
class Complex {  
    // OVERVIEW: a complex number class  
    double real;  
    double imag;  
public:  
    Complex(double r=0, double i=0); // Constructor  
    Complex &operator += (const Complex &o);  
    // MODIFIES: this  
    // EFFECTS: adds this complex number with the  
    // complex number o and return a reference  
    // to the current object.  
};
```

Example

```
Complex &Complex::operator += (const Complex &o)
{
    real += o.real;
    imag += o.imag;
    return *this;
}
```

Example

- **operator+=** is a member function.
- We can also define a nonmember function that adds two numbers.

```
Complex operator + (const Complex &o1,  
                  const Complex &o2)  
{  
    Complex rst;  
    rst.real = o1.real + o2.real;  
    rst.imag = o1.imag + o2.imag;  
    return rst;  
}
```

- However, there is a problem with this. What is it?
- Since **operator+** is a nonmember function, it

Friend

- So, we'll need some other mechanism to make the function as a "friend".
- The "friend" declaration allows you to expose the **private** state of one class to another function or class (and only that function or class) explicitly.

```
class foo {  
    friend class bar;  
    friend baz();  
    int f;  
};  
class bar { ... };  
void baz() { ... }
```

The function **baz** and the methods of class **bar** all have access to **f**, which would otherwise be private to class **foo**.

Friend

```
class foo {  
    friend class bar;  
    friend baz();  
    int f;  
};  
class bar { ... };  
void baz() { ... }
```

- Understanding that friendship is something given, not taken (i.e., **foo** gives friendship to **bar**, but not **foo** takes friendship from **bar**), will help you remember that "**friend class bar;**" goes inside **foo**, not the other way around.

Friend

```
class foo {  
    friend class bar;  
    friend baz();  
    int f;  
};  
class bar { ... };  
void baz() { ... }
```

- Although “friendship” is declared inside **foo**, **bar** and **baz()** are not the members of **foo**!
- “friend” declaration may appear anywhere in the class.
 - It is a good idea to **group** friend declarations **together** either at the beginning or end of the

Example

- In our example of complex number class, we will declare **operator+** as a friend:

```
class Complex {  
    // OVERVIEW: a complex number class  
    double real;  
    double imag;  
public:  
    Complex(double r=0, double i=0);  
    Complex &operator += (const Complex &o);  
    friend Complex operator+(const Complex &o1,  
                             const Complex &o2);  
};
```

Its implementation is the same as before.

Outline

- Operational Methods of Linear List
- Operator Overloading
- Overloading **Operator[]** and **Operator<<**
for Linear List

Linear List

Overloading Operator []

- We want to access each individual element in the list through **subscript operator []**, just like how we access an ordinary array.
 - For example, **l[5]** accesses the sixth element in the list **l**.
- We need to overload the **operator[]**.
 - It is a binary operator: The first operand is the list and the second one is the index.

Linear List

Overloading Operator []

- We write two versions with bound checking

```
int List::operator[](int i) const {  
    if(i >= 0 && i < numElts) return elts[i];  
    else throw BoundsError();  
}  
    const version returning a plain int
```

```
int &List::operator[](int i) {  
    if(i >= 0 && i < numElts) return elts[i];  
    else throw BoundsError();  
}  
    nonconst version returning a reference to int
```

Linear List

Overloading Operator []

- Why we need a nonconst version that returns a reference to int?
 - We need to assign to an element through subscript operation
l[5] = 2;
- Why we need a const version that returns a plain int?
 - We may call the subscript operator with some const list objects. Const objects can only call their const member functions.
- A variation of the const version