Feature Engineering for Data-driven Dependency Parsing

Christian Rishøj Jensen August 2009

	Presence % of sentences with			
Corpus	"	()	:	-
Law	0.0%	21.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Law2	0.0%	21.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Newspaper	14.0%	7.0%	11.0%	9.0%
Law+News	14.0%	28.0%	13.0%	9.0%
Both	7.0%	14.0%	6.5%	4.5%

"Presence" metrics for Italian (table 3.2)

- Bulgarian (truncated treebank):
 - Parenthesis 5% of sentences_{test} tiny adverse effect [$p \approx 0.49$]
 - Colon 2% of sentences_{test} LAS_{overall} -0.36 [$p \approx 0.12$]

- Slovene (SDT):
 - Colon 2% of sentences LAS_{affected} + 1.39 [$p \approx 0.26$]
 - DashApposition 2% of sentences LAS_{affected} + 1.85 [$p \approx 0.09$]

- Danish (DDT):
 - Colon 7% of sentences_{test} LAS_{affected} + 1.42 [$p \approx 0.12$]
 - DashApposition 0.3% (!) LAS_{overall} +0.34 [$p \approx 0.10$]

- Spanish (Cast3lb):
 - Colon 5% of sentences_{test} LAS_{affected} + $|\cdot|$ [$p \approx 0.23$]

Unused Treebanks

- BIO (English)
- Metu (Turkish)
- Alpino (Dutch)
- PDT (Czech)
- Tiger (German)
- Law2 (Italian, revised)

Treebank Selection

- Objective selection criteria
 - Not explicitly stated
- Challenges:
 - Presence of linguistic phenomena
 - Impact on parsing accuracy

Future Treebank Selection

- Gather data:
 - Treebank characteristics
 - Augmentation scheme effects
- Automatically determine applicable augmentations