Template Metaprogramming To template or not to template

Michele Caini

August 24, 2016

- Introduction
 - To template or not to template
 - Basic concepts
 - Template metaprogramming by examples
 - Factorial
 - std::enable_if_t and std::conditional_t
 - Traits
 - void_t
 - That's all

What do you think of template metaprogramming?

Bjarne Stroustrup's FAQ about the topic:

- **To template:** Template metaprogramming is a set of powerful programming techniques.
- Not to template: Like all powerful techniques they are easily overused.

Metaprogramming

It's the writing of computer programs with the ability to treat programs as their data. - *Wikipedia*

Template metaprogramming

Metaprogramming technique based on the C++ template system.

What do you think of template metaprogramming?

Bjarne Stroustrup's FAQ about the topic:

- **To template:** Template metaprogramming is a set of powerful programming techniques.
- Not to template: Like all powerful techniques they are easily overused.

Metaprogramming

It's the writing of computer programs with the ability to treat programs as their data. - Wikipedia

Template metaprogramming

Metaprogramming technique based on the C++ template system.

- A template defines a family of classes or functions or an alias for a family of types.
- A template declaration can be explicitly specialized (or partially specialized in case of primary classes).
- A template can be implicitly and explicitly instantiated.
- The more specialized, the preferred one.

¹ Templates [14/1] (current working draft)

- A template defines a family of classes or functions or an alias for a family of types.
- A template declaration can be explicitly specialized (or partially specialized in case of primary classes).
- A template can be implicitly and explicitly instantiated.
- The more specialized, the preferred one.

¹ Templates [14/1] (current working draft)

- A template defines a family of classes or functions or an alias for a family of types.
- A template declaration can be explicitly specialized (or partially specialized in case of primary classes).
- A template can be implicitly and explicitly instantiated.
- The more specialized, the preferred one.

¹ Templates [14/1] (current working draft)

- A template defines a family of classes or functions or an alias for a family of types.
- A template declaration can be explicitly specialized (or partially specialized in case of primary classes).
- A template can be implicitly and explicitly instantiated.
- The more specialized, the preferred one.

¹ Templates [14/1] (current working draft)

- A template defines a family of classes or functions or an alias for a family of types.
- A template declaration can be explicitly specialized (or partially specialized in case of primary classes).
- A template can be implicitly and explicitly instantiated.
- The more specialized, the preferred one.

```
// class template
template < bool B, typename T>
struct S { };
// partial specialization
template<typename T>
struct S<true. T> {
  using type = T;
// full specialization
template <>
struct S<true, std::size_t> {
  static constexpr
  std::size_t value = 42:
};
// function template
template<template T, typename F>
void func(F &&f) {
  std::vector<T> vec;
  std::forward<F>(f)(vec);
```

¹ Templates [14/1] (current working draft)

SFINAE

Substitution Failure Is Not An Error: a common feature (ab)used in template metaprogramming.

It applies during overload resolution of function templates

$\left[14.8.2/8 ight]$ - Function template specialization (working draft)

If a substitution results in an invalid type or expression, type deduction fails. An invalid type or expression is one that would be ill-formed, with a diagnostic required, if written using the substituted arguments. [...] Only invalid types and expressions in the **immediate context** of the function type and its template parameter types can result in a deduction failure.

SFINAE

Substitution Failure Is Not An Error: a common feature (ab)used in template metaprogramming.

It applies during overload resolution of function templates

[14.8.2/8] - Function template specialization (working draft)

If a substitution results in an invalid type or expression, type deduction fails. An invalid type or expression is one that would be ill-formed, with a diagnostic required, if written using the substituted arguments. [...] Only invalid types and expressions in the **immediate context** of the function type and its template parameter types can result in a deduction failure.

This is SFINAE (soft-error)

Only one function of the overload set matches the call:

```
struct S {
  template < typename T>
  std :: enable_if_t < std :: is_integral < T>::value>
  f(T &&t) { /* ... */ }

  template < typename T>
  std :: enable_if_t < not std :: is_integral < T>::value>
  f(T &&t) { /* ... */ }
};
```

This is not SFINAE (hard-error)

T happens to be outside of the *immediate context of the function type and its template parameter types*:

```
template<typename T>
struct S {
    std :: enable_if_t < std :: is_integral < T > :: value >
    f(const T &t) { /* ... */ }
};
```

SFINAE

This is a typical abuse:

Substitution failure is always an error

std::enable_if_t works just fine here:

```
struct S {
  template<typename T>
  std::enable_if_t <std::is_integral <T>::value>
  f(T &&t) { /* ... */ }
};
```

Anyway, it's an abuse.

It's what we could call substitution failure is always an error.

static_assert is good as well, just easier to write and to reason about it:

```
struct S {
  template<typename T>
  void f(T &&t) {
    static_assert(std::is_integral <T>::value, "!");
    /* ... */
}
}:
```

Toolkit

- <type_traits> (std::enable_if_t, std::is_same, ...)
- <utility> (std::integer_sequence, std::tuple, ...)
- SFINAE
- void_t
- Unevaluated operands
- ...

Unevaluated... what?

The operands of the following operators are expressions that are not evaluated, since those operators only query the compile-time properties of their operands^a:

std::declval, decltype, typeid, sizeof



^aExpressions [5/8] (current working draft)

Toolkit

- <type_traits> (std::enable_if_t, std::is_same, ...)
- <utility> (std::integer_sequence, std::tuple, ...)
- SFINAE
- void t
- Unevaluated operands
- ...

Unevaluated... what?

The operands of the following operators are expressions that are not evaluated, since those operators only query the compile-time properties of their operands^a:

std::declval, decltype, typeid, sizeof

^aExpressions [5/8] (current working draft)

A must: recursion

A couple of basic examples of template mataprogramming:

Function template

```
template<std::size_t N>
constexpr auto factorial() { // recursive definition
    return N * factorial<N-1>();
}
template<>
constexpr auto factorial <0>() { // final step
    return 1;
}
```

Class template

```
template<std::size_t N>
struct factorial { // recursive definition
    static constexpr std::size_t value = N * factorial<N-1>::value;
};
template<>
struct factorial <0> { // final step
    static constexpr std::size_t value = 1;
};
```

Compile time if/then/else

if/then:

```
template<bool cond, typename = void>
struct if_then { }; // default class template

template<typename T>
struct if_then<true, T> { using type = T; }; // partial specialization

template<bool cond, typename T>
using if_then_t = typename if_then<cond, T>::type; // alias
```

if/then/else:

```
template<bool cond, typename, typename F>
struct if_then_else { using type = F; }; // default class template

template<typename T, typename F>
struct if_then_else<true, T, F> { using type = T; }; // partial specialization

template<bool cond, typename T, typename F>
using if_then_else_t = typename if_then_else<cond, T, F>::type; // alias
```

Compile time if/then/else

template < bool cond, typename = void >

if/then std::enable_if_t:

```
struct if_then { }; // default class template

template<typename T>
struct if_then<true, T> { using type = T; }; // partial specialization

template<bool cond, typename T>
using if_then_t = typename if_then<cond, T>::type; // alias
```

if/then/else std::conditional_t:

```
template<bool cond, typename, typename F>
struct if_then_else { using type = F; }; // default class template

template<typename T, typename F>
struct if_then_else<true, T, F> { using type = T; }; // partial specialization

template<bool cond, typename T, typename F>
using if_then_else_t = typename if_then_else<cond, T, F>::type; // alias
```

Can we do that with functions?

Basic idea:

- Tag dispatching (function overloading and properties of a type).
- A bunch of functions (only declarations are required).
- decltype and using declarations.

Tag dispatching

A technique based on function overloading and properties of a type:

Can we do that with functions?

Basic idea:

- Tag dispatching (function overloading and properties of a type).
- A bunch of functions (only declarations are required).
- decltype and using declarations.

Tag dispatching

A technique based on function overloading and properties of a type:

```
template < typename T> // internal preferred function
constexpr auto f(int, T &&t) -> decltype(std::decay.t < T>::g()) {
    // do something with those types that has a static member function T::g
}

template < typename T> // internal fallback function
constexpr auto f(char, T &&t) {
    // do something else with all the other types
}

template < typename T> // main function
constexpr auto f(T &&t) {
    return f(0, std::forward < T>(t));
}
```

enable_if_t made with functions

Educational purposes only: do not do this in your code!!

```
// the tag (pretty simple indeed)
template < bool > struct tag { };
// the type is chosen only if cond is true
template < typename T> T enable_if(tag < true >);
// tag dispatching
template < bool cond, typename T = void>
auto enable_if() -> decltype(enable_if<T>(tag<cond>{}));
// alias
template < bool cond, typename T>
using enable_if_t = decltype(enable_if < cond, T > ());
// SFINAEd function template
template < typename T>
constexpr enable_if_t < std :: is_same < T. int > :: value . int > f() { return 42: }
// SFINAEd function template
template < typename T>
constexpr enable_if_t <std::is_same <T, double >::value, double > f() { return .42; }
int main() {
  static_assert((42 = f < int > ()) && (.42 = f < double > ()), "!");
```

conditional_t made with functions

Educational purposes only: do not do this in your code!!

```
// the tag (pretty simple indeed)
template < bool >
struct tag { };
// the second type is chosen if cond is false
template < typename, typename F>
F conditional(tag<false>);
// the first type is chosen if cond is true
template < typename T, typename >
T conditional(tag<true>):
// tag dispatching
template < bool cond. typename T. typename F>
auto conditional() -> decltype(conditional < T, F > (tag < cond > { } ));
// alias
template < bool cond, typename T, typename F>
using conditional_t = decltype(conditional < cond, T, F > ());
int main() {
  static_assert(std::is_same<conditional_t<true, int, double>, int>::value, "!");
  static_assert(std::is_same<conditional_t<false, int, double>, double>::value, "!");
```

Traits

Traits are a simple form of template meta-programming. A basic definition:

Traits classes compute a set of properties given a type.

What's a trait exactly?

Think of a trait as a small object whose main purpose is to carry information used by another object or algorithm to determine *policy* or *implementation details*.^a

^aBjarne Stroustrup

A more formal definition

A class used in place of template parameters. As a class, it aggregates useful types and constants; as a template, it provides an avenue for that extra level of indirection that solves all software problems.^a

^aNathan C. Myers

Traits

Traits are a simple form of template meta-programming. A basic definition:

Traits classes compute a set of properties given a type.

What's a trait exactly?

Think of a trait as a small object whose main purpose is to carry information used by another object or algorithm to determine *policy* or *implementation details.*^a

^aBjarne Stroustrup

A more formal definition

A class used in place of template parameters. As a class, it aggregates useful types and constants; as a template, it provides an avenue for that extra level of indirection that solves all software problems.^a

^aNathan C. Myers

Traits

Traits are a simple form of template meta-programming. A basic definition:

Traits classes compute a set of properties given a type.

What's a trait exactly?

Think of a trait as a small object whose main purpose is to carry information used by another object or algorithm to determine *policy* or *implementation details.*^a

^aBjarne Stroustrup

A more formal definition

A class used in place of template parameters. As a class, it aggregates useful types and constants; as a template, it provides an avenue for that extra level of indirection that solves all software problems.^a

^aNathan C. Myers

A real-world example

Traits definition

```
// tag classes
struct IPv4 { }; struct IPv6 { };
// traits class — it is not defined by default
template < typename > struct IpTraits;
// full specialization for IPv4
template <>
struct IpTraits<IPv4> {
  using Type = sockaddr_in;
  using AddrFuncType = int(*)(const char *, int, sockaddr_in *);
  using NameFuncType = int(*)(const sockaddr_in *. char *. std::size_t):
  static constexpr AddrFuncType addrFunc = &uv_ip4_addr:
  static constexpr NameFuncType nameFunc = &uv_ip4_name;
};
// full specialization for IPv6
template <>
struct IpTraits<IPv6> {
  using Type = sockaddr_in6;
  using AddrFuncType = int(*)(const char *, int, sockaddr_in6 *);
  using NameFuncType = int(*)(const sockaddr_in6 *, char *, std::size_t);
  static constexpr AddrFuncType addrFunc = &uv_ip6_addr;
  static constexpr NameFuncType nameFunc = &uv_ip6_name;
};
```

A real-world example

Traits in use

```
// ... a small object whose main purpose is to carry information
// used by an algorithm to determine implementation details ...
template < tvpename I = IPv4 >
void bind(std::string ip, unsigned int port, Flags<Bind> flags = Flags<Bind>{}) {
  // the type of the struct or the function to be used are unknown,
 // they depend on the template parameter I, but still the
  // algorithm can be defined for those are implementation details
  typename details::IpTraits<I>::Type addr;
  details:: lpTraits <1 >::addrFunc(ip.data().port.&addr):
template<typename I>
Addr address (const typename details::IpTraits<I>::Type *aptr, int len) noexcept {
  std::pair<std::string, unsigned int> addr{};
  char name[len];
 // the same applies here: who cares about the function to be used?
  int err = details::IpTraits<l>::nameFunc(aptr, name, len);
```

void_t

An interesting upcoming feature of C++17 (at least in terms of template metaprogramming) is void_t.

A possible implementation

```
template < typename ... >
using void_t = void;
```

Trivial indeed: it accepts a variadic number of template parameters and provides an alias for void, no matters what are those parameters.

A classical use of void_t, good enough for this talk:

• The template class:

```
template < typename T, typename = void.t <>>
struct detector: std::false_type { };
```

• The partial specialization:

```
template<typename T>
struct detector<T, void_t<decltype(std::declval<T>().foobar())>>: std::true_type { };
```

And that's all, it works:

```
struct S { void foobar(); };
struct U { };
// ...
static_assert(detector<S>::value, "!");
static_assert(not detector<U>::value, "!");
```

A classical use of void_t, good enough for this talk:

• The template class:

```
template < typename T, typename = void_t <>>
struct detector: std::false_type { };
```

• The partial specialization:

```
template<typename T>
struct detector<T, void_t<decltype(std::declval<T>().foobar())>>: std::true_type { };
```

And that's all, it works:

```
struct S { void foobar(); };
struct U { };
// ...
static_assert(detector<S>::value, "!");
static_assert(not detector<U>::value, "!");
```

A classical use of void_t, good enough for this talk:

• The template class:

```
template < typename T, typename = void t <>>
struct detector: std::false_type { };
```

• The partial specialization:

```
 \begin{array}{lll} & template < typename & T > \\ & struct & detector < T, & void_t < decltype (std::declval < T > ().foobar()) >>: & std::true_type & \{ \ \}; \\ & \end{array}
```

And that's all, it works:

```
struct S { void foobar(); };
struct U { };
// ...
static_assert(detector<S>::value, "!");
static_assert(not detector<U>::value, "!");
```

A classical use of void_t, good enough for this talk:

• The template class:

```
template < typename T, typename = void_t <>>
struct detector: std::false_type { };
```

• The partial specialization:

```
template<typename T>
struct detector<T, void_t<decltype(std::declval<T>().foobar())>>: std::true_type { };
```

• And that's all, it works:

```
struct S { void foobar(); };
struct U { };
// ...
static_assert(detector<$>::value, "!");
static_assert(not detector<$V>::value, "!");
```

- void_t<> and void_t<void> are both aliases for void.
- The primary declaration is always valid (default to void_t<>).
- The partial specialization can be either valid or invalid:
 - If it's valid, it's a more specialized declaration.
 - If it's invalid, well: SFINAE.
- Everybody knows about std::declval and decltype, right?

```
template<typename...>
using void.t = void;

template<typename T, typename = void.t >>
struct detector: std::false.type { };

template<typename T>
struct detector<T, void.t < decltype(std::declval < T > ().foobar()) >>: std::true.type { };
```

- void_t<> and void_t<void> are both aliases for void.
- The primary declaration is always valid (default to void_t<>).
- The partial specialization can be either valid or invalid:
 - If it's valid, it's a more specialized declaration.
 - If it's invalid, well: SFINAE.
- Everybody knows about std::declval and decltype, right?

```
template < typename ... >
    using void .t = void;

template < typename T, typename = void .t <>>
    struct detector: std::false type { };

template < typename T>
    struct detector < T, void .t < decltype (std::declval < T > ().foobar()) >>: std::true_type { };
```

- void_t<> and void_t<void> are both aliases for void.
- The primary declaration is always valid (default to void_t<>).
- The partial specialization can be either valid or invalid:
 - If it's valid, it's a more specialized declaration.
 - If it's invalid, well: **SFINAE**.
- Everybody knows about std::declval and decltype, right?

```
template<typename...>
using void_t = void;

template<typename T, typename = void_t >>
struct detector: std::false_type { };

template<typename T>
struct detector<T, void_t<decltype(std::declval<T>().foobar())>>: std::true_type { };
```

- void_t<> and void_t<void> are both aliases for void.
- The primary declaration is always valid (default to void_t<>).
- The partial specialization can be either valid or invalid:
 - If it's valid, it's a more specialized declaration.
 - If it's invalid, well: SFINAE.
- Everybody knows about std::declval and decltype, right?

```
template<typename...>
using void_t = void;

template<typename T, typename = void_t >>
struct detector: std::false_type { };

template<typename T>
struct detector<T, void_t<decltype(std::declval<T>().foobar())>>: std::true_type { };
```

- void_t<> and void_t<void> are both aliases for void.
- The primary declaration is always valid (default to void_t<>).
- The partial specialization can be either valid or invalid:
 - If it's valid, it's a more specialized declaration.
 - If it's invalid, well: SFINAE.
- Everybody knows about std::declval and decltype, right?

```
template<typename...>
using void_t = void;

template<typename T, typename = void_t <>>
struct detector: std::false_type { };

template<typename T>
struct detector<T, void_t<decltype(std::declval<T>().foobar())>>: std::true_type { };
```

That's all

Remember that template metaprogramming is a set of powerful programming techniques.

On the other side,

like all powerful techniques they are easily overused.

Enjoy template metaprogramming.