

Semantic Composition with Quotient Algebras

Daoud Clarke¹ Rudi Lutz² David Weir²

¹Department of Computer Science
University of Hertfordshire

²Department of Informatics
University of Sussex

Geometric Models of Natural Language Semantics, 2010

Overview

- 1 Background
 - Distributional Semantics
 - Mathematical Background
 - Example
- 2 Quotient Algebras
 - What, Why and How?
 - Data-driven Composition

Distributional Semantics

- Hypothesis of Harris (1968)
- LSA, distributional similarity etc.
- Many applications

Example

Corpus

see big city
modernise city
see modern city
see red apple
buy apple
visit big apple
read big book
throw old small red book
buy large new book

	see	buy	modernise	city
<i>modern</i>	0	0	0	1
<i>city</i>	2	0	1	0

$$\widehat{\text{modern}} = (0, 0, 0, 1)$$

$$\widehat{\text{city}} = (2, 0, 1, 0)$$

Raw frequencies used for examples, but vectors could be obtained in any manner.

Data sparseness

Web Images Videos Maps News Shopping Gmail more ▼

daoud



"buy a large new book"

Search

Advanced search

Everything

More

The web

Pages from the UK

More search tools

[Order Books](#)

[www.staples.co.uk](#)

Great bulk deals on office supplies at Staples. Free Delivery

[Books at Amazon.co.uk](#)

[Amazon.co.uk/books](#)

Save now on millions of titles. Free UK Delivery on Amazon Orders

[Books at Waterstones.com](#)

[Waterstones.com](#)

Free UK Delivery and Returns Save on Latest Books and eBooks

Sponsored links

⚠ No results found for **"buy a large new book"**.

Distributional semantic composition

- Strings of words represented by vectors
- Compose representations of words
- Related work considered:
 - Vector addition
 - Direct sum (\oplus)
 - Tensor product (\otimes)
 - More complex proposals (e.g. Clark et al.)

Comparing Composition Methods

- Addition is commutative
- \oplus and \otimes create vectors of different dimensionality
 - How to compare $u \otimes v$ with u ?
- Composition defined by corpus data?

Direct Sum Definition

Direct sum of U and V defined as

$$U \oplus V = U \times V$$

Operations

$$\begin{aligned}(u_1, v_1) + (u_2, v_2) &= (u_1 + u_2, v_1 + v_2) \\ \alpha(u_1, v_1) &= (\alpha u_1, \alpha v_1)\end{aligned}$$

for $u_1, u_2 \in U$, $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Direct Sum Properties

- U and V embed into $U \oplus V$ by

$$u \mapsto (u, 0)$$

$$v \mapsto (0, v)$$

- Write $u + v$ for $(u, 0) + (0, v)$
- Dimensionality

$$d(U \oplus V) = d(U) + d(V)$$

- $U \oplus V \cong V \oplus U$
 - Often treat \oplus as commutative

Tensor Product

If U has orthonormal basis

$$\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$$

and V has orthonormal basis

$$\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$$

Tensor product basis

Then $U \otimes V$ has orthonormal basis

$$u_i \otimes v_j$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Example: Vector Addition and Direct Sum

$$\widehat{\text{modern}} = (0, 0, 0, 1)$$

$$\widehat{\text{city}} = (2, 0, 1, 0)$$

$$\widehat{\text{modern}} + \widehat{\text{city}} = (2, 0, 1, 1)$$

$$\widehat{\text{modern}} \oplus \widehat{\text{city}} \cong (0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 0)$$

Example: Tensor Product

$$\widehat{modern} \otimes \widehat{city} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 1 & \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Dimensionality Mismatch

- Tensor product is attractive
 - Smolensky (1990), Widdows (2008)
- Dimensionality of $u \otimes v$ is different from that of u
- How to define inner product so that

$$\langle \widehat{modern} \otimes \widehat{city}, \widehat{city} \rangle \neq 0?$$

Context-theoretic Semantics

- Abstract framework (Clarke, 2007)
- Generalise \oplus and \otimes
- Vector space with bilinear product

$$x(y + z) = xy + xz$$

$$(x + y)z = xz + yz$$

$$(xy)z = x(yz)$$

- Associative **algebra** over a field

A General Method for Constructing Algebras

- Construct a free algebra (tensor algebra)

$$T(V) = \mathbb{R} \oplus V \oplus (V \otimes V) \oplus (V \otimes V \otimes V) \oplus \dots$$

A General Method for Constructing Algebras

- Construct a free algebra (tensor algebra)

$$T(V) = \mathbb{R} \oplus V \oplus (V \otimes V) \oplus (V \otimes V \otimes V) \oplus \dots$$

- Choose relations $u_1 = v_1, u_2 = v_2, \dots$ we wish to hold

$$\Lambda = \{u_1 - v_1, u_2 - v_2, \dots\}$$

A General Method for Constructing Algebras

- Construct a free algebra (tensor algebra)

$$T(V) = \mathbb{R} \oplus V \oplus (V \otimes V) \oplus (V \otimes V \otimes V) \oplus \dots$$

- Choose relations $u_1 = v_1, u_2 = v_2, \dots$ we wish to hold

$$\Lambda = \{u_1 - v_1, u_2 - v_2, \dots\}$$

- Construct **ideal** I generated by Λ

A General Method for Constructing Algebras

- Construct a free algebra (tensor algebra)

$$T(V) = \mathbb{R} \oplus V \oplus (V \otimes V) \oplus (V \otimes V \otimes V) \oplus \dots$$

- Choose relations $u_1 = v_1, u_2 = v_2, \dots$ we wish to hold

$$\Lambda = \{u_1 - v_1, u_2 - v_2, \dots\}$$

- Construct **ideal** I generated by Λ
- Take equivalence classes to get **quotient algebra**

$$T(V)/I$$

Quotient Algebra: Why?

- Vectors that were orthogonal in $T(V)$ can be non-orthogonal in $T(V)/I$
- Strings of different lengths can be compared

Quotient Algebra: How?

- An ideal I of an algebra A is a sub-vector space of A such that $xa \in I$ and $ax \in I$ for all $a \in A$ and all $x \in I$
- Congruence: $x \equiv y$ if $x - y \in I$
- Quotient algebra A/I formed from equivalence classes
- How to define the inner product $\langle [x], [y] \rangle$?

Approximating the Ideal

- Given basis B , define Λ_k ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) inductively:

$$\Lambda_0 = \Lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_k = \Lambda_{k-1} \cup \{(\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \Lambda_{k-1}) \mid \mathbf{e}_i \in B\} \\ \cup \{(\Lambda_{k-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i) \mid \mathbf{e}_i \in B\}\end{aligned}$$

- G_k = vector space generated by Λ_k

Computing the Inner Product

- $T(V)/G_k$ is isomorphic to G_k^\perp
- Project x onto orthogonal complement G_k^\perp
 - Canonical representation x'_k of equivalence class $[x]_k$
 - Use Gram-Schmidt to compute projection
- Define $\langle [x]_k, [y]_k \rangle_k = \langle x'_k, y'_k \rangle$

Data-driven Composition

- Use a treebank
- For each rule $\pi : X \rightarrow X_1 \dots X_r$ with head X_h we add vectors

$$\lambda_{\pi,i} = e_i - \hat{X}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{X}_{h-1} \otimes e_i \otimes \hat{X}_{h+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{X}_r$$

for each basis element e_i of V_{X_h} to the generating set.

- \hat{X} is the sum over all individual vectors of subtrees rooted with X
- Preserve meaning of head

Example

Example Corpus

see big city
modernise city
see modern city
see red apple
buy apple
visit big apple
read big book
throw old small red book
buy large new book

Grammar:

$$N' \rightarrow \text{Adj } N'$$

$$N' \rightarrow N$$

Generating set Λ :

$$\lambda_i = e_i - \widehat{\text{Adj}} \otimes e_i$$

$$\widehat{\text{Adj}} = 2e_{\text{apple}} + 6e_{\text{book}} + 2e_{\text{city}}$$

where e_i ranges over
basis vectors for noun
contexts.

Example: Computing G_k

- Define $ST = \{st : s \in S \text{ and } t \in T\}$
- B is orthonormal basis for underlying vector space
- $G_0 = \Lambda$ is set of all $\lambda_i = e_i - \widehat{\text{Adj}} \otimes e_i$
- $G_1 = (B \cup \{1\})G_0(B \cup \{1\})$
- $G_2 = (BB \cup B \cup \{1\})G_0(BB \cup B \cup \{1\})$

Example: Cosine Similarities

	<i>apple</i>	<i>big apple</i>	<i>red apple</i>	<i>city</i>	<i>big city</i>	<i>red city</i>	<i>book</i>	<i>big book</i>	<i>red book</i>
<i>apple</i>	1.0	0.26	0.24	0.52	0.13	0.12	0.33	0.086	0.080
<i>big apple</i>		1.0	0.33	0.13	0.52	0.17	0.086	0.33	0.11
<i>red apple</i>			1.0	0.12	0.17	0.52	0.080	0.11	0.33
<i>city</i>				1.0	0.26	0.24	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>big city</i>					1.0	0.33	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>red city</i>						1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>book</i>							1.0	0.26	0.24
<i>big book</i>								1.0	0.33
<i>red book</i>									1.0

Example: Cosine Similarities

	<i>apple</i>	<i>big apple</i>	<i>red apple</i>	<i>city</i>	<i>big city</i>	<i>red city</i>	<i>book</i>	<i>big book</i>	<i>red book</i>
<i>apple</i>	1.0	0.26	0.24	0.52	0.13	0.12	0.33	0.086	0.080
<i>big apple</i>		1.0	0.33	0.13	0.52	0.17	0.086	0.33	0.11
<i>red apple</i>			1.0	0.12	0.17	0.52	0.080	0.11	0.33
<i>city</i>				1.0	0.26	0.24	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>big city</i>					1.0	0.33	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>red city</i>						1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>book</i>							1.0	0.26	0.24
<i>big book</i>								1.0	0.33
<i>red book</i>									1.0

Example: Cosine Similarities

	<i>apple</i>	<i>big apple</i>	<i>red apple</i>	<i>city</i>	<i>big city</i>	<i>red city</i>	<i>book</i>	<i>big book</i>	<i>red book</i>
<i>apple</i>	1.0	0.26	0.24	0.52	0.13	0.12	0.33	0.086	0.080
<i>big apple</i>		1.0	0.33	0.13	0.52	0.17	0.086	0.33	0.11
<i>red apple</i>			1.0	0.12	0.17	0.52	0.080	0.11	0.33
<i>city</i>				1.0	0.26	0.24	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>big city</i>					1.0	0.33	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>red city</i>						1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>book</i>							1.0	0.26	0.24
<i>big book</i>								1.0	0.33
<i>red book</i>									1.0

Example: Cosine Similarities

	<i>apple</i>	<i>big apple</i>	<i>red apple</i>	<i>city</i>	<i>big city</i>	<i>red city</i>	<i>book</i>	<i>big book</i>	<i>red book</i>
<i>apple</i>	1.0	0.26	0.24	0.52	0.13	0.12	0.33	0.086	0.080
<i>big apple</i>		1.0	0.33	0.13	0.52	0.17	0.086	0.33	0.11
<i>red apple</i>			1.0	0.12	0.17	0.52	0.080	0.11	0.33
<i>city</i>				1.0	0.26	0.24	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>big city</i>					1.0	0.33	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>red city</i>						1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>book</i>							1.0	0.26	0.24
<i>big book</i>								1.0	0.33
<i>red book</i>									1.0

Future Work

- Use a **big corpus**
 - Restrict to finite dimensionality
- Other ways of choosing Λ
 - Lexicalised formalisms
 - Incorporate non-compositionality

Summary

- Tensor product composition
 - No way to compare strings of **different lengths**

Summary

- Tensor product composition
 - No way to compare strings of **different lengths**
- Quotient algebras
 - Introduce relations
 - Make strings of different lengths comparable
 - Relations can come from **treebank data**

Acknowledgments and References

Thanks to **Metrica** for supporting the research and Peter Hines, Stephen Clark, Peter Lane and Paul Hender for useful discussions.



Daoud Clarke

Context-theoretic Semantics for Natural Language

DPhil thesis, University of Sussex



Stephen Clark, Bob Coecke and Mehrnoosh Sadrzadeh

A Compositional Distributional Model of Meaning

QI-2008 2000.