

# Fitness\_Equipment\_Brand\_EDA\_by\_Diptyajit\_Das

March 16, 2024

## About the Fitness Equipment Company

The fitness equipment company is a prominent player in the industry, offering a diverse range of products, including treadmills, exercise bikes, gym equipment, and various fitness accessories. These products are designed to cater to the unique needs of a wide array of customers.

## Business Challenge

The research team of the fitness equipment company aims to gain a deeper understanding of the distinctive characteristics of their target audience for each type of treadmill product. The goal is to enhance recommendations provided to new customers, tailoring the suggestions based on individual preferences. The team is eager to explore potential differences in customer characteristics across various treadmill products.

## Problem Statement

The central question the research aims to answer is: “Are there discernible differences in customer characteristics across the various treadmill products?” By systematically examining conditional and marginal probabilities through two-way contingency tables, the research seeks to uncover patterns that can significantly impact business decisions. The insights derived from this analysis will be instrumental in refining customer recommendations and tailoring marketing strategies for each treadmill product.

## Product Portfolio:

1. **KP281:**
  - Type: Entry-Level Treadmill
  - Price: \$1,500
2. **KP481:**
  - Type: Mid-Level Runner’s Treadmill
  - Price: \$1,750
3. **KP781:**
  - Type: Advanced Features Treadmill
  - Price: \$2,500

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from scipy.stats import bootstrap
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
df=pd.read_csv('treadmill.txt')
```

```
[2]: df.head()
```

```
[2]:   Product  Age  Gender  Education  MaritalStatus  Usage  Fitness  Income  Miles
0   KP281   18   Male      14         Single        3      4   29562   112
1   KP281   19   Male      15         Single        2      3   31836    75
2   KP281   19  Female      14   Partnered        4      3   30699    66
3   KP281   19   Male      12         Single        3      3   32973    85
4   KP281   20   Male      13   Partnered        4      2   35247    47
```

## 0.1 1. Structure and characteristics of dataset

```
[3]: df.shape
```

```
[3]: (180, 9)
```

### 0.1.1 180 rows and 9 columns

```
[4]: df.dtypes
```

```
[4]: Product      object
Age             int64
Gender          object
Education       int64
MaritalStatus   object
Usage           int64
Fitness         int64
Income          int64
Miles           int64
dtype: object
```

### 0.1.2 String columns: Product, Gender, MaritalStatus.

### 0.1.3 Integer columns: Age, Education, Usage, Fitness, Income, Miles

```
[5]: df.isna().sum()
```


```
[5]: Product      0
Age            0
Gender         0
Education      0
MaritalStatus  0
Usage          0
Fitness        0
Income         0
Miles          0
dtype: int64
```

### 0.1.4 No missing values

## 0.2 2. Outliers

### 0.2.1 Boxplots and Countplots of all numerical columns

```
[6]: def outlier_checker(data, columns):  
    plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))  
    sns.set(style="whitegrid")  
  
    for i, column in enumerate(columns, 1):  
        plt.subplot(2, 3, i)  
  
        if column in ['Fitness', 'Usage', 'Education']:  
            sns.countplot(x=data[column])  
            plt.title(f'Countplot of {column}')  
        else:  
            sns.boxplot(y=data[column])  
            plt.title(f'Boxplot of {column}')  
  
    plt.tight_layout()  
    plt.show()  
    outlier_checker(df,['Age', 'Education', 'Income', 'Fitness', 'Usage', 'Miles'])
```




## 0.2.2 Filtering data between $Q1-1.5iqr$ and $Q3+1.5iqr$ for each numerical columns

```
[7]: columns = ['Age', 'Education', 'Usage', 'Fitness', 'Miles', 'Income']
def filter_data_by_iqr(df, column):
    iqr = np.quantile(df[column], 0.75) - np.quantile(df[column], 0.25)
    q1 = np.quantile(df[column], 0.25)
    q3 = np.quantile(df[column], 0.75)
    return df[df[column].between(q1 - 1.5 * iqr, q3 + 1.5 * iqr)]
for column in columns:
    df = filter_data_by_iqr(df, column)
df.shape
```

```
[7]: (146, 9)
```

```
[8]: outlier_checker(df, ['Age', 'Education', 'Income', 'Fitness', 'Usage', 'Miles'])
```



## 0.2.3 34 outlier records removed.

## 0.2.4 As we can see in the second series of plots there are hardly any more outliers.

```
[9]: df.describe()
```

```
[9]:
```

	Age	Education	Usage	Fitness	Income \
count	146.000000	146.000000	146.000000	146.000000	146.000000

mean	28.006849	15.150685	3.164384	3.075342	48053.650685
std	6.259334	1.266777	0.813908	0.743576	8852.564836
min	18.000000	12.000000	2.000000	2.000000	29562.000000
25%	23.000000	14.000000	3.000000	3.000000	42069.000000
50%	26.000000	16.000000	3.000000	3.000000	48891.000000
75%	33.000000	16.000000	4.000000	3.000000	53439.000000
max	46.000000	18.000000	5.000000	5.000000	68220.000000


	Miles
count	146.000000
mean	88.034247
std	30.364093
min	38.000000
25%	66.000000
50%	85.000000
75%	105.250000
max	180.000000

### 0.3 3. Checking if MaritalStatus,Gender,Age influences the product purchased

```
[10]: g=df.groupby('MaritalStatus',as_index=False)['Product'].value_counts()
g
```

```
[10]:   MaritalStatus Product  count
0   Partnered   KP281     44
1   Partnered   KP481     34
2   Partnered   KP781      5
3     Single   KP281     32
4     Single   KP481     23
5     Single   KP781      8
```


```
[11]: sns.set(style="whitegrid")
sns.barplot(x='Product',y='count',hue='MaritalStatus',data=g)
plt.title('Product vs count vs MaritalStatus')
plt.show()
```




```
[12]: g=df.groupby('Gender',as_index=False)['Product'].value_counts()
      g
```

```
[12]:   Gender Product  count
0  Female   KP281     38
1  Female   KP481     27
2  Female   KP781      1
3    Male   KP281     38
4    Male   KP481     30
5    Male   KP781     12
```

```
[13]: sns.set(style="whitegrid")
      sns.barplot(x='Product',y='count',hue='Gender',data=g)
      plt.title('Product vs count vs Gender')
      plt.show()
```



```
[14]: g=df.groupby('Age',as_index=False)['Product'].value_counts()
sns.scatterplot(data=g,x='Age',y='count',hue='Product',palette={'KP281':
    ↪ 'blue','KP481':'red','KP781':'green'})
plt.title('Scatterplot of Age of consumer vs count vs Product')
plt.show()
```



```
[15]: sns.kdeplot(data=df,x='Age',hue='Product',palette={'KP281':'blue','KP481':
↳ 'red','KP781':'green'})
plt.title('Kdeplot of Age of consumer vs Product')
plt.show()
```





- **Treadmill Usage Insights:**

- **MaritalStatus Preferences:**

- \* KP281 and KP481 are more commonly used by partnered individuals.
    - \* KP781 is more common among single individuals.

- **Gender Preferences:**

- \* KP281 is equally preferred by female and male.
    - \* KP481 and KP781 are favored by a larger male consumer base.

- **Age Group Preferences:**

- \* KP281 is popular across all age groups.
    - \* KP481 is the most popular model in the 31-35 age group.
    - \* KP781 is mostly used by individuals aged 20-26.

#### 0.4 4. Representing the Probability

- **Marginal Probabilities for Treadmill Models and Relationship Status/Gender:**

```
[16]: pd.
      ↪ crosstab(df['Product'], [df['MaritalStatus'], df['Gender']], margins=True, normalize=True)
```

```
[16]: MaritalStatus Partnered      Single      All
      Gender      Female      Male      Female      Male
      Product
      KP281      0.171233  0.130137  0.089041  0.130137  0.520548
      KP481      0.095890  0.136986  0.089041  0.068493  0.390411
      KP781      0.000000  0.034247  0.006849  0.047945  0.089041
      All        0.267123  0.301370  0.184932  0.246575  1.000000
```

- **Treadmill Models:**

- $P(KP281) = 52.05\%$
- $P(KP481) = 39.04\%$
- $P(KP781) = 8.90\%$

- **Relationship Status and Gender:**

- $P(\text{Partnered Female}) = 26.71\%$
- $P(\text{Partnered Male}) = 30.13\%$
- $P(\text{Single Female}) = 18.49\%$
- $P(\text{Single Male}) = 24.66\%$

- **Joint Probabilities for Treadmill Models and Relationship Status/Gender:**

```
[17]: pd.crosstab(df['Product'], [df['MaritalStatus'], df['Gender']], normalize=True)
```

```
[17]: MaritalStatus Partnered      Single
      Gender      Female      Male      Female      Male
      Product
      KP281      0.171233  0.130137  0.089041  0.130137
      KP481      0.095890  0.136986  0.089041  0.068493
      KP781      0.000000  0.034247  0.006849  0.047945
```

**For KP281:**

- $P(KP281 \text{ and Partnered Female}) = 17.12\%$
- $P(KP281 \text{ and Partnered Male}) = 13.01\%$
- $P(KP281 \text{ and Single Female}) = 8.90\%$
- $P(KP281 \text{ and Single Male}) = 13.01\%$

**For KP481:**

- $P(KP481 \text{ and Partnered Female}) = 9.59\%$
- $P(KP481 \text{ and Partnered Male}) = 13.70\%$
- $P(KP481 \text{ and Single Female}) = 8.90\%$
- $P(KP481 \text{ and Single Male}) = 6.85\%$

**For KP781:**

- $P(KP781 \text{ and Partnered Female}) = 0.0\%$
- $P(KP781 \text{ and Partnered Male}) = 3.42\%$
- $P(KP781 \text{ and Single Female}) = 0.68\%$
- $P(KP781 \text{ and Single Male}) = 4.79\%$

- **Conditional Probabilities for Treadmill Models given Relationship Status/Gender:**

```
[18]: pd.
      ↪ crosstab(df['Product'], [df['MaritalStatus'], df['Gender']], normalize='columns')
```

```
[18]: MaritalStatus Partnered      Single
      Gender      Female      Male      Female      Male
      Product
      KP281      0.641026  0.431818  0.481481  0.527778
      KP481      0.358974  0.454545  0.481481  0.277778
      KP781      0.000000  0.113636  0.037037  0.194444
```

**For KP281:**

- $P(\text{KP281} \mid \text{Partnered Female}) = 64.1\%$
- $P(\text{KP281} \mid \text{Partnered Male}) = 43.18\%$
- $P(\text{KP281} \mid \text{Single Female}) = 48.15\%$
- $P(\text{KP281} \mid \text{Single Male}) = 52.78\%$

**For KP481:**

- $P(\text{KP481} \mid \text{Partnered Female}) = 35.90\%$
- $P(\text{KP481} \mid \text{Partnered Male}) = 45.45\%$
- $P(\text{KP481} \mid \text{Single Female}) = 48.15\%$
- $P(\text{KP481} \mid \text{Single Male}) = 27.78\%$

**For KP781:**

- $P(\text{KP781} \mid \text{Partnered Female}) = 0.0\%$
- $P(\text{KP781} \mid \text{Partnered Male}) = 11.36\%$
- $P(\text{KP781} \mid \text{Single Female}) = 3.7\%$
- $P(\text{KP781} \mid \text{Single Male}) = 19.44\%$

- **Conditional Probabilities for Relationship Status/Gender given Treadmill Models:**

```
[19]: pd.crosstab(df['Product'], [df['MaritalStatus'], df['Gender']], normalize='index')
```

```
[19]: MaritalStatus Partnered      Single
      Gender      Female      Male      Female      Male
      Product
      KP281      0.328947  0.250000  0.171053  0.250000
      KP481      0.245614  0.350877  0.228070  0.175439
      KP781      0.000000  0.384615  0.076923  0.538462
```

**For Partnered:**

- $P(\text{Partnered Female} \mid \text{KP281}) = 32.89\%$
- $P(\text{Partnered Female} \mid \text{KP481}) = 24.56\%$

- $P(\text{Partnered Female} \mid \text{KP781}) = 0.00$
- $P(\text{Partnered Male} \mid \text{KP281}) = 25.00\%$
- $P(\text{Partnered Male} \mid \text{KP481}) = 35.09\%$
- $P(\text{Partnered Male} \mid \text{KP781}) = 38.46\%$

#### For Single:

- $P(\text{Single Female} \mid \text{KP281}) = 17.11$
- $P(\text{Single Female} \mid \text{KP481}) = 22.81$
- $P(\text{Single Female} \mid \text{KP781}) = 7.69$
- $P(\text{Single Male} \mid \text{KP281}) = 25.00$
- $P(\text{Single Male} \mid \text{KP481}) = 17.54$
- $P(\text{Single Male} \mid \text{KP781}) = 53.85$

#### 0.4.1 Key Insights:

##### 1. Marginal Probabilities:

- KP281 is the most popular treadmill model overall followed by KP481 then KP781.
- Partnered people have higher probability of getting a treadmill.
- Gender-wise treadmills have higher probability of male users.

##### 2. Joint Probabilities:


- KP281 has good joint probability with partnered male and female and single male.
- KP481 has good joint probability with partnered male.

##### 3. Conditional Probabilities:

- Given an user is partnered female there is a high chance that she is KP281 user.
- Given an user is single male there is a high chance that he is KP281 user.
- Given an user is KP781 user there is high probability that he is single male.


## 0.5 5. Correlation between different features

```
[20]: dfn=df[['Age', 'Education', 'Usage', 'Fitness', 'Income', 'Miles']]
sns.heatmap(dfn.corr(),annot=True)
plt.show()
```




```
[21]: sns.pairplot(df[['Age', 'Education', 'Income']], kind='reg')
plt.suptitle("Pairplot between Age, Education and Income", y=1.02)
plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.9)
plt.show()
```

Pairplot between Age, Education and Income



```
[22]: sns.pairplot(df[['Usage', 'Fitness', 'Miles']], kind='reg')
plt.suptitle("Pairplot between Usage, Miles and Fitness", y=1.02)
plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.9)
plt.show()
```

Pairplot between Usage, Miles and Fitness



## 0.6 Insights from Strong Correlation Coefficients:

### 1. Income - Age (0.65):

- The strong positive correlation suggests that, on average, as individuals' age increases, their income tends to increase as well.
- This indicates a potential trend of higher income levels in older age groups.

### 2. Education - Income (0.50):

- The moderately positive correlation suggests that there is a connection between higher education levels and higher income.
- Individuals with higher education levels tend to have higher incomes on average.

### 3. Usage - Fitness (0.48):

- The moderately positive correlation indicates that there is a relationship between the

frequency of equipment usage and fitness levels.

- Individuals who use fitness equipment more frequently tend to have higher fitness levels.

#### 4. Miles - Usage (0.66):

- The strong positive correlation suggests that there is a strong connection between the distance covered (in miles) and the frequency of equipment usage.
- Users who cover more miles tend to use the equipment more frequently.

#### 5. Fitness - Miles (0.71):

- The strong positive correlation implies a notable connection between fitness levels and the distance covered.
- Individuals with higher fitness levels are likely to cover more miles during their exercise sessions and more miles covered will increase fitness.

## 0.7 6. Customer profiling and recommendation

### 0.7.1 Introducing 'Age group' column

```
[23]: df['Age group']=pd.cut(df['Age'],  
                             bins=[0,17,25,35,45,55,65,float('inf')],  
                             labels=['0-17','18-25','26-35','36-45','46-55','56-65','65+'])
```

### 0.7.2 Segmenting the dataframe based on Product

```
[24]: low=df[df['Product']=='KP281']  
low.shape
```

```
[24]: (76, 10)
```

```
[25]: mid=df[df['Product']=='KP481']  
mid.shape
```

```
[25]: (57, 10)
```

```
[26]: high=df[df['Product']=='KP781']  
high.shape
```

```
[26]: (13, 10)
```


```
[27]: data=pd.read_csv('treadmill.txt')  
conditions=[data['Product']=='KP281',data['Product']=='KP481',data['Product']=='KP781']  
price=[1500,1750,2500]  
  
# Introducing 'Price' column  
data['Price']=np.select(conditions,price,None)  
# Grouping sum of 'Price' to get Total Revenue for each 'Product'  
data = data.groupby('Product', as_index=False).agg(Revenue=('Price', 'sum'))  
  
explode = [0.02, 0.01, 0.01]
```



```

colors = [(0, 0, 1, 0.2), (1, 0, 0, 0.2), (0, 1, 0, 0.2)] # (R, G, B, Alpha)
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 8))
plt.pie(data['Revenue'], labels=data['Product'], autopct='%1.1f%%',
        ↪startangle=90, colors=colors, explode=explode, shadow=True)
plt.title('Revenue Distribution by Product')
plt.legend(title='Products', loc='upper left')
plt.show()

```



0.7.3 Length of KP281 sample and KP481 sample >30 so CLT and Standard Error applied to construct 95% confidence interval(c.i.).

0.7.4 For KP781 sample bootstrapping is done.

0.7.5 KP281 [Price: \$1500; highest in terms of revenue and usage]

```
[28]: Counts=[low['Age group'].value_counts().head(4),low['Gender'].  
         ↳value_counts(),low['Education'].value_counts(),low['MaritalStatus'].  
         ↳value_counts()]  
for i in Counts:  
    print(i)
```

```
Age group  
18-25    32  
26-35    32  
36-45    11  
46-55     1  
Name: count, dtype: int64  
Gender  
Male      38  
Female    38  
Name: count, dtype: int64  
Education  
16     35  
14     30  
15      4  
13      3  
12      2  
18      2  
Name: count, dtype: int64  
MaritalStatus  
Partnered  44  
Single     32  
Name: count, dtype: int64
```

```
[29]: plt.figure(figsize=(15,9))  
plt.subplots_adjust(hspace=0.5) # vertical spacing  
sns.set_style("white")  
  
def plot_and_describe(data, model, column, i,color='blue'):  
    n=len(data)  
  
    # Plotting KDE and Count plots  
    plt.subplot(2,3, i + 1) # subplot  
    sns.set_style("white")  
    if column in ['Fitness', 'Usage', 'Education']:  
        sns.countplot(x=data[column],color=color)  
        if column=='Fitness':
```

```

        plt.title('Fitenss rating out of 5',fontweight='bold')
    elif column=='Usage':
        plt.title('Days used per week',fontweight='bold')
    else:
        plt.title('Education (years)',fontweight='bold')
else:
    ax = sns.kdeplot(data=data, x=column,color=color,alpha=.4)
    if column=='Miles':
        plt.title(f'{column} covered', fontweight='bold', loc='center')
    elif column=='Age':
        plt.title(f'{column} (years)', fontweight='bold', loc='center')
    else:
        plt.title(f'Annual income in $', fontweight='bold', loc='center')
        # mean and standard deviation from CLT
        std_val=data[column].std(ddof=1)/np.sqrt(n)
        mean_val=data[column].mean()
        ax.text(.5,.99, f'95%c.i. [ {mean_val-2*std_val:.2f},{mean_val+2*std_val:
↪.2f}]', transform=ax.transAxes, ha='center', va='top',weight='bold')
    plt.xlabel('')

columns = ['Miles', 'Usage', 'Fitness', 'Age', 'Education', 'Income']
model = 'KP281'
plt.suptitle(f'{model} users',fontweight='bold',fontsize=18)
for i, column in enumerate(columns):
    plot_and_describe(low, model, column, i)

plt.show()

plt.figure(figsize=(8,2.5))


plt.subplot(1, 2, 1)
sns.countplot(x='Gender', data=low, color='blue')
plt.title('Gender',fontweight='bold',fontsize=10)
plt.xlabel('')

plt.subplot(1, 2, 2)
sns.countplot(x='MaritalStatus', data=low, color='blue')
plt.title('MaritalStatus',fontweight='bold',fontsize=10)
plt.xlabel('')

plt.show()
low.describe()

```

## KP281 users



[29]:

	Age	Education	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles
count	76.000000	76.000000	76.000000	76.000000	76.000000	76.000000
mean	28.157895	14.986842	3.052632	2.960526	46168.184211	81.947368
std	6.588986	1.227392	0.764107	0.598683	8937.483365	26.596188
min	18.000000	12.000000	2.000000	2.000000	29562.000000	38.000000
25%	23.000000	14.000000	2.750000	3.000000	38658.000000	66.000000
50%	26.000000	15.000000	3.000000	3.000000	46617.000000	85.000000
75%	32.250000	16.000000	4.000000	3.000000	52586.250000	94.000000
max	46.000000	18.000000	5.000000	5.000000	68220.000000	169.000000

## 0.8 Profile of KP281 Users

- **Age Distribution:**
  - The majority of KP281 users fall within the age range of 27-30 years.
- **Gender:**
  - Equal distribution of male and female users.
- **Education Level:**
  - Education level is 14 or 16 years for KP281 users.
- **Relationship Status:**
  - There is a higher proportion of partnered KP281 users compared to single users.
- **Usage Pattern:**
  - The average usage for KP281 users is around 3 times per week.
- **Fitness Level:**
  - The fitness level of KP281 users is around 3 out of 5.
- **Income Range:**
  - The income of KP281 users is 44k-48k \$ per annum suggesting that this group consists of individuals with moderate income levels.
- **Miles Covered:**
  - The majority of KP281 users cover a distance of around 76-88 miles.

### 0.8.1 KP481 [Price: \$1750; second highest in terms of revenue and usage]

```
[30]: Counts=[mid['Age group'].value_counts().head(4),mid['Gender'].  
        ↪value_counts(),mid['Education'].value_counts(),mid['MaritalStatus'].  
        ↪value_counts()]  
for i in Counts:  
    print(i)
```

```
Age group  
18-25      27  
26-35      23  
36-45       7  
0-17        0  
Name: count, dtype: int64  
Gender  
Male       30  
Female     27  
Name: count, dtype: int64  
Education  
16         30  
14         22  
13          2  
12          1  
18          1  
15          1  
Name: count, dtype: int64  
MaritalStatus  
Partnered  34
```

Single            23  
Name: count, dtype: int64

```
[31]: plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
plt.subplots_adjust(hspace=0.5)
model = 'KP481'
sns.set_style("white")

plt.suptitle(f'{model} users',fontweight='bold',fontsize=18)
for i, column in enumerate(columns):
    plot_and_describe(mid, model, column, i,'red')

plt.show()

plt.figure(figsize=(8,2.5))


plt.subplot(1, 2, 1)
sns.countplot(x='Gender', data=mid, color='red')
plt.title('Gender',fontweight='bold',fontsize=11)
plt.xlabel('')

plt.subplot(1, 2, 2)
sns.countplot(x='MaritalStatus', data=mid, color='red')
plt.title('MaritalStatus',fontweight='bold',fontsize=11)
plt.xlabel('')

plt.show()

mid.describe()
```

## KP481 users



[31]:

	Age	Education	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles
count	57.000000	57.000000	57.000000	57.000000	57.000000	57.000000
mean	28.666667	15.070175	3.070175	2.912281	48791.263158	87.350877
std	6.225906	1.178068	0.752606	0.575720	8292.199268	28.289000
min	19.000000	12.000000	2.000000	2.000000	31836.000000	42.000000
25%	24.000000	14.000000	3.000000	3.000000	45480.000000	64.000000
50%	26.000000	16.000000	3.000000	3.000000	48891.000000	85.000000

75%	33.000000	16.000000	3.000000	3.000000	53439.000000	106.000000
max	45.000000	18.000000	5.000000	4.000000	67083.000000	170.000000

## 0.9 Profile of KP481 Users

- **Age Distribution:**
  - The majority of KP481 users fall within the age range of 27 to 30 years.
- **Gender:**
  - Almost equal distribution with slightly more male users.
- **Education Level:**
  - Education level is 14 or 16 years for KP481 users.
- **Relationship Status:**
  - There is a higher proportion of partnered KP481 users compared to single users.
- **Usage Pattern:**
  - The average usage for KP481 users is around 3 times per week.
- **Fitness Level:**
  - The fitness level of KP481 users is around 3 out of 5.
- **Income Range:**
  - The income of KP481 users is centered around 47k-51k \$ per annum.
- **Miles Covered:**
  - The majority of KP481 users cover a distance of around 80-95 miles.

### 0.9.1 KP781 [Price: \$2500; lowest in terms of revenue and usage]

```
[32]: Counts=[high['Age group'].value_counts().head(4),high['Gender'].
        ↪value_counts(),high['Education'].value_counts(),high['MaritalStatus'].
        ↪value_counts()]
for i in Counts:
    print(i)
high.describe()
```

```
Age group
18-25    11
26-35     2
0-17      0
36-45     0
Name: count, dtype: int64
Gender
Male      12
Female     1
Name: count, dtype: int64
Education
16         8
18         4
14         1
Name: count, dtype: int64
MaritalStatus
Single      8
```



Partnered 5  
Name: count, dtype: int64

```
[32]:
```

	Age	Education	Usage	Fitness	Income	Miles
count	13.000000	13.000000	13.000000	13.000000	13.000000	13.000000
mean	24.230769	16.461538	4.230769	4.461538	55842.230769	126.615385
std	1.877669	1.198289	0.599145	0.776250	6000.430334	33.698512
min	22.000000	14.000000	3.000000	3.000000	48556.000000	80.000000
25%	23.000000	16.000000	4.000000	4.000000	49801.000000	100.000000
50%	24.000000	16.000000	4.000000	5.000000	54781.000000	120.000000
75%	25.000000	18.000000	5.000000	5.000000	61006.000000	160.000000
max	29.000000	18.000000	5.000000	5.000000	64741.000000	180.000000

## 0.10 Using Bootstrapping since sample size <30

```
[33]: np.random.seed(95)
def calculate_bootstrap_ci(data, column, B=100000, alpha=0.05):
    # storing bootstrap sample means
    bootstrap_means = []

    for _ in range(B):
        bootstrap_sample = np.random.choice(data[column], size=len(data),
        ↪replace=True)
        bootstrap_means.append(np.mean(bootstrap_sample))

    # Mean and Standard Deviation of Bootstrap Samples
    mean_final = np.mean(bootstrap_means)
    std_final = np.std(bootstrap_means, ddof=1)

    # 95% Confidence Interval
    lower_bound = mean_final - 2 * std_final
    upper_bound = mean_final + 2 * std_final

    print(f'95%(c.i.) for {column} : [ {lower_bound} , {upper_bound} ]')

for column in columns:
    calculate_bootstrap_ci(high, column)
```

```
95%(c.i.) for Miles : [ 108.66727678016743 , 144.56222475829412 ]
95%(c.i.) for Usage : [ 3.9111045566642413 , 4.549276981797297 ]
95%(c.i.) for Fitness : [ 4.047070234840964 , 4.874968226697497 ]
95%(c.i.) for Age : [ 23.22730042353944 , 25.231385730306712 ]
95%(c.i.) for Education : [ 15.822752431604206 , 17.099389106857334 ]
95%(c.i.) for Income : [ 52660.23020543988 , 59033.83568994472 ]
```

## 0.11 Profile of KP781 Users

- Age Distribution:

- KP781 user's age is around 23-25 years.
- **Gender:**
  - KP781 users are mostly male.
- **Education Level:**
  - Education level is 16-17 years for KP781 users.
- **Relationship Status:**
  - There is a higher proportion of single KP781 users compared to partnered users.
- **Usage Pattern:**
  - The average usage for KP781 users is around 4-5 times per week.
- **Fitness Level:**
  - The fitness level of KP781 users is around 4-5 out of 5.
- **Income Range:**
  - The income of KP781 users is 53k-59k \$ per annum.
- **Miles Covered:**
  - The KP781 users cover a distance of around 109-145 miles.

### 0.11.1 Comparative Analysis of Treadmill User Profiles

#### KP281 Users:

- **Demographics:**
  - Majority in the age range of 27-30 years.
  - Almost equal gender distribution.
  - Education level is 14 or 16 years.
- **Lifestyle and Fitness:**
  - Higher proportion of partnered users.
  - Average usage around 3 times per week.
  - Moderate fitness levels (around 3/5).
  - Cover a moderate distance (76-88 miles).
- **Income and Recommendations:**
  - Income range: 44k to 48k \$ per annum.
  - **Recommendation:** Focus on couples or individuals in late 20s, early 30s. Highlight user-friendly features and emphasize moderate fitness goals for a balanced lifestyle.

#### KP481 Users:

- **Demographics:**
  - Age group centered around 27-30 years.
  - Almost equal gender distribution with slightly higher number of male users.
  - Education level is 14 or 16 years.
- **Lifestyle and Fitness:**
  - Higher proportion of partnered users.
  - Average usage around 3 times per week.
  - Moderate fitness levels (around 3/5).
  - Cover a moderate distance (80-95 miles).
- **Income and Recommendations:**
  - Income range: 47k to 51k \$ per annum.
  - **Recommendation:** Tailor marketing to appeal to couples or individuals in their late 20s to early 30s. Emphasize reliability and ease of use for a steady fitness routine.

## KP781 Users:

- **Demographics:**
  - Younger age group around 23-25 years.
  - Predominantly male.
  - Higher education level range from 16-17 years.
- **Lifestyle and Fitness:**
  - Higher proportion of single users.
  - Average usage around 4-5 times per week.
  - Fitness levels are around 4-5 out of 5.
  - Cover a higher number of miles (109-145 miles).
- **Income and Recommendations:**
  - Income range: 53k to 59k \$ per annum.
  - **Recommendation:** Targeted marketing for young, single, fitness-conscious individuals with higher salary. Consider promotions emphasizing performance and features for an active lifestyle.

### 0.11.2 Overall Insights and Recommendations:

- **Diverse Targeting:**
  - Recognize the diversity among treadmill users and tailor marketing efforts accordingly.
  - For example marketing should be done differently for different age groups, MaritalStatuses, Salary bins and Genders.
  - A single male in mid 20s with salary more than 50k can be targeted to sell KP781.
- **Segmented Campaigns:**
  - Design specific marketing campaigns for each model based on user profiles and preferences.
  - For example KP281, KP481 can be campaigned specifically for partnered people in their late 20s, early 30s.
  - Use the Probabilities and Profiles to launch the campaigns.
- **Customer Engagement:**
  - Engage with the community by promoting fitness challenges or social events tailored to the identified user groups.
- **Promotions and Pricing:**
  - Offer targeted promotions, discounts, or loyalty programs to incentivize purchases.
  - For example Marital Status, Gender or Age can be used to introduce specific discounts in specific regions.

[ ]: