Relational Algebra

Unary: Selection σ_c | Projection π_ℓ | Renaming ρ_ℓ

Binary: Union \cup | Intersect \cap | Difference - | Cross Product \times

Join: Inner $\bowtie_c \mid$ Natural $\bowtie \mid$ Left/Right/Full $\rightarrow_c \leftarrow_c \leftrightarrow_c \mid$ Natural Left/Right/Full $\rightarrow \leftarrow \leftrightarrow$

null: Comparison operation: unknown Arithmetic operation: null

ER Model

Relationship constraints:

Key (arrow): Many-To-Many | One-To-Many/Many-To-One | One-To-One

Participation (thickness): partial thin line | total thick line

Weak entity sets:

No own key, dependent on owner entity, relationship must have total participation

Aggregation: relationship between entities and relationships

ISA hierachy:

Overlap constraint: can belong to multiple subclasses? Undirected if yes, directed if no

Covering constraint: must belong to some subclass? Thick if yes, thin if no

SQL

Transactions ACID:

Atomicity: either all effects are reflected or none are

Consistency: preserved by execution in isolation

<u>Isolation</u>: isolated from effects of concurrent transactions

<u>Durability</u>: effects persists even during system failures **Constraint types**: Not null, Unique, Primary key, Foreign key, Check

Subqueries: EXISTS IN ANY/SOME ALL

Used in where, from (enclosed with parentheses and given alias), HAVING

Aggregate functions:

Used in SELECT, HAVING, ORDER BY or subqueries

GROUP BY clause: For each column A in select or having

A in group by , or A in an aggregated expression, or primary key in group by

Pattern matching: -: single character \(\): 0 or more characters

Evaluation of queries:

```
SELECT select-list FROM from-list WHERE where-condition GROUP BY group-by-list HAVING having-condition ORDER BY order-by-list LIMIT ... OFFSET ...
```

- I. Compute cross-product in from-list
- II. Select tuples for where-condition
- III. Partition using group-by-list
- IV. Select groups with having-condition
- V. Generate output for attributes in select-list
- VI. Remove duplicate tuples

VII. Sort output tuples based on order-by-list
VIII. Remove tuples based on offset and LIMIT

```
x IS DISTINCT FROM y -- false if both null, true if one null
UNION / INTERSECT / EXCEPT -- eliminate duplicates
UNION ALL / INTERSECT ALL / EXCEPT ALL -- INTERSECT higher precedence
CASE [expression]
WHEN condition_i/value_i THEN result_i WHEN ... THEN ... ELSE result_j
END;
NULLIF(value_1, value_2) -- NULL if value_1 = value_2, otherwise value_1
```

PL/pgSQL

Benefits: code reuse, ease of maintenance, performance, security

```
/* functions */
CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION <f_name> (<param> <type>, ...) RETURNS <type> AS $$
... $$ LANGUAGE sql;
SELECT <f_name>(...); /* tuple */ SELECT * FROM <f_name>(...); /* table */
/* variants */
RETURNS SETOF <type> /* more than one tuple */
(IN <param> <type>, OUT <output> <type>, ...) /* custom tuple */
RETURNS TABLE(<param> <type>, ...) /* simplified */
/* procedures */
CREATE OR REPLACE PROCEDURE <p_name> (<param> <type>, ...) AS $$
... $$ LANGUAGE sql;
CALL <p_name>();
```

```
DECLARE <name> <type> := <value>;
BEGIN
   SELECT ... INTO <val> FROM ...;
   <name> := ...;
END;
RETURN QUERY SELECT ...; /* return set of tuples, does not exit */
RETURN NEXT; /* return set of tuples, does not exit */
```

```
/* cursor */
DECLARE curs CURSOR FOR (SELECT ... FROM ...); r RECORD;
BEGIN OPEN curs; ... CLOSE curs; END;
FETCH curs INTO r; FETCH NEXT FROM curs INTO r;
FETCH PRIOR/FIRST/LAST FROM curs INTO r;
FETCH ABSOLUTE/RELATIVE <num> FROM curs INTO r;
```

Triggers

```
CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION trigger_func() RETURNS TRIGGER AS $$

DECLARE ...

BEGIN

-- NEW: new tuple being inserted/updated
-- OLD: old tuple being deleted/updated, null for insert
-- TG_OP: INSERT|UPDATE|DELETE TG_TABLE_NAME: table that invoked

END;

$$ LANGUAGE plpgsql;

CREATE TRIGGER trigger_name

[BEFORE|AFTER|INSTEAD OF] INSERT|UPDATE|DELETE [OR ...] ON table_name

FOR EACH ROW|STATEMENT EXECUTE FUNCTION trigger_func();
```

Trigger timing:

BEFORE: non-null: normal operation null: operation ceased

AFTER: return value does not matter

INSTEAD OF: non-null: normal operation null: ignore rest of the operation

Trigger levels:

Row-level: INSTEAD of not allowed

Statement-level: use RAISE EXCEPTION to omit subsequent operations

Trigger condition:

```
CREATE TRIGGER trigger_name BEFORE ... FOR EACH ROW
WHEN (condition) EXECUTE FUNCTION trigger_func();
```

No select | No old for insert | No new for delete | No when for instead of

Trigger order:

BEFORE Statement, BEFORE row, AFTER row, AFTER statement

Activated alphabetically within each category

If BEFORE row returns NULL, subsequent triggers on the same row omittedRequirements:

Deferred trigger:

Only works with AFTER and FOR EACH ROW

```
CREATE CONSTRAINT TRIGGER trigger_name AFTER ...

DEFERRABLE INITIALLY DEFERRED | IMMEDIATE

FOR EACH ROW EXECUTE FUNCTION trigger_func();
```

FD

Armstrong's Axioms:

Reflexivity: AB o A, AB o B

Augmentation: $A o B \Rightarrow AC o BC$

<u>Transitivity</u>: $A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C \Rightarrow A \rightarrow C$

*<u>Decomposition</u>: $A \to BC \Rightarrow A \to B, A \to C$

*Union: $A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C \Rightarrow A \rightarrow BC$

Closure: To prove $X \to Y$, simply show $Y \in \{X\}^+$

Finding keys: Enumerate all subsets, Compute closures, Identify superkeys, Identify keys

Check small attribute sets first

If A does not appear in the RHS of any FDs, A must be in key

BCNF

Definition: every NT&D FD has a superkey as its LHS

Checking: "more but not all"

- I. Compute the closure of each attribute subset
- II. Check if exists $\{A_1A_2...A_k\}^+$ that satisfies "more but not all"
- III. If such closure exists, R is not in BCNF

Decomposition algo:

- I. Find an attribute subset X such that $\{X\}^+$ satisfies "more but not all"
- II. Decompose into two tables R_1 and R_2

 R_1 contains all in $\{X\}^+$

 R_2 contains all in X and attributes not in $\{X\}^+$

III. If R_1/R_2 not in BCNF, further decompose R_1/R_2

To derive the FDs on R_1/R_2 , project closures of R on them

2-attribute tables must be in BCNF, no need to check

Lossless join decomposition: common attributes in $R_1 \& R_2$ constitute a superkey of R_1/R_2

Minimal Basis

Definition:

- I. Every FD in M can be derived from S and vice versa
- II. Every FD in M is NT&D
- III. If any FD removed from M, some FD in S cannot be derived
- IV. For any FD in M, if attribute removed from LHS, FD cannot be derived from S

Algo:

- I. Decompose all FDs so that RHS contains only one attribute
- II. Remove redundant attributes on LHS (hide attribute A, redundant if FD implied by S)
- III. Remove redundant FDs (hide FD, redundant if FD implied by existing FDs)

3NF

Definition: every NT&D FD either LHS is superkey or RHS is prime attribute

Checking:

- I. Compute closure for each attribute subset
- II. Derive of keys of R
- III. For each closure $\{X_1,\ldots,X_k\}^+=\{Y_1,\ldots,Y_m\}$, check if

 $\{Y_1,\ldots,Y_m\}$ does not contain all attributes, and

Exists an non-prime attribute in $\{Y_1,\ldots,Y_m\}$ that is not in $\{X_1,\ldots,X_k\}$

IV. If such closure does not exist, R is in 3NF

Decomposition algo:

- I. Derive minimal basis of S
- II. Combine FDs whose LHS are the same
- III. Create a table for each FD
- IV. If none of the tables contains a key, create a table that contains any key
- V. Remove any redundant table