

# Raman mapping of photodissociation regions in the Orion Nebula

William J. Henney\*


*Instituto de Radioastronomía y Astrofísica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 3-72, 58090 Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico*

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

## ABSTRACT

I show that the broad Raman-scattered wings of H $\alpha$  can be used to map neutral gas illuminated by high-mass stars in star forming regions. The near wings ( $\Delta\lambda \approx \pm 10 \text{ \AA}$ ) trace neutral hydrogen columns of about  $5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , while the farther wings ( $|\Delta\lambda| > 30 \text{ \AA}$ ) trace columns of about  $5 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . Absorption features in the pseudo-continuum at 6633 and 6664  $\text{\AA}$  correspond to neutral oxygen far-ultraviolet absorption lines at 1027.43  $\text{\AA}$  and 1028.16  $\text{\AA}$ . I apply this technique

**Key words:** Atomic physics – Radiative transfer – Photodissociation regions



**Figure 1.** Schematic illustration of Raman scattering of photons from the Ly $\beta$  wings to the H $\alpha$  wings. The relevant energy levels of neutral hydrogen are shown at left. Far ultraviolet photons that are shifted by about  $\Delta\lambda_1 = \pm 1$  to 3  $\text{\AA}$  from the Ly $\beta$  rest wavelength can excite transitions from the ground 1s level to a virtual state adjacent to 3p. Most such excitations decay back to 1s (Rayleigh scattering), but in about one-fifth of cases the decay is to 2s instead (Raman scattering). The scattering cross section falls approximately as  $\Delta\lambda_1^{-2}$ , which gives broad Lorentzian wings to the H $\alpha$  line, as shown at right. A bandwidth of  $\Delta\lambda_1 = \pm 3 \text{ \AA}$  around Ly $\beta$  is transformed to a bandwidth  $\Delta\lambda_2 \approx \pm 120 \text{ \AA}$  around H $\alpha$ . A narrow absorption line in the incident FUV spectrum (vertical thin dashed line) becomes a much broader notch in the scattered wings.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Raman scattering is the inelastic analog of Rayleigh scattering by atoms or molecules. Both processes begin with a radiation-induced

transition of an electron to a virtual bound state (non-eigenstate). In Rayleigh scattering, the electron returns to its original state, resulting in the radiation being re-emitted with its original frequency (elastic scattering). In Raman scattering, on the other hand, the electron undergoes a transition to a different excited state, resulting in radiation being re-emitted at a much lower frequency. See Figure 1 for an illustration of the process. Recently, Dopita et al. (2016) identified exceedingly broad wings to the H $\alpha$  6563  $\text{\AA}$  line in the Orion Nebula and a number of H II regions in the Magellanic Clouds, which they ascribe to Raman scattering of ultraviolet radiation in the vicinity of the Ly $\beta$  1025  $\text{\AA}$  transition. Raman scattering in astrophysical sources was first identified in symbiotic stars (Schmid 1989), where FUV O VI emission lines at 1032 and 1038  $\text{\AA}$  produce broad emission features at 6827 and 7088  $\text{\AA}$ . This illustrates a curious feature of Raman scattering (Nussbaumer et al. 1989): the relative width  $\Delta\lambda/\lambda$  of spectral features is amplified by a factor  $\lambda(\text{H}\alpha)/\lambda(\text{Ly}\beta) \approx 6.4$  when passing from the FUV to the optical domain.

Dopita et al. (2016) propose that the Raman wings form at the transition zone near the ionization fronts in H II regions. However, the total neutral hydrogen column through the ionization front can be no more than about  $10/\sigma_0 \approx 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , where  $\sigma_0 \approx 6.3 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$  is the ground-state hydrogen photoionization cross section at threshold (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). The Raman scattering cross section at wavelengths responsible for the observed wings is much lower than this:  $\sigma_{\text{Raman}} \sim 10^{-21} \text{ cm}^2$  (Chang et al. 2015), meaning that the Raman scattering optical depth through the ionization front is only of order 0.001. A vastly larger column density of neutral hydrogen ( $\approx 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ) is available in the photodissociation region (PDR) outside the ionization front, so it is more likely that Raman scattering will occur there instead, so long as there is sufficient far ultraviolet radiative flux.

This paper is organized as follows. § 2 presents archival VLT-MUSE integral field spectroscopy of the Orion Nebula, which allows the broad H $\alpha$  wings to be spatially mapped in unprecedented detail and compared with other tracers of ionized and neutral zones

\* w.henney@irya.unam.mx

in the nebula. Two components of the O I UV resonance multiplet  $2p^4 \ ^3P \rightarrow 3d \ ^3D^0$  are detected as absorption features at 6633 and 6664 Å against the H $\alpha$  Raman wings. § 3 presents archival Keck-HIRES slit spectroscopy, which shows the profile of the 6664 Å absorption line with an effective velocity resolution of  $1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . § 4 discusses the implications of these results for the structure and dynamics of the PDRs in Orion, together with the prospects for using Raman spectral mapping as a diagnostic tool in the study of other high-mass star formation regions. An appendix recapitulates the basic theory of Raman scattering, concentrating on the wavelength transformation from the FUV domain around Ly $\beta$  to optical domain around H $\alpha$ . In addition, polynomial fits are provided to the wavelength dependence of the total (Rayleigh plus Raman) scattering cross section and the Raman H $\alpha$  branching ratio.


## 2 SPECTRAL MAPPING OF RAMAN WINGS

The principal observational dataset used in this paper is the imaging spectroscopy mosaic of the inner Orion Nebula (Weilbacher et al. 2015; Mc Leod et al. 2016) obtained with the MUSE spectrograph (Bacon et al. 2010, 2014) on the VLT. The entire datacube covers the wavelength range 4595 to 9366 Å but we concentrate mainly on the range 6300 to 6800 Å, where the spectral resolving power is  $R \approx 2500$ , corresponding to an instrumental linewidth (FWHM) of  $\delta\lambda \approx 2.4 \text{ Å}$ , which is sampled at  $1.25 \text{ Å pix}^{-1}$  and then resampled to  $0.85 \text{ Å pix}^{-1}$  for the final calibrated cube (see § 2 of Weilbacher et al. 2015). The observed field is shown by the white rectangle in Figure 2(a) and includes the entire inner Huygens region of the nebula, which accounts for roughly half of the total radio continuum flux from the H II region (Subrahmanyam et al. 2001). The full datacube is a mosaic that combines observations from 30 separate pointings, with a pixel size of  $0.2 \times 0.2''$ .

### 2.1 Raman scattered H $\alpha$ wings

Extended wings to the H $\alpha$  line are detected over the entire map, but they are particularly prominent in the six regions marked as white boxes in Figure 2(b). These are all bar-like features (O'Dell & Yusef-Zadeh 2000; García-Díaz & Henney 2007), which correspond to filamentary ionization fronts. Extracted MUSE spectra around the H $\alpha$  line for each of these regions are shown in Figure 3. All regions show broad wings to the H $\alpha$  line extending from 6300 to 6700 Å, which are consistent with the higher spectral resolution ( $R = 7000$ ) results of Dopita et al. (2016) for the region indicated by a dashed box in Figure 2(b). The continuum is interpolated under the wings by fitting a second-order polynomial to clean regions of the spectra in the ranges 6070 Å to 6225 Å and 6760 Å to 6820 Å, as shown by the lower edge of the gray shading for each spectrum. The continuum is a combination of Paschen recombination emission from hydrogen, which increases to the red, and dust-scattered starlight and two-photon hydrogen emission, which both increase to the blue. The combined  $F_\lambda$  is approximately flat for all regions except the Orion Bar, where dust scattering of the nearby star  $\theta^2$  Ori A dominates, resulting in a blueward rise. All of these continuum processes are expected to be smooth on a 100 Å scale,<sup>1</sup> but nonetheless

<sup>1</sup> The only exception is the dust-scattered H $\alpha$  line from the stellar photospheres and winds, but this is confined within  $\pm 30 \text{ Å}$  of the rest wavelength (see Fig. 2 of Simón-Díaz et al. 2006) and so does not affect the Raman wings, which are broader than that.



**Figure 2.** (a) Panoramic view of the Orion Nebula region on parsec scales. Red channel of background image shows  $^{18}\text{CO}$  emission from dense molecular gas (Carma-NRO Orion Survey, Kong et al. 2018). Green channel shows near-infrared continuum from PAH grains in neutral gas (Spitzer Orion Survey, Megeath et al. 2012). Blue channel shows optical H $\alpha$  emission from ionized gas (WFI camera on ESO 2.2 m La Silla, Da Rio et al. 2009). The white box shows the field of view observed with MUSE. (b) Locations within the nebula of the extraction regions for the MUSE spectra shown in Figure 3 (white boxes) and the Keck HIRES spectra shown in Figure 9 (red boxes). The region of the spectrum obtained in Dopita et al. (2016) is shown by the dashed box. Background image shows oxygen emission lines extracted from the MUSE data cube: [O I]  $\lambda 6300$  (red channel), which traces ionization fronts and shocks in neutral gas; [O II]  $\lambda 7318$  (green channel), which traces the outer layers of the photoionized nebula; and [O III]  $\lambda 5007$  (blue channel), which traces the highly ionized interior of the nebula.

the systematic uncertainties in the continuum interpolation is an important factor that limits the precision of the Raman wing measurements.

### 2.2 Raman scattered FUV absorption lines

A prominent feature of all the spectra in Figure 3 is a pair of absorption notches in the red wing at 6633 Å and 6664 Å, which closely correspond to the wavelengths expected for the Raman-scattered transformation of the O I resonance lines at 1027 Å and 1028 Å listed in Table A1. After correcting for instrumental broadening, the FWHM of the 6633 Å feature is  $\approx 2.5 \text{ Å}$ , which would correspond to a velocity width of  $115 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  if it were an optical absorption line. However, taking into account the wavelength transformation during



**Figure 3.** MUSE spectra of the Orion Nebula centered on the H $\alpha$  line, showing the broad Raman-scattered wings. Spectra are shown for the regions outlined by white boxes in Figure 2 and were extracted from the datacubes of Weilbacher et al. (2015). All spectra are normalized to the continuum at 6200 Å and are offset vertically as labelled at right. Emission line identifications are shown by solid vertical lines, labelled above for weak lines and below for stronger lines. Wavelengths of Raman-scattered O I and Si II absorption lines from Table A1 are shown by red dashed vertical lines, labelled in bold font below, together with unidentified candidate absorption features marked with “?”. Dotted lines show night sky lines identified in the Dark Bay region of the nebula. At the bottom of the figure are shown the theoretical upper-atmosphere airglow spectrum (green line) and telluric molecular absorption spectrum (red line), as calculated from the Cerro Paranal Advanced Sky Model (Noll et al. 2012; Moehler et al. 2014).

Raman scattering (eq. [A2]), the true velocity width of the FUV line is 18 km s $^{-1}$ . The absorption depth of the features is roughly 50% with respect to the Raman-scattered wing at adjacent wavelengths, but is much less than this with respect to the total continuum emission. The same spectral features are also visible in the Dopita et al. (2016) spectra but are not commented on by those authors. The 6664 Å feature is partially blended with the [Ni II] 6666.8 Å nebular emission line in the MUSE spectra, but these are better resolved in the higher resolution Dopita et al. spectra. Archival Keck HIRES observations of this feature at an even higher spectral resolution are presented below in § 3. The identification of these two FUV absorption lines in the optical spectrum is incontestable proof of a Raman scattering origin for the broad H $\alpha$  wings.

There is also evidence for a weak absorption feature in the blue wing at 6481 Å, corresponding to the Si II absorption line at 1024 Å. However, the blue wing is much less clean than the red wing, partly due to telluric absorption and airglow emission (see below), which makes the identification uncertain. The Raman wings show some other weak features that remain unidentified, the strongest of which is an apparent broad ( $\approx 5$  Å) absorption feature at 6494 Å, while additional narrower features are seen at 6450 Å and 6708 Å (all marked with “?” in Figure 3). It is not clear if these are truly absorption features or whether they are simply gaps between very weak blended emission lines.

### 2.3 Definition of observational Raman bands in the red and blue wings

In order to study the spatial distribution of the Raman-scattered wings, it is convenient to define a series of broad bands on the blue and red sides, which are listed in Table 1 and shown as blue and red shaded vertical stripes in Figure 3. Four bands are defined in each wing, spanning a range in  $|\Delta\lambda_2|$  from about 30 Å to 150 Å. The lower limit of this range is determined by overlap with the strong nebular [N II] emission lines, while the upper limit is due to the [Si II] lines on the red side, combined with the Raman wings becoming too weak to measure. The mean H $^0$  Ly $\beta$  cross section corresponding to each band (eq. [A5]) is also given in the table, varying between about  $10^{-22}$  cm $^2$  and  $2 \times 10^{-21}$  cm $^2$ .

The bands are chosen so as to avoid the strongest contaminating lines wherever possible, but some small contamination is unavoidable, as listed in the rightmost column of the table. The contamination comes from two sources: weak nebular emission lines (indicated by solid vertical lines in Figure 3) and additionally from the line absorption and emission of the Earth’s atmosphere. Two complementary methods were used to investigate this latter effect. First, the datacube was inspected to identify lines with roughly uniform brightness across the entire map. In particular, any line that is as strong in the Dark Bay region as it is in Orion S is unlikely to come from the nebula itself. Such lines are indicated by vertical

**Table 1.** Wavelength bands used for Raman wing extraction

	Band (1)	$\langle \Delta\lambda_2 \rangle$ , Å (2)	$\lambda_{\min}$ , Å (3)	$\lambda_{\max}$ , Å (4)	$f_{H\alpha}$ (5)	$\langle \sigma_\lambda \rangle, 10^{-21} \text{ cm}^2$ (6)	Contamination (7)
Blue wing	B133	-132.8	6414.85	6445.45	0.180	0.144	
	B080	-79.5	6469.25	6496.45	0.196	0.378	Sky 6471, 6478, H <sub>2</sub> O
	B054	-53.6	6499.85	6517.70	0.205	0.802	O II? 6502, 6510, Sky 6507, H <sub>2</sub> O
	B033	-32.8	6518.55	6540.65	0.212	2.069	[N II] 6527.24, [Ni III] 6533.76, H <sub>2</sub> O
Red wing	R040	40.3	6594.20	6611.20	0.238	1.451	Sky 6603
	R058	57.7	6612.05	6628.20	0.244	0.695	
	R087	87.1	6638.40	6660.50	0.255	0.299	[Cr IV]? 6641
	R136	135.7	6688.55	6708.95	0.274	0.119	He I 6699

COLUMNS: (1) Name of band. (2) Mean wavelength displacement from H $\alpha$  rest wavelength. (3, 4) Upper and lower wavelength limits for the band. (5) Mean value of the H $\alpha$  branching ratio from virtual 3p levels (see equation [A6]). (6) Mean value of Ly $\beta$  wing cross-section that can feed this band via Raman scattering (see equation [A5]). (7) Nebular and telluric lines that may contaminate the band (see text for details).

dotted lines in Figure 3 and some are listed in Table 1. Second, ESO’s SkyCalc tool<sup>2</sup> was used to predict theoretical emission and absorption spectra for the atmosphere above the VLT at the time and airmass of the observations, convolved with the MUSE instrumental profile. The emission spectrum is dominated by upper-atmosphere airglow lines of OH (Osterbrock et al. 1996; Noll et al. 2012, 2014), shown in green in the figure, many of which can be seen to coincide with the empirically determined sky lines. The absorption spectrum is dominated by telluric lines of O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O (Moehler et al. 2014; Smette et al. 2015). The strongest predicted absorption near H $\alpha$  is the O<sub>2</sub>  $\gamma$  band at 6280 Å, which is clearly seen in all the spectra, with an absorption depth of order 0.1, but lies well away from the Raman wings. The blue Raman wing is affected by weaker H<sub>2</sub>O bands at 6460 Å to 6600 Å with absorption depth < 0.01, as compared to the relative brightness of the Raman wings at those wavelengths, which is 0.05 to 0.1.

In summary, the nebular and telluric contamination introduces uncertainties of order 10% in the fluxes of the B033, B054, and B080 bands, with other bands being affected to a much lesser degree.

#### 2.4 Spatial distribution of Raman band emission

Figure 4 shows maps of the six innermost Raman bands as two RGB images: the blue wing in panel a and the red wing in panel b. In each case, the channel sequence R, G, B is an inward progression towards line center, from the farther wings to the nearer wings. The maps are adaptively smoothed following the binary grid algorithm outlined in García-Díaz et al. (2018), which reduces the noise in the fainter regions at the cost of a reduced spatial resolution. The two maps are strikingly similar, indicating that the contamination of the blue wing (see previous section) is indeed a minor effect when integrated over an entire band.

To aid in the interpretation of the Raman wing maps, Figure 4c shows a combined view of mid-to-low-ionization oxygen emission lines, derived from the MUSE data cube. In blue is shown the [O II] 7318.39, 7319.99 Å doublet, which traces the outer 10% of the fully ionized emission. In green is shown the [O I] 6300.30 Å line, which principally traces partially ionized gas at the ionization front, but also shocks in neutral gas. In red is shown the fluorescent O I 8446.36 Å line, which traces fully neutral gas that is very close behind the ionization front. This image clearly illustrates that the

neutral/molecular gas is organized in filaments, with bright ionization fronts on the side facing the high-mass Trapezium stars. This is most clearly seen in the Orion Bar, the linear emission feature to the south-east of the map, but analogous filaments are seen in all directions from the Trapezium (see also Figure 2b). A particularly complex region, with several partially overlapping filaments, lies between the Trapezium and the Orion S star formation region. This region shows the brightest Raman wing emission, suggesting that it contains the neutral gas with the highest incident FUV flux, presumably because it lies physically closest to the illuminating high-mass stars.

The spatial relation of the Raman-scattered wings to other emission lines is illustrated in Figure 4d, which shows the summed wing intensity over all 8 bands as a false color scale. This is compared with contours that show the ionization front, as traced by the collisionally excited [O I] line, and molecular gas, as traced by the optically thick <sup>12</sup>CO (1–0) line (Kong et al. 2018). In the Bar region, the Raman emission is clearly seen to be sandwiched between the ionization front and the molecular gas, conclusive evidence that it arises in the neutral zone of the PDR. In the Orion S region and around the Trapezium, there is not such a clear stratification between ionized, neutral, and molecular emission. This is due to two factors: first, the densities are higher, which shortens all length scales, and second, the geometry is not so edge-on, leading to a greater degree of superposition along the line of sight, as witnessed by the overlap of the [O I] and <sup>12</sup>CO contours. Nonetheless, even here there is evidence that the Raman emission tends to lie farther from θ<sup>1</sup> Ori C than the ionization front, particularly in the “SW minibar” region.

#### 2.5 Emission profiles across the Orion Bar

Figure 5 shows spatial profiles across the Orion Bar of the Raman-scattered bands (panel a), together with other emission lines and bands (panels b and c). In keeping with the dominant tradition in the literature (e.g., Fig. 9 of van der Werf et al. 1996, Fig. 2 of Goicoechea et al. 2017), the molecular regions are shown on the left and the ionized regions on the right. The profiles are the median values across a slit of width 75'', as illustrated in Figure 5d. Table 2 shows the positions, widths, and intensities of the peaks in each tracer, as determined by fitting a single Gaussian plus a linear background.

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.eso.org/sci/software/pipelines/skytools/skycalc>



**Figure 4.** Spatial distribution of Raman-scattered wings of H $\alpha$ . (a) Three-color RGB image of bands in the blue wing: B080 (red channel), B054 (green), B033 (blue). All bands are continuum-subtracted and are multibinned at constant signal-to-noise (see text). Maximum brightness in the (red, green, blue) channels is  $(2.27, 3.89, 7.78) \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$ . The brightest stars are masked out and marked with yellow circles. Lower-mass stars are not masked and most appear as yellow/red dots. (b) Same as (a) but for bands in the red wing: R087 (red), R057 (green), R040 (blue). (c) Three-color RGB image of collisional and fluorescent oxygen emission lines that bracket the ionization front: O I  $\lambda 8446 \text{ Å}$  (red), [O I]  $\lambda 6300 \text{ Å}$  (green), [O II]  $\lambda 7318 \text{ Å}$  (blue). Various features of the nebula are marked, including Herbig-Haro objects driven by jets from young stars. (d) Comparison of the total Raman wing intensity (blue-to-yellow false color image) with the [O I] emission that traces the ionization front (orange contours) and  $^{12}\text{CO}$  emission that traces molecular gas (red, purple, and blue contours for different LSR velocities as marked).

### 2.5.1 Lines from ionized gas and the ionization front at the Bar

The ionization stratification at the edge of the H II region is clearly seen in the distribution of the [O III], [O II], [O I], and O I lines (Fig. 5c). The peak in [O I] emissivity (excited by collisions between electrons and neutral oxygen atoms) is expected to occur at a hydrogen ionization fraction of 50% (Henney et al. 2005), which is displaced by  $x_0 \approx -1.7 \text{ mpc}$  from the peak of the O I fluorescent line. This latter should correspond to an absorption optical depth of approximately unity in the FUV pumping lines, such as those listed in Table A1 (see § 5 of Walmsley et al. 2000), corresponding to a neutral hydrogen column density of  $N(\text{H}^0) \approx 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and therefore an average volume density of  $n(\text{H}^0) = N(\text{H}^0)/|x_0| \approx 2000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . This value is similar to the peak electron density on the fully ion-

ized side of the ionization front:  $n_e \approx 3000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , measured from the [S II] 6717/6731 ratio (e.g. O'Dell et al. 2017), which occurs at  $x \approx -7 \text{ mpc}$ , close to the peak in the [O II] emission. Therefore, the total hydrogen density is roughly constant over the transition between a predominantly ionized state and predominantly neutral state. Note that the apparent width of this transition (for instance, the FWHM of the [O I] peak  $\approx 9 \text{ mpc}$ ) is much larger than predicted by atomic physics, which is probably due to spatial irregularities in the ionization front, which can be seen in the inset Figure 5d and is discussed in more detail in § 4.2 below.



**Figure 5.** (a) Spatial cut of continuum-subtracted H $\alpha$  wing intensity across the Orion Bar. Matched band pairs from the red and blue wings are shown with the same color scheme as in Fig. 3. (b) Comparison with far-infrared observations of line and continuum (purple shading) emission from Herschel PACS (Bernard-Salas et al. 2012). The width (FWHM) of the Herschel PSF is shown by horizontal bars for two representative wavelengths. (c) Comparison with a variety of other tracers of molecular, neutral, and ionized material. Optical lines are from VLT MUSE (Weilbacher et al. 2015), infrared continuum bands (green shading) are from Spitzer IRAC (Megeath et al. 2012), CO lines are from Carma-NRO (Kong et al. 2018) and Herschel PACS (Parikka et al. 2018), H $_2$  near-infrared line is from VLT HAWK-I (Kissler-Patig et al. 2008). (d) Image of the bar in O $1$ , rotated so the  $x$  axis is along the direction of the spatial cuts (area indicated by dashed rectangle). Contours of molecular CO emission (red) and ionized [O III] emission (blue) are also shown. In all four panels, ultraviolet photons are incident from the right hand side and the vertical dotted line indicates the ionization front, defined as the peak of the fluorescent O $1$  emission. The profiles are the median values over a slit width of 75'', centered on the ICRS equatorial coordinates  $\alpha = 83.8419^\circ$ ,  $\delta = -5.4113^\circ$  and oriented at a position angle of 141.5°. Distances from the ionization front are given in mpc where 1''  $\approx$  1.9 mpc for an assumed distance of (388  $\pm$  5) pc (Kounkel et al. 2017).

**Table 2.** Peaks in emission profiles across the Orion Bar

Emission type and units (1)	Line or Band (2)	$x_0$ , mpc (3)	$\delta x$ , mpc (4)	$I(\text{Peak})$ (5)	$I(\text{BG})$ (6)	Ref. (7)
H II region emission lines	[O III] $\lambda 5007$	$-32.1 \pm 2.6$	45	13.059	$11.642 \pm 5.605$	1
	[O II] $\lambda 7318$	$-9.4 \pm 3.6$	25	0.882	$0.232 \pm 0.026$	1
Peak and BG: $\int I_\lambda d\lambda$ , $10^{-6} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$	[O I] $\lambda 6300$	$-1.7 \pm 0.1$	9	0.152	$0.045 \pm 0.001$	1
	O I $\lambda 8446$	$0.0 \pm 0.8$	8	0.226	$0.071 \pm 0.000$	2
H $\alpha$ Raman bands	B033	$0.8 \pm 1.5$	20	0.455	$0.858 \pm 0.007$	2
	R040	$1.8 \pm 0.1$	18	0.480	$0.815 \pm 0.023$	
Peak and BG: $I_\lambda$ , $10^{-9} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$	B054	$5.0 \pm 2.7$	9	0.233	$0.641 \pm 0.009$	
	R058	$5.5 \pm 2.8$	11	0.285	$0.591 \pm 0.017$	
	B080	$7.1 \pm 1.3$	11	0.136	$0.437 \pm 0.013$	
	R087	$7.1 \pm 0.7$	15	0.178	$0.393 \pm 0.017$	
	B133	$6.7 \pm 1.1$	10	0.128	$0.302 \pm 0.004$	
	R136	$3.1 \pm 2.7$	27	0.072	$0.198 \pm 0.007$	
Spitzer IRAC bands	$3.6 \mu\text{m}$	$11.6 \pm 1.1$	21	0.200	$0.182 \pm 0.018$	3
Peak and BG: $I_\nu$ , $\text{GJy sr}^{-1}$	$5.8 \mu\text{m}$	$12.8 \pm 2.4$	23	1.702	$0.966 \pm 0.073$	
	$8.0 \mu\text{m}$	$13.9 \pm 3.4$	24	4.866	$2.622 \pm 0.119$	
Herschel PACS continuum	$60 \mu\text{m}$	$23.9 \pm 7.0$	52	66.361	$25.175 \pm 0.261$	4
Peak and BG: $I_\nu$ , $\text{Jy pix}^{-1}$	$150 \mu\text{m}$	$39.2 \pm 4.2$	63	7.263	$2.592 \pm 0.065$	
PDR emission lines	[N II] $122 \mu\text{m}$	$-4.0 \pm 1.7$	55	1.907	$0.581 \pm 0.181$	4
	[O I] $63 \mu\text{m}$	$21.6 \pm 1.2$	55	1.303	$0.823 \pm 0.242$	4
Peak and BG: $\int I_\lambda d\lambda$ , median normalized	$\text{H}_2 2.12 \mu\text{m}$	$23.6 \pm 0.1$	19	0.169	$0.997 \pm 0.002$	5
	[C II] $158 \mu\text{m}$	$24.3 \pm 1.9$	47	0.975	$0.920 \pm 0.145$	4
	[O I] $146 \mu\text{m}$	$26.0 \pm 2.8$	46	2.299	$0.945 \pm 0.210$	4
	$^{12}\text{CO} (19-18)$	$33.8 \pm 0.1$	32	3.930	$0.399 \pm 0.001$	6
	[C I] $\lambda 8727$	$37.7 \pm 5.9$	30	0.495	$0.854 \pm 0.122$	2
	$^{12}\text{CO} (18-17) 145 \mu\text{m}$	$38.9 \pm 0.5$	34	8.193	$0.989 \pm 0.154$	4
	$^{12}\text{CO} (1-0)$	$41.1 \pm 1.2$	44	0.472	$0.746 \pm 0.173$	7
	$^{13}\text{CO} (1-0)$	$49.8 \pm 2.1$	33	0.891	$0.823 \pm 0.112$	7

NOTE—Results of fitting a single Gaussian plus linear background to the brightness profiles shown in Figure 5. COLUMNS: (1) Type of tracer and surface brightness units for the values given in columns 5 and 6. (2) Specific emission line or continuum band. (3) Peak position of fitted Gaussian relative to ionization front. Negative values are on the ionized side of the front, positive values on the neutral/molecular side. The uncertainty is determined as the difference between the fitted Gaussian peak and the actual data peak. (4) FWHM of fitted Gaussian. Note that this is not corrected for the instrumental PSF, which contributes at the 10–20% level in the case of the Herschel and CARMA data (references 4 and 7) but is negligible in other cases. (5) Peak intensity of fitted Gaussian, with brightness units given in column 1. (6) Intensity of fitted background at position  $x_0 \pm \delta x$ . (7) Data provenance references: 1. VLT–MUSE (Weilbacher et al. 2015); 2. VLT–MUSE (this paper); 3. Spitzer–IRAC (Megeath et al. 2012); 4. Herschel–PACS (Bernard-Salas et al. 2012); 5. VLT–HAWK-I (Kissler-Patig et al. 2008); 6. Herschel–PACS (Parikka et al. 2018); 7. Carma–NRO (Kong et al. 2018).


### 2.5.2 Spatial profiles of the Raman bands in the neutral Bar

Unlike the optical emission lines, the Raman wing bands (upper panel of Fig. 5) all show peaks at positive values of  $x$ , corresponding to fully neutral gas in the PDR. There is a very close agreement between corresponding pairs of blue and red bands: B033 with R040, B054 with R058, and B080 with R087. However, there is a systematic tendency for the blue band intensity to be slightly higher than its red counterpart on the ionized side (negative values of  $x$ ), which is probably due to contamination by nebular emission lines, as listed in column 7 of Table 1. There is a clear tendency for the peak in the brightness profile to progress towards greater depths into the neutral gas as one moves to wavelengths farther from the H $\alpha$  line center, which is quantitatively confirmed by the Gaussian fits in Table 2. If the peak emission occurs for a Ly $\beta$  wing optical depth of  $\tau \approx 1$  for each band, then the displacement from the ionization front should vary as  $x_0 \approx (n\langle\sigma_\lambda\rangle)^{-1}$ , where  $n$  is the neutral hydrogen density in the PDR and  $\langle\sigma_\lambda\rangle$  is the Ly $\beta$  wing cross section for the FUV wavelengths that pump each band, which is given in column 6 of Table 1. In Figure 6, this relationship is tested under the assumption that  $n$  is constant over the depth

range 2 mpc to 8 mpc, where it can be seen that a PDR density of order  $n \sim 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  is required to fit the observations. A systematic uncertainty of 10% of the width of each emission peak is assigned to the  $x_0$  values, which has the largest relative impact on the near-wing bands: B033 and R040. As a result, it is the B054 and R058 bands that are the most reliable indicators, yielding  $n = 8.5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  (dotted line). The bands in the farther wings fall below this curve, significantly so for B133 and R136, but this can be understood as due to two factors. First, the finite total H $^0$  column through the PDR means that the wings will become optically thin for a sufficiently low  $\langle\sigma_\lambda\rangle$ , in which case  $\tau = 1$  can no longer hold. Second, competition with dust grains for the absorption of FUV photons will become significant when  $\langle\sigma_\lambda\rangle < \sigma_{\text{dust}}$ . These issues are discussed further in § 4.

### 2.5.3 Other PDR tracers of the neutral and molecular Bar

The left hand side of panels b and c of Figure 5 show a variety of other emission lines and continuum bands, which trace different layers in the PDR. The near-infrared H $_2 v = 1 \rightarrow 0$  S(1) line marks the hydrogen dissociation front at  $x_0 \approx 24$  mpc (van der Werf et al.



**Figure 6.** Displacement from the ionization front of the emission peak in each Raman band (column 3 of Table 2), plotted as a function of the cross-section of the Ly $\beta$  wing (column 6 of Table 1). Color scheme is as in Fig. 3 with error bars showing 10% of the peak FWHM (column 4 of Table 2). Black lines show a simple model in which the peaks occur at  $\tau = 1$  for 3 different constant neutral hydrogen densities, as indicated in the legend.

1996; Luhman et al. 1998; Marconi et al. 1998), which provides the outer boundary of the neutral hydrogen layer. Beyond this are found the high- $J$  CO lines, which trace the CO dissociation front at  $x_0 \approx 36$  mpc. These coincide with near-infrared [C I] emission, which mainly traces the recombination of C<sup>+</sup> ions in cool gas near the dissociation front (Escalante et al. 1991). The mm-band CO lines trace the deeper, fully molecular regions, with the optically thick <sup>12</sup>CO (1 – 0) line at  $x_0 \approx 41$  mpc arising outside of the optically thin <sup>13</sup>CO (1 – 0) line at  $x_0 \approx 50$  mpc (Kong et al. 2018).

The broad infrared bands are dominated by dust emission. For the case of the 3.6 to 8.0  $\mu\text{m}$  *Spitzer* bands (Megeath et al. 2012), mid-infrared spectroscopy (Bregman et al. 1989; Cesarsky et al. 2000; Kassis et al. 2006) shows that they are mainly due to discrete polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) features at 3.3, 6.2, 7.7, and 8.6  $\mu\text{m}$ , plus a continuum contribution from very small grains (VSGs, with size  $a \sim 0.007 \mu\text{m}$ , Desert et al. 1990) and minor contributions from ionized gas lines such as [Ar II] and [Ar III]. The longer wavelength 60 and 150  $\mu\text{m}$  *Herschel* bands (Bernard-Salas et al. 2012) show continuum emission from larger grains ( $a \sim 0.1 \mu\text{m}$ ). The peak of the dust emission moves deeper into the PDR with increasing wavelength, reflecting the decreasing dust temperature as the stellar radiation field is attenuated (Arab et al. 2012).

A complementary view of the Bar is provided by far-infrared emission lines of neutral and ionized metals observed by *Herschel* (Bernard-Salas et al. 2012). The [N II] 122  $\mu\text{m}$  line comes from the H<sup>+</sup> region and shows a similar distribution to the optical [O II] line. The [C II] 158  $\mu\text{m}$  and [O I] 63 and 146  $\mu\text{m}$  lines, on the other hand, come from the H<sup>0</sup> region of the PDR.

## 2.6 Equivalent widths of absorption features

Figure 7 shows grayscale maps of the observed equivalent widths,  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}$ , of various absorption features that are found superimposed on the continuous spectrum of the Orion Nebula. These are extracted from the MUSE datacubes by integrating over a short wavelength interval,  $\Delta\lambda = \pm 8 \text{ \AA}$ , around the central wavelength of the absorption

feature:

$$W_\lambda^{\text{obs}} = \int_{\Delta\lambda} \frac{I_{\lambda,\text{cont}} - I_\lambda}{I_{\lambda,\text{cont}}} d\lambda, \quad (1)$$

where  $I_{\lambda,\text{cont}}$  is the continuum intensity, which is interpolated from the absorption-free portions of the  $\Delta\lambda$  interval.

### 2.6.1 Raman-scattered O I 1027 $\text{\AA}$

Figure 7a shows  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}$  for the 6633  $\text{\AA}$  absorption feature, which is a candidate for being a Raman-scattered FUV absorption line. Note that in this case, the “continuum” intensity  $I_{\lambda,\text{cont}}$  includes a contribution from the broad Raman wing, as well as the “true” nebular continuum and dust-scattered starlight. Due to the weakness of both the continuum and the absorption line, it was necessary to aggressively bin the data in order to produce an acceptable map. The results in Figure 7d are for a minimum S/N of 7 in  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}$ , which gives the optimum balance between noise and spatial resolution.

The 6633 equivalent width is non-zero across the entire map, with a median value of  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}(6633) \approx 0.1 \text{ \AA}$ . It shows peaks of  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}(6633) = (0.3 \pm 0.1) \text{ \AA}$  in exactly the same areas as the peaks in the Raman band emission (see § 2.4). For ease of comparison, Figure 7d shows a map of the wing-to-continuum ratio,  $R_{\text{wing}}$ , for the R087 red Raman wing band, which is found by dividing the continuum-subtracted intensity in the MUSE data cube by the intensity of the interpolated continuum:


$$R_{\text{wing}} = \frac{\int_{\lambda_{\min}}^{\lambda_{\max}} (I_\lambda - I'_{\lambda,\text{cont}}) d\lambda}{\int_{\lambda_{\min}}^{\lambda_{\max}} I'_{\lambda,\text{cont}} d\lambda}, \quad (2)$$

where the band limits  $\lambda_{\min}$ ,  $\lambda_{\max}$  are given in Table 1. In this case, the continuum intensity  $I'_{\lambda,\text{cont}}$  does *not* include the Raman wing emission since it is interpolated across a much wider range than in equation (1) by fitting a quadratic function to clean sections of continuum around  $(6150 \pm 80) \text{ \AA}$  and  $(6790 \pm 30) \text{ \AA}$ . Note also that  $R_{\text{wing}}$  is a dimensionless ratio, whereas  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}$  has dimensions of wavelength. The reason for choosing the R087 band for this figure is that it is the band that is closest in wavelength to the 6633  $\text{\AA}$  absorption feature. It is clear from comparing Figure 3 panels a and d that  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}(6633)$  and  $R_{\text{wing}}$  closely track one another, as would be expected if the absorption feature is the Raman-scattered O I 1027  $\text{\AA}$  line.

### 2.6.2 Stellar absorption lines

Figure 7b and e show  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}$  for the He II 4685.68  $\text{\AA}$  absorption line and the C IV 5801.35, 5811.97  $\text{\AA}$  doublet, respectively. Neither of these lines are expected to be seen in emission from the photoionized gas in the nebula due to the high ionization potentials required. They are therefore well-suited for tracing the diffuse dust-scattered starlight in the nebula. It can be seen that the distribution of the two lines is very different. For the He II line, one finds  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}(4686) \approx 0.2 \text{ \AA}$  across most of the nebula, but with consistently larger values to the SE, with a pronounced peak of  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}(4686) = (0.4 \pm 0.1) \text{ \AA}$  around the star  $\theta^2$  Ori A. In addition, there is a secondary peak of  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}(4686) = (0.30 \pm 0.05) \text{ \AA}$ , located close to  $\theta^1$  Ori D, the easternmost of the four Trapezium stars. In contrast, the C IV lines show a broad maximum of  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}(5801 + 5812) = (0.16 \pm 0.04) \text{ \AA}$ , centered to the SW of the Trapezium.

The most straightforward of these to interpret is C IV, since the 5801,5812 doublet is present in the spectrum of  $\theta^1$  Ori C, with



**Figure 7.** Maps of equivalent widths of different absorption features (grayscale images), compared with maps of other quantities (false color images). Red circles show positions of bright stars, from West to East:  $\theta^1$  Ori A, B, C, D, and  $\theta^2$  Ori A, B. (a) Equivalent width of 6633 Å absorption feature. (b) Equivalent width of He II 4685.68 Å absorption line. (c) Equivalent width of a solid-state Diffuse Interstellar Band absorption feature at 5781 Å. (d) Ratio of Raman wing intensity (R058 band) to the intensity of the underlying continuum at the same wavelength. (e) Equivalent width of C IV 5801.35, 5811.97 Å absorption line doublet (sum of the two lines). (f) Foreground dust extinction to the ionized gase,  $C(H\beta)$  (base-10 logarithmic extinction at 4861 Å), calculated from the Balmer decrement  $H\alpha/H\beta$ , assuming the reddening curve of Blagrove et al. (2007).

a summed equivalent width  $W_\lambda^\star = (0.44 \pm 0.04)$  Å (Stahl et al. 1996)<sup>3</sup>, but is absent in all the other OB stars in the nebula (as measured from the MUSE datacube). The equivalent width observed in the nebula is smaller than this, because of dilution by the nebular continuum (recombination plus 2-photon) and the starlight from the lower mass members of the Trapezium cluster. The fraction of the total observed continuum intensity that is due to dust-scattering of  $\theta^1$  Ori C can be estimated as  $f_{\text{scat}} = W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}/W_\lambda^\star$ , for which we find a maximum value of  $f_{\text{scat}}(\theta^1 \text{ Ori C}; \text{CIV}) = 0.4 \pm 0.1$  in the region between the Trapezium and Orion S.


Although  $\theta^1$  Ori C (spectral type O7V f?p var; Simón-Díaz et al. 2006; Maíz Apellániz et al. 2019) is the hottest and most luminous star in the nebula, its He II 4686 absorption line is anomalously weak and varies markedly on a rotational timescale of 15.4 days (Conti 1972; Stahl et al. 1993) because it is partially filled in by emission from the magnetosphere and complex magnetically-channeled stellar wind (Donati et al. 2002). From Fig. 5 of Stahl et al. (1996), it can be seen that  $W_\lambda^\star(\theta^1 \text{ Ori C}; 4686)$  varies sys-

tematically from  $-0.1$  Å to  $0.4$  Å as a function of rotational phase.<sup>4</sup> Three-dimensional simulations (ud-Doula et al. 2013) show that the line profile is dominated by emission ( $W_\lambda^\star < 0$ ) for viewing directions along the magnetic pole, but by photospheric absorption ( $W_\lambda^\star > 0$ ) for viewing directions in the magnetic equator. Comparison with observed phase-dependent line profiles suggests that both the line-of-sight from Earth and the magnetic dipole axis are inclined at  $\approx 45^\circ$  from the rotation axis. This implies that the spectrum seen from Earth, integrated over the rotational period, is broadly representative of the average stellar spectrum seen by the surrounding nebula, so that a value of  $W_\lambda^\star(\theta^1 \text{ Ori C}; 4686) \approx 0.15$  Å is appropriate, albeit with a large uncertainty.

The second most luminous star in the nebula is the spectroscopic binary  $\theta^2$  Ori A (spectral type O9.2V + B0.5:V(n); Maíz Apellániz et al. 2019), located to the SE of the nebula, beyond the Bright Bar. This shows a much simpler He II line profile with  $W_\lambda^\star(\theta^2 \text{ Ori A}; 4686) = 0.53$  Å (Simón-Díaz et al. 2006), which explains why  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}(4686)$  is higher around  $\theta^2$  Ori A, than around the

<sup>3</sup> The 10% uncertainty is due to variation with rotational phase, see next paragraph.

<sup>4</sup> Negative equivalent widths correspond to net emission, rather than absorption.



**Figure 8.** Zoom on Trapezium and Orion South region, showing regions of extracted Keck spectra (red boxes). The background color composite image shows *HST* WFPC2 observations in the filters F631N, F673N, and F658N (Bally et al. 2000), which highlight emission from the ionization front and adjacent regions. White contours show the total Raman-scattered H $\alpha$  wing emission (sum of the six wavelength bands listed in Table 1).

Trapezium. Applying the same argument as above yields a maximum value of  $f_{\text{scat}}(\theta^2 \text{ Ori A}; \text{He II}) = 0.75 \pm 0.2$ , implying that the blue continuum in this area of the nebula is totally dominated by scattered light from  $\theta^2$  Ori A, which forms a roughly circular reflection nebula.

The same absorption line is present in the spectra of other Trapezium stars, being strongest in the B0.5V star  $\theta^1$  Ori D with  $W_\lambda^*(\theta^1 \text{ Ori D}; 4686) \approx 0.25 \text{ \AA}$  (Simón-Díaz et al. 2006). It is therefore interesting and suggestive that the local peak in  $W_\lambda^{\text{obs}}(4686)$  is on  $\theta^1$  Ori D's side of the Trapezium. This would not be expected if all the Trapezium stars lay at the same distance from the scattering grains because  $\theta^1$  Ori C is 4 times brighter than  $\theta^1$  Ori D at visual wavelengths, so the latter star contributes relatively little to the total luminosity of the Trapezium. However, it has been suggested (Smith et al. 2005) that  $\theta^1$  Ori D may lie closer to the background molecular gas, roughly 0.1 pc behind  $\theta^1$  Ori C. In such a case, it would be possible for  $\theta^1$  Ori D to dominate the illumination of a small reflection nebula around itself, and the present results would tend to confirm that.

### 2.6.3 Solid-state absorption features

## 3 HIGH-RESOLUTION SPECTROSCOPY OF RAMAN-SCATTERED O I 1028 Å

In order to obtain observations of the Raman-scattered O I absorption lines at high spectral resolution, I have searched through archival spectra of the Orion Nebula obtained with the HIRES spectrograph on the Keck I telescope (Vogt et al. 1994). The observations, obtained in 1997 December 5 and 6, were targeted at a small number of proplyds and jets in the nebula and are described in detail in Henney & O'Dell (1999) and Bally et al. (2000). Unfortunately, the stronger 6633 Å feature falls in a gap between adjacent spectrograph orders and so was not observed. However, the weaker 6664 Å feature falls in order 53 and is detected in many of the spectra. Results for the proplyds will be presented elsewhere, and I concentrate here on 16 slit positions located in the Orion South

**Table 3.** Parameters from single-Gaussian line fits to Keck HIRES spectra

Region	Relative amplitude, $A$ (1)	$V$ , km s $^{-1}$ (2)	$W$ , km s $^{-1}$ (3)	
[Ni II] emission 6666.80 Å				
A	$0.75 \pm 0.02$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$7.7 \pm 0.4$	
B	$0.71 \pm 0.02$	$2.7 \pm 0.1$	$7.9 \pm 0.4$	
C	$0.60 \pm 0.02$	$2.9 \pm 0.2$	$8.6 \pm 0.5$	
D	$0.46 \pm 0.01$	$1.8 \pm 0.2$	$8.5 \pm 0.4$	
E	$0.29 \pm 0.01$	$3.6 \pm 0.2$	$6.8 \pm 0.6$	
O I Raman-scattered absorption 6663.75 Å				
A	$0.050 \pm 0.003$	$0.3 \pm 0.4$	$14.5 \pm 1.0$	
B	$0.039 \pm 0.004$	$-1.6 \pm 0.5$	$9.6 \pm 1.1$	
C	$0.030 \pm 0.005$	$-1.2 \pm 0.6$	$7.6 \pm 1.5$	
D	$0.022 \pm 0.007$	$1.3 \pm 1.0$	$7.2 \pm 2.5$	

COLUMNS: (1) Region of nebula covered by HIRES slits (see Figure 8). (2) Peak emission height or absorption depth relative to continuum. (3) Centroid velocity in frame of molecular cloud. (4) Intrinsic full-width half-maximum line width, after correction for the instrumental width of  $6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Note that in the case of the O I Raman-scattered absorption line the centroid velocity and line widths have been divided by 6.4 to account for the stretching of the Doppler scale between the UV and optical frames.


region, roughly 30 arcsec SW of the Trapezium. Closely similar slit positions are co-added to improve S/N, forming five groups, A to E, with positions shown in Figure 8. Most of the slits are 28 arcsec long and 0.574 arcsec wide, but only the central 11 arcsec of order 53 is usable for faint lines due to overlap of adjacent orders.<sup>5</sup>

The broad Raman-scattered wings to H $\alpha$  are spread over several spectrograph orders and uncertainties in the flat-field correction means that it is impossible to separate the wings from the nebular continuum. Therefore, I simply fit a 5th-order polynomial to line-free sections of the spectrum over the entire order (6649 Å to 6747 Å) and divide to give a continuum-normalized spectrum. Results for the four groups are shown in Figure 9 for the wavelength range of interest. A shallow broad absorption feature is clearly seen around 6664 Å in regions A, B, and C, and arguably in region D, while no such feature is seen in region E. At this high spectral resolution (resolving power  $R \approx 50\,000$ ), the absorption line is well-separated from the adjacent [Ni II] emission line at 6666.8 Å. From Figure 8 it can be seen that regions A, B, and C overlap with contours of strong H $\alpha$  wing emission in the SW minibar, while region E lies in a higher ionization part of the nebula, where the H $\alpha$  wing is weak. This is consistent with the observed relative absorption depths, assuming that the 6664 Å feature is due to Raman scattering of the O I 1028.15729 line (see Table A1).

Table 3 shows results for each slit from fitting both the O I absorption line and the [Ni II] emission line. A single Gaussian component is used for each line and the fits are performed with the `astropy.modeling` package.<sup>6</sup> The O I ultraviolet rest wavelength and its optical counterpart after Raman scattering are discussed in Appendix A, while the [Ni II] rest wavelength comes from hollow cathode tube observations Shenstone (1970). Velocities are given in the reference frame of molecular gas in the Orion South region, as defined by the centroid of  $^{13}\text{CO}$  emission (Kong et al. 2018):  $V_{\text{LSR}} = (+9.0 \pm 0.2) \text{ km s}^{-1}$  or  $V_\odot = (+28.1 \pm 0.2) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Both the emission and absorption lines have velocities that are close to

<sup>5</sup> One slit in Group A is 14 arcsec long and does not suffer any order overlap.

<sup>6</sup> <http://docs.astropy.org/en/stable/modeling/>



**Figure 9.** Continuum-normalized Keck HIRES spectra of Raman-scattered OI absorption line for five regions in Orion South. Spectra are offset vertically for ease of comparison. The location of each region is given in Figure 8. Wavelengths are given on an air scale and in the rest-frame of the Orion Molecular Cloud, as defined by the peak velocity of  $^{13}\text{CO}$ . Black continuous lines show single-Gaussian fits to the OI absorption line and adjacent [Ni II] emission line, with fit parameters given in Table 3.

that of the molecular gas, as has been previously found for low-ionization lines in the nebula (e.g., Fig. 14 of Baldwin et al. 2000).<sup>7</sup>

The line width is of order  $8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for [Ni II], which is predominantly non-thermal since the thermal broadening is only  $3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for  $T = 10^4 \text{ K}$ . Compared with other optical emission lines that form near the ionization front, the [Ni II] width is intermediate between the widths of fluorescent lines (e.g., [NI]:  $(6 \pm 3) \text{ km s}^{-1}$  Ferland et al. 2012) and collisionally excited lines (e.g., [OI]:  $(11.5 \pm 2.6) \text{ km s}^{-1}$  García-Díaz et al. 2008). The Raman-scattered OI line shows the greatest width ( $W \approx 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) in region A, which is where the absorption is strongest, but is significantly narrower ( $W = 7$  to  $10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) in the other regions.

In principle, the kinematics of Raman-scattered lines should be measurable to a higher precision than that of regular lines. This is because the stretching of the Doppler scale means that the effective spectrograph resolving power is multiplied by 6.4 to become  $R' = 320\,000$ . However, as can be seen from Table 3, the precision of the centroid velocity and width measurements is actually lower for


OI than for [Ni II].<sup>8</sup> This is entirely due of the lower signal-to-noise of the absorption line measurements. Therefore, much longer total exposure times than the  $4 \times 300 \text{ s}$  of the slits that contribute to region A would be necessary to take full advantage of the boost in spectral resolution provided by Raman scattering.

#### 4 DISCUSSION

Location of H $^0$ . Neutral veil in front of nebula has column density of  $1.6 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $3.2 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in components A and B (Abel et al. 2006).

<sup>7</sup> Although the [Ni II] line, which likely arises near the ionization front, seems to show a consistent redshift of order  $2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with respect to CO, this is probably not significant given that the rest wavelength accuracy of  $0.03 \text{ Å}$  corresponds to  $1.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

<sup>8</sup> The uncertainties are estimated from the parameter covariance array returned by `astropy.modeling.fitting.LevMarLSQFitter`, which uses a least-squares Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm implemented in `scipy.optimize.leastsq` (Virtanen et al. 2020), which in turn is based on the `lmdif` and `lmder` routines in the MINPACK library (Moré 1978).



**Figure 10.** Same as Fig. 3 but with  $F_\lambda$  multiplied by  $(\Delta\lambda_2)^2$  and zoomed in on the Raman wing wavelengths. The spectrum is normalized by the average flux in the R087 band and each region is offset vertically by a constant value, as indicated at right. In this presentation, optically thin Raman scattering of a flat FUV spectrum should give a constant value, which for the Orion data is only seen in the R087 and R136 bands.

#### 4.1 Evidence for Raman-scattered absorption lines

#### 4.2 Structure of the Orion Bar

Geometry of bar: in Henney et al. (2005) I pointed out that a diverging cylindrical geometry is necessary to explain the sharp peak in the [N II] emissivity seen at the ionization front. It has been apparent since O'Dell & Yusef-Zadeh (2000) that the nebula contains many bar-like features.

Salgado et al. (2016) had found low dust cross-section in Orion Bar PDR, but there are loopholes. First, they assume plane-parallel geometry with exactly edge-on viewing angle, while in reality it is a roughly cylindrical filament. Second, they ignore scattering, see Watson et al. (1998). Also, density increase with depth

#### 4.3 Failure of rival explanations for the 6633, 6664 Å lines

In this paper, I provide a strong case for identifying the absorption features at 6633 and 6664 Å with Raman-scattered FUV O I absorption lines (see Appendix A). However, it is important to thoroughly investigate potential competing explanations, if only to rule them out.

##### 4.3.1 Why they cannot be dust-scattered stellar absorption lines

Some photospheric absorption lines of luminous O stars are visible in the spectrum of the diffuse nebula due to scattering of the starlight by dust grains in the H II region and surrounding PDR. These are clearest in the case of high-ionization lines that are absent from

the emission spectrum of the nebular gas, with examples given in panels b (He II) and e (C IV) of Figure 7.

The clearest examples from the MUSE datacube are He II 4685.68 Å and the C IV 5801.35, 5811.97 Å doublet.


##### 4.3.2 Why they cannot be visual-band neutral/molecular absorption lines

##### 4.3.3 Why they cannot be solid-state absorption features

No stellar absorption lines there (Simón-Díaz et al. 2006). Too broad to be atomic or ionic absorption line. So strong it would have to be a resonance line. Could be solid-state feature, such as DIBs, but at that wavelength there are only weak features that are seen at very high extinction columns. Would have to be imprinted during back-scattering so as not to be seen in the stellar spectra.

#### 4.4 What high-resolution spectra tell us

The observed width of the Raman-scattered O I line (§ 3) can be used to derive an upper limit to the gas temperature in the scattering region via the following argument. In addition to the thermal and non-thermal (turbulent) broadening of the O I absorption line itself, the Raman-scattered line will be further broadened by motions of the H<sup>0</sup> scatterers. Part of this broadening will be due to velocity gradients between the line formation region and the scattering region. However, the close agreement in centroid velocity ( $< 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) between atomic fluorescent lines and CO lines (Baldwin et al. 2000)



**Figure 11.** Optical depth of select processes as a function of total hydrogen nucleon column density, calculated from static Cloudy simulations of the Orion Bar. All optical depths and columns are measured with respect to the illuminating Trapezium stars, which are situated to the left in this figure. Separate panels show two models that differ only in the assumed dust absorption opacity: (a) low opacity,  $\sigma_{\text{FUV}} \approx 5 \times 10^{-23} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ H}^{-1}$ , and (b) high opacity,  $\sigma_{\text{FUV}} \approx 5 \times 10^{-22} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ H}^{-1}$ . Optical depths of O<sup>0</sup> and Si<sup>+</sup> absorption lines in the Ly $\beta$  wings are shown in blue. Continuum optical depths near Ly $\beta$  (solid line) and H $\alpha$  (dashed line) are shown in black. The H<sup>0</sup> 21 cm line optical depth is shown in green (long dashed line). Optical depths to Rayleigh/Raman scattering for 4 observed bands in the red wing of Ly $\beta$  (see Table 1) are shown in red.


implies that any such gradients are small. The other part will be due to thermal motions of the scatterers, which will contribute a width (FWHM) of

$$\Delta V_{\text{therm}} = 0.124 (T/A)^{1/2} \text{ km s}^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where  $T$  is the temperature in K and  $A$  is the standard relative atomic mass. Measured widths of optical O I fluorescent lines are relatively large ( $> 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , Baldwin et al. 2000) but this is due to the presence of multiple fine-structure components, with splittings of a few  $\text{km s}^{-1}$ , and so they do not help constrain the Doppler width of the UV O I 1028 Å line. Instead we use the  $6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  width of the [N I] 5200 Å line (Ferland et al. 2012), which is also fluorescently excited and is unaffected by fine-structure broadening. The most stringent limit on the temperature is given by the smallest observed width of the 6664 Å feature, for which we take the region C value of  $7.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Table 3).<sup>9</sup> Subtracting  $6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  in quadrature and substituting into equation (3) yields an upper limit of  $T \leq 480 \text{ K}$  for the Raman-scattering region.

This is further evidence that Raman scattering by H<sup>0</sup> must be occurring in the fully-neutral PDR, rather than the partially ionized ionization front, where the temperature is of order  $10^4 \text{ K}$ . It is interesting to contrast the Raman-scattered lines with the case of the deuterium Balmer lines, which are also believed to be excited by fluorescence (Hébrard et al. 2000; O'Dell et al. 2001). The mini-

<sup>9</sup> Although the region D value is smaller, the signal-to-noise is too small for it to be reliable.



**Figure 12.** (a) Predicted spectrum of Trapezium stars in vicinity of Ly $\beta$  from POWR OB atmosphere models (black line), together with attenuation by Cloudy model of the Orion Bar (red filled shapes), extracted at a series of values of the neutral hydrogen column density, as marked. (b) Relative attenuation of the incident spectrum by line opacity only (that is, neglecting dust continuum opacity) in the Cloudy models, which shows the development of the Ly $\beta$  damping wings as the column density increases. In this panel, the x axis is labelled with  $\Delta\lambda_2$  in the optical domain, allowing direct comparison with the observations presented in Figure 10 (observed Raman bands are indicated by colored boxes). Note that the scale is linear in  $\lambda_1$ , which is slightly non-linear in  $\Delta\lambda_2$ . Wavelengths of some of the more prominent stellar photospheric lines and nebular absorption lines are marked.

imum width of these lines is observed to be  $9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , which from equation (3) with  $A = 2$  yields  $T = 10\,500 \text{ K}$  if the broadening is purely thermal, or  $T = 6000 \text{ K}$  assuming non-thermal broadening of  $6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Either case is consistent with the deuterium lines being formed in the ionization front itself. The difference with the Raman scattering can be explained by the magnitudes of the relevant cross sections. A typical resonance line that excites the deuterium Balmer lines is deuterium Lyman  $\gamma$ , which, assuming D/H =  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ , has cross section of  $\approx 4 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2 (\text{H}^0)^{-1}$ . This is considerably larger than the Raman wing cross section at 1028 Å, which is only  $\approx 2 \times 10^{-22} \text{ cm}^2 (\text{H}^0)^{-1}$  (see Table 1 and Appendix A).

#### 4.5 Miscellany

The effective resolving power of the optical spectrograph is multiplied by 6.4 for the FUV domain.

The O I lines should be in absorption in the spectrum seen by the Raman scatterers.

Non-equilibrium PDRs (Stoerzer & Hollenbach 1998; Bertoldi & Draine 1996). Recent models from Bron et al. (2018).

C I emission from non-steady PDRs (Stoerzer et al. 1997) (fine structure lines, but maybe optical lines would be similar). Escalante et al. (1991) model the far-red [C I] line as recombination of C<sup>+</sup>.

O VI 1037.62, 1031.93 absorption line in wind. No evidence for a blue absorption edge at  $V = 1200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , which would be at  $\lambda_2 = 6649 \text{ Å}$ , right in middle of R087 band.

Even for high PDR optical depth, no multiple Raman scattering

will occur since the population of  $2s$  is very small and the post-scattered photons have insufficient energy to excite any transitions from  $1s$ .

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

From the spatial distribution of the He II 4686 Å absorption line in dust-scattered light, we find further evidence that  $\theta^1$  Ori D is situated behind the other Trapezium stars, closer to the background cloud, as was originally suggested by (Smith et al. 2005).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful for financial support provided by Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, through grant Programa de Apoyo a Proyectos de Investigación e Innovación Tecnológica IN107019. Scientific software and databases used in this work include SAOImage DS9<sup>10</sup> (Joye & Mandel 2003), the Atomic Line List<sup>11</sup> (Van Hoof 2018), the Cloudy plasma physics code<sup>12</sup> (Ferland et al. 2017), SIMBAD and Vizier from Strasbourg Astronomical Data Center (CDS)<sup>13</sup>, and the following 3rd-party Python packages: numpy, astropy, matplotlib, seaborn, scikit-image, reproject.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

The primary data underlying this article are two spectroscopic datasets. First, integral field spectral mosaics of the inner Orion Nebula, obtained with the MUSE spectrograph on the VLT, which are available from <http://muse-vlt.eu/science/m42/>. Second, longslit spectra of the Orion S region, obtained with the HIRES spectrograph on the Keck I telescope, which are available from the Keck Observatory Archive at <https://koa.ipac.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/KOA/nph-KOALogin>. Additional supporting data from other observatories and archives may be accessed via the references given in the main text. All data reduction and analysis programs used in this paper, together with documentation and research notes may be found at <https://github.com/will-henney/dib-scatter-hii>.

## References

- Abel N. P., Ferland G. J., O'Dell C. R., Shaw G., Troland T. H., 2006, *ApJ*, **644**, 344
- Arab H., Abergel A., Habart E., Bernard-Salas J., Ayasso H., Dassas K., Martin P. G., White G. J., 2012, *A&A*, **541**, A19
- Bacon R., et al., 2010, in Proc. SPIE. p. 773508, doi:10.1117/12.856027
- Bacon R., et al., 2014, *The Messenger*, **157**, 13
- Baldwin J. A., Verner E. M., Verner D. A., Ferland G. J., Martin P. G., Korista K. T., Rubin R. H., 2000, *ApJS*, **129**, 229
- Bally J., O'Dell C. R., McCaughrean M. J., 2000, *AJ*, **119**, 2919
- Bernard-Salas J., et al., 2012, *A&A*, **538**, A37
- Bertoldi F., Draine B. T., 1996, *ApJ*, **458**, 222
- Blagrave K. P. M., Martin P. G., Rubin R. H., Dufour R. J., Baldwin J. A., Hester J. J., Walter D. K., 2007, *ApJ*, **655**, 299
- <sup>10</sup> <https://sites.google.com/cfa.harvard.edu/saoimageds9>
- <sup>11</sup> <https://www.pa.uky.edu/~peter/newpage/>
- <sup>12</sup> <https://nublado.org>
- <sup>13</sup> <https://cds.u-strasbg.fr>
- Bregman J. D., Allamandola L. J., Tielens A. G. G. M., Geballe T. R., Witteborn F. C., 1989, *ApJ*, **344**, 791
- Bron E., Agúndez M., Goicoechea J. R., Cernicharo J., 2018, arXiv e-prints, Cesarsky D., Jones A. P., Lequeux J., Verstraete L., 2000, *A&A*, **358**, 708
- Chang S.-J., Heo J.-E., Di Mille F., Angeloni R., Palma T., Lee H.-W., 2015, *ApJ*, **814**, 98
- Clegg R. E. S., Miller S., Storey P. J., Kisielius R., 1999, *A&AS*, **135**, 359
- Conti P. S., 1972, *ApJ*, **174**, L79
- Da Rio N., Robberto M., Soderblom D. R., Panagia N., Hillenbrand L. A., Palla F., Stassun K., 2009, *ApJS*, **183**, 261
- Desert F.-X., Boulanger F., Puget J. L., 1990, *A&A*, **237**, 215
- Donati J.-F., Babel J., Harries T. J., Howarth I. D., Petit P., Semel M., 2002, *MNRAS*, **333**, 55
- Dopita M. A., Nicholls D. C., Sutherland R. S., Kewley L. J., Groves B. A., 2016, *ApJ*, **824**, L13
- Escalante V., Sternberg A., Dalgarno A., 1991, *ApJ*, **375**, 630
- Ferland G. J., Henney W. J., O'Dell C. R., Porter R. L., van Hoof P. A. M., Williams R. J. R., 2012, *ApJ*, **757**, 79
- Ferland G. J., et al., 2017, *Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.*, **53**, 385
- García-Díaz M. T., Henney W. J., 2007, *AJ*, **133**, 952
- García-Díaz M. T., Henney W. J., López J. A., Doi T., 2008, *Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.*, **44**, 181
- García-Díaz M. T., Steffen W., Henney W. J., López J. A., García-López F., González-Buitrago D., Áviles A., 2018, *MNRAS*, **479**, 3909
- Goicoechea J. R., et al., 2017, *A&A*, **601**, L9
- Greisen E. W., Calabretta M. R., Valdes F. G., Allen S. L., 2006, *A&A*, **446**, 747
- Henney W. J., O'Dell C. R., 1999, *AJ*, **118**, 2350
- Henney W. J., Arthur S. J., Williams R. J. R., Ferland G. J., 2005, *ApJ*, **621**, 328
- Hébrard G., Péquignot D., Vidal-Madjar A., Walsh J. R., Ferlet R., 2000, *A&A*, **354**, L79
- Ivanov T. I., Salumbides E. J., Vieitez M. O., Cacciani P. C., de Lange C. A., Ubachs W., 2008, *MNRAS*, **389**, L4
- Joye W. A., Mandel E., 2003, in Payne H. E., Jedrzejewski R. I., Hook R. N., eds, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series* Vol. 295, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XII*. p. 489
- Kassis M., Adams J. D., Campbell M. F., Deutsch L. K., Hora J. L., Jackson J. M., Tollestrup E. V., 2006, *ApJ*, **637**, 823
- Kissler-Patig M., et al., 2008, *A&A*, **491**, 941
- Kong S., et al., 2018, *ApJS*, **236**, 25
- Kounkel M., et al., 2017, *ApJ*, **834**, 142
- Luhman K. L., Engelbracht C. W., Luhman M. L., 1998, *ApJ*, **499**, 799
- Marconi A., Testi L., Natta A., Walmsley C. M., 1998, *A&A*, **330**, 696
- Marinov D., Booth J. P., Drag C., Blondel C., 2017, *Journal of Physics B Atomic Molecular Physics*, **50**, 065003
- Martin W. C., Zalubas R., 1983, *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*, **12**, 323
- Maíz Apellániz J., et al., 2019, *A&A*, **626**, A20
- McLeod A. F., Weilbacher P. M., Ginsburg A., Dale J. E., Ramsay S., Testi L., 2016, *MNRAS*, **455**, 4057
- Megeath S. T., et al., 2012, *AJ*, **144**, 192
- Moehler S., et al., 2014, *A&A*, **568**, A9
- Mohr P. J., Taylor B. N., Newell D. B., 2008, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **80**, 633
- Moré J. J., 1978, in Watson G. A., ed., *Numerical Analysis*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 105–116
- Noll S., Kausch W., Barden M., Jones A. M., Szyszka C., Kimeswenger S., Vinther J., 2012, *A&A*, **543**, A92
- Noll S., Kausch W., Kimeswenger S., Barden M., Jones A. M., Modigliani A., Szyszka C., Taylor J., 2014, *A&A*, **567**, A25
- Nussbaumer H., Schmid H. M., Vogel M., 1989, *A&A*, **211**, L27
- O'Dell C. R., Yusef-Zadeh F., 2000, *AJ*, **120**, 382
- O'Dell C. R., Ferland G. J., Henney W. J., 2001, *ApJ*, **556**, 203
- O'Dell C. R., Ferland G. J., Peimbert M., 2017, *MNRAS*, **464**, 4835
- Osterbrock D. E., Ferland G. J., 2006, *Astrophysics of gaseous nebulae and active galactic nuclei*, second edn. Sausalito, CA: University Science Books

- Osterbrock D. E., Fulbright J. P., Martel A. R., Keane M. J., Trager S. C., Basri G., 1996, *PASP*, **108**, 277
- Parikka A., Habart E., Bernard-Salas J., Köhler M., Abergel A., 2018, *A&A*, **617**, A77
- Salgado F., Berné O., Adams J. D., Herter T. L., Keller L. D., Tielens A. G. G. M., 2016, *ApJ*, **830**, 118
- Schmid H. M., 1989, *A&A*, **211**, L31
- Shenstone A. G., 1970, *Journal of research of the National Bureau of Standards. Section A, Physics and chemistry*, **74A**, 801
- Simón-Díaz S., Herrero A., Esteban C., Najarro F., 2006, *A&A*, **448**, 351
- Smette A., et al., 2015, *A&A*, **576**, A77
- Smith N., Bally J., Shuping R. Y., Morris M., Kassis M., 2005, *AJ*, **130**, 1763
- Stahl O., Wolf B., Gang T., Gummersbach C. A., Kaufer A., Kovacs J., Mandel H., Szeifert T., 1993, *A&A*, **274**, L29
- Stahl O., et al., 1996, *A&A*, **312**, 539
- Stoerzer H., Hollenbach D., 1998, *ApJ*, **495**, 853
- Stoerzer H., Stutzki J., Sternberg A., 1997, *A&A*, **323**, L13
- Subrahmanyam R., Goss W. M., Malin D. F., 2001, *AJ*, **121**, 399
- Van Hoof P. A. M., 2018, *Galaxies*, 6
- Virtanen P., et al., 2020, *Nature Methods*, **17**, 261
- Vogt S. S., et al., 1994, in Crawford D. L., Craine E. R., eds, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series Vol. 2198, Instrumentation in Astronomy VIII. p. 362, doi:10.1117/12.176725
- Walmsley C. M., Natta A., Oliva E., Testi L., 2000, *A&A*, **364**, 301
- Watson A. M., Henney W. J., Escalante V., 1998, in American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts. p. 16.03
- Weilbacher P. M., et al., 2015, *A&A*, **582**, A114
- ud-Doula A., Sundqvist J. O., Owocki S. P., Petit V., Townsend R. H. D., 2013, *MNRAS*, **428**, 2723
- van der Werf P. P., Stutzki J., Sternberg A., Krabbe A., 1996, *A&A*, **313**, 633

## APPENDIX A: RAMAN SCATTERING THEORY

When a photon is Raman-scattered from the vicinity of Ly $\beta$  (UV domain) to the vicinity of H $\alpha$  (optical domain) its wavelength is transformed from  $\lambda_1$  to  $\lambda_2$ . Intervals in frequency ( $\nu = c/\lambda$ ) or wavenumber ( $\tilde{\nu} = 1/\lambda$ ) space are conserved between the two domains. For example the wavenumber displacement from the H I line center can be written in two ways:

$$\Delta\tilde{\nu} = \tilde{\nu}_1 - \tilde{\nu}(\text{Ly}\beta) = \tilde{\nu}_2 - \tilde{\nu}(\text{H}\alpha), \quad (\text{A1})$$

from which it follows that

$$\lambda_2 = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(\text{H}\alpha)} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{1}{\lambda(\text{Ly}\beta)} \right)^{-1}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The wavelengths  $\lambda(\text{Ly}\beta)$  and  $\lambda(\text{H}\alpha)$ , together with their corresponding wavenumbers, are given in Table A1 (all wavelengths are on the vacuum scale unless otherwise noted). For both lines, a weighted average over the 3p  $^2\text{P}_{1/2}$  and 3p  $^2\text{P}_{3/2}$  upper levels is used, assumed to be populated according to their statistical weights, with individual component wavelengths obtained from Tab. XXVIII of Mohr et al. (2008). Note that the electric dipole selection rules mean that only 3p  $\rightarrow$  2s transitions contribute to H $\alpha$  in the Raman scattering context. The wavelength is therefore slightly shorter than the value obtained for the H $\alpha$  recombination line, which includes additional contributions from 3s  $\rightarrow$  2p and 3d  $\rightarrow$  2p. The shift is of order  $-0.05 \text{ \AA}$  or  $-2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with respect to the Case B results reported in Tab. 6a of Clegg et al. (1999).

Also listed in Table A1 are the Raman transformations  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2$  for the rest wavelengths of transitions between the ground  $2s^2 2p^4 3P$  term of neutral  $^{16}\text{O}$  and the excited  $2s^2 2p^3 3d^3 \text{D}^0$  term. The O I data is obtained from highly accurate laser metrology

(Ivanov et al. 2008; Marinov et al. 2017), with a precision of  $0.08 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  or better. The fine structure splitting between the  $J_k$  levels of the excited term ( $\sim 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) is much smaller than that between the  $J_i$  levels of the ground term ( $\sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ), so that the 6 transitions fall into 3 well-separated groups. The three transitions from the lowest energy  $J_i = 2$  level are very close to Ly $\beta$  ( $\Delta\tilde{\nu} \approx 4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), whereas the two transitions from  $J_i = 1$  ( $\Delta\tilde{\nu} \approx 162 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and the single transition from  $J_i = 0$  ( $\Delta\tilde{\nu} \approx 231 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) lie increasingly to the red. The corresponding wavelengths in the optical domain,  $\lambda_2$ , are therefore on the red side of H $\alpha$ . The final column of the table uses STP refractive indices (Greisen et al. 2006) to convert  $\lambda_2$  to air wavelengths,  $\lambda_{\text{air}}$ , for ease of comparison with ground-based optical spectroscopy. The resultant wavelength is  $6663.747 \text{ \AA}$  for the line from  $J_i = 0$ , with an uncertainty of about  $0.004 \text{ \AA}$ , which is much smaller than typical observational precision (for instance,  $0.07 \text{ \AA}$  for a very high resolution spectrograph with resolving power of  $R = 10^5$ ). The two lines from  $J_i = 1$ , with a separation of  $0.028 \text{ \AA}$ , will always be blended in observations, giving a mean wavelength of  $6633.347 \text{ \AA}$  (assuming the upper levels are distributed according to statistical weight  $2J_k + 1$ ). Similarly, the three lines from  $J_i = 2$  have a mean wavelength of  $6564.386 \text{ \AA}$ , but this is so close to H $\alpha$  (corresponding to a Doppler shift of  $+75 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) that it would be very difficult to observe.

The final section of Table A1 gives data for a resonance doublet of Si $^+$  whose components lie a few  $\text{\AA}$  to the blue of Ly $\beta$ . The shorter of the two components is Raman-transformed to  $\lambda_{\text{air}} = 6362.35 \text{ \AA}$ , which unfortunately coincides with the collisionally excited [O I] line at  $6363.78 \text{ \AA}$ . The longer component is transformed to  $6480.81 \text{ \AA}$  in a region that is clear of any strong nebular lines. Wavelengths for these lines are based on energy levels from Martin & Zalubas (1983), with an estimated precision of  $0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which gives an uncertainty in the optical wavelengths  $\lambda_2$  of  $0.04 \text{ \AA}$ .

The total cross-section for the off-resonance  $1s \rightarrow 3p$  transition in H $^0$  is calculated in § 2 of Chang et al. (2015) from second order time-dependent perturbation theory. Results are presented in the upper panel of Figure 1 of that paper in terms of a Doppler velocity factor  $\Delta V_1$ , which in the notation of the current paper is

$$\Delta V_1 = c \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda(\text{Ly}\beta)} - 1 \right). \quad (\text{A3})$$

The observed Raman-scattered wings of H $\alpha$  that are analyzed in this paper are typically within  $\Delta\lambda_2 \sim \pm 100 \text{ \AA}$  of the line core. It is therefore convenient to define a dimensionless wavelength in the optical domain as

$$x = \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda(\text{H}\alpha)}{100 \text{ \AA}}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

which corresponds to  $x \approx \Delta V_1 / 714 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  in the FUV domain. The total cross section in the range  $0.4 < |x| < 2.3$  can then be fit as follows:

$$\frac{\sigma}{10^{-21} \text{ cm}^2} = \begin{cases} 0.2186x^{-2} - 0.0344x^{-1} - 0.0054 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 0.2367x^{-2} - 0.0187x^{-1} + 0.0041 & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{A5})$$

Note that separate fits are given for the blue ( $x < 0$ ) and red ( $x > 0$ ) wings of H $\alpha$  since the cross section, although approximately Lorentzian, is not exactly symmetric, being stronger on the blue side (by about 10% for  $x = \pm 1$ ).

The fraction of all  $1s \rightarrow 3p$  excitations that result in Raman scattering to an optical photon is given by the branching ratio,  $f_{\text{H}\alpha}$ , with the remaining fraction,  $1 - f_{\text{H}\alpha}$ , resulting in elastic Rayleigh

**Table A1.** FUV/optical wavelength equivalencies for Raman scattering

Ion	Transition	$J_i \rightarrow J_k$	$\lambda_1, \text{\AA}$	$\tilde{\nu}_1, \text{cm}^{-1}$	$\Delta\tilde{\nu}, \text{cm}^{-1}$	$\tilde{\nu}_2, \text{cm}^{-1}$	$\lambda_2, \text{\AA}$	$\lambda_{\text{air}}, \text{\AA}$
			.... Ly $\beta$ , $n = 1$ ....			.....	H $\alpha$ , $n = 2$	.....
H I	$ns^2 \text{S} \rightarrow 3p^2 \text{P}$	$1/2 \rightarrow 1/2, 3/2$	1025.72220	97492.283	0.000	15233.329	6564.553	6562.740
O I	$2s^2 2p^4 \text{P} \rightarrow 2s^2 2p^3(^4\text{S})3d \text{D}^0$	$0 \rightarrow 1$	1028.15729	97261.383	-230.900	15002.429	6665.587	6663.747
		$1 \rightarrow 1$	1027.43139	97330.100	-162.183	15071.146	6635.196	6633.364
		$1 \rightarrow 2$	1027.43077	97330.159	-162.124	15071.205	6635.170	6633.338
		$2 \rightarrow 1$	1025.76339	97488.369	-3.914	15229.415	6566.240	6564.427
		$2 \rightarrow 2$	1025.76276	97488.429	-3.854	15229.475	6566.215	6564.401
		$2 \rightarrow 3$	1025.76170	97488.530	-3.753	15229.576	6566.171	6564.358
Si II	$3s^2 3p^2 \text{P}^0 \rightarrow 3s^2 5s \text{S}^2$	$1/2 \rightarrow 1/2$	1020.6989	97972.086	+479.803	15713.132	6364.104	6362.345
		$1/2 \rightarrow 3/2$	1023.7001	97684.859	192.576	15425.905	6482.602	6480.811

scattering in which the photon remains in the FUV domain. The results for  $f_{\text{H}\alpha}$  are also shown in Figure 1 of Chang et al. (2015) and can be fit as follows in the range  $|x| < 5$ :

$$f_{\text{H}\alpha} = 0.2238 + 0.0363x + 0.0024x^2. \quad (\text{A6})$$

The relative accuracy of all these fits is better than 1% within the stated range (corresponding to  $\sigma \approx 10^{-22} \text{ cm}^2$  to  $10^{-21} \text{ cm}^2$ ), which is perfectly adequate for the purposes of this paper. Note that the branching ratio increases with  $x$ , which means that the product  $\sigma f_{\text{H}\alpha}$  is stronger on the red side of H $\alpha$ .

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.