DATA 609 HW 3

Daniel Moscoe

9/22/2021

Ex. 1. Write down Newton's formula for finding the minimum of $f(x) = (3x^4 - 4x^3)/12$ in the range of [-10, 10]. Then, implement it in R.

Response. Newton's method is an algorithm for determining an extreme value for a function of one variable. The algorithm generates successive approximations of the value of x that optimizes the function. Newton's formula is the expression for the k + 1th approximation given the kth. The general formula is

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f'(x_k)}{f''(x_k)}$$

For the function above, Newton's formula is

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{x_k^3 - x_k^2}{3x_k^2 - 2x_k}$$

Implementing Newton's formula for this function in R:

```
#Original function:
ex1 <- function(x){
  res <- (3 * x^4 - 4 * x^3) / 12
   return(res)
}

#Newton's formula:
Newt <- function(x){
  res <- x - ((x^3 - x^2)/(3 * x^2 - 2 * x))
  return(res)
}</pre>
```

We can build the function NewtLoop, which uses Newt to perform Newton's algorithm for finding the value of x that minimizes f(x). When successive approximations differ by less than D, the loop stops. NewtLoop returns a list containing x, the near-optimum value of x, value, the value of the function defined in exercise 1 at x, and iters, the number of iterations required to determine x.

```
#xk is the initial guess.

#D is the maximum difference between successive guesses permitted
#before reporting results.

NewtLoop <- function(xk, D){
   res <- list()
   D <- D</pre>
```

```
iters <- 0
cond <- TRUE
while(cond){
    xkp1 <- Newt(xk)
    d <- abs(xkp1 - xk)
    xk <- xkp1
    iters <- iters + 1
    cond <- d > D
}
res$x <- xk
res$value <- ex1(xk)
res$iters <- iters
return(res)
}</pre>
```

print(NewtLoop(-3, 0.01))

```
## $x
## [1] -0.009076013
##
## $value
## [1] 2.509056e-07
##
## $iters
## [1] 10
```

With an initial guess less than 0, Newton's Method returns a value for both x and f(x) near 0. Although (0,0) is a critical point for the function, it is not either a local or global extremum. This is a common issue when using Newton's Method to locate extrema: other methods must also be used to verify that the critical points located by Newton's Method are in fact optimal.

print(NewtLoop(3, 0.01))

```
## $x
## [1] 1.000142
##
## $value
## [1] -0.08333332
##
## $iters
## [1] 6
```

With a positive initial guess, we are able to come very close to the true global minimum for the function. The computed values, (1.000142, -0.083333), are very close to the true values, (1, -1/12).

Ex. 2. Explore optimize() in R and try to solve the previous problem.

Response. We can use optimize to locate the global minimum of f(x).

```
ans <- optimize(ex1, lower = -10, upper = 10, maximum = FALSE)
ans</pre>
```

```
## $minimum
## [1] 0.9999986
##
## $objective
## [1] -0.08333333
```

optimize() returns values very close to the true global minimum, (1, -1/12).

Ex. 3. Use any optimization algorithm to find the minimum of $f(x,y) = (x-1)^2 + 100(y-x^2)^2$ in the domain $-10 \le x, y \le 10$. Discuss any issues concerning the optimization process.

Response. I will use Newton's Method for multivariate functions to find the minimum of f(x,y).

Newton's method for multivariate functions uses the formula below to update an initial guess:

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - H^{-1}(x^{(k)}) \nabla f(x^{(k)}).$$

We can iteratively evaluate the formula above using the function mvNewtLoop.

```
#f(x,y)
ex3 <- function(x,y){
 return((x - 1)^2 + 100 * (y - x^2)^2)
#Gradient of f(x,y)
grad <- function(x,y){</pre>
  return(matrix(c(2 * (x - 1) + 200 * (y - x^2) * (-2 * x), 200 * (y - x^2)), nrow = 2))
#Hessian of f(x,y)
hess <- function(x,y){
  xx \leftarrow 2 - 400 * y + 1200 * x^2
  yy <- 200
  xy < -400 * x
  return(matrix(c(xx,xy,xy,yy),nrow = 2))
}
\#Calculate \ x_{k+1}, \ y_{k+1}
mvNewt <- function(xk, yk){</pre>
  Xk \leftarrow matrix(c(xk, yk), nrow = 2)
  Hess <- solve(hess(xk, yk))</pre>
  grad <- grad(xk, yk)</pre>
  return(Xk - Hess %*% grad)
}
#Newton's Method
mvNewtLoop <- function(xk, yk, D){</pre>
  res <- list()
  D <- D
  iters <- 0
  cond <- TRUE
  while(cond){
    xkp1 <- mvNewt(xk, yk)[1]
    ykp1 <- mvNewt(xk, yk)[2]</pre>
    d \leftarrow sqrt((xkp1 - xk)^2 + (ykp1 - yk)^2)
    xk <- xkp1
```

```
yk <- ykp1
iters <- iters + 1
cond <- d > D
}
res$X <- c(xk, yk)
res$value <- ex3(xk, yk)
res$iters <- iters
return(res)
}</pre>
```

print(mvNewtLoop(3,4,0.05))

```
## $X
## [1] 1.0000000 0.9999975
##
## $value
## [1] 6.422538e-10
##
## $iters
## [1] 4
```

mvNewtLoop returns a value for (x, y) very close to the true optimal value, (1, 1). It returns a minimum value of f(x, y) very close to the true minimum value, 0.

```
print(mvNewtLoop(-1234,-5678,0.05))
```

```
## $X
## [1] 1 1
##
## $value
## [1] 6.727008e-14
##
## $iters
## [1] 4
```

For this function, Newton's Method quickly returns the optimum values even when an initial guess has opposite sign, or is far from the optimum.

Ex. 4. Explore the optimr() package for R and try to solve the previous problem.

Response. optimr() is a wrapper that gathers other R tools for optimization under a single interface. It takes as arguments an initial guess par, a function to be optimized fn, a function to compute the function's gradient gr, and optional bounds. The argument method can be used to specify a particular optimization method.

Calling optimr() with a specified gradient function:

```
library(optimr)
```

```
## Warning: package 'optimr' was built under R version 4.0.5
```

```
#Initial guess
p = c(2,3)
#Objective function modified for optimr
ex4 <- function(p){</pre>
  return((p[1] - 1)^2 + 100 * (p[2] - p[1]^2)^2)
#Gradient function modified for optimr
grad4 <- function(p){</pre>
 return(matrix(c(
    2 * (p[1] - 1) + 200 * (p[2] - p[1]^2) * (-2 * p[1]),
    200 * (p[2] - p[1]^2)),
    nrow = 2))
}
ans <- optimr(par = p, fn = ex4,
              gr = grad4, lower = -10, upper = 10,
              method = "L-BFGS-B")
ans
## $par
## [1] 1 1
##
## $value
## [1] 2.938712e-16
## $counts
## function gradient
##
         31
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"
Calling optimr() without a specified gradient function:
#Initial guess
p = c(2,3)
ans <- optimr(par = p, fn = ex4, lower = -10, upper = 10, method = "L-BFGS-B")
ans
## $par
## [1] 0.9998119 0.9996239
##
## $value
## [1] 3.537743e-08
##
## $counts
## function gradient
```

```
## 56 56
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"</pre>
```

Without a specified gradient function, the results are still very close to the true values. However, the function made 25 additional calls to fn compared to when a gradient function was specified. This suggests that specifying a gradient function may greatly improve the perfomance of optimr().