ALGORITHM ANALYSIS

Problem 1. Order the following functions by growth rate: N, \sqrt{N} , $N^{1.5}$, N^2 , $N \log N$, $N \log \log N$, $N \log^2 N$, $N \log(N^2)$, 2/N, 2^N , $2^{N/2}$, 37, $N^2 \log N$, N^3 . Indicate which functions grow at the same rate.

Problem 2. For each of the following six program fragments:

- a. Give an analysis of the running time (Big-Oh will do)
- b. Implement the code in the language of your choice, and give the running time for several values of *N*.
- c. Compare your analysis with the actual running times.

```
(1)
     sum = 0;
     for(i = 0; i < n; ++i)
       ++sum;
(2)
     sum = 0;
     for( i = 0; i < n; ++i )
        for(j = 0; j < n; ++j)
          ++sum;
(3)
     sum = 0;
     for(i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        for(j = 0; j < n * n; ++j)
          ++sum;
(4)
     sum = 0;
     for( i = 0; i < n; ++i )
        for(j = 0; j < i; ++j)
          ++sum;
(5)
     sum = 0;
     for(i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        for( j = 0; j < i * i; ++j )
          for(k = 0; k < j; ++k)
             ++sum;
(6)
     sum = 0;
     for(i = 1; i < n; ++i)
        for( j = 1; j < i * i; ++j )
          if(j\% i == 0) for(k = 0; k < j; ++k)
             ++sum;
```

Problem 3. Suppose you need to generate a *random* permutation of the first N integers. For example, $\{4, 3, 1, 5, 2\}$ and $\{3, 1, 4, 2, 5\}$ are legal permutations, but $\{5, 4, 1, 2, 1\}$ is not, because one number (1) is duplicated and another (3) is missing. This routine is often used in simulation of algorithms. We assume the existence of a random number generator, r, with method

randInt(i,j), that generates integers between i and j with equal probability. Here are three algorithms:

- 1. Fill the array a from a [0] to a [N-1] as follows: To fill a [i], generate random numbers until you get one that is not already in a [0], a [1], . . . , a [i-1].
- 2. Same as algorithm (1), but keep an extra array called the used array. When a random number, ran, is first put in the array a, set used[ran] = true. This means that when filling a[i] with a random number, you can test in one step to see whether the random number has been used, instead of the (possibly) i steps in the first algorithm.
- 3. Fill the array such that a[i] = i+1. Then

```
for( i = 1; i < n; ++i )
  swap( a[ i ], a[ randInt( 0, i ) ] );</pre>
```

- a. Prove that all three algorithms generate only legal permutations and that all permutations are equally likely.
- b. Give as accurate (Big-Oh) an analysis as you can of the *expected* running time of each algorithm.
- c. Write (separate) programs to execute each algorithm 10 times, to get a good average. Run program (1) for N = 250, 500, 1,000, 2,000; program (2) for N = 25,000, 50,000, 100,000, 200,000, 400,000, 800,000; and program (3) for N = 100,000, 200,000, 400,000, 800,000, 1,600,000, 3,200,000, 6,400,000.
- d. Compare your analysis with the actual running times.
- e. What is the worst-case running time of each algorithm?

Problem 4. Consider the following algorithm (known as *Horner's rule*) to evaluate $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i x^i$:

```
poly = 0;
for( i = n; i >= 0; --i )
  poly = x * poly + a[i];
```

- a. Show how the steps are performed by this algorithm for x=3, $f(x)=4x^4+8x^3+x+2$.
- b. Explain why this algorithm works.
- c. What is the running time of this algorithm?

Problem 5.

- a. Write a program to determine if a positive integer, N, is prime.
- b. In terms of N, what is the worst-case running time of your program? (You should be able to do this in $O(\sqrt{N})$.)
- c. Let B equal the number of bits in the binary representation of N. What is the value of B?

- d. In terms of *B*, what is the worst-case running time of your program?
- e. Compare the running times to determine if a 20-bit number and a 40-bit number are prime.
- f. Is it more reasonable to give the running time in terms of *N* or *B*? Why?